Predation in Insular Plant Dynamics: An Experimental Assessment of Postdispersal Fruit and Seed Survival, Enewetak Atoll, Marshall Islands

Svata M. Louda

University of Nebraska - Lincoln, slouda1@unl.edu

Paul H. Zedler

San Diego State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/bioscilouda

Part of the Ecology and Evolutionary Biology Commons


http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/bioscilouda/1

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Papers in the Biological Sciences at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Svata M. Louda Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.
PREDATION IN INSULAR PLANT DYNAMICS: AN EXPERIMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF POSTDISPERsal FRUIT AND SEED SURVIVAL, ENEWETAK ATOLL, MARSHALL ISLANDS

SVAŢA M. LOUDA AND PAUL H. ZEDLER
School of Biological Sciences, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588, and Biology Department, San Diego State University, San Diego, California 92182

ABSTRACT
We studied predation upon the fruits of four common terrestrial plant species of atolls by excluding: 1) all predators; 2) large predators only; and 3) no predators. Each of these treatments was located within the vegetation at three distances from the shore: beach edge of fringing thicket; middle of the thicket; and inner forest. The plants were Terminalia catappa, Messerschmidia argentea, Scaevola taccada, and Guettarda speciosa. Predation loss over the year was significant ($\textit{P} < 0.01$) for all four species. Location contributed significantly only for $\textit{S. taccada}$. Amount of loss and predominant type of seed predator for a plant species were related to size and conspicuousness. The largest fruits, those of the widespread tree $\textit{T. catappa}$, were destroyed completely at all sites; the damage was done by both insects and terrestrial crabs. The large fruits of $\textit{G. speciosa}$ were destroyed by insects inland and by crabs at the beach edge. The small fruits of $\textit{M. argentea}$, a plant which is common along the beach, tended to have greater losses away from the beach edge; those losses were caused primarily by insects. For $\textit{S. taccada}$, which occurred at the beach edge, fruit survival was highest in the middle of the fringe thicket; average damage and disappearance were high and caused primarily by large predators. In sum, the experiment demonstrated that predation by widespread, omnivorous large animals and insects on an atoll island was important in survival of fruits and enclosed seeds. We conclude that this interaction could have a significant influence on the dynamics of plants on atolls.

SEED PREDATION BY ANIMALS is common (e.g., Janzen, 1971; Bohart and Koerber, 1972; Hubbell, 1980), and mortality of tree seeds is often especially severe following dispersal from the parent (e.g., Watt, 1923; Janzen, 1971, 1983; Pinowski and Kendeigh, 1977; Howe and Smallwood, 1982). Such losses are often significant for plant demography (Harper 1969, 1977). For example, destruction of seeds and fruits can limit plant recruitment (Louda 1982a, b, 1983) or determine plant distribution (Janzen, 1975; Louda, 1982b).

Atoll plants depend on propagules for recolonizations and persistence (Carlquist, 1974). Thus, predation on invading propagules, both by cosmopolitan species such as terrestrial hermit crabs (Weins, 1962) and by insects (Janzen, 1971), could be important for our understanding of insular plant dynamics and biogeography (MacArthur, 1972). However, quantitative and experimental data on the fate of plant propagules on oceanic islands, and on the role of predators in that fate, do not exist (Weins, 1962; Carlquist, 1965, 1970, 1974; Howe and Smallwood, 1982).

Although herbivory and seed predation have been hypothesized to be low on islands (Janzen, 1971, 1975; Carlquist, 1974), four observations suggested the opposite might be the case on Enewetak Atoll. First, evidence of damage by predators was abundant. We examined fruits collected from trees and from randomly placed quadrats under midcanopy of several common tree species. On the tree, the proportion damaged by predispersal insect predators varied from 0.0 on $\textit{Scaevola taccada}$ to 0.84 on $\textit{Cordia subcordata}$ ($N = 50$/species, pers. observ.). On the ground the proportion with serious external damage varied from 0.04 on $\textit{C. subcordata}$ to 0.66 on $\textit{Guettarda speciosa}$; similar levels of damage were found on several islands of the atoll (pers. observ.).

Second, terrestrial hermit crabs were common and especially dense near the beach edge of the vegetation (pers. observ.; Page and Willassen, 1982). These animals have been ob-
erved damaging plants (Rock, 1902, in Fosberg, 1956; Degener and Gillaspy, 1955; Niering, 1956; Palumbo and Gessel, in Welander et al., 1966). Weins (1962: p. 436) hypothesized that they were responsible for the depauperate flora of Canton Atoll.

Third, we watched hermit crabs (Coenobita spp.) handle and tear on fruits and we determined that they can damage them and the enclosed seeds. We confined ten of the most common species, C. perlatus, to cages (30-cm radius, within 48 hr. Unexpectedly, all green fruits were attacked by C. perlatus. We worked on Ananij Island in the central portion of Enewetak Atoll to study bird predation (Weins, 1962; Grubb, 1962; Niering, 1963; Palumbo and Gessel, 1963; Woodbury, 1962; Weins, 1962; Welander et al., 1966). Weins (1962: p. 436) hypothesized that they were responsible for the depauperate flora of Canton Atoll.

Thus, our purpose was to evaluate experimentally the contribution of both insect and crab predators to the survival of fruits and seeds of the common tree species of Enewetak. Specifically we asked: 1) Does predation decrease fruit or seed survivorship significantly over a year? 2) Is loss related to fruit traits? 3) Does predation intensity diminish inland? 4) Do different predators affect fruit or seed survivorship differentially?

MATERIALS AND METHODS—Study area and species—We worked on Ananij Island in the southern portion of Enewetak Atoll (11°21'N, 162°21'E, see map in Page and Willason, 1982).

The available seed predators on Pacific atolls include insects (Darlington, 1943, 1965; Zimmerman, 1948; Usinger and La Rivers, 1953; Gressitt, 1954, 1963, 1964) and terrestrial hermit and coconut crabs (Weins, 1962; Grubb, 1971; Bryan, 1972; Ball and Haig, 1974). Rodents were confined to larger, inhabited islands of the atoll; none occupy Ananij. Although sea birds may sometimes eat seeds, they are generally carnivorous and were rare inside the vegetation of Ananij.

The vegetation of Ananij was typical for the intermediate-size islands of dry atolls in the Marshall Islands (Taylor, 1950; Hatheway 1953; Niering, 1956, 1963; St. John, 1960; Palumbo, 1962; Woodbury, 1962; Weins, 1962; Welander et al., 1966). The central portion of the island was forest, mainly of Pisonia grandis ("kangl") (St. John, 1960; Koranda et al., 1973), with scattered coconut palms (Cocos nucifera). This forest had a dense canopy and little undergrowth. In contrast, the fringe vegetation, surrounding the central forest, was dense-thick. The beach edge of that fringe was composed primarily of Scaevola taccada (Goodeniaceae) and Meserschmidia argentea (Boraginaceae). The systematics of the Pacific Scaevola are confused; we follow Weins (1962) and Carlquist (1974) in calling the Enewetak species S. taccada. The inner fringe included saplings and small trees, particularly of M. argentea, with Guettarda speciosa (Rubiaaceae), Cordia subcordata (Ehretiaceae), Terminalia catappa (Combretaceae), Suriana maritima (Simaroubaceae), and some Pisonia grandis (Nyctaginaceae). Ipomoea pes-capare (Convulvulaceae), Lepturus repens (Poaceae), and Fimbristylis atollensis (Cyperaceae).

Our experimental fruits were from the species that were in fruit in early October (Fig. 1): M. argentea has round, peppercorn-like fruits (2–3 mm diam, 2 seeds/fruit); S. taccada has white, round fruits (2 seeds/drupes) with a fleshy exocarp and hard endocarp (8–9 mm diam); T. catappa has almond-shaped fruits (2 seeds/drupes) with a hard, corky husk (15–20 mm long); and, G. speciosa has round fruits (24–48 seeds/fruit) with tough, fibrous mesophyll (15–20 mm diam). Scaevola taccada and M. argentea occur predominantly along the beach while both T. catappa and G. speciosa occur primarily in the midst of the fringe vegetation (St. Johns, 1960; Weins, 1962; Koranda et al., 1973).

Exclusion experiment design—To evaluate postdispersal predation pressure, we used three treatments, putting fruits into three types of containers: 1) small-mesh bags that excluded all animals; 2) large-mesh bags that excluded large animals, such as crabs, but not small ones, such as moths or beetles; and 3) for a control large-mesh, open wire trays that confined the fruits but allowed access by all predators. The small-mesh bags were made of 1-mm2-mesh fiberglass screening and excluded all predators except those inside fruits before the experiment. The large-mesh bag was made of 25-mm2-mesh Vexar® plastic. Each bag (10 × 10 × 1 cm) was sewn shut after seeds were enclosed. The open control basket (10 × 10 ×
Fig. 1. Propagules of the tree species used in experimental exclusion of predators: A = Messerschmidia argentea, B = Scaevola taccada, C = Terminalia catappa, and D = Guettarda speciosa. Upper row contains intact fruits. Lower row contains damaged fruits: the top ones have damage caused by the terrestrial hermit crabs and the rest in A, C, D have damage caused by insects, with frass (fecal pellet material).

2 cm high) was made of 36-mm²-mesh hardware cloth; since the basket was small and settled into the substrate (1 cm), it is unlikely that it attracted predators. Also, predation levels observed on control fruits were comparable to ambient levels observed.

Each unit contained five fruits each of the four plant species tested, obtained from adult plants. This density (2,000/m²) was about equal to the density observed (2,800/m²) beneath trees (pers. observ.). Three replicates of each type of container were placed 5 m apart at three distances from the beach edge of the vegetation: at the edge (0.5 m into the vegetation), middle of the fringe (20 m), and in the Pisonia grandis forest (60 m) on 9 October, 1976. The experiment was terminated 23 September, 1977. Damaged G. speciosa fruits occasionally
TABLE 1. Number of propagules persisting over the year within three levels of protection from predators at three locations on Ananij Island, Enewetak Atoll

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locations</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Beach Edge of Fringe</th>
<th>Middle of Fringe Scrub</th>
<th>Central Pisonia Forest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Messerschmidia argentea</td>
<td>Scaevola taccada</td>
<td>Terminalia catappa</td>
<td>Guettarda speciosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small-mesh bag</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large-mesh bag</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control basket</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a N = 5 propagules/species/treatment, 3 replicates/treatment/location.
b F_max test for homoscedasticity among treatment within species (min F = 1.00): F_max 0.05 [9, 2] > 0.05 for all four species.
c Two-way ANOVA, Model I, results for each species; significant results are summarized as follows:
Exclusion treatment: P < 0.01 for all four species.
Location: P < 0.05 for S. taccada; P < 0.10 for G. speciosa; and N.S. for M. argentea and T. catappa.
Interaction: P < 0.01 for S. taccada; P < 0.10 for T. catappa and G. speciosa; and N.S. for M. argentea.

had a few viable, undamaged seeds (8.4% of total seeds). This also occurred once for T. catappa (0.9%). Otherwise, all of the seeds in damaged fruits were completely destroyed. Thus, no viable seeds remained in the vast majority of damaged fruits.

Homogeneity of variances among treatments was evaluated for each species using the F_max test. For most comparisons two-way ANOVA (Model I) was then used to assess: 1) survival of fruits, and 2) proportion damaged among those surviving in relation to predation exposure and location (Rohlf and Sokal, 1981). In the case of heteroscedasticity, the Kruskall-Wallace nonparametric one-way ANOVA was used for each factor. Probabilities were compared by the conservative test of non-overlap of 95% confidence intervals (Browne, 1979).

Disappearance from the controls was considered animal-mediated mortality since the fruits were consumable by animals present and since the baskets remained stable and presented a barrier to chance movement. Disappearance rates from the control units may have overestimated dispersal mortality for only one species, Scaevola taccada. The fruit traits for this species, including color, fleshy exocarp and exceptionally hard seed coat, made it conspicuous among the fruits tested, suggesting that bird transport may have caused some dispersal. Otherwise, disappearance rates should accurately quantify differential survival in relation to location, both within and between species.

RESULTS—Predation pressure in open controls—Disappearance from control baskets was significant for all 4 species (Table 1) and was greatest in the central forest for S. taccada. None of the species had trends in persistence over the year that fit our initial prediction that fruits and seeds should have higher survival inside the forest than at the beach edge of the fringe vegetation.

Ambient levels of damage were high (Table 2). Since experimental densities were comparable to observed densities, these results suggest that direct mortality was important. All T. catappa fruits remaining in the controls were totally destroyed at all locations. Thus, predation was so severe for this species that no spatial gradient in intensity could be discerned. Highest damage to each of the other three species appeared to occur at the beach edge for G. speciosa, in the fringe for M. argentea, and in the forest for S. taccada (Table 2).

The annual probability of survival and recruitment with predation (Fig. 2) was estimated as the product of: 1) fruit persistence (Table 1), and 2) the proportion undamaged among fruits and seeds persisting in open baskets (Table 2). The recruitment probability of T. catappa was extremely low (0.0, SE 0.00) at all locations (Fig. 2). Recruitment probability by G. speciosa was also low on the average (0.21, SE 0.05, N = 9), but tended to increase away from the beach edge (0.09, 0.26, 0.35, respectively). Average chance of recruitment for S. taccada was also low (0.17, SE
TABLE 2. Propagules damaged (%) among those remaining within three levels of protection from predators at three locations on Ananij Islanda b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Locations</th>
<th>Messerschmidia argentea</th>
<th>Scævola taccada</th>
<th>Terminalia catappa</th>
<th>Guettarda speciosa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\overline{x}$</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>$\overline{x}$</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEACH EDGE OF FRINGE</td>
<td>Small-mesh bag</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large-mesh bag</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control basket</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIDDLE OF FRINGE SCRUB</td>
<td>Small-mesh bag</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large-mesh bag</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control basket</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>7.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENTRAL PISONIA FOREST</td>
<td>Small-mesh bag</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large-mesh bag</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control basket</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Statistics on arcsine-transformed proportions; N = 5 propagules/species/treatment, with 3 replicates/treatment/location.

b $F_{max}$test for homoscedasticity among treatments within species (min. $F = 1.00$); $F_{max} 0.05 [8, 2] > 0.05$ for all species except Terminalia catappa; Kruskall-Wallis test on T. catappa for each factor separately: location $H = 3.21$ (N.S.) and treatment $H = 5.51$ (0.10 $> P > 0.05$).

c Two-way ANOVA, Model I, results for each species, except T. catappa; significant results are summarized as follows:

Exclusion treatment: $P < 0.01$ for all species except M. argentea (N.S.).

Location: $P < 0.001$ for S. taccada and N.S. for the other two species.

Interaction: $P < 0.001$ for S. taccada and N.S. for the other two species.

0.04, $N = 7$) and decreased significantly in the forest (0.30, 0.32, 0.00, respectively). Probability of recruitment of M. argentea was generally high (0.50, SE 0.05, $N = 9$) and appeared lower in the fringe and higher at either end of the gradient (0.70, 0.26, 0.62, respectively, Fig. 2).

Predator exclusion—Fruit persistence increased (Table 1) and damage decreased significantly (Table 2) when predators were excluded. No fruits disappeared from the small-mesh cages (Table 1). Very few fruits (4.5%) were lost from the large-mesh cages (only M. argentea: Table 1).

Predator destruction was highly significant (ANOVA) for S. taccada, T. catappa and G. speciosa (Table 2). Predation intensity ranked highest to lowest: T. catappa, G. speciosa, M. argentea, and S. taccada. This ranking occurred consistently: 1) for predispersal predation (12.3, 7.5, 6.5 and 0.0%, respectively); 2) for all insect damage combined (34.6, 27.8, 24.7, and 0.0%, respectively); and 3) for the increment added by postdispersal insect damage (22.3, 20.3, 18.2 and 0.0%, respectively).

Insect predation destruction (large- plus small-mesh treatments) was relatively high (12–82%) on all species except S. taccada, whose fruits were not consumed by an insect predators. Consumption by larger predators, primarily hermit crabs, was very high on three of the four species (Table 2): T. catappa (100%), S. taccada (79%) and G. speciosa (63%). Scævola taccada was removed both at the beach (55%) and in the fringe (50%) but the highest rates of removal (100%) were observed in the forest. Consequently, location significantly affected S. taccada fruit survival (Table 2). Destruction by crabs, however, was always highest for the large fruits of T. catappa (100%) and G. speciosa (44.3–79.7%).

The probability of a fruit and its seeds surviving the predation pressure was species-specific (Fig. 2). Predator exclusion demonstrated that the overall chance of surviving the year without damage (with 95% C.L.) was significantly lower for T. catappa (0.0, C.L. 0.00–0.13) than for M. argentea (0.4, C.L. 0.27–0.62) (nonoverlap of 95% C.L.: Browne 1979). The probabilities were intermediate for both S. taccada (0.2, C.L. 0.08–0.35) and G. speciosa (0.2, C.L. 0.11–0.43). Also, the contribution of location to rate of loss differed for the species studied. For M. argentea, combined losses caused higher recruitment potential at the beach and in the forest (0.56–0.57) than in the thicket (0.26, nonoverlap of 95% C.L., Fig. 2). For S. taccada, the probability of recruitment was zero in the forest. For G. speciosa, the probability of recruitment suggested an increasing trend from the beach into the Pisonia
A: M. argentea  

B: S. taccada  

tappa to zero (P < 0.001) along our gradient. Establishment must be sporadic. Thus we suggest that predation must contribute to the patchy occurrence of mature T. catappa in Enewetak.

The fruits of Guettarda speciosa also suffered severe damage. It had the largest fruits, but with multiple (16–24) small seeds in them (Fig. 1). Adult plants of this species were patchy in their occurrence. The spatial trend in potential recruitment was inversely related to terrestrial hermit crab abundance (fig. 2, Page and Wilson, 1982); so, colonization by G. speciosa may be limited by crab predator effectiveness. Further work is warranted.

Scaevola taccada has intermediate-sized, but conspicuous, fruits which had the highest rate of disappearance among the species, particularly in the central forest. The white, pulpy exterior of the fruit (Fig. 1) may have attracted birds. For this species, disappearance rates probably overestimated absolute mortality and included propagule redistribution as well as mortality. However, high levels of crab damage occurred, suggesting that there was also an important mortality component to disappearance (Table 2).

Messerschmidia argentea had the smallest fruits (Fig. 1) and the most extensive postdispersal insect predation at the beach edge and in the central forest. Both the location and the magnitude of insect damage were unexpected. The other two species with high levels of insect damage to fruits and seeds, T. catappa and G. speciosa, had much larger fruits (Fig. 1) and both had higher rates of insect predation on those fruits in the fringe vegetation (Table 2).

Catastrophic events and disturbance are known to be important in the dynamics of island plants (Weins, 1962; Carlquist, 1974; Zedler and Louda, in prep.). However, the patterns and the intensity of destruction that we observed suggest that predation could also contribute to the patterns of plant abundance and observed distribution for these species. Predation severely reduced the number of propagules available to colonize after disturbance. Three points are clear: 1) insect predators reduced the potential for recruitment of two species (M. argentea, T. catappa); 2) terrestrial crabs and perhaps birds contributed significantly to the absence of S. taccada in the forest (Table 1, Fig. 2); and 3) terrestrial crabs severely restricted fruit and seed survival, and did so throughout the gradient for T. catappa (Fig. 2).

**DISCUSSION—Predation in species dynamics**—Predation was significant in the survival of fruits and their seeds over the year for all of the species. The amount of damage differed among the species (Tables 1, 2). Location was important in the predation observed on S. taccada, and possibly on G. speciosa (Table 1). The simple, generalized gradient in total predation pressure, which we expected after observing the high densities of Coenobita spp. at the beach edge of the vegetation, did not occur. Absolute levels of loss appeared related to the characteristics of the propagules.

The Indian almond (Terminalia catappa) had a large fruit (Fig. 1) with a single large seed. It also bore the greatest damage and loss. Predation, particularly by terrestrial crabs, reduced the probability of recruitment by T. catappa to zero (P < 0.001) along our gradient. Establishment must be sporadic. Thus we suggest that predation must contribute to the patchy occurrence of mature T. catappa in Enewetak.
for insular community structure. The results clearly show that in spite of the usual simplification in species composition of oceanic island communities (MacArthur and Wilson, 1967; MacArthur, 1972; Levins and Heatwole, 1973; Carlquist, 1974), predation can be intense and highly significant in fruit and seed survival. Our results on an atoll island parallel experimental results assessing herbivory in noninsular systems (Cantlon, 1969; Manley, Butcher and Cantlon, 1975; Waloff and Richards, 1977; Louda, 1982a, b, 1983, 1984). They further substantiate Simberloff's (1970, 1974) criticism of imputing causation and mechanism in island community dynamics solely from observational data.

Predation has typically been assumed to be insignificant in the colonization, persistence, and species composition upon islands. Most work on island biogeography and community dynamics (see Hamilton et al., 1963; MacArthur and Wilson, 1967; Simberloff and Wilson, 1969, 1970; Diamond, 1970, 1975; MacArthur, 1972; Simberloff (1974) has focused on: 1) species characteristics which promote or retard dispersal; 2) island characteristics, such as size, topography and isolation; and, 3) competition among species that arrive on an island. MacArthur (1972: p. 93), however, pointed out there were at least three potentially important processes in island population numbers: random fluctuation, competition, and predation. Predation obviously needs further consideration.

There are several reasons that differential loss among fruits and seeds has not been recognized as contributing to the composition of island associations. MacArthur (1972: p. 96), for example, observed: "Species on islands are often astonishingly abundant, being free from predators and often from competitors." Janzen (1971) predicted that the establishment and persistence of seed predators on islands should be rare. And, he found an insular reduction in insect diversity (Janzen, 1973) and insular absence of specialized bruchid beetles (Janzen, 1975). Observations such as these have contributed to the assumption of low overall predation intensity on islands. Alternately, our results showed that predation intensity, and potentially differential selectivity among seeds, by generalist omnivorous predators, contributed to colonization and persistence probabilities on this small oceanic island. Janzen focused on specialized insect predators; we focused on all predators and on total postdispersal losses.

It is not surprising that predation by host-specific predators is lower on islands; however, if omnivorous seed predators exist and if density compensation occurs, then overall predation pressure can still be high. Insects can be extremely common on oceanic islands (Usinger and LaRivers, 1953; Hesse, Allee and Schmidt, 1951, Allan et al., 1973). Furthermore, density compensation is a common phenomenon on islands (e.g., see Schoener, 1974). Heatwole and Levin (1972) found rapid recovery of trophic distribution, along with the return of species richness to predefaunation levels (analysis of Simberloff and Wilson's (1969, 1970) data from defaunated islands).

Consequently, we conclude that species richness, by itself, is not indicative of potential predation pressure on colonizing seeds.

Our results show that generalized predators, when at high densities, influenced fruit and seed survivorship, and thus potential colonization, among co-occurring plants on an atoll island. We suggest incorporation of predation into models and into discussions of insular plant biogeography is warranted.
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