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Relaxin receptors in hepatic stellate cells and cirrhotic liver

Robert G. Bennett, Shana R. Dalton, Katrina J. Mahan, Martha J. Gentry-Nielsen, Frederick G. Hamel, Dean J. Tuma

1. Introduction

Relaxin is a member of the insulin superfamily of polypeptide hormones [1]. The earliest characterized functions for relaxin are in pregnancy, where it inhibits uterine contraction, induces softening of the birth canal, and induces lengthening of the interpubic ligament, largely by inducing extracellular matrix remodeling [1,2]. Several studies have suggested that relaxin may be effective in the treatment of conditions characterized by excess collagen deposition, including pulmonary, renal, and dermal fibrosis [3–5]. Furthermore, relaxin-null mice were found to develop age-related fibrosis in the liver. The purpose of this study was to determine the expression of the relaxin receptors LGR7 and LGR8 in activated HSC. Production of cAMP was induced by treatment of HSC with relaxin, or the relaxin-related peptides InsL3 or relaxin-3, selective activators of LGR8 and LGR7, respectively. Quiescent HSC expressed low levels of LGR7 but not LGR8. During progression to the activated phenotype, expression of both receptors increased markedly. Immunocytochemistry confirmed the presence of both receptors in activated HSC. In normal rat liver, LGR7, but not LGR8, was expressed at low levels. In cirrhotic liver, expression of both receptors significantly increased. Neither receptor was detectable in normal liver by immunohistochemistry, but both LGR7 and LGR8 were readily detectable in cirrhosis. These results were confirmed in human cirrhotic tissue, with the additional finding of occasional perisinusoidal LGR7 immunoreactivity in non-cirrhotic tissue. In conclusion, the expression of LGR7 and LGR8 is increased with activation of hepatic stellate cells in liver injury.
Hepatic fibrosis is characterized by excess deposition of fibrillar collagen and other extracellular matrix components in the liver [10]. Central to this process is the activation of hepatic stellate cells (HSC) from a quiescent, retinoid-storing state to a myofibroblastic phenotype characterized by increased production of collagen and other extracellular matrix components, elevated proliferative rate, expression of α-smooth muscle actin (SMA), and increased responsiveness to cytokines [11,12]. Normally, HSC activation is an early event in the healing process after liver injury, and upon removal of the injurious stimulus there is a reduction of activated HSC, either through apoptosis or through a return to the quiescent state. Persistent injury results in sustained HSC activation, prolonged extracellular matrix deposition, fibrosis, and ultimately cirrhosis. Therefore, the reduction or reversal of HSC activation is an attractive therapeutic target in the treatment of hepatic fibrosis [10,13].

Relaxin also has effects in the liver. Relaxin treatment of rats caused acute changes in hepatic microcirculation, and induced morphological changes in sinusoidal myofibroblastic cells [14]. In addition, the relaxin-null mouse developed significantly increased liver weight [15], although it was not reported if this phenomenon was due to increased collagen. Relaxin has effects on liver cells, particularly HSC. Relaxin treatment decreased collagen synthesis, total collagen content and SMA protein level in HSC cultures [16,17]. Relaxin also decreased the expression of tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) and increased the expression of the rodent interstitial collagenase MMP-13 [16,17]. Finally, relaxin treatment of experimentally induced hepatic fibrosis resulted in decreased liver collagen [17], suggesting that relaxin treatment may benefit hepatic fibrosis in vivo.

Although many physiological functions of relaxin are being identified, the relaxin receptors have only recently been characterized at the molecular level. Two receptors, the leucine-rich G-protein-coupled receptors LGR7 and LGR8 are activated by relaxin [18]. Both are Gα coupled receptors, and therefore induce cAMP production through activation of adenyl cyclase [18]. While both receptors are activated by relaxin, there is specificity in their response to other relaxin family members. InsL3 (insulin-like peptide 3, also known as relaxin-like factor and Leydig cell insulin-like peptide) potently activates LGR8, but does not activate LGR7. A third hormone, relaxin-3 (also known as insulin-like peptide 7) can activate LGR7, but displays little activation of LGR8. Using these tools, the present study sought to determine the expression of the relaxin receptors in HSC and in diseased liver tissue.

2. Materials and methods

Purified porcine relaxin was generously provided by Dr. O. David Sherwood (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign), or was purchased from the National Hormone & Peptide Program (NIDDK). Human InsL3 and relaxin-3, and antibodies to LGR7 and LGR8 were purchased from Phoenix Pharmaceutical (Belmont, CA). Nycodenz was purchased from Accurate Chemical (Westbury, NY). Peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were from Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories (West Grove, PA). The antibodies directed against SMA and desmin were from Sigma (St. Louis, CA).

2.1. Cell preparation and culture

Rat HSC were isolated from SAS-Sprague-Dawley rats (400–500 g) using sequential perfusion with pronase and collagenase, followed by density gradient centrifugation in Nycodenz as described previously [19]. Cell viability was monitored by trypan blue exclusion, and HSC identified by their typical morphology and the presence of fat droplets under UV illumination. The HSC were maintained in DMEM/Ham’s F12 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin at 37 °C in a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere. The medium was changed 24 h after seeding and every 48 h thereafter. Within 7–10 days of culture, the HSC displayed the activated (myofibroblastic) phenotype identified by the loss of lipid droplets and the expression of SMA by immunoblotting or immunocytochemistry. At 2 days (quiescent HSC) or 12 days (activated HSC) of growth, the cells were used for experiments.

2.2. cAMP determination

HSC cultured for 2 days (quiescent) or 12 days (activated) were seeded into 96-well plates (30,000 cells/cm²), and grown for 24 h. Cells were serum-deprived 16 h in DMEM/Ham’s F12 medium with 0.1% BSA, then treated for 30 min with 0.5 mM isobutylmethyl xanthine (IBMX) to inhibit phosphodiesterase activity. Relaxin (0.01–100 nM), InsL3 or relaxin-3 (1 nM) was then added and cells were incubated for 30 min. Control cells received IBMX treatment as above, then received medium alone. Cells were lysed and intracellular cAMP concentrations determined using the Biotrak EIA kit (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Data were normalized to the protein level in the lysates as determined by the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL).

2.3. Real-time quantitative RT-PCR analysis of receptor expression

Total RNA was extracted from whole rat liver or cultured HSC using the PureLink system (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Reverse transcription and quantitative PCR were performed using TaqMan One-Step RT-PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The TaqMan intron-spanning primers and TAMRA-labeled probes sets used were Rn01495351_m1 (LGR7), Rn01412901_m1 (LGR8), and Hs00999901_s1 (18S rRNA). The expression level of LGR7 and LGR8 were determined relative to that of ribosomal 18S RNA using the comparative Ct method. The data are presented as the expression level relative to that of LGR7 in control liver or quiescent HSC.

2.4. Immunocytochemistry

HSC were grown on 8-well glass chamber slides for 12 days before use. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for

lung, kidney, heart, and skin [6–9]. Together, these findings suggest that relaxin may function as a protective agent against fibrosis outside the reproductive system.
Endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched by incubation in 3% H₂O₂ in PBS for 5 min, and non-specific binding was minimized by incubation in blocking buffer (3% BSA, 0.1% Tween-20 in PBS) for 30 min. Cells were then probed with monoclonal antibodies to SMA or desmin (1:400), or polyclonal antibodies to LGR7 and LGR8 (1:200) in blocking buffer for 1 h. Control cells were probed with appropriately diluted non-immune mouse IgG or rabbit serum. Immunoreactive proteins were detected using the ABC system (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA), and visualized with DAB staining. Cells were counterstained with hematoxylin, dehydrated, and mounted.

2.5. Experimental liver disease

All procedures involving animals conformed to The Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and were approved by the Omaha VA Subcommittee of Animals Studies (IACUC). Cirrhosis was induced in male Sprague–Dawley rats as described previously [20]. Briefly, rats were fed standard chow ad libitum and given water containing 1.5 mM phenobarbital for 12 weeks. When the rats reached approximately 200 g, CCl₄ was administered weekly by intragastric gavage, with an initial dose of 0.04 ml. Each subsequent dose of CCl₄ was adjusted in increments of 0.04 ml based on the percentage of body weight gained or lost within 2 days of the previous week’s CCl₄ treatment, according to the system devised by Proctor and Chatamra [21]. Control rats received water containing phenobarbital for the same period of time. At the end of treatment, samples of the livers were removed, fixed in formalin, and embedded in paraffin.

2.6. Immunohistochemistry

Sections of fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue from human specimens of cirrhotic or non-cirrhotic liver tissue, or rat liver as described above, were dewaxed, then subject to antigen retrieval using Antigen Unmasking Solution (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA) and autoclaving for 15 min. After a 5 min 3% H₂O₂ for 5 min to quench endogenous peroxidases, sections were blocked for 30 min blocking buffer. Sections were probed with rabbit polyclonal antibodies to LGR7 and LGR8 (1:200), or mouse monoclonal antibody to SMA (1:400), diluted in blocking buffer. To assess background reactivity, control slides were probed with appropriately diluted non-immune rabbit serum or mouse IgG. Immunoreactive proteins were detected using a biotin-free system (EnVision, Dako, Carpinteria, CA), and DAB staining. After counterstaining with hematoxylin, the slides were dehydrated and mounted.

2.7. Statistics

All data are expressed as mean ± S.E. Comparisons for differences between groups were made by ANOVA with Tukey’s post-test. p < 0.05 was interpreted as significant. Curve-fitting was performed using the Prism 4.0 software package (GraphPad Inc., San Diego, CA).

3. Results

Previous studies showed that HSC respond to relaxin treatment [16,17]. The two receptors activated by relaxin are LGR7 and LGR8, which are Gₛ-coupled receptors that induce cAMP production when activated by relaxin [18]. To determine if HSC possess functioning relaxin receptors, activated cells were treated with relaxin, and cAMP production was measured after 30 min. Relaxin treatment induced a dose-dependent elevation in cAMP (Fig. 1). The maximal increase was approximately three-fold, at 1 nM relaxin. To determine which receptors were present, selective agonists of relaxin receptors were used. Insulin-like peptide 3 (InsL3), also known as relaxin-like factor, is a selective activator of LGR8 [22]. Another relaxin family member, relaxin-3 (also known as InsL7), is a selective activator of LGR7 [23]. Treatment of activated HSC with either relaxin-3 or InsL3 significantly increased cellular cAMP to a level comparable to that induced by relaxin (Fig. 2). In contrast, treatment of quiescent cells resulted in no response to relaxin or InsL3, and a small but statistically insignificant increase in cAMP with relaxin-3 treatment. These data suggest that activated HSC are sensitive to relaxin receptor agonists, but that quiescent HSC have a reduced or absent response.

To determine examine relaxin receptor expression in HSC during the progression to the activated phenotype, isolated cells were cultured for 2 days (quiescent HSC) through 12 days (activated HSC). The levels of the transcripts for LGR7 and LGR8 were determined using quantitative real-time RT-PCR using specific primer and probe sets. All values were normalized to the level of 18S ribosomal RNA. In quiescent cells (cultured for 2 days), a relatively low level of expression of LGR7 was detected (Fig. 3). At day 4 the expression had increased four-fold, and this level was maintained through day 8. By days 10–12, a dramatic increase in LGR7 expression to more than 30-fold of the day 2 level was detected. In contrast,

Fig. 1 – Elevation of cAMP levels by relaxin. Activated HSC were serum-deprived overnight, then treated with 0.5 mM IBMX for 30 min. Cells were treated with relaxin at the indicated concentrations for 30 min. The concentration of cAMP was determined using an EIA kit (Amersham). Data are expressed as fmoles cAMP per mg total protein, mean ± S.E.M. for five determinations. * p < 0.001 compared with untreated cells.
LGR8 expression was undetectable until day 8. From days 10–12, a marked increase in LGR8 expression occurred, reaching a level 60-fold higher than the initial level of LGR7. These findings suggest that quiescent HSC express LGR7 at low levels, but that transdifferentiation of HSC to the myofibroblastic phenotype is accompanied by a marked increase in LGR7 and LGR8 expression.

To examine the expression of LGR7 and LGR8 proteins in HSC, activated cells were fixed and examined by immunocytochemistry for the presence of LGR7, LGR8, SMA, or desmin (Fig. 4). The cells showed positive staining for LGR7 and LGR8 compared to non-immune rabbit serum. As expected, SMA was readily detectable, while desmin expression was absent in

Fig. 2 – Relaxin family peptides induce cAMP production in HSC. Quiescent or activated HSC were serum-deprived overnight, then treated with 0.5 mM IBMX for 30 min. Cells were then treated with 1 nM relaxin, InsL3 or relaxin-3 for 30 min. The concentration of cAMP was determined using an EIA kit (Amersham). Data are expressed as fmoles cAMP per mg total protein, mean ± S.E.M. for three determinations. *p < 0.05 compared to untreated cells.

Fig. 3 – Relaxin receptor expression in HSC. Expression of LGR7 or LGR8 was determined by real-time TaqMan RT-PCR analysis of RNA from primary rat HSC. Cells were cultured for 2 days (quiescent) or up to 12 days (activated). Total RNA was analyzed by TaqMan using validated primer/probe sets for LGR7, LGR8, or 18S ribosomal RNA as an internal standard. Expression levels are relative to that of LGR7 transcript at 2 days. Data are from three independent experiments.

Fig. 4 – Immunocytochemical detection of LGRs in activated HSC. Primary HSC were cultured for 12 days, then were analyzed by immunocytochemistry using rabbit polyclonal antibodies directed against LGR7 or LGR8, or mouse monoclonal antibodies directed against SMA or desmin. Control cells were probed with non-immune rabbit serum or mouse IgG at the same dilution. Immunoreactive proteins were detected with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies and DAB. Original magnification: 100×.
the majority of cells, verifying the activated HSC phenotype [24]. Non-immune mouse IgG produced no positive signal. These data confirm at the protein level the gene expression data demonstrating that LGR7 and LGR8 are expressed by activated HSC.

Hepatic fibrosis is characterized by the transdifferentiation of HSC to the activated, myofibroblastic phenotype. To determine if relaxin receptor expression is increased in activated HSC in vivo, a rodent model of cirrhosis was used. Rats were treated for 12 weeks with CCl4 to induce cirrhosis, the total liver RNA was extracted and analyzed for LGR7 and LGR8 expression by quantitative real-time RT-PCR (Fig. 5). In control liver, a low level of LGR7 expression was detected. In three of four control liver specimens, LGR8 expression was completely undetectable. In contrast, cirrhotic livers had significantly elevated levels of both LGR7 and LGR8 transcripts. These data mirror the results using isolated HSC suggesting an induction of relaxin receptor expression with HSC activation.

To confirm the gene expression results at the protein level, fixed paraffin-embedded sections of control and cirrhotic rat tissue were analyzed by immunohistochemistry for presence of LGR7, LGR8, or SMA (Fig. 6). No immunoreactivity against LGR7 or LGR8 was detectable in normal tissue. In cirrhotic tissue, LGR7 and LGR8 immunoreactivity was readily detectable, predominantly at the outer edge of the fibrotic septae adjacent to hepatocytes. For comparison, SMA immunoreactivity was limited to vascular smooth muscle cells in control liver, but present in perivenular areas and in and around the fibrotic septae in cirrhotic tissue. Taken together, these data support the gene expression data showing increased LGR7 and LGR8 expression in diseased liver. The localization of relaxin receptors in diseased liver was similar, but not identical, to the pattern seen for SMA. Finally, similar results were obtained using human liver (Fig. 7). In cirrhotic tissue, both LGR7 and LGR8 immunoreactivity was detected in and around the fibrotic scar. No LGR8 immunoreactivity was detectable in non-cirrhotic tissue. However, some perisinusoidal cells did show positive immunoreactivity toward LGR7 in non-cirrhotic tissue (inset).

4. Discussion

Previous studies had demonstrated antifibrotic effects of relaxin on HSC. In addition to a decrease in total collagen levels, the rate of new collagen synthesis was reduced, and the level of type I collagen was decreased [16,17]. The level of the matrix metalloproteinase MMP13 was increased, while the levels of the tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases TIMP1 and TIMP2 were decreased, suggesting that relaxin induces a matrix degrading phenotype in activated HSC [16,17]. In addition, the level of SMA was reduced with relaxin exposure, raising the possibility that relaxin may have effects on portal hypertension [16]. Finally, relaxin treatment of experimental hepatic fibrosis resulted in a decrease in liver collagen content [17]. While these studies inferred the presence of receptors in the liver, the lack of identification of a distinct cognate receptor hampered the study of relaxin receptors in the liver. The identification of the relaxin receptors LGR7 and LGR8 [18] now allows these studies to be performed.

Treatment with relaxin-3 or InsL3, selective activators of LGR7 and LGR8, respectively, induced cAMP production to the same level as relaxin, which activates both receptors. This is possibly due to the fact that relaxin has approximately three-fold lower affinity for LGR8 than LGR7 [18]. However, some caution should be used with interpretation of these data. As discussed earlier, porcine relaxin activates both LGR7 and LGR8 from human, rat or mouse sources. But recent evidence suggests that mouse or rat relaxin activates only LGR7 in these species [25]. This was further illustrated by the inability of mouse relaxin to rescue the failed testicular descent in the InsL3-null mouse [26], and thus in the rodent, InsL3 alone is responsible for activation of LGR8. One study has suggested that human relaxin-3 may activate rat LGR8 [25], and therefore it is possible that the increased cAMP in HSC exposed to porcine relaxin, or human InsL3 and relaxin-3, may be due to activation of LGR8.

Two additional receptors for relaxin-3 have been identified, known as GPCR135 (RXFP3) and GPCR142 (RXFP4) [27,28]. Neither of these receptors is activated by relaxin or InsL3. GPCR142 is a pseudogene in rats, and therefore is uninvolved in our studies. Furthermore, both GPCR135 and GPCR142 are coupled to Gi, and therefore decrease cAMP production. Therefore, our data suggest that, in HSC, LGR7 and LGR8 are the receptors responsible for the increase in cAMP in response to relaxin receptor agonists.

The expression of both relaxin receptors was detectable in culture activated HSC, at both the mRNA and protein levels. The presence of the receptors provides a means for the relaxin effects previously seen in HSC. Relaxin induced an increase in the cAMP levels in these cells, consistent with activation of a Gi-coupled receptor pathway. Interestingly, increased cAMP has been implicated in the inhibition of markers of HSC activation. Treatment of HSC with stable analogues of cAMP, or agents that inhibit phosphodiesterases, resulted in retention of retinoid stores, suppressed SMA expression, decreased

Fig. 5 – Relaxin receptor expression in normal or cirrhotic rat tissue. Rats were treated or not for 12 weeks with carbon tetrachloride to induce cirrhosis. Total RNA extracted from liver was probed for LGR7 or LGR8 by TaqMan real-time RT-PCR using 18S RNA as an internal control. All data shown are relative to the level of LGR7 in normal liver. * p < 0.05 compared to normal, n = 4.
proliferation, reduced collagen expression, and blunted sensitivity to endothelin-1 [29–33]. Therefore, cAMP elevation may be the mechanism of action for relaxin in these cells.

Previous studies examining hepatic expression of LGRs have been inconsistent. Using total RNA from liver, transcripts for LGR7 and LGR8 were either undetectable [34], or LGR7 only was detected [35,36]. However, it should be noted that in both of these cases, normal (non-diseased) liver was the source of the analyzed samples. The results of the present study suggest that only LGR7 is expressed in normal tissue, but expression of

---

**Fig. 6** - Immunohistochemical detection of relaxin receptors in cirrhotic rat liver. Rats were treated with carbon tetrachloride for 12 weeks to induce cirrhosis, and then probed with rabbit polyclonal antibodies to LGR7 or LGR8, or a mouse monoclonal antibody to SMA, as described in Section 2. Control sections were probed with non-immune rabbit serum or mouse IgG at the same protein level. Immunoreactive proteins were detected by peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies and DAB staining. Original magnification: 100×.
both LGR8 and LGR7 is upregulated with HSC activation. The presence of relaxin receptors was detected in liver tissue from diseased animals, but not in control animals. Despite the findings of LGR7 transcript and protein in quiescent HSC, no LGR7 immunoreactivity was detectable in control (non-cirrhotic) liver in the rat. However, LGR7 immunoreactivity was detected in perisinusoidal cells in non-cirrhotic human tissue, consistent with quiescent HSC, but this finding was relatively rare. This may be due to the low level of LGR7 expression in quiescent cells that was revealed in the gene expression studies. Alternatively, it is possible that the extraction of HSC and culture for 2 days produces the induction of LGR7 expression in culture that is not reflected in quiescent HSC in vivo.

The receptors were localized to the same areas that were SMA positive, suggesting that they may be expressed in HSC. However, the pattern of expression was not identical to SMA, and may reflect a subset of HSC. Therefore, it may be that, in vivo, the receptors are expressed only after the HSC have become activated and have begun to participate in the healing process. The relaxin receptors may then be involved in inhibiting the sustained activation of HSC during the resolution process where matrix production slows and excess matrix deposition is cleared. Further studies are needed to examine the temporal expression of LGR7 and LGR8 during disease progression and resolution.

Few studies have been performed examining the effects of relaxin treatment on the liver in vivo. As discussed earlier, relaxin treatment reduced the effects of carbon tetrachloride-induced fibrosis [17]. Interestingly, effects of relaxin have been detected in normal (undiseased) liver. Porcine relaxin treatment caused morphological changes in rat perisinusoidal liver cells, and dilation of the sinusoids [14]. Relaxin had protective effects against ischemia and reperfusion damage in perfused rat liver [37]. In a recent study, 20-fold overexpression of mouse relaxin for 4 months in a transgenic mouse model resulted in increased liver weight and hydroxyproline in males but not females [26]. Because mouse relaxin does not activate LGR8, and because our data suggests that only a low level of LGR7 is expressed in non-fibrotic liver, these effects would presumably be mediated by LGR7 alone. In addition, because the phenomenon was observed in males only, additional gender-specific factors are involved in the relaxin response. Clearly, further studies are needed to identify the roles that LGR7 and LGR8 each play in the regulation of collagen production in the liver.

In summary, this is the first report of relaxin receptor expression in liver cells. These findings were supported by the detection of relaxin family peptide receptor expression in diseased liver tissue. These studies expand the earlier studies reporting effects of relaxin on HSC and experimental liver disease, and raise the possibility that InsL3 or relaxin-3 may be additional agents useful in the treatment of chronic liver injury.
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