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Research questions

- Influence of U.S. foreign policy on:
  - Anti-trafficking policies in different countries.
  - Anti-trafficking policies in the U.S.
  - Global and local discourse on human trafficking.

- Research conducted through in-depth interviews with various stakeholders, supplemented by media coverage and archives.

- Talk outline:
  - Crash course on the Trafficking in Persons Report.
  - Macro analysis of past reports.
  - Preliminary results from Israeli case study.
TVPA Minimal Standards

- Severe forms of trafficking should be prohibited.
- The punishment should:
  - Commensurate with that for grave crimes, such as forcible sexual assault.
  - Be sufficiently stringent to deter.
  - Adequately reflect the heinous nature of the offense.
- The government of the country should make serious and sustained efforts to eliminate severe forms of trafficking in persons.
(3 P’s: Prosecution, Protection, Prevention)
The Carrot

“The President is authorized to provide assistance to foreign countries [...] for programs, projects, and activities designed to meet the minimum standards for the elimination of trafficking, including:”

- Drafting of laws.
- Investigation and prosecution.
- Creation and maintenance of victim services facilities, programs, projects, and activities.
- Expansion of exchange programs and international visitor programs.
The Stick

“It is the policy of the United States not to provide nonhumanitarian, nontrade-related foreign assistance to any government that-

1) does not comply with minimum standards for the elimination of trafficking; and

2) is not making significant efforts to bring itself into compliance with such standards.“
Tier Definitions

- **TIER 1**
  Countries whose governments **fully comply** with the Trafficking Victims Protection Act’s (TVPA) minimum standards.

- **TIER 2**
  Countries whose governments **do not fully comply** with the TVPA’s minimum standards, **but are making significant efforts** to bring themselves into compliance with those standards.

- **TIER 3**
  Countries whose governments **do not fully comply** with the minimum standards **and are not making significant efforts** to do so.
Tier Definitions

- **TIER 2 WATCH LIST** (since 2004)
  Countries whose governments do not fully comply [...], but are making significant efforts [...] AND:
  
a) The absolute number of victims [...] is very significant or is significantly increasing; or

b) There is a failure to provide evidence of increasing efforts [...] from the previous year; or

c) The determination that a country is making significant efforts [...] was based on commitments by the country to take additional future steps over the next year.
Tier Rankings

![Bar chart showing tier rankings from 2001 to 2010.](image-url)
Tier 3 “Repeat Offenders”

(Plus 120 that were never ranked on 3rd tier)

Myanmar
Sudan
Cuba
North Korea
Saudi Arabia
Iran
Kuwait
Qatar
Syria
Venezuela
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Presidential Determinations

Starting in 2003, the President is required to make a determination as to all tier 3 countries:

- Withhold non-humanitarian non-trade related foreign assistance.
- Maintain assistance that promotes the purposes of the TVPA, “or is otherwise in the national interest of the United States.”
- “Promote” to Tier 2 - The government has come into compliance since the report (determined by Secretary of State).
Presidential Determinations

![Bar chart showing the distribution of presidential determinations from 2003 to 2010. The chart includes categories for denying TVPA specified assistance, partially denying TVPA specified assistance, continuing all TVPA specified assistance, and promoting to tier 2.](image)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Deny TVPA specified assistance</th>
<th>Partially deny TVPA specified assistance</th>
<th>Continue all TVPA specified assistance</th>
<th>“Promote” to Tier 2 (determined by the Secretary of State)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Cuba, Myanmar, North Korea</td>
<td>Liberia, Sudan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Belize, Bosnia and Herzegovina, DR, Georgia, Greece, Haiti, Kazakstan, Suriname, Turkey, Uzbekistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Cuba, Myanmar, North Korea</td>
<td>Equatorial Guinea, Sudan, Venezuela</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bangladesh, Ecuador, Guyana, Sierra Leone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Cuba, Myanmar, North Korea</td>
<td>Cambodia, Ecuador, Venezuela</td>
<td>Kuwait, Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>Bolivia, Jamaica, Qatar, Sudan, Togo, United Arab Emirates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Cuba, Myanmar, North Korea</td>
<td>Iran, Saudi Arabia, Sudan, Syria, Uzbekistan, Venezuela, Zimbabwe</td>
<td>Algeria, Bahrain, Malaysia, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Sudan, Uzbekistan</td>
<td>Belize, Laos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Cuba, Myanmar</td>
<td>Iran, North Korea, Syria, Venezuela</td>
<td></td>
<td>Equatorial Guinea, Kuwait</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Cuba, Myanmar, Syria</td>
<td>Iran, North Korea</td>
<td>Algeria, Fiji, Kuwait, Papua New Guinea, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Sudan</td>
<td>Moldova, Oman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Cuba, North Korea</td>
<td>Eritrea, Fiji, Iran, Myanmar, Syria, Zimbabwe</td>
<td>Chad, Kuwait, Malaysia, Mauritania, Niger, Papua New Guinea, Saudi Arabia, Sudan</td>
<td>Swaziland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Eritrea, North Korea</td>
<td>Cuba, DRC, DR, Iran, Kuwait, Mauritania, Myanmar, Papua New Guinea, Saudi Arabia, Sudan, Zimbabwe</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Countries in red font were ranked on 3rd tier 4 times or more)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Human Trafficking in Israel: General Characteristics

- Local exploitative industries:
  - Prostitution is not illegal, and is highly exploitative.
  - Exploitation of Palestinian workers in low-skilled jobs.

- During 1990s:
  - Influx of women from FSU into the local sex industry, at least in part by transnational organized crime networks.
  - Growing dependence on temporary migrant workers for low-skilled jobs.
Israel in the TIP Report

Penal Code amended to prohibit trafficking in women for the purpose of prostitution.

Penal Code amended to prohibit all forms of trafficking in persons, including involuntary servitude and slavery.
Factors affecting local policy

- (Perceived) scope of problem.
- Local pressure groups and political makeup.
- Financial considerations.
- International obligations (treaties, membership in organizations).
- U.S. pressure (mitigated by relations with the U.S.).
- Many other factors…
Benefits of TIP Report

- Best available tool for putting pressure on the political administration to:
  - Address the issue (discussion starter).
  - Include NGOs in the policy-making process.
  - Support and promote legislation (even though sanctions were never really on the table).
Also Good As a…

- Good source of information about what goes on in other countries.
- Tool to raise public awareness.
- Tool to pressure journalists to report on the issue.
- Self-monitoring tool (assessment cycle).
Disadvantages/Limitations

- Patronizing/condescending approach – generated antagonism.
- Was effective only because it gave push to existing local activities.
- Not as effective anymore. ("There is no more trafficking in Israel").
Thank you!

Questions?