Myricetin inhibits *Escherichia coli* DnaB helicase but not primase
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1. Introduction

Helicase and primase are required during DNA replication because DNA is an antiparallel duplex and because no replicative DNA polymerase is able to initiate polymers de novo. Primase is a specialized DNA-dependent RNA polymerase that generates short oligoribonucleotide polymers de novo that can be elongated by DNA polymerase.\(^1, 2\) During DNA replication, primase initiates the leading strand synthesis at least once and the lagging strand synthesis many times. Even though all autonomous life forms store their genetic information in duplex DNA and use a primase to initiate leading and lagging strand DNA synthesis, the primases from archaea and eukaryotes are structurally unrelated to the primases from prokaryotes.\(^3, 4\) In \textit{Escherichia coli}, conditionally lethal mutations in the primase gene yield lethal phenotypes under the non-permissive conditions, demonstrating the essentiality of the enzyme.\(^5, 6\) The indispensable function of primase and the structural divergence of the eukaryotic and prokaryotic primases have led to the identification of the enzyme as a target for novel antibiotic development.\(^7, 8\)

The DnaG protein from \textit{E. coli} is the model eubacterial primase because its structure and function have been extensively characterized. It has been demonstrated that \textit{E. coli} DnaG primase is slow, binds ssDNA as a dimer, and that interaction with DnaB helicase stimulates its catalytic activity over 15-fold.\(^9–12\) \textit{E. coli} DnaG primase specifically initiates RNA primer synthesis complementary to the trinucleotide 5′-d(CTG)-3′ in vitro, and \textit{E. coli} Okazaki fragment initiation maps to a d(CTG) on the chromosomal template strand \textit{in vivo}.\(^13, 14\)

DnaB helicase from \textit{E. coli} is the model eubacterial helicase that unwinds duplex DNA at the replication fork so that the two strands can be replicated by the combination of primase and DNA polymerase.\(^15\) In \textit{E. coli}, conditionally lethal mutations in the dnaB gene yielded lethal phenotypes under the non-permissive conditions, demonstrating the essentiality of the gene product for replication elongation and initiation.\(^16–20\) During the initiation phase of replication, \textit{E. coli} DnaB helicase interacts with DnaA origin-binding protein, DnaC helicase loading protein, and primase.\(^21, 22\) During the elongation phase, dimeric DNA polymerase III is tethered to the helicase.
via its tau subunit and primase repeatedly and transiently interacts with the helicase to initiate lagging strand synthesis.23, 24 During the termination phase, the replication machinery is prevented from over-replicating the genome by the inhibitory interaction between the Tus protein and DnaB helicase.25 As the central hub of the replication machinery and given the structural divergence of the eukaryotic and prokaryotic fork helicases, DnaB is considered to be a novel target for antibiotic development.

High-throughput assays have been developed for helicase activity, primase activity, and helicase-stimulated primase activity to identify novel inhibitors of these two enzymes.7, 26–28 Primase activity assays have been used to identify several natural product inhibitors, such as a bicyclic macrolide, two phenolic saccharides, and a group of synthetic compounds identified from a series of virtual and real screens.8, 29, 30 The phenolic saccharides are not good leads because they inhibit primase activity through their ability to bind to ssDNA and thereby occlude primase. Helicase activity assays have identified inhibitors from among the known families of flavonoids and triaminotriazines.31, 32 These families of compounds inhibit many helicases and/or kinases.31–33

Flavonoids provide flavor and color to all parts of plants. Over 5,000 different flavonoids, including myricetin and quercetin (Figure 1), have been described and some of them have been tested for biological activity.34 Many flavonoids have anti-carcinogenic and antibiotic activities but the sites of action are known for only a few.35 One of the exceptions is that quercetin’s antimicrobial activity can be attributed in part to its inhibition of gyrase. Myricetin has been shown to have antimicrobial activity but it has not been possible to attribute its effect to any one target. Determining its target has been difficult because flavonoids tend to aggregate, adhere to the container surface, and immobilize the enzyme being assayed so that it is inactivated by a non-drug-like mechanism.36, 37 Nevertheless, careful analysis has shown that myricetin and quercetin inhibit a variety of DNA polymerases, RNA polymerases, reverse transcriptases, and telomerases.38–41

In the present study, it was discovered that DnaB helicase activity was 60 times more sensitive to myricetin than was primase activity. In fact, primase was the least myricetin-sensitive of all polymerases tested so far. The myricetin inhibition kinetics of the DnaB ATPase activity were consistent with simple noncompetitive inhibition with physiological amounts of the substrate ATP.

2. Results

The purpose of this study was to determine the extent to which myricetin was capable of inhibiting E. coli DnaB helicase, primase, and DnaB-stimulated primase activity. The results showed that DnaB helicase was much more sensitive to myricetin than was primase.

2.1. Myricetin inhibition of DnaB ATPase activity

After some preliminary experiments to establish the best range of concentrations, the inhibition of DnaB ATPase activity was analyzed as a function of ATP and myricetin. In the absence of myricetin (Figure 2a and b), the ATP concentration dependence exhibited hyperbolic saturation kinetics with a \(K_M = 31 \mu M\) ATP and \(V_{max} = 2870 \text{ nM/s}\) (Table 1). These were similar to reported values.42, 43 Hyperbolic kinetics indicated that all of the ATP active sites were equal and non-interacting even though the enzyme has six identical subunits per functional complex. As the myricetin was increased to 12 \(\mu M\), the apparent \(V_{max}\) decreased 2.7-fold whereas the apparent \(K_M\) decreased 11-fold. The decrease in the apparent \(K_M\) was not consistent with competition between ATP and myricetin for the active site. Simple competitive inhibition would have increased the \(K_M\) according to the relationship of \(K_M' = K_M(1 + [I]/K_i)\), where \(K_M'\) is the apparent \(K_M\) and \(K_i\) is the median inhibition concentration. When myricetin was increased to 30 \(\mu M\), the apparent \(K_M\) and apparent \(V_{max}\) decreased by about the same amount indicating that the kinetic affinity for ATP was no longer being so dramatically affected. Higher myricetin concentration led to a continued decrease in apparent \(V_{max}\) but an increase in the apparent \(K_M'\), which was finally consistent with some small degree of competitive inhibition.

The decrease in apparent \(V_{max}\) suggested that it may be due to noncompetitive inhibition, in which the inhibitor is able to bind to both the free enzyme and the enzyme–substrate complex to create a “dead end” complex that is inactive. Simple noncompetitive inhibition decreases the \(V_{max}\) according to the relationship of \(V_{max}' = V_{max}/(1 + [I]/K_i)\), where \(V_{max}'\) is the apparent \(V_{max}\) and \(K_i\) is the median inhibition concentration.
The mathematical inversion of this equation \( \frac{1}{V'}_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{V_{\text{max}}} \left(1 + \frac{[I]}{K_i}\right) \) indicates that a plot of \( \frac{1}{V'}_{\text{max}} \) versus \([I]\) will be linear if it conforms to noncompetitive inhibition and that the slope and \(y\)-intercept can be used to determine the inhibition constant. When the data were so plotted, they yielded a linear relationship (Figure 2c circles) and a \(K_i\) of 10.0 ± 0.5 \(\mu\)M. Therefore, the decrease in enzyme activity as a function of myricetin at saturating ATP was due to noncompetitive inhibition.

To determine the inhibitor concentration that causes 50% inhibition, \(IC_{50}\), the ATPase activity was replotted versus myricetin concentration (Figure 2d). At the highest ATP concentrations, 150 \(\mu\)M (solid line) and 1 mM (dashed line), the data conformed to the inhibition equation: % activity = \(Y_{\text{max}} - Y_{\text{max}} [I]/(IC_{50} + [I])\). Fitting the 150 \(\mu\)M ATP data revealed that the \(Y_{\text{max}}\) was 2340 ± 50 nM/s and \(IC_{50}\) was 10.2 ± 0.6 \(\mu\)M myricetin with an \(R^2\) of 0.997. At 1 mM ATP, the \(Y_{\text{max}}\) was 2510 ± 110 nM/s and \(IC_{50}\) was 11.3 ± 1.6 \(\mu\)M myricetin with an \(R^2\) of 0.986. These values were statistically the same as the \(K_i\), showing that the dominant inhibition mechanism at high and saturating ATP was noncompetitive. An examination of the myricetin effect (Figure 2d) further showed that myricetin stimulated ATPase when its concentration was less than 12 \(\mu\)M and the ATP concentration was less than 10 \(\mu\)M. At these low non-physiological ATP concentrations (Figure 2d), the \(K_M\) effects (Figure 2c) indicate that myricetin binding to non-active sites was able to enhance ATPase activity by increasing the enzyme’s kinetic affinity for ATP more than its \(V_{\text{max}}\) decreases.

2.2. Myricetin inhibition of primase alone

The ability of myricetin to inhibit primase activity in the absence of DnaB was tested because it is an inhibitor of a variety of DNA polymerases, RNA polymerases, and reverse transcriptases.38–41 This was also an important control for the more physiologically relevant reaction of DnaB-stimulated primer synthesis. When myricetin was added to the reaction containing primase and its substrates, it barely inhibited in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 3). It was not possible to test higher myricetin concentrations due to myricetin’s low solubility. Even though the error in each measurement is about 5%, the same scale as the effect, fitting of the data to the

Table 1. Apparent Michaelis–Menten constants for *E. coli* DnaB helicase in the presence of myricetin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Myricetin ((\mu)M)</th>
<th>(V'_{\text{max}}) ((\mu)M/s)</th>
<th>(K'_M) ((\mu)M)</th>
<th>(R^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.87 ± 0.09</td>
<td>31 ± 3</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.64 ± 0.08</td>
<td>17 ± 3</td>
<td>0.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.07</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.05</td>
<td>4.5 ± 3.1</td>
<td>0.801</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
activity and much weaker than the low micromolar IC₅₀'s for mase activity 60 times weaker than it inhibited DNA polymerases, RNA polymerases, reverse transcriptases, and telomerases. It may be relevant that the structure of these cross-reactivities, the DnaB stimulation of primer synthesis could not fit a hyperbolic relationship so a line was drawn through the data to show the trend.

Inhibition equation revealed that the IC₅₀ was 700 ± 300 μM myricetin. The consequence was that myricetin inhibited primase activity 60 times weaker than it inhibited DnaB ATPase activity and much weaker than the low micromolar IC₅₀’s for DNA polymerases, RNA polymerases, reverse transcriptases, and telomerases. It may be relevant that the structure of bacterial primase differs from those palm-type nucleic acid polymerases in that it has a ‘cashew-shaped’ active site shared by no other polymerase family.

2.3. Myricetin inhibition of DnaB-stimulated primer synthesis

Primase and DnaB stimulate each other’s activities. Of these cross-reactivities, the DnaB stimulation of primer synthesis activity is the most relevant to DNA replication because DNA polymerase cannot synthesize DNA without the resulting primer. Therefore, primer synthesis by primase was measured with and without helicase as a function of myricetin concentration. Unfortunately, the controls without myricetin but with DMSO showed that 0.5–4% DMSO, which would be added with 10–40 μM myricetin as its solvent, completely inhibited the helicase stimulation when it was present at its most stimulatory ratio relative to the primase. This reduced level of primase activity was the same as primase alone and may reflect a slight stimulation effect by the myricetin (Figure 3). Therefore, DMSO may not cause primase and helicase to dissociate from each other, but rather the presence of helicase may alter the ability of primase to be inhibited by myricetin.

3. Discussion

Myricetin is one of the six major flavonoids, plant pigments found in many foods and beverages, that are nutritionally interesting primarily for their antioxidant activities. We show here that myricetin inhibits homohexameric E. coli DnaB with a Kᵢ and IC₅₀ of about 10 μM. The results also showed that myricetin would be an effective inhibitor at the log-phase-growth-phase ATP concentration of 3 mM. The inhibitory mechanism was noncompetitive, indicating that myricetin does not bind to the active site. Even though the mechanism for ATP hydrolysis by hexameric helicases is complex, the current model for DnaB is that ATP can bind and be hydrolyzed in the active site of every subunit. Binding of ATP to the remaining three subunits is weaker and negatively cooperative. For these reasons, it is interesting to speculate that myricetin is binding to the unfilled active sites to shut down the hydrolytic activity of the ATP-bound active sites.

The unwinding mechanism of the T7 gene 4 protein, a DnaB homolog, has been proposed to pass ssDNA from one subunit to another within the toroid as each adjacent subunit binds ATP, hydrolyzes it, and then releases ADP and phosphate. When myricetin concentration is low, it must bind at or near the ATP pocket of one subunit such that it stimulates ATP synthesis in adjacent subunits. When myricetin concentration is moderate or high, it must bind to more than one subunit to lock the homohexamer into an inactive complex.

Other studies have shown that myricetin inhibited RSF1010 RepA, a distant hexameric helicase homolog, with a Kᵢ = 23 μM and IC₅₀ = 50 μM. This is substantially weaker than the inhibition of DnaB helicase described here. The RepA myricetin inhibition kinetics also differed from those with DnaB in that they were competitive. Nevertheless, of the several flavonoids this group tested, myricetin was the most effective at inhibiting cellular growth. The minimal inhibitory concentration for E. coli was 0.50 mg/mL and for Bacillus subtilis was 0.25 mg/mL.

RSF1010 RepA differs from both bacterial DnaB and T7 gene 4 protein in that it lacks a distinct N-terminal domain. Specifically, DnaB is composed of three domains: the N-terminal domain (NTD or DnaBγ), the ATPase shoulder (DnaBβ), and the C-terminal hexamerization domain (DnaBγ). Bacterial primase binds to the linker that connects the DnaB NTD with its ATPase domain. Even though RepA interacts with RepC initiator protein and RepB’ primase, neither of those two enzymes is related by sequence to either E. coli DnaA or DnaG primase. Our results indicate that RSF1010 RepA is not a good model for DnaB perhaps because it lacks an N-terminal interaction domain.

There is only one crystal structure of inhibitory myricetin bound to one of its targets phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PIK3) (1E90.pdb). Myricetin and 13 other flavonoids are low micromolar competitive inhibitors of PIK3, which is involved in signal transduction. Given that they inhibited with similar affinities, it was remarkable to find that every one of the five co-crystallized flavonoids adopted a different orientation within the PIK3 ATP site. For instance, myricetin bound at a different angle than the structurally similar querceatin (1E8W.pdb). Nevertheless, since the ATPase sites of RepA and DnaB are smaller than the ATP binding site of PIK3, it
should be possible to design compounds that fit more snugly into their active sites.

New and emerging pathogenic bacteria and the rise in multi-drug-resistant bacterial strains are driving the need to discover novel antibiotics. Only a few DNA replication enzymes are targets for current antibiotics. Bacterial primase and DnaB helicase are novel targets that are beginning to generate lead compounds from among natural products. In future studies, we will use structural models of the DnaB helicase active site to help engineer myricetin’s structure to improve its selectivity and strength.

4. Materials and methods

4.1. Reagents

The E. coli DnaB helicase and primase were expressed and purified as described.8 Ribonucleoside triphosphates (NTPs) were from Promega (Madison, WI). Myricetin, magnesium acetate, potassium glutamate, Heps, and DTT were from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Myricetin was dissolved in ethanol and its stock concentration determined using an extinction coefficient at 378 nm of 20,400 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. It was then diluted into DMSO for use in the experiments.

4.2. Coupled ATPase assay

ATP hydrolysis by E. coli DnaB was measured by an NADH-coupled assay during which ATP was regenerated by the combined action of lactate dehydrogenase, pyruvate kinase, and their substrates.8, 61 The regeneration reaction caused the loss of one NADH for every ATP hydrolyzed in the primary reaction, such that ATP remained constant while NADH declined according to the ATPase activity of DnaB. NADH was continuously monitored at its absorption maximum of 340 nm, and its extinction coefficient plus stoichiometric factors were used to determine the moles ATP hydrolyzed per minute. The reaction buffer was 50 mM Hepes, 100 mM potassium glutamate, pH 7.5, 10 mM DTT, 400 μM NTPs, and 10 mM magnesium acetate.

4.3. Primer synthesis assay

Thermally denaturing HPLC analysis was used to determine the size, composition, and quantity RNA primers synthesized as previously described.62 Briefly, RNA primer synthesis reactions were performed in 100 μl nuclease-free water reactions containing 50 mM HEPES, 100 mM potassium glutamate, pH 7.5, 10 mM DTT, 400 μM NTPs, and 10 mM magnesium acetate. DnaB helicase (800 nM hexamer) and ssDNA template (2 μM) were preincubated to the reaction temperature before the addition of primase (2 μM). HPLC purified synthetic ssDNA 23-mer with the sequence 5’-d(CAGACACACACACACTGCACA)-3’ and with its 3’-end blocked by a C3 linker was obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). E. coli primase initiates from the d(CTG) tri-nucleotide underlined in the template sequence. After incubation at 30 °C for 1 h, the samples were desalted through a Microspin G-25 column (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ) and then separated by thermally denaturing HPLC on a WAVE HPLC Nucleic Acid Fragment Analysis System with a DNASEp HPLC column from Transgenomic (Omaha, NE).

4.4. Data-fitting

The data were fit to the indicated equations using Prism 4 for Macintosh (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).
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