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ABSTRACT

The wing-polymorphic cricket, Gryllus firmus, contains (1) a flight-capable morph (LW,) with long wings and functional flight muscles, (2) a flightless morph with reduced wings and underdeveloped flight muscles (SW), and (3) a flightless morph with histolyzed flight muscles but with fully developed wings (LW_). The LW_ morph differed genetically from the SW morph and phenotypically from the LW_ morph in the size of flight muscles, ovarian growth during the first week of adulthood, and the hemolymph titer of juvenile hormone (JH). This is the first study to document that phenotypes that differ genetically in morphological aspects of dispersal capability and in ovarian growth also differ genetically in the titer of a hormone that potentially regulates those traits. The JH titer rose 9-100-fold during the photophase in the flight-capable LW_ morph but did not change significantly during this time in either flightless morph. Prolonged elevation of the in vivo JH titer in flight-capable females, by topical application of a hormone analogue, caused a substantial increase in ovarian growth and histolysis of flight muscles. The short-term, diurnal rise in the JH titer in the dispersing morph may be a mechanism that allows JH to positively regulate nocturnal flight behavior, while not causing maladaptive histolysis of flight muscles and ovarian growth. This is the first demonstration of naturally occurring, genetically based variation for diurnal change in a hormone titer in any organism.

Introduction

Juvenile hormone (JH) is an insect hormone that regulates such diverse traits as the synthesis of yolk protein, uptake of this molecule into the developing egg, diapause, flight and reproductive behaviors, and developmental and reproductive features of phase, caste, and dispersal polymorphisms (Rankin 1978; Denlinger 1985; Pener 1985; Rankin et al. 1986; Nijhout 1994, 1999; Trumbo et al. 1995; Wyatt and Davey 1996; Stambri et al. 1997; Zera and Denno 1997). In this study, we investigated the role of JH in regulating intraspecific variation in and trade-offs between key life-history and dispersal traits in the wing-polymorphic cricket Gryllus firmus.

The physiological causes of life-history variation and trade-offs have been an important topic in evolutionary biology for over 6 decades (Fisher 1930; Williams 1966; Stearns 1992; Sinervo 1999). Most research on this topic has focused on energetic aspects, such as the differential allocation of nutrients to egg production versus somatic growth (Ketterson and Nolan 1992, 1999; Ketterson and Nolan 1999; Zera et al. 2000; Zera and Bottsford 2001). Regulatorly aspects of life-history physiology remain poorly studied.

There are several reasons to suspect that variation in endocrine regulation might be an important physiological cause of life-history variation and trade-offs. First, classic life-history traits, such as the age at which reproduction begins, are tightly controlled by hormones (Kerkut and Gilbert 1985; Norris 1996; Wyatt and Davey 1996). Hence, variation in these life-history traits might be due to differences in the titers of hormones that regulate their expression. Second, hormones typically affect a number of traits simultaneously. Thus, variable hormone titers might underlie correlations (i.e., trade-offs) between life-history traits (Ketterson and Nolan 1992, 1999; Zera et al. 1998). Few studies have directly measured variation, especially genetically based variation, in the titers of hormones and associations between hormone titers and life-history traits or trade-offs (Ketterson and Nolan 1992, 1999; Zera et al. 1998; Sinervo et al. 2000; Zera and Bottsford 2001).

The endocrine causes of intraspecific variation in dispersal and trade-offs between dispersal and reproduction also are poorly understood, although they have received considerable attention (Southwood 1961; Johnson 1969; Pener 1985; Dingl 1996; Zera and Denno 1997). JH is thought to regulate many aspects of this trade-off (Pener 1985; Rankin et al. 1986; Zera and Denno 1997; Zera et al. 1998). However, few studies have
directly measured correlations between the JH titer and aspects of dispersal and reproduction (e.g., flight propensity, development of wings and flight muscles, ovarian growth; Rankin and Riddiford 1978; Zera et al. 1989; Zera and Denno 1997).

Dispersal polymorphisms, such as wing and flight-muscle polymorphism, are ideal experimental models to study the endocrine-genetic causes of variable life-history and dispersal traits and trade-offs between traits (Roff 1986; Zera and Denno 1997; Zera et al. 1997). Wing or flight-muscle polymorphic species contain one morph that is capable of flight and one or more flightless morphs with reduced, nonfunctional wings and/or flight muscles. Importantly, flight capability trades off with early reproduction. That is, ovarian growth begins earlier, and fecundity during early adulthood is considerably higher in the flightless morph compared with its flight-capable counterpart (Zera and Denno 1997; Zera et al. 1997, 1998).

The regulation of morph-specific reproduction and flight capability by JH has been a topic of research for decades (Southwood 1961; Hardie and Lees 1985; Nijhout 1994, 1999; Zera and Denno 1997). However, only recently have JH titers been directly quantified in adult morphs of any dispersal polymorphic species (Cisper et al. 2000). Information on genetically based differences in the JH titer, which is especially important for understanding evolutionary aspects of endocrine regulation, is lacking. The main goals of this study were to determine whether morphs of the wing-polymorphic cricket, G. firmus, differ genetically in morphological aspects of flight capability, ovarian growth, and the titer of JH, which potentially regulates these dispersal and reproductive traits. We also manipulated the in vivo JH titer in wing morphs to assess its role in regulating differences in ovarian growth, flight capability, and the trade-off between these traits.

Material and Methods

Species, Stocks, Morphs, Rearing Conditions, and Artificial Selection Study

Gryllus firmus, the sand cricket, occurs in the southeastern United States as a long-winged (LW) morph, some of which are capable of flight, or a short-winged (SW) morph that is obligately flightless (Veazy et al. 1976). Virtually all SW females molt into adults with white, nonfunctional, underdeveloped flight muscles. All LW females have pink, functional, fully developed muscles at or shortly after the adult molt (denoted as LWi). Some LWi adults histolyze their flight muscles, thus becoming flightless (denoted LWh; see Zera et al. 1997). Gryllus firmus used in this study were derived from stocks selected for the LW or SW morph. Full details of the selection study will be reported elsewhere. Briefly, three blocks (independent selection trials), each of which contained a LW-selected (L), a SW-selected (S), and an unselected (C, control) line, were derived from the same generation of a single base population (Fig. 1). During each generation, each selected line was propagated by breeding 100–150 males and 100–150 females, all of which had the selected phenotype (e.g., LW in the LW-selected lines). Crickets were raised at 28°–30°C under a 16L:8D photoperiod. All control and selected lines were raised under the same, controlled densities and were fed the same food (see Zera
and Huang (1999) and Cisper et al. (2000) for details). Females from the eighth (block 3) and ninth (blocks 1 and 2) generations of selection were used in this study. By the sixth generation of selection, LW and SW lines differ genetically in wing lengths of selection were used in this study. By the sixth generation of selection, LW and SW lines differ genetically in wing

**Measurement of JH Titters**

Hemolymph JH titters were measured in individual females that were 5 or 7 days old (molt to adult = day 0). These days were chosen because ovarian growth is substantially different between LW and SW or LW, morphs at that time (Cisper et al. 2000; see "Results"). Preliminary results indicated that the JH titer changes considerably during the photophase in a morph-specific manner (see "Results"). Hence, titers were measured within a 2-h period during the morning and evening: 08:00–10:00 A.M. or 08:00–10:00 P.M. (lights on at 08:00 A.M. and lights off at 12:00 P.M.). Hemolymph collection and JH extraction were performed as described in Cisper et al. (2000). JH titers were quantified using a well-established radioimmunoassay (RIA) specific for the naturally occurring (10R) enantiomer of JH. Validation of this RIA for quantifying JH levels in crude hemolymph of adult *G. firmus* is reported in Cisper et al. (2000).

**Morphological Traits**

Mass of both ovaries, flight-muscle color (white or pink), and wing length (long or short) were recorded for each individual whose JH titer was determined. Flight-muscle color is strongly correlated with muscle mass and is a reliable indicator of muscle status (Zera et al. 1997; Cisper et al. 2000). No oviposition substrate was provided, and thus, females retained all of their eggs. Ovarian mass is highly correlated with the number of eggs in *G. firmus* (Roff et al. 1997).

**Hormone Application**

Topical application of 10 μg of the JH analogue, methoprene, dissolved in 2 μL of acetone, was performed as described previously for *Gryllus assimilis* (Zera et al. 1998). Methoprene was applied on days 1 and 3 of adulthood (molt to adult = day 0). On day 5, ovarian wet masses and thoracic flight-muscle color (white = nonfunctional; pink = functional) were recorded. Similar measurements were made on crickets that received acetone without hormone (solvent control) or crickets that received neither acetone nor hormone (full control).

**Analysis of Phenotypic Differences between Morphs**

Phenotypic differences in the JH titer were analyzed by factorial ANOVA. The dependent variable was hemolymph JH titer measured in an individual cricket. Morph (LW, LW, SW), day (days 5 and 7 of adulthood), time (time of day: morning [A.M.] = 08:00–10:00 A.M., evening [P.M.] = 08:00–10:00 P.M., and block (three blocks) were the main effects tested. Because preliminary ANOVAs indicated no significant main effect of block or interactions involving block (P > 0.1 in all cases), this variable was dropped from subsequent analyses (i.e., data were pooled across blocks). To normalize the JH titer distributions and to equalize their variances, titers were transformed to log (JH titer + 1) values. One was added to the JH titer to circumvent the problem of log-transforming zero values (Sokal and Rohlf 1989).

Three separate ANOVAs were performed to test for differences in JH titters between the following pairs of morphs: LW, versus SW, LW, versus LW, and LW, versus SW. These contrasts test the hypotheses, respectively, that the JH titer varies phenotypically between (1) flight-capable and obligately flightless females with underdeveloped wings and flight muscles, (2) flight-capable and flightless females produced by histolysis of flight muscles during adulthood, and (3) the two types of flightless morphs that are produced by different developmental mechanisms during different life cycle stages. Because these were a priori contrasts, probabilities were not adjusted for experiment-wide error. For reasons described below, morph comparisons were performed using LW, and LW, individuals from the LW-selected lines and SW individuals from the SW-selected lines (comparisons A–C in Fig. 1).

Variation in ovarian mass was analyzed as percentage body wet mass by the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis (K-W) test. This test was used, rather than ANCOVA of ovarian mass with body mass as a covariate, because of various statistical problems with the ANCOVA, such as the nonnormal distributions of ovarian mass and the existence of various treatment × covariate interactions. Because the analysis of ratios is often problematic (Packer and Bordman 1987), a parallel series of K-W tests was performed on unscaled ovarian masses. Results from these two tests were essentially identical, which is expected due to the very high correlation between unscaled and scaled ovarian mass (Spearman correlation = 0.98, n = 296). As was the case for ANOVAs of the JH titer, no significant effect of block was observed in preliminary K-W tests (P > 0.2 in each test). Thus, this categorical variable was dropped from subsequent tests. Three separate K-W tests were performed on the same three pairs of morphs, listed above, that were analyzed for variation in JH titer by ANOVA.

**Phenotypic Correlations**

Phenotypic associations between ovarian mass, wing length, flight-muscle phenotype, and JH titer were estimated using the Spearman correlation. This nonparametric correlation was used because ovarian mass (as percentage body mass) was not normally distributed. Flight-muscle phenotype is a dichotomous trait, as is wing length. Correlations were performed by scoring...
short wings or small muscles as 0 and long wings or fully developed muscles as 1 (Roff et al. 1997). Significance levels for the Spearman correlations were taken from Table Y of Sokal and Rohlf (1969), which are corrected for the estimation of multiple correlations. Correlations were estimated separately on A.M. and P.M. samples because preliminary analyses indicated that associations differed dramatically (i.e., were of opposite sign) between these two times of the day. Correlations were also estimated separately for samples containing LW, and LW, individuals and for LW, and SW individuals. This was done to determine whether various associations and trade-offs involving the JH titer were the same for morphs that result from flight-muscle variation produced in adults (LW, and LW,) versus the juvenile stage (LW, and SW).

Genetic Analyses of Dispersal Capability, JH Titer, and Ovarian Mass

Logic of Morph Sampling. The main goal of this study was to test the hypothesis that morphs of G. firmus that differ genetically in morphological aspects of flight capability (i.e., presence of functional wings and flight muscles) also differ genetically in JH titer and ovarian mass. This hypothesis was tested by comparing the JH titer and ovarian mass between the LW, morph from the LW-selected lines and the SW morph from the SW-selected lines (cf. Fig. 1A). The LW, morph is the only morph that has fully developed wings and flight muscles and is capable of flight. The SW morph is the major flightless morph in the selected lines and is the only morph that is known to differ genetically from the LW, morph in flight capability (see below and “Results”). By contrast, it is unknown whether the LW, and the flightless, LW, morphs differ genetically in the presence of functional flight muscles, and this could not be determined with data currently available. While not useful for genetic tests, data were also collected on JH titer and ovarian mass for the LW, morph. This was done because LW, and LW, individuals could not be distinguished until after they had been bled and dissected to determine flight-muscle status. Endocrine and ovarian data for the LW, morph allowed important phenotypic comparisons to be performed between LW, and LW, or SW morphs (described above; cf. Fig. 1B).

Genetic Tests. To verify that LW and SW morphs differ genetically in the expression of wing length, the (arc-sine transformed) frequency of the LW morph was compared between the three LW-selected and three SW-selected lines by paired t-tests. A similar test was done to verify that LW, and SW morphs differ genetically in both wing length and muscle mass (i.e., in flight capability). A consistently higher frequency of the LW, morph and a consistently lower frequency of the SW morph in the three LW-selected lines compared with the three SW-selected lines is strong evidence that these morphs differ in genetic factors that control the expression of functional wings and flight muscles. Paired t-tests were performed, essentially, as described in Zera and Huang (1999). For example, the difference in the mean frequency of the LW, morph in a LW-selected line and the SW-selected line of the same block was calculated for each block. The mean and SE of these differences were computed to obtain a t-statistic with 2 df (1 - the number of blocks).

Genetic differences in the JH titer between LW,-selected and SW-selected morphs was ascertained in an analogous manner by performing paired t-tests of mean hormone titers. To avoid pseudoreplication, which would result in tests of genetic differences between selected groups involving more than one data point per selected group (Rose et al. 1996), paired t-tests were performed on least squares means of titers averaged over the 2 d of adulthood or separate t-tests were performed on each day of adulthood. Preliminary analyses of the JH titer documented a strong interaction between morph and time due to morph-dependent diurnal variation in the JH titer (see “Results”). Consequently, analyses of genetic differences in the JH titer between morphs were performed separately on titers obtained in the A.M. and P.M. samples. Data from the same individuals used in the phenotypic analyses described above were used in the genetic analysis (cf. Fig. 1A). However, in the genetic analyses the variates were means of selected groups, while in the phenotypic analyses the variates were values measured in individuals.

A similar approach was taken to test for genetic differences in ovarian mass between LW, and SW morphs, except that K-W tests were performed on ovarian mass standardized to body mass. As was the case for the phenotypic analyses, a parallel series of K-W tests was performed using unstandardized ovarian mass. Least squares means could not be computed for per-
percentage ovarian masses, thus comparisons between morphs for this trait involved K-W tests performed separately on each of days 5 and 7 of adulthood.

Results

Genetic Differences between LWf and SW Morphs in Wing Length and Flight Muscles

The frequencies of the LW and SW morphs in the three LW-selected and three SW-selected lines are given in Table 1. The grand mean frequency of the LW morph was significantly higher in LW- versus SW-selected lines during either generation 8 or 9 (paired t-tests: generation 8, t5 = 14.9, P < 0.005; generation 9, t5 = 14.6, P < 0.005). Thus, the LW morph from the LW-selected lines and the SW morph from SW-selected lines differ in genetic factors that control the expression of wing length.

The LW morph consists of two flight-muscle morphs, the flight-capable LWf morph, which has fully developed flight muscles, and the flightless LWs morph, which has histolyzed flight muscles (see "Material and Methods"). The frequencies of the LWf morph on days 5 and 7 of adulthood in the three LW-selected lines during generation 8 were as follows: day 5, 0.52 (n = 20), 0.62 (n = 20), 0.72 (n = 15), mean = 0.62 ± 0.058; day 7, 0.47 (n = 46), 0.49 (n = 45), 0.58 (n = 10), mean = 0.51 ± 0.029. The frequency of the LWs morph was not determined in the SW-selected lines. However, it cannot be greater than the frequency of the LW morph in those lines (i.e., < 0.25; Table 1). Thus, the difference in the frequency of the LWf morph between the LW-selected and SW-selected lines was assessed by comparing the grand mean frequency of the LWf morph from the three LW-selected lines with the grand mean frequency of the LW morph from the three SW-selected lines. Although this is a conservative test, highly significant differences in the grand mean frequencies were observed on either day 5 (t5 = 11.9, P < 0.01) or day 7 (t7 = 16.5, P < 0.005; paired t-tests; all day 5 and day 7 means were arcsine transformed). Thus, the LWf morph from the LW-selected lines and the SW morph from the SW-selected lines differ genetically in both functional wings and functional flight muscles, both of which are necessary for flight.

Phenotypic Differences in the JH Titer between Wing Morphs

Diurnal Variation. The flight-capable LWf morph differed substantially from the two flightless morphs in the extent to which the hemolymph JH titer changed during the day (Figs. 2, 3). Each flightless morph exhibited a relatively constant log-transformed JH titer during the day (<15% change; Fig. 2). The JH titer did not differ significantly between the A.M. and P.M. samples for the LWs morph on either day 5 (F5,15 = 0.50, P > 0.1) or day 7 (F7,17 = 1.65, P > 0.15). No, or marginal, statistically significant diurnal variation in the JH titer was observed for the SW morph on day 5 (F5,15 = 3.49, P = 0.07) and day 7 (F7,17 = 4.47, P = 0.04). By contrast, the JH titers exhibited a cyclic diurnal pattern of variation in the LWf morph, with the log-transformed titer increasing 76%–85% from the morning to the evening. Differences in the JH titer in the LWf morph between A.M. and P.M. samples were highly significant on both day 5 (ANOVA: F5,14 = 36.5, P < 0.0005) and day 7 (F7,14 = 34.3, P < 0.0005; Fig. 2).

Diurnal change in the untransformed hemolymph JH titer of individual LWf and SW crickets from one of the three blocks...
Figure 3. Mean JH titer (log [JH titer + 1]; see "Material and Methods") and mean ovarian mass (as percentage body wet mass) in LW, LW, and SW morphs from selected lines of the three blocks. Morph designations are defined in Figure 2. In each block, LW, LW, and LW, morphs were derived from the LW-selected line, while the SW morph was derived from the SW-selected line (see Fig. 1). Means were based on 10–15 LW, or SW morphs, except for LW, block 2, day 5, P.M. (n = 7) and LW, in block 3, day 7, A.M. (n = 6). Means for LW, individuals were based on 3–10 individuals, except for block 2, day 5, A.M. (n = 2).

is illustrated in Figure 4. In the LW, morph, the median JH titer increased ninefold to 100-fold from the morning to the evening on both days 5 and 7. By contrast, the median JH titer increased less than twofold in the SW morph on either day (Fig. 4). Like the SW morph, the JH titer in the flightless LW, morph increased only one- to threefold on either day (see Fig. 4 legend for median titers). Similar diurnal variation in the JH titer in individual crickets was observed for the three morphs from selected lines of the other two blocks (data not shown).

**JH Titer during the a.m. or p.m.** The JH titer was significantly lower in the flight capable (LW,) morph compared with either of the two flightless morphs (LW, or SW) during the beginning of the photophase (a.m. samples; Figs. 2, 3; Table 2). By con-
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The grand mean JH titer (pooled across days 5 and 7) was significantly lower in the LW morph compared with the SW morph (Fig. 5). Thus, these two morphs differ genetically in the JH titer both early and late in the photophase. Genetic differences in the JH titer between the LW and SW morphs also are evident in the consistent titer differences between morphs from selected lines of the same block for each of the three blocks (Fig. 3). The JH titer was significantly lower in the LW morph compared with the SW morph for the A.M. samples in block 1 ($F_{4,22} = 5.17, P < 0.001$), block 2 ($F_{3,16} = 7.76, P < 0.025$), and block 3 ($F_{3,15} = 12.5, P < 0.001$). For the P.M. samples, the JH titer was significantly higher in the LW morph compared with the SW morph in block 1 ($F_{4,22} = 9.8, P < 0.005$), but titers were equivalent in these two morphs in block 2 ($F_{3,16} = 1.7, P = 0.2$) and in block 3 ($F_{3,15} = 1.8, P = 0.19$).

The grand mean JH titer in the LW morph increased significantly from the A.M. to the P.M. ($t_5 = 13.08, P = 0.006$) but did not change between these two times of day in the SW morph ($t_5 = 1.99, P = 0.19$; paired $t$-tests of least squares JH titers averaged over days 5 and 7; Fig. 5). Thus, the LW, and SW morphs differed genetically in diurnal fluctuation of the JH titer. The consistent cycling of the JH titer in the LW morph, but not in the SW morph in each of the three blocks (Fig. 3), also indicates that these morphs differ genetically in diurnal fluctuation in the JH titer. These different temporal patterns resulted in a significant morph $\times$ time interaction in ANOVAs of JH titers in LW, and SW morphs in each of the three blocks (block 1, $F_{4,22} = 14.2, P < 0.0005$; block 2, $F_{3,16} = 7.0, P = 0.01$; block 3, $F_{3,15} = 14.5, P < 0.0005$).

**Phenotypic and Genetic Differences between Morphs in Ovarian Mass**

In contrast to the JH titer, no significant phenotypic difference was observed in ovarian mass between the morning and evening samples ($P > 0.05$ in each of six K-W tests; $P > 0.25$ in five of six tests; Fig. 2). However, on each day, at each time of day, the LW, morph had significantly smaller percentage ovarian mass compared with either the SW or LW$_h$ morph ($P < 0.005$ for each of eight K-W tests). Percentage body mass due to ovaries also differed phenotypically between the two flightless morphs (SW and LW$_h$) in the day 5 A.M. (K-W test: $H_9 = 8.7, P < 0.05$) and day 7 P.M. samples ($H_9 = 10.55, P < 0.005$) but not in the day 5 P.M. ($H_9 = 0.69, P > 0.1$) or day 7 A.M. samples ($H_9 = 2.35, P > 0.1$). However, as was the case for the JH titer, phenotypic differences in ovarian mass between the two flightless morphs were much smaller than differences between the flight-capable LW$_i$ morph and either flightless morph (Fig. 2).

The SW morph also exhibited a genetically based elevation in ovarian mass compared with the LW$_i$ morph (Fig. 5). Grand mean ovarian mass (as percentage body mass) was significantly higher for the LW$_i$ morph compared with the SW morph for each time of day on each of days 5 and 7 of adulthood.
Table 2: Analysis of phenotypic variation in the JH titer in _Gryllus firmus_ by factorial ANOVA in the morning (A.M.) and evening (P.M.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of Day/Source of Variation</th>
<th>Morphs Compared</th>
<th>LW&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt; vs. LW&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>LW&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt; vs. LW&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>LW&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt; vs. SW</th>
<th>LW&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt; vs. SW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.M.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morph</td>
<td>20.3***</td>
<td>6.1**</td>
<td>.06 NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4.008)</td>
<td>(1.579)</td>
<td>(.007)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day</td>
<td>2.3 NS</td>
<td>2.8 NS</td>
<td>4.9*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(.450)</td>
<td>(.707)</td>
<td>(.614)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>122 df</td>
<td>75 df</td>
<td>79 df</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(.197)</td>
<td>(.257)</td>
<td>(.124)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.M.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morph</td>
<td>12.8***</td>
<td>7.20**</td>
<td>.22 NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.43)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td>(.015)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day</td>
<td>7.32**</td>
<td>8.60**</td>
<td>20.6***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(.82)</td>
<td>(1.23)</td>
<td>(1.43)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>122 df</td>
<td>83 df</td>
<td>87 df</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(.112)</td>
<td>(.143)</td>
<td>(.069)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Values above parentheses are F-ratios or degrees of freedom, while values within parentheses are mean squares. Block was removed from the ANOVA because no significant main effect or interactions involving this variable were observed (see "Material and Methods"); all morph × day interactions were nonsignificant ($P > 0.1$). Morph and day each had 1 df. LW<sub>i</sub> = long-winged morph with functional flight muscles; LW<sub>b</sub> = long-winged morph with histolyzed flight muscles; SW = short-winged morph with underdeveloped flight muscles; NS = not significant ($P > 0.1$); df = degrees of freedom.

*** $P < 0.005$.
** $P < 0.025$.
* $P < 0.05$.

(H<sub>t</sub> = 3.86, $P < 0.05$ for each of the four K-W tests). On each of days 5 and 7, neither the LW<sub>i</sub> or the SW morph showed a significant change in mean ovarian mass between the A.M. and P.M. samples ($P > 0.25$ in each K-W test). Thus, in contrast to the JH titer, there is no evidence for genetic differences between these morphs in temporal change in ovarian mass.

**Hormone Manipulation**

Topical application of methoprene reduced flight-muscle mass and increased ovarian mass in LW<sub>i</sub> females from one of the selected lines, converting all treated LW<sub>i</sub> females into LW<sub>b</sub> females by day 5 (Table 3). In this experiment, solvent and full controls did not differ significantly in morph proportions ($X^2$ test, $P > 0.1$). Nor did the LW<sub>i</sub> or LW<sub>b</sub> morphs differ in either ovarian or flight-muscle mass between the two types of controls ($P > 0.1$ in each $t$-test). Thus, data from these two control groups were combined and tested against data for the hormone-treatment group. The proportion of LW<sub>b</sub> individuals in the hormone-treated group was significantly greater than that in the pooled control group ($X^2 = 22.2, P < 0.001$). LW<sub>i</sub> individuals produced by hormone manipulation were very similar to control LW<sub>b</sub> females in mean ovarian mass ($t$-test; $t_{23} = 0.79, P = 0.4$) and only differed slightly (ca. 15%), but significantly ($t_{23} = 2.40, P = 0.025$), in flight-muscle mass.

**Correlations**

Phenotypic correlations between ovarian mass, flight-muscle mass, and JH titer were similar, whether computed on LW<sub>i</sub> and SW females or on LW<sub>j</sub> and LW<sub>b</sub> females (Table 4). Ovarian mass exhibited a highly significant negative correlation (trade-off) with flight-muscle mass that was statistically indistinguishable between the A.M. and P.M. samples. By contrast, the JH titer was positively correlated with ovarian mass and negatively correlated with flight-muscle mass in the morning samples, while correlations in the evening were of opposite sign. The reversal in the sign of these correlations was due to the increase in the JH titer in the LW<sub>i</sub> morph in P.M. versus A.M. samples coupled with no significant change in ovarian or flight-muscle mass (Fig. 6). This is most apparent in scatterplots of mean JH titer and mean ovarian mass (Fig. 7).

**Discussion**

**Diurnal Variation in the JH Titer**

An unexpected and intriguing finding of this study was the strong morph-dependent diurnal variation in the JH titer in _Gryllus firmus_ (Figs. 2-5). On each of 2 d of adulthood, the JH titer increased substantially (nine- to 100-fold) over a 12-h period in LW<sub>i</sub> females, while no significant change in the JH titer occurred during this time in either flightless morph (LW<sub>b</sub> or SW; Fig. 4). This reversed the direction of phenotypic and genetic differences in the JH titer between the flightless and flight-capable morphs during the beginning and latter parts of the photophase (Figs. 2, 3, 5).

To our knowledge, only two reports of diurnal variation in the JH titer has been published (Walker and Denlinger 1980; Ramaswamy et al. 2000). The very low hemolymph JH concentration during many life-cycle stages has typically required pooling blood samples obtained from many individuals over a prolonged period of the light cycle (e.g., as in Zera et al. 1989). Pooling of samples would tend to obscure any existing diurnal JH titer variation. Thus, the limited number of reports on temporal fluctuations in the JH titer may be a consequence of the lack of investigation of this phenomenon rather than the rarity of diurnal variation itself. Diurnal or circadian variation has been reported for many hormone titers in both vertebrates and insects (Kerkut and Gilbert 1985; Pener 1985; Nelson 1994; Norris 1996). However, the morph-dependent diurnal variation in the JH titer in _G. firmus_ (Figs. 2-5) is the first case of a complex (multitrait) polymorphism in which naturally occurring morphs, castes, or phases differ either genetically or phenotypically in the temporal pattern of hormone titer fluctuation.
A key question raised by this study is the functional significance of diurnal change in the JH titer in the flight-capable morph but not in the flightless morphs. We hypothesize that the elevated JH titer regulates the expression of some as yet unidentified trait related to flight in the LW, morph during the late photophase–early scotophase (i.e., dusk–early evening in the field). The diurnal fluctuation in the JH titer also might be required in the LW, morph to avoid a prolonged elevation of the JH titer, which would have adverse effects on flight capability by causing histolysis of flight muscles and ovarian growth. By contrast, a continuously elevated JH titer in the flightless morph likely regulates the earlier onset and faster ovarian growth that is characteristic of that morph.

A number of lines of evidence support the hypothesis described in the preceding paragraph. Experimental elevation of the JH titer by topical application of hormone or hormone analogues increased long-duration flight in three phylogenetically distant insect species (Rankin et al. 1986). Moreover, artificial selection for a delay in the onset of long-duration flight in the insect migrant, Oncopeltus fasciatus, resulted in a correlated delay in the rise in the adult JH titer (Rankin and Riddiford 1978). These studies collectively suggest that an elevated JH titer regulates long-duration, migratory flight in insects. Thus, it is conceivable that this hormone might play an analogous role in regulating dispersal flight (i.e., shorter-distance, nonmigratory flight) in G. firmus. Gryllus firmus fly in the field (Walker 1986), and two congeners, Gryllus assimilis and Gryllus rubens, are capable of continuous flight of greater than 6 h in the laboratory (Zera and Rankin 1989; Zera et al. 1999). Many insects, including species of Gryllus, fly only during certain hours of the day or night (Johnson 1969; Walker 1986). For example, the congener Gryllus integer was collected at lights in the field almost exclusively during the first few hours after sunset (Cade 1979). Hence, if JH induces nocturnal, dispersal flight in G. firmus, a high JH titer might only be required during a portion of the 24-h daily cycle. Trumbo et al. (1995) reported that an insect behavior can be regulated by a rapid rise in the JH titer over several hours. Topical application of JH or the JH analogue, methoprene, caused degeneration of flight muscles in several cricket species, including G. firmus (Table 3; Pener 1985; Tanaka 1994; Zera et al. 1998). Thus, the JH titer cannot be elevated for a prolonged period of time in these insects without causing loss of flight capability. Finally, when LW, adults lost flight ability by histolysis of flight muscles (i.e., were transformed into LWs), the diurnal fluctuation in the JH titer was also abolished (Figs. 2, 3). In G. firmus, a cyclic JH titer is clearly correlated with flight capability.

The proximate mechanisms regulating the differences among the morphs in the temporal fluctuation of the JH titer must involve morph-specific activation of hormone biosynthesis and/or degradation. In the LW, morph, activities of the JH degrading enzymes, JH esterase and JH epoxide hydrolase, do not differ between the A.M. and P.M. (A. J. Zera, unpublished data). Thus, the rise in the JH titer in the LW, morph most likely occurs by a rapid, morph-specific increase in JH biosynthesis, rather than a decrease in JH degradation. Recent measurements in one pair of LW-selected and SW-selected lines have shown that, by 4:00 A.M. of days 6 and 8, the JH titer in the LW, morph had dropped to levels as low as those measured at 8:00 A.M. of days 5 and 7 (A. J. Zera, unpublished data). This not only suggests that the temporal fluctuation in the JH titer in the LW, morph is circadian but also indicates that there is a rapid decrease in the JH titer during a 6–8-h period. This decrease in the JH titer likely involves a rapid, morph-specific activation of JH degradation, sequestration, or excretion.

**JH Titer and the Endocrine Regulation of Life Histories**

The endocrine causes of life-history variation and trade-offs is an important but poorly studied topic in life-history physiology (Ketterson and Nolan 1992, 1999; Zera et al. 1998; Zera and

---

**Figure 5.** Grand mean JH titers or percentage ovarian masses for LW, and SW genotypes. Bars represent SEs. Each grand mean is based on the three mean values presented in Figure 3. Symbols and morph designations are the same as those in Figure 2.
Harshman 2001). Before this study, information on the endocrine basis of life-history variation has come from a few behavioral studies of vertebrates in which phenotypic differences in the titers of hormones, such as testosterone, have been documented between individuals that differ in behaviors important to reproductive success (Moore 1986; Marler and Moore 1988; Ketterson and Nolan 1992, 1999; Sinervo et al. 2000). Results of hormone manipulation have buttressed the argument that these correlations represent causal relationships (Marler and Moore 1988; Ketterson and Nolan 1992, 1999).

This study is the first to document that naturally occurring, genetically based variation in the titer of a hormone is correlated with a key physiological component of early fecundity (ovarian growth). However, the functional significance of this correlation in *G. firmus* is not firmly established. The uncertainty results from the diurnal fluctuation in the JH titer in the LW, morph but not the SW morph, which caused the sign of the correlation between the JH titer and ovarian mass to change from positive in the A.M. samples to negative in the P.M. samples (Figs. 6, 7; Table 4). Results of JH titer manipulation in this study (Table 3) and other studies (Pener 1985; Tanaka 1994; Zera et al. 1998), together with a large body of information on JH endocrinology (Kerkut and Gilbert 1985; Nijhout 1994), all strongly support the notion that JH positively affects ovarian growth in insects in general and in *G. firmus* in particular. Thus, we tentatively conclude that the positive correlation between the JH titer and ovarian mass in the A.M. samples reflects the functional relationship between these two traits, while the negative correlation in the P.M. samples represents a spurious, nonfunctional association.

If JH positively affects ovarian growth, how can LW, females, which have the highest JH titer of the three morphs in the evening (Figs. 2, 3), also have the smallest ovaries of the three morphs? One possibility is that the JH titer might be elevated

### Table 3: Effect of the juvenile hormone analogue, methoprene, on flight-muscle histolysis and ovarian growth in *Gryllus firmus*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morph</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Ovarian Mass</th>
<th>Flight-Muscle Mass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW&lt;sub&gt;f&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormone treated:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW&lt;sub&gt;f&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>9.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Number values represent the number of LW, females with large flight muscles and small ovaries or LW, females with small, histolyzed flight muscles and large ovaries on day 5 of adulthood; methoprene had been applied on days 1 and 3 of adulthood to LW females. Ovarian mass and flight-muscle mass values represent the mean (±SEM) masses of both ovaries (as percentage whole-body wet mass) or thoracic muscles (dorso-longitudinal and dorsoventral muscles, as percentage whole-body wet mass).

### Table 4: Spearman phenotypic correlations between the juvenile hormone titer, ovarian mass, and flight-muscle phenotype in *Gryllus firmus* measured in the morning (A.M.) and evening (P.M.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Variable</th>
<th>LW&lt;sub&gt;f&lt;/sub&gt; and LW&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt; Morphs</th>
<th>LW&lt;sub&gt;f&lt;/sub&gt; and LW&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt; Morphs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JH titer</td>
<td>.37&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt; (-.23&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>.27&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt; (-.14 NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight muscle</td>
<td>-.80&lt;sup&gt;<strong>&lt;/sup&gt; (-.78&lt;sup&gt;</strong>&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>-.55&lt;sup&gt;<strong>&lt;/sup&gt; (-.64&lt;sup&gt;</strong>&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. LW<sub>f</sub> = long-winged morph with fully developed flight muscles; LW<sub>b</sub> = long-winged morph with underdeveloped flight muscles; LW<sub>f</sub> = long-winged morph with histolyzed flight muscles. Correlations of A.M. samples are outside parentheses, while P.M. correlations are inside. N = 126 for the LW<sub>f</sub> and SW sample; N = 84 for the LW<sub>b</sub> and LW<sub>f</sub> sample; NS = nonsignificant. Probabilities were corrected for estimates of multiple correlations from the same sample using Table Y of Sokal and Rohlf (1969).

<sup>*</sup> In the sample of LW<sub>f</sub> and LW<sub>b</sub> females, long wings is perfectly correlated with fully developed flight muscles (see "Material and Methods," and Zera et al. [1997] for additional background on morphs). Thus, this correlation also measures the correlation between ovarian mass and wing length.

<sup>**</sup> P < 0.05.

<sup>***</sup> P < 0.01.
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reproduction and (2) the regulation of the trade-off between ovarian growth and flight-muscle maintenance. For many decades, an elevated JH titer has been thought to be a key factor controlling the enhanced reproduction of the flightless morph in wing and flight-muscle polymorphism (Southwood 1961; Wigglesworth 1961; Hardie and Lees 1985; Pener 1985; Nijhout 1994, 1999; Zera and Denno 1997; Zera et al. 1998; Zera 1999). However, only recently has the JH titer been compared between adult wing or flight-muscle morphs (Cisper et al. 2000). This previous study of *G. firmus* was done before there was knowledge of the morph-dependent temporal fluctuation in the JH titer in this species, and titers were only measured during a portion of the photophase. Results of the JH manipulation experiment (Table 3), in combination with the in vivo titer measurements (Figs. 2, 3, 5), clearly implicate variation in the JH titer as a cause of the difference in ovarian growth between the morphs. However, as discussed above, these studies also show that other factors must be involved. The difference in

for an insufficient period of time to elicit ovarian growth (by 4:00 A.M., the JH titer has returned to the low level observed in the previous morning; A. Zera, unpublished data; see above). Alternatively, JH antagonists might be produced, titers of other required hormones might be reduced, or JH receptors in the ovaries and flight muscles might be reduced in LW females during the period of time when the JH titer is elevated. These results clearly show that the endocrine regulation of life-history traits can be more complex than variation in the titer of a single hormone regulating variation in a life-history component such as ovarian growth (Zera 1999).

**JH and the Regulation of Dispersal Polymorphism**

Results obtained in this study bear on two central issues in dispersal polymorphism: (1) the regulation of morph-specific
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Figure 6. Scatterplots of untransformed JH titers and percentage body mass due to both ovaries for individual LW, LW, and SW females of *Gryllus firmus* measured early (A.M.) or late (P.M.) in the photophase. Symbols and morph designations are the same as those in Figure 2.
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Figure 7. Scatterplots of mean JH titers (log JH titer + 1; see "Material and Methods") and mean ovarian masses for the three LW and three SW genotypes of *Gryllus firmus*. Symbols are the same as in Figure 6. Lines are results of linear regression included to emphasize the change in the sign of correlations between A.M. and P.M. samples. Each mean JH titer and ovarian mass is the least squares mean of values obtained on days 5 and 7 of adulthood.
ovarian growth between LW, and SW morphs of G. firmus is not exclusively the result of a consistently elevated JH titer in the flightless morph and a consistently reduced JH titer in the flight-capable morph, as postulated by the classic JH–morph reproduction hypothesis (Zera and Denno 1997).

Histolysis of flight muscles occurs commonly in reproductive females of many insect species and is thought to be largely responsible for the elevated reproductive output of flightless females (Johnson 1969; Pener 1985; Dingle 1996; Zera and Denno 1997; Zera et al. 1997, 1998). For decades, JH has been postulated to be the main regulator of this trade-off, with a high hemolymph JH titer enhancing ovarian growth and promoting muscle histolysis and a low JH titer promoting the opposite effects (Johnson 1969; Harrison 1980; Pener 1985; Zera and Denno 1997). All previous discussion of this topic has been based on the results of experimental manipulation of the JH titer (Pener 1985; Tanaka 1994; Zera and Denno 1997). This study is the first to directly test this hypothesis by measuring the in vivo JH titer in LW morphs of G. firmus that differ in both muscle histolysis and ovarian growth (LW, and LW, morphs; Figs. 2, 3, 6; Table 4). Results of this test are not definitive; the JH titer in the flight-capable LW, morph is either higher or lower than in the flightless LW, morph, depending on the time of day (i.e., photophase). The JH titer is likely involved in the regulation of the trade-off between flight-muscle retention and ovarian growth in the LW, and LW, morphs, but others factors must also be involved.

Implications for Models of Complex Polymorphism Models of JH-mediated caste, morph, or phase specialization often postulate that the JH titer above or below some threshold plays an important role in regulating the expression of alternate suites of coadapted traits (Hardie and Lees 1985; Zera and Tiebel 1989; Nijhout 1994, 1999; Zera and Denno 1997; Zera et al. 1998). Results of this study indicate that it may be necessary to expand these models to incorporate morph-specific temporal fluctuation in JH titers as an important aspect of the endocrine regulation of morph specialization. The ecdysteroid titer and activity of two JH-degrading enzymes did not vary during the day in a morph-specific manner in G. firmus (A. J. Zera, unpublished data). Thus, the morph-specific temporal variation in the JH titer in G. firmus might be limited to one or a few endocrine traits. On the other hand, diurnal variation is known for a wide variety of important dispersal and reproductive traits that differ between morphs or castes of various complex polymorphisms (e.g., flight, oviposition, and various behaviors involved in courtship and mate attraction; Johnson 1969; Saunders 1982; Pener 1985; Walker 1986; Strambi et al. 1997). Thus, endocrine studies of complex polymorphisms need to take into account the possibility that important regulatory differences between morphs may have a strong diurnal component.

Acknowledgments

Research reported here was supported by grant IBN 9507388 from the National Science Foundation.

Literature Cited


