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In situ enzymatic screening (ISES) of P,N-ligands for Ni(0)-mediated asymmetric intramolecular allylic amination

David B. Berkowitz*, Weijun Shen, and Gourhari Maiti

Abstract: An in situ enzymatic screening (ISES) approach to rapid catalyst evaluation recently pointed to Ni(0) as a new candidate transition metal for intramolecular allylic amination. This led to further exploration of chiral bidentate phosphine ligands for such transformations. Herein, a variety of P,N-ligands are examined for this Ni(0)-chemistry, using a model reaction leading into the vinylglycinol scaffold. On the one hand, an N,N-bis(2-diphenylphosphinoethyl)alkylamine (‘PNP’) ligand proved to be the fastest ligand yet seen for this Ni(0)-transformation. On the other, phosphinooxazoline (PHOX) ligands of the Pfaltz–Helmchen–Williams variety gave the highest enantioselectivities (up to 51% ee) among P,N-ligands examined.

1. Introduction

The surge of activity in combinatorial catalysis has led to a keen interest in catalyst screening methods. We have found that enzymes can be used to assist organic chemists in this regard, using an approach that we term in situ enzymatic screening (ISES), To demonstrate proof of principle for ISES, we chose to study transition metal (TM)-mediated intramolecular allylic amination. Specifically, the transformation of 1→2 was chosen, as it yields a protected vinylglycinol product, commensurate with our interest in vinylic amino acids as PLP enzyme inhibitors.

An initial screen of late TM’s for the transformation of 1→2 turned up Ni(0) as a good candidate for further development. Those studies also identified Ni(cod) as useful catalyst precursor and relatively electron rich and bidentate phosphines (i.e., dppe or dpdf) as excellent supporting ligands for this chemistry. The internal carbamate nitrogen nucleophile was found to perform best when outfitted with a PMP (4′-methoxyphenyl) or TMP (3′,4′,5′-trimethoxyphenyl) protecting group and when deprotonated with one equivalent of LiHMDS.

These findings raised the interesting prospect that one might be able to develop the first asymmetric allylic amination chemistry supported by Ni(0). Indeed, this turns out to be the case, with members of the Josiphos (Solvias) and BIPHEP (Roche) ligand families providing ee’s at the 75–82% level. This led to an enantioselective synthesis of L-vinylglycine, based on this new Ni chemistry.

Given these developments, it seemed a reasonable next step to screen bidentate ligands more broadly, for support of this chemistry. Herein, then, we report our findings on ISES screening across a range of P,N-ligands, followed by closer examination of the most promising hits under typical RB-flask conditions.
2. Results and discussion

The set of P,N-ligands chosen for the initial ISES survey is illustrated in Figure 1 and Figure 2. This set is bracketed by two ‘homonuclear’ bidentate reference ligands. The fastest P,P-ligand previously seen, DPPB 4, was included as a bis-phosphine reference ligand. For the other ‘bookend,’ we chose sparteine. Sparteine was seen as a reasonable choice for a ‘representative’ N,N-ligand as it represents one of the earliest chiral ligands ever examined for asymmetric allylic alkylation with palladium in pioneering work by Trost and Dietsch.14 Later, Togni et al. showed that sparteine indeed displays bidentate coordination in a π-allyl-Pd complex.15 Finally, the recent successes with sparteine as a chiral element in the Pd(II)-mediated oxidative kinetic resolution of secondary alcohols that have been registered by the groups of Sigman et al.16 and Stoltz et al.17 suggest that renewed attention should be paid to this chiral ligand for late transition metal chemistry.

The selected P,N-ligands themselves span a range of hybridization states on nitrogen, from sp$^3$ (amine nitrogen; ligands 5 and 6), to intermediate between sp$^2$ and sp$^3$ (aniline nitrogen, ligand 7), to sp$^2$ (oxazoline/imine nitrogen, ligands 8 and 9). All, in principle, offer the possibility for five- or six-ring bidentate chelation to nickel. Whereas, the PNP-ligand 5 has been relatively little studied heretofore,18 the other amine-based ligand, PPFA 6, was developed by Hayashi and Kumada in the 1970’s, and represents the first planar chiral P,N-ligand developed.19 It has been widely studied and has found early application in asymmetric Grignard cross-couplings with vinyl chloride.20

In the ISES assay (see Figure 3), turnover of substrate 1 implies loss of an ethyl carbonate leaving group, that following decarboxylation and protonation (perhaps at the organic/aqueous interface), leads to release of ethanol. The ethanol signal is diffusible and can be detected by the tandem action of yeast alcohol dehydrogenase and yeast aldehyde dehydrogenase in the reporting aqueous layer. This results in the formation of two molecules of NADH per EtOH detected. Catalysts that turn over the carbonate substrate more rapidly should lead to a greater rate of NADH formation in the aqueous layer. Several catalysts can be screened in parallel, using a UV/vis-spectrophotometer with a multichannel changer. The method is sensitive, since even 0.1 μmol of NADH in
approximately a 1 mL volume gives rise to a significant absorbance (~0.6) at 340 nm, the $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ for the 1,4-dihydronicotinamide chromophore of reduced pyridine nucleotide co-factors. This then allows for an approximate catalyst ranking, in terms of relative turnover rates.

For reactions to which it applies, the ISES method has the advantage of providing a rapid readout, as no aliquots need be drawn and no work-up is necessary, and the readout is semi-continuous. Another important advantage is that one need not modify the substrate by installing a chromophore, for example. This avoids the synthetic manipulation entailed in such approaches and, more importantly, does not raise the spectre of potentially altered reactivity associated with structural modifications.

The actual UV/vis data obtained for P,N-ligands of classes 4–9 are shown in Figure 1 and the reporting rates are tabulated in Table 1. As noted, the DPPB ligand was the most effective ligand previously found to promote this Ni(0)-transformation (1→2), and so provides a useful calibration point. One notices immediately that two of the new P,N-ligand classes screened, namely the chiral-PNP ligand 5, and the PHOX ligand 8a, give much more significant ISES signals than the others.

Unfortunately, for this substrate, relatively slow rates were seen by ISES with the other P,N-ligand classes screened, including the ligands of Hayashi and Kumada 6, Buchwald 7 and Ellman 9, as well as sparteine. As can be seen from Table 1, a good correlation was seen between ISES rankings (10 min window, biphasic conditions) of the ligands screened and NMR conversions for the same ligands under RB flask conditions (10 min window, THF solvent).

The results for PNP-ligand 5 are striking, in terms of both the dramatic ISES rate seen, and the nearly complete conversion of 1 to 2 that is seen within 10 min of performing the reaction under standard conditions in THF (Table 1). This ligand accelerates this Ni(0) chemistry more effectively than any other ligand yet studied. Unfortunately, that catalytic power does not translate into any significant enantiodiscrimination, as 2 is obtained in essentially racemic form (chiral HPLC).

Ligand 5 has been previously shown to support the Pd(II)-mediated intramolecular hydroamination of 6-aminohexyne to 2-methyl-1,2-dehydropiperidine.18a Tridendate coordination to palladium was proposed in that work, though a monomer–dimer equilibrium was also postulated to rationalize the NMR data seen. Perhaps more striking, Bianchini et al.[18b] & [18c] have found that ligand 5 promotes the Ir(I)-mediated enantioselective transfer hydrogenation of $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated ketones, in up to 54% ee. Interestingly, these workers succeeded in crystallizing both the (cod)Ir(I)-hydride-5 complex and an Ir(III)-5-dihydride complex. The former exhibits bidentate P,P-coordination to the iridium(I) center, whereas the latter clearly shows P,N,P-tridentate coordination to the Ir(III) center (Fig. 3).

Figure 3. Potential tridentate Ni-coordination for ligand 5.

This latter observation raises the interesting possibility that 5 may exhibit tridentate coordination to the nickel center, either at the Ni(0) or Ni(II) oxidation state along the reaction coordinate, for the conversion of 1 to 2. Given the impressive rate seen here, future experiments are warranted to assess whether rate acceleration correlates well with this 'tridentate' ligand motif, and to establish whether alterations in the chiral scaffold within this PNP class can lead to appreciable asymmetric induction in this Ni(0) chemistry.

Given the modular nature of the PHOX ligands, and the potential for readily introducing a range of chiral directing groups into these ligands, we were particularly intrigued that the ISES screen identified 8a as one of the better promoters of this Ni(0)-mediated intramolecular amination chemistry. It was decided to expand upon this lead result. A fami-
ily of PHOX ligands 8a–g was assembled for a more focused screen, in a second round of ISES.

It was found that O-ethyl 2-fluorobenzimidate tetrafluoroborate salt 11, a reagent introduced recently by Busacca et al. for the synthesis of phosphinoimidazoline ligands,26 provides an excellent nucleus for the assembly of a focused array of PHOX ligands. The approach taken is illustrated in Scheme 1, and involves initial condensation of a chiral amino alcohol with 11, followed by introduction of the desired diarylphosphino group by nucleophilic aromatic substitution upon the resulting (2-fluoro)aryloxazoline.27

Five different chiral amino alcohols were chosen, derived from D-phenylglycine (a), D-valine (b), L-phenylalanine (c), L-tert-leucine (d) and (1R,2S)-1-amino-2-indanol (e), respectively. The corresponding diphenylphosphinooxazolines, 8a–e, all known ligands, were examined for promotion of the title transformation by ISES. The average reporting rates observed and actual UV traces are presented in Table 2 and Figure 4, respectively.

Table 2. An ISES examination of chiral PHOX ligands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>Ar</th>
<th>R₁(R₂)</th>
<th>ΔO.D.₃₄₀[1]</th>
<th>Conv. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(R)-8a</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>137 ± 22d</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(R)-8b</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>i-Pr</td>
<td>166 ± 20</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(S)-8c</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Bu</td>
<td>198 ± 15d</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(S)-8d</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>t-Bu</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(3aR,8aS)-8e</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Indb</td>
<td>224 ± 18</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(3aR,8aS)-8g</td>
<td>3,5-Xyl</td>
<td>Indb</td>
<td>100 ± 11</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(R)-9</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>t-Pr</td>
<td>18 ± 6</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Conditions for the biphasic ISES screen (YADH = yeast alcohol dehydrogenase and YADH = yeast aldehyde dehydrogenase) as described in Note 25.

*bObs’d rates (10min) of NADH formation in units of ΔO.D.₃₄₀min⁻¹ (Fig. 4). ISES slopes are reported as mean ± SD (duplicate runs) unless otherwise indicated.

*cReaction conditions: 67mM I, 10mol% Ni(cod), 10mol% ligand, LiHMDS (1 equiv), THF, rt, 10min. Product:educt ratio estimated by NMR following work-up.

dThis slope is the average of four runs.

*eThe chiral element here is the oxazoline derived from (1R,2S)-1-amino-2-indanol.

*fThis is Ellman’s ligand, bearing the (R)-t-butyldimethylimine chiral element.
Given the impressive rate displayed by ligand 8e, bearing the aminoindanol chiral scaffold, it was selected for further modification. Thus, alteration of the phosphide nucleophile employed in the second module of the synthesis (Scheme 1), permitted for the facile introduction of either a bis(p-toluyl)phosphino group or a bis(3,5-xylyl)phosphino group, to give the previously undescribed ligands 8f and 8g, respectively.27

While 8e and 8f showed comparable rates, 8g showed somewhat attenuated reactivity for the model reaction. Nonetheless, all of the PHOX ligands screened showed respectable ISES rates and clearly supported this Ni(0) chemistry better than even the closely related ligand 9 (Fig. 4). Given these observations, it was decided to examine this ligand set further, under standard reaction conditions, over more extended periods of time, with purification of the product 2 and evaluation of its enantiomeric purity by chiral HPLC. The results are collected in Table 3.

Several trends are apparent. With few exceptions, the inclusion of base improves both rate and yield. In some cases (i.e., entries 5–8), yields in the 60–80% range are attained. However, base generally leads to a lower ee in the product than that observed in the, albeit incomplete, reactions carried out in the absence of base. In the best cases, ees in the 48–51% range are seen for the i-Pr, t-Bu, and aminoindanol-based directing groups (entries 16 and 18–20). One can drive these base-free reactions to higher conversions, and maintain these ees, by adding a second portion of Ni(0) and ligand (i.e., entry 19), if desired.

### Table 3. RB flask results: Ni(0)-PHOX-mediated cyclizations of 1 to 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Ee (%)</th>
<th>Config</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(R)-8a</td>
<td>LiHMDS</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(R)-8b</td>
<td>LiHMDS</td>
<td>41(74)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>(R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(S)-8c</td>
<td>LiHMDS</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(S)-8d</td>
<td>LiHMDS</td>
<td>27(46)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>(S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(3a)R8aS)-8e</td>
<td>LiHMDS</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(3a)R8aS)-8f</td>
<td>NaHMDS</td>
<td>66-82^f</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>(R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(3a)R8aS)-8f</td>
<td>NaHMDS</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>(R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(3a)R8aS)-8f</td>
<td>KHMDS</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(3a)R8aS)-8g</td>
<td>LiHMDS</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>(R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(R)-9</td>
<td>LiHMDS</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>(R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>(3a)R8aS)-8f</td>
<td>NaH</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>(R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(3a)R8aS)-8f</td>
<td>Na2CO3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>(R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>(3a)R8aS)-8f</td>
<td>KO-t-Bu</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>(R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>(3a)R8aS)-8f</td>
<td>K2CO3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>(R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15^b</td>
<td>(R)-8a</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16^b</td>
<td>(R)-8b</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>(R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17^b</td>
<td>(S)-8c</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18^b</td>
<td>(S)-8d</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>(S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19^b</td>
<td>(3a)R8aS)-8e</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>40(69)^f</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>(R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>(3a)R8aS)-8f</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>(R)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Reaction conditions: 67 mM 1, 10 mol% Ni(cod)2, 10 mol% ligand, base (1 equiv), THF, rt, overnight.
^b Yields reflect isolated pure product, following chromatography.
^c HPLC with a chiral stationary phase was used to determine ee [Chiralcel OD; hexane-i-PrOH (80:20)]. ND = Not determined.
^d Absolute configuration established by correlation of the second-eluting peak of 2 with l-phenylglycin.
^e The yields in parentheses reflect runs in which a second portion of Ni(cod)2 and ligand were added at t = 2 h.
^f Range for two runs.
^g Nominally, 3 equiv base were employed here, though the base was not completely soluble.
^h These runs employed a Ni:L ratio of 1:2.

### 3. Conclusions

Recently, the ISES approach to catalyst screened uncovered conditions (model substrate 1, N-PMP protecting group, LiHMDS base, Ni(cod2) catalyst precursor) that were particularly conducive to Ni(0)-mediated allylic amination chemistry.2 The pattern of ligand performance initially found set the stage for the identification of the first asymmetric such transformation with chiral bidentate phosphine ligands (1→2 in 88% yield and 75% ee with MeO-BIPHEP).26 This prompted us to screen other classes of bidentate ligands, such as the P,N-array examined here. This has led to the discovery of the ‘fastest’ ligand yet uncovered for the title transformation; namely PNP-ligand 5. We also find that PHOX ligands 8b and 8d–g promote this chemistry with ee’s up to 51%, though conversion remains an issue here.

Finally, we note that imidate salt 11 provides a very convenient and modular vehicle into the PHOX ligand class. This approach allowed for the efficient synthesis of the parent 1-amino-2-indanol-based PHOX ligand, 8e, as well as two new congeners thereof, 8f and 8g.27 Whereas ligand 8e remains incompletely studied,28 though Wiese and Helmchen have examined allylic substitutions with Pd here,28c ligands 8f and 8g are new. All three ligands appear to have promise when compared to the other PHOX ligands surveyed. Future studies will exploit this modular ligand synthesis, as substrate and catalyst structure are further varied.
In this light, it is perhaps useful to survey the limited but emerging landscape of catalytic, asymmetric Ni(0)-mediated C–C bond forming reactions, with an eye toward PHOX ligand performance. Interestingly, PHOX ligands (i) perform poorly in Mori’s R₂Zn-initiated carboxylative bis-diene cyclizations,29 (ii) provide modest ee’s in Uemura’s allylic substitutions involving hard RMGX or arylboronate-ate nucleophiles,30 and (iii) perform either brilliantly (high conversions and ee’s with dinaphthothiophenes) in Hayashi’s Grignard-based fused arylthiophene ring openings, depending on subtle nuances of substrate structure.31 This suggests that further exploration of PHOX-based Ni(0)-allylic amination chemistry across a greater expanse of substrate space may reap dividends.
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