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Bacterial Mosaic, a New Corynebacterial Disease of Wheat

RANDALL R. CARLSON and ANNE K. VIDAVER, Department of Plant Pathology, University of Nebraska, Lincoln 68583-0722

ABSTRACT

Bacterial mosaic is a foliar disease of wheat; characteristic symptoms are small yellow lesions, more or less uniformly distributed over the leaf. It was discovered in Nebraska in the spring of 1976. By 1979, the pathogen was distributed over an 800-km range and had been isolated from 16 cultivars of winter wheat. The pathogen is Corynebacterium michiganense subsp. tessellarius, a Gram-positive coryneform. Wheat was the only host that showed symptoms after inoculation. The pathogen reached high population levels (>10<sup>6</sup> colony-forming units per gram of fresh weight) without apparent symptoms in tomato and in six of nine grainaceous plants in greenhouse tests.

Table 1. Wheat cultivars from which bacterial mosaic pathogen was isolated and estimated (Carlson & Vidaver 1982). This report described the symptomatology, population levels in natural and artificial infections, geographic distribution, and potential host range of the pathogen. The host specificity of the bacterial mosaic pathogen was compared with that of C. michiganense subsp. michiganense (Smith 1910) Jensen 1934 and C. michiganense subsp. nebraskense (Vidaver & Mandel 1974) Carlson & Vidaver 1982, two closely related pathogens of tomato and corn, respectively.

The other pathogens of wheat in the genus Corynebacterium, C. rathayi (Smith 1913) Dowson 1942, C. iranicum (ex Scharif 1961) Carlson & Vidaver 1982, and C. triticic (ex Hutchinson 1917) Carlson & Vidaver 1982, cause diseases characterized by gumming of the inflorescences. They were shown to be distinct from C. michiganense subsp. tessellarius by polyacrylamide gel analysis of cellular proteins (3). Some preliminary results have been presented elsewhere (1,2).

Materials and Methods
Isolation of the pathogen. Eighty isolates of the bacterial mosaic pathogen were obtained from winter wheat cultivars (Table 1) collected from Nebraska and Iowa during a 4-yr period (1976-1979). Isolations were made from leaf material using a direct puncture method (5, as modified in 16), or, more commonly, by grinding tissue in a sterile mortar containing 1 ml of 0.0125 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.1. Dilutions of the resulting slurry were made in phosphate buffer and plated on either CNS, a medium developed for the isolation of C. michiganense subsp. nebraskense (7), or NBY, a nutrient broth, yeast extract medium (14). They were then incubated at 25-28°C until orange colonies were visible, usually about 4-7 days.

Media and cultures. Bacterial cultures were grown on either NBY or CNS. We used strains C. michiganense subsp. tessellarius 77113, 77143, 78181; C. michiganense subsp. nebraskense CN76-1; and C. michiganense subsp. michiganense 14-4. Cultures of C. michiganense subsp. tessellarius have been submitted to the American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD, and to the Plant Diseases Division Culture Collection, Auckland, New Zealand, for deposit and reference.

Host range. Plants (Tables 2 and 3) were inoculated by the partial vacuum (6) or sewing needle technique (15). The

Table 2. Population levels of Corynebacterium michiganense subsp. tessellarius in plant hosts 7-10 days after inoculation*
symptoms resulted when inoculated similar to the hypersensitive rust (cfu per corn was inoculated at the three-leaf stage, and one compound leaf of each tomato plant was inoculated when the plants were 6 wk old. Other plants were inoculated when about 15-20 cm tall. All plant experiments were done in a greenhouse maintained at 19 ± 3 C; no symptoms resulted when inoculated wheat was incubated at 15 or 25 C.

RESULTS
Symptomatology and host-parasite interactions. Yellow lesions with indefinite margins, typical of the disease, were found throughout the leaf to give the appearance of a mosaic (Fig. 1); hence, its common name. Individual lesions were similar to the hypersensitive rust reaction. The lesions could be found on any leaf of field-grown wheat, but competing foliar diseases could make bacterial mosaic symptoms difficult to detect; e.g., the mosaic symptoms were initially found on leaves also showing lesions caused by Pseudomonas syringae. Lesions never became water-soaked in either artificially inoculated or naturally infected wheat, nor was bacterial streaming from lesions observed.

After inoculation in the greenhouse, symptoms were seen in 3-5 days, and they did not spread to unoinoculated tissue. A severely infected leaf would turn brown and desiccate, such leaves could not be distinguished from naturally senescent ones. Disease development was consistent in wheat inoculated by vacuum infiltration but sporadic following inoculation using a sewing needle. Disease symptoms appeared in the field about the third week of May, just before heading; symptoms were not detectable in the fall or after the onset of leaf senescence. In the latter case, symptoms were obscured, but the pathogen could still be readily isolated from infected plants. Disease incidence and severity varied widely within fields.

Populations of $1 \times 10^3$ to $1 \times 10^8$ CFU/g of fresh weight were found in field-grown wheat when assayed on CNS medium. The pathogen was primarily internal, because immersion in 0.5% sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) for 3 min did not reduce cell numbers obtained from winter wheat leaves. Similar treatment of in vitro cells ($1 \times 10^8$ CFU/ml) with 0.05% NaClO reduced cell numbers more than 99.99%. Preliminary results showed that the bacterial mosaic pathogen was seed associated; infestation was probably external, because treatment with 0.5% NaClO killed the pathogen.

Geographic distribution. C. michiganense subsp. tessellarius was isolated from winter wheat grown in 17 counties of Nebraska and Iowa (Fig. 2). These sites ranged from western Nebraska to western Iowa, a distance of more than 800 km (500 miles).

Host range. The pathogen was isolated from 16 cultivars of winter wheat grown in either commercial fields or demonstration plots; these cultivars were

Table 3. Comparative population levels of related Corynebacterium pathogens in compatible and incompatible hosts inoculated by vacuum infiltration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subspecies</th>
<th>Tomato $^a$</th>
<th>Corn $^b$</th>
<th>Wheat $^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. michiganense subsp. michiganense</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>0.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. michiganense subsp. nebraskense</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. michiganense subsp. tessellarius</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Symptoms were not seen in tomato vacuum infiltrated with C. michiganense subsp. michiganense nor in corn vacuum infiltrated with C. michiganense subsp. nebraskense; wound inoculation with these pathogens produced reactions in their compatible hosts.

$^b$ Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) cultivar Macross surprise.

$^c$ Maize (Zea mays) cultivar Golden Cross Bantam.

$^d$ Wheat (Triticum aestivum) cultivar Centurk (CI 15075).

Fig. 1. Bacterial mosaic of wheat on leaves from plants inoculated by vacuum infiltration. The cultivars shown are (A) Payne, (B) Tam W-101, and (C,D) Centurk. Magnification is ×3 for A-C and ×4.5 for D. Control leaves are on the left in A–C. Note the indefinite margins of the lesions in D.
estimated to represent more than 87% of the 1977 Nebraska wheat acreage (Table 1). In greenhouse tests, the bacterial mosaic pathogen caused a typical disease reaction in the hard red winter wheat cultivars Centurk, Warrior, Scout, Gage, Payne, and Tam W-101; the hard red spring wheat cultivar Selkirk; and the durum wheat Ramsey. Pathogen populations in wheat ranged from 6 to 73 × 10^6 CFU/g of fresh weight (Table 2).

No symptoms were seen in other grasses (Table 2) when artificially inoculated, even when *C. michiganense* subsp. *tessellarius* populations reached high levels. Immediately after inoculation by vacuum infiltration, populations were about 5 × 10^7 CFU/g of fresh weight; populations up to 2 × 10^8 CFU/g of fresh weight were found in symptomless plants sacrificed and assayed 7–10 days later. Populations greater than 1 × 10^7 CFU/g of fresh weight, when recovered from asymptomatic plants, were considered evidence of latent infections. Grasses that supported latent infections of the bacterial mosaic pathogen were barley, oats, sweet corn, sudan grass, wild rye, and smooth brome. Teosinte, sorghum, and shattercane did not support high populations of the pathogen.

In another study, tomato, sweet corn, and winter wheat were vacuum infiltrated with *C. michiganense* subsp. *michiganense*, *C. michiganense* subsp. *nebraskense*, or *C. michiganense* subsp. *tessellarius* in all host–pathogen combinations (Table 3). Populations of incompatible pathogens were always less than the population of the compatible pathogen in each host; eg, the *C. michiganense* subsp. *tessellarius* population in wheat was much higher than the population of either *C. michiganense* subsp. *michiganense* or *C. michiganense* subsp. *nebraskense* in wheat. Wheat showed no symptoms when inoculated with either of the incompatible pathogens, and neither corn nor tomato developed symptoms when inoculated with the bacterial mosaic pathogen.

**DISCUSSION**

Bacterial mosaic is a disease of wheat caused by *Corynebacterium michiganense* subsp. *tessellarius*; it is the second plant disease caused by a *Corynebacterium* to be discovered in Nebraska in recent years. The other disease, Goss's bacterial wilt and blight of corn, is caused by a very closely related bacterium, *C. michiganense* subsp. *nebraskense*. One possible explanation for this coincidence is that our routine isolation medium, NBY, supports better *Corynebacterium* growth than some media used in other laboratories; detection of *Corynebacterium* pathogens is thus more probable. In the course of these studies, we also isolated an orange-pigmented bacterium from a native grass showing symptoms resembling bacterial mosaic; it was indistinguishable from *C. michiganense* subsp. *tessellarius* and *C. michiganense* subsp. *nebraskense* by polyacrylamide gel analysis of cellular proteins, but it was not pathogenic to wheat or corn (Carlson & Vidaver, unpublished data).

All wheat cultivars tested showed typical disease symptoms when inoculated with the bacterial mosaic pathogen. The ability of *C. michiganense* subsp. *tessellarius* to establish symptomless infections in plants other than wheat was an unexpected result. We do not know whether these latent infections are significant in natural disease progression, serving as a pathogen reservoir or as an overwintering stage. Latent infections by other phytopathogenic bacteria were reviewed by Hayward (8).

The absence of wilting in diseased plants and the inability of the disease to spread to un inoculated tissue in greenhouse tests suggest that the bacterial mosaic pathogen is not a vascular parasite. The lack of bacterial streaming from lesions may be a consequence of the pathogen populations in wheat leaves; other *C. michiganense* subspecies tested had higher populations in their natural hosts (see Table 3) and readily streamed from lesions. The host specificities of *C. michiganense* subsp. *tessellarius*, *C. michiganense* subsp. *michiganense*, and *C. michiganense* subsp. *nebraskense* were reflected in their respective population levels in wheat, tomato, and corn following vacuum infiltration, even though typical disease reactions in corn and tomato occurred only after wound inoculation.

The economic importance of bacterial mosaic of wheat is unknown, and further studies are needed to assess its impact.
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