2006

Invasive Insect Abundance Varies across the Biogeographic Distribution of a Native Host Plant

Tatyana A. Rand  
*University of Nebraska - Lincoln*, tatyana.rand@ars.usda.gov

Svata M. Louda  
*University of Nebraska - Lincoln*, slouda1@unl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/bioscilouda](http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/bioscilouda)  
Part of the [Ecology and Evolutionary Biology Commons](http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/bioscilouda)
INVASIVE INSECT ABUNDANCE Varies ACRoss THE BIOGEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF A NATIVE HOST PLANT
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Abstract. Studies of biogeographic variation in species abundances are fundamental to understanding and predicting the impacts of invasive exotic species. We quantified the abundance of the introduced and now invasive biocontrol weevil, *Rhinocyllus conicus*, on a newly adopted native host plant, *Cirsium canescens* (Platte thistle), across the plant’s distributional range. We used regression and structural equation analyses to examine variation in weevil abundance at 92–108 sites over three years in relation to variation in abiotic and biotic parameters hypothesized to be important in insect or plant dynamics and distribution. We found that *R. conicus* now occurs throughout the majority of the range of *C. canescens*, even in the center of the native plant’s distribution where its coevolved, targeted weed host (*Carduus nutans*, musk thistle) is absent. In fact, weevil densities were greater in the center of the native plant’s distribution in the Sand Hills formation than in peripheral sand patches closer to areas where the targeted exotic thistle is common. None of the macroclimatic variables examined were consistent predictors of the large-scale variation in weevil abundance on *C. canescens*. In addition to biogeographic position, the only other consistent predictor of weevil densities across sites was the number of flower heads per *C. canescens* plant. These results exclude the “spillover” hypothesis to explain nontarget feeding on this newly adopted native host species. Instead, the results are consistent with the alternative hypothesis that exotic weevil abundance on *C. canescens* is related to the local availability of native floral resources. Because *C. canescens* densities have declined with increases in *R. conicus* at sites central in the plant’s distribution, these results suggest that isolated, peripheral populations of *C. canescens* are likely to be critical for persistence of Platte thistle. More generally, this study suggests that the persistence of a native species that is impacted by an exotic natural enemy may require preservation of populations in habitats outside the optimal portion of the native species’ distribution.
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INTRODUCTION

Invasive exotic species are a leading threat to native species and ecosystems (Vitousek et al. 1996, Ewel et al. 1999, Pimentel et al. 2000). Biological control is proposed as an ecological solution for the management of invasive species (Debacher and Rosen 1991, Van Driesche and Bellows 1996), including, specifically, in nature reserves and natural areas (Malecki and Blossey 1994, Hoddle 2004). However, the fact that classical biological control itself involves the purposeful introduction of nonnative natural enemies, such as insects, into new environments has raised concern (e.g., Howarth 1991, Louda et al. 1997, Louda and Stiling 2004). Understanding the factors influencing the distribution and abundance and, thus, potential impact of invasive species is generally important in informing conservation strategies, including when an introduced biological control species is found to use nontargeted native plants in the new environment. Such data should be particularly useful in the continued development of risk assessment protocols for biological control efforts. Furthermore, few studies have quantitatively assessed factors potentially influencing invasive species dynamics and interactions across larger spatial scales (Higgins and Richardson 1996). In particular, we found no published examination of the larger scale pattern in nontarget attack by any of the biocontrol insects reported to date to feed on newly adopted native host plant species. In this study we quantified spatial patterns and examined potential predictors of variation in distribution and abundance of an introduced Eurasian inflorescence feeding weevil, *Rhinocyllus conicus* Frölich, on its newly acquired host plant Platte thistle, *Cirsium canescens* Nutt., across the center of the native plant’s distributional range in sand prairie in the upper Great Plains, USA.

The weevil was introduced into North America in 1968 to limit the exotic musk (or nodding) thistle,
Carduus nutans (Frick 1978, Zwo¨lfer and Harris 1984, Gassmann and Louda 2001), and into Nebraska in 1969–1972 (McCarty et al. 1967, McCarty and Lamp 1982). In Nebraska, C. nutans occurs primarily in mid- and tallgrass prairie grasslands on the richer loam soils, especially in the eastern and south–central portions of the state (Fig. 1B). In the 48,640-km² Sand Hills region of Nebraska (Fig. 1A), where C. nutans is rare, if present at all, the predominant thistle is the sparsely distributed native Platte thistle, C. canescens; this species is

---

**Fig. 1.** (A) Map of sampling locations for an invasive weevil, Rhinocyllus conicus, on its adopted native host plant (Platte thistle, Cirsium canescens) in the Nebraska Sand Hills, USA. Dark gray areas represent sand hill, sand sage, and gravelly mixed-grass prairie vegetation, while light gray areas represent all other vegetation types. The ellipse designates sites that were categorized as “central.” Sites outside of this area, but within the Sand Hills formation, were designated as “edge.” The sites categorized as “disjunct” were those that were located in sand/gravel areas that were not connected with the main body of the Sand Hills. Sites are coded by presence vs. absence of R. conicus on C. canescens in 1996–1998: open circles represent sites in which R. conicus was never observed, while solid circles represent sites in which R. conicus was present in at least one year. (B) County-level abundance of the exotic, targeted musk thistle, Carduus nutans, across the state, based on 1999 county weed infestation reports of the Nebraska Department of Agriculture. Categories represent the percentage of each county infested by C. nutans at any level (trace–severe). The Sand Hills formation and peripheral sand patches are outlined in black.
restricted to the sand and gravel soils centered on this region of the upper Great Plains (Great Plains Flora Association 1977, 1986). The distribution of the sand and gravel deposits, and the specificity of \textit{C. canescens} to these soil types, results in a distinctive “mainland–island” structure to the native plant’s habitat, and so to the plant’s distribution. The Sand Hills geological formation makes up the central and most extensive “mainland” area of habitat, while a number of sand and gravel outcrops surrounded by richer, loam soils form smaller disjunct habitat “islands” peripheral to the main formation (Fig. 1A).

\textit{Rhinocyllus conicus} reduces thistle seed production by laying eggs on developing thistle flower heads, into which its larvae then burrow and feed (Zwölfer and Harris 1984, Gassmann and Louda 2001). In 1993, it was found invading two long-term thistle study sites in the Nebraska Sand Hills, where oviposition was first observed on study plants of a nontargeted native species, \textit{C. canescens} (Louda et al. 1997, Louda 1998). The density of \textit{C. canescens} at these sites has declined significantly subsequent to the \textit{R. conicus} invasion, and densities in long-term demographic plots now average <5% of the pre-1993 invasion densities (Louda and Arnett 2000, Louda et al. 2003).

A fundamental issue in assessing the broader threat posed by \textit{R. conicus} to \textit{C. canescens} is whether the nontarget feeding and impact reported is locally restricted or not (Boldt 1997, Louda et al. 1998). To assess this broader threat, and to evaluate the relevance of the intensive data on the \textit{R. conicus–C. canescens} interaction at the long-term study sites for the larger scale outcome of this interaction, we quantified the overlap of \textit{R. conicus} distribution with that of \textit{C. canescens} across the center of the plant’s biogeographic range, the magnitude of the interaction on this larger scale over three years, and investigated the potential influence of geographic variation in abiotic factors and plant traits on the intensity and spatial pattern of weevil attack on \textit{C. canescens}.

Regional-scale variation in the occurrence and magnitude of \textit{R. conicus} attack on \textit{C. canescens} could reflect a number of underlying factors. These include: large-scale variation in important climatic factors, such as regional variation in temperature and precipitation; spatial proximity of this host plant to populations of the targeted exotic thistle, \textit{C. nutans}; availability of \textit{C. canescens} flower head resources; or, some combination of these factors. Based on both the location of initial releases and the availability of the targeted, coevolved host \textit{C. nutans}, which occurs primarily in prairie grasslands on richer, heavier soils that surround the Sand Hills formation and is very rare within it (McCarty 1978; Fig. 1B), we predicted that densities of \textit{R. conicus} on the native \textit{C. canescens} should be highest on the small, disjunct “sand islands,” in close proximity to \textit{C. nutans} habitat, and lowest in the center of the Sand Hills formation, farthest from areas infested with the exotic thistle and, thus, potential sources of weevils. Such a pattern of nontarget attack would be consistent with reports of nontarget feeding on native species associated with biocontrol agent “spillover” from the targeted species onto natives in close proximity (Blossey et al. 2001, Rand and Louda 2004, Rand et al. 2005). Spillover of \textit{R. conicus} from exotic \textit{C. nutans} populations has been shown to increase use of associated native thistles in prairies on loamy soils at both local and landscapes scales (Rand and Louda 2004, Rand et al. 2005). Theoretical studies further suggest that such “spillover effects” could be important at larger spatial scales, even when the target and nontarget species do not occur in the same habitat type (Holt and Hochberg 2001), as in our study system.

However, a case might also be made for the opposite pattern. One consistent prediction from current spatial theories, including diffusion, island biogeographic and metapopulation models, is that the host/resource species will be more abundant in larger core areas of habitat and less abundant in peripheral, smaller, or more isolated habitat patches (MacArthur and Wilson 1967, Brown 1984, Hanski and Gilpin 1997, Connor et al. 2000). Since insect herbivore densities often increase with local resource density (Root 1973), this could result in reduced herbivore pressure in isolated or peripheral host plant populations. In fact, such reductions in herbivore abundance and/or impact on host plants as a result of isolation and fragmentation have been documented in previous studies (Kruess and Tscharntke 1994, Steffan-Dewenter et al. 2001). If \textit{R. conicus} responds primarily to spatial variation in the local availability of its newly adopted host, \textit{C. canescens}, across this region, then this body of theoretical and empirical work leads to the prediction that weevil abundance on \textit{C. canescens} should be greatest in the “mainland” portion of the host plant’s range, and lowest on host plants occurring in low densities in the disjunct habitat “islands.”

Finally, an understanding of the environmental factors influencing variation in abundance of an exotic insect could be important to predicting its biogeographic spread and impact. Large-scale variation in climatic factors, especially temperature and precipitation, has long been recognized to have an important influence on insect population dynamics and distribution (Andrewartha and Birch 1954, Price 1984, Gilbert and Rowarth 1996). Also, plant growth and flowering effort often respond to variation in temperature and precipitation patterns (see Gurevitch et al. 2003, Russell and Louda 2005). Thus, the direct effects of variation in large-scale abiotic parameters, or the indirect effect of such variation on plant growth and flower head resources, across the plant’s range may help explain spatial variation in \textit{R. conicus} occurrence on \textit{C. canescens} at the regional scale. Geoclimatic factors shown to be important predictors of herbivorous-insect pest dynamics across local to regional scales include: degree-day...
accumulations, temperature range, moisture, and soil characteristics (e.g., Harcourt and Guppy 1991, Lerin and Koubati 1997, Schell and Lockwood 1997). For *R. conicus*, laboratory and local-scale field surveys have demonstrated that weevil abundance, mortality, oviposition, and development rate are influenced by temperature, specifically degree-day accumulations, and moisture (Surles et al. 1975, Smith and Kok 1985, 1987), including at our two long-term study sites in Nebraska (Russell and Louda 2005). Thus, we predicted that large-scale variation in these abiotic parameters across the biogeographic range of *C. canescens* could be useful predictors of patterns of *R. conicus* abundance on it, either directly or indirectly via effects on host plant traits, such as plant size and flower head resource availability.

In order to quantify the spatial extent of the threat posed to *C. canescens* by *R. conicus* and to evaluate hypotheses for large-scale spatial patterns of variation in weevil abundance on *C. canescens*, we focused on four specific questions. First, does *R. conicus* occur throughout the distribution of *C. canescens*, or is the reported interaction locally restricted? Second, does *R. conicus* abundance on *C. canescens* vary in relation to plant position within its biogeographic range, i.e., in the main Sand Hills formation vs. in peripheral sand and gravel patches? Third, is the pattern of *R. conicus* abundance on *C. canescens* consistent with a “spillover” model, or conversely, with an island biogeographic model of interaction? Finally, fourth, to what extent can large-scale variation in abiotic or biotic factors known to affect insect performance predict variation in *R. conicus* abundance on *C. canescens* (Platte thistle) across the center of its biogeographic range?

**Methods**

**Data collection**

The center of the documented distribution and abundance of *Cirsium canescens* is the 48 640-km² Sand Hills formation of Nebraska, the largest continental dune grassland in the western hemisphere (Bleed and Flowerday 1989); peripheral populations occur on sand and gravel outcrops in Nebraska, southern South Dakota, eastern Wyoming as well as eastern and central Colorado (Great Plains Flora Association 1977, 1986; S. M. Louda, personal observation). To quantify the distribution and abundance of *R. conicus* on *C. canescens*, we quantified insects in flower heads of plants sampled across this range in Nebraska in 1996–1998 (Fig. 1A).

Site location involved stratified sampling of sites across the plant’s range. In 1996, we divided each county in the Sand Hills into four quadrants and established proposed sampling points on a map by centrality and accessibility within each quadrant. In addition for Cherry County, with about four times the area of the average county in the state, we divided each quadrant again into quarters to establish sampling points. In the field, we sampled the *C. canescens* stand found nearest each proposed point. Additionally, peripheral sand patches, separated from the main formation and surrounded by richer soils, were identified on the Nebraska soils map (Fig. 1A). Sampling sites within these patches were planned to cover their extent, with at least 15 km between sites; thus, several sites were located within the larger sand patches, while smaller patches were usually represented by one sampling site. All of the sites sampled were in or adjacent to permanent rangeland pastures. Average distance between all sites (mainland, peripheral) was −30 km. In 1996, plants were sampled at 92 sites. In 1997, these 92 sites were resampled, and 11 additional peripheral sites were found to expand our sample of disjunct populations (n = 103). In 1998, the original 92 sites plus the 11 sites added in 1997 were re-sampled, and an additional 5 new disjunct, peripheral sites were found and sampled (n = 108). Specifically, the extensive sampling regime steadily increased representation of peripheral populations, the hardest sites to find, as the study progressed.

In each of the three years, five *C. canescens* plants per site were measured and at least 50% of their flower heads collected. The local populations of this species, the predominant thistle in the Sand Hills, tend to occur in small patches e.g., 10 × 50 m per site (S. M. Louda, personal observation). The five plants to be measured for each local population at each site were selected as a stratified sample along a transect through the patch, starting from an arbitrary point; the rule was to measure every other flowering plant encountered, or every third one in denser patches, with the proviso that sampled plants were >2 m apart. This stratified sampling regime was designed to allow rapid collection of comparable, unbiased samples across a large number of sites (92–108 each year) across the >50 000 km² sampled within a short (8-d) period. We sampled as rapidly as possible to maximize the phenological comparability among sampling sites near the end of the flowering season.

For each plant sampled, we measured the stem height (centimeters), counted both the total number of flower heads initiated and the number that had flowered (exerted at least one floret), and then collected flower heads for dissection. The heads collected from each plant each year were: the main terminal flower head, the terminal head on alternating side branches, and the first subsidiary flower head below a branch terminal with a diameter ≥15 mm. These heads represent >50% of all heads, on average, and the flower heads with the highest probability of setting seed (Louda and Potvin 1995). The data and samples were collected 21–28 June 1996–1998, at the end of both the observed oviposition period for *R. conicus* and the main flowering period for *C. canescens* (Russell and Louda 2004).

In the laboratory, each flower head was measured (diameter, milimeters), weighed, and dissected (n = 1450, 1270, and 1123 heads, in 1996, 1997, and 1998, respectively). For each head, the number and type of
insects, as well as the evidence of insect feeding, were recorded. These counts included all adult, pupal, and larval weevils, as well as egg cases and pinhole entry points, and the number of distinctive pupal chambers made by the late-instar weevils. Since many weevils emerged from flower heads before collection, we used the larger of the count of weevils or weevil pupal chambers as the most accurate estimate of the number of successful weevils that developed in the flower head.

In order to determine whether weevil abundance varied in relation to biogeographic position across the range of *C. canescens* in Nebraska, we divided the sampled range into three categories. These included central core vs. edge regions of the “mainland” Sand Hills formation, and the disjunct peripheral sand patches. Sites in the central core area of the Sand Hills were defined as those that were contained within an ellipse centered at the widest point in the length and width of the Sand Hills formation, and adjusted so that central sites were at least 50 km from extensive areas with a different soil and vegetation type (Fig. 1A). Initial analyses showed that the results did not vary substantially when the central core area was defined in alternative ways, e.g., using a circle rather than an ellipse or estimating distance from a central point. Sites categorized as “edge” were located outside the central core ellipse, but still within the “mainland” Sand Hills formation. Disjunct sites were those in peripheral sand patches that were separated from the main formation by a different soil type. Site locations were mapped in ArcView GIS 3.2 (ESRI 1999). Estimates of exotic *C. nutans* abundance per county also were mapped in ArcView, in order to assess whether proximity to areas varying in level of infestation by the exotic might explain biogeographic patterns of variation in weevil abundance. County-level *C. nutans* abundance data were extracted from 1999 county weed infestation reports obtained from the Nebraska Department of Agriculture, Noxious Weed Program (*unpublished data*). The percentage of each county infested by *C. nutans* (number of infested acres/number of acres in county × 100) at any level (trace to severe) was calculated and incorporated into the GIS map (Fig. 1B). Counties containing sampling sites were categorized as central, edge, or peripheral. Counties that spanned more than one position (e.g., formation center and edge) were placed within the category containing the majority of their sampling locations or area.

To determine whether large-scale spatial variation in *R. conicus* abundance could be predicted by regional variation in abiotic variables, the environmental variables were extracted for each site from a GIS database (Milner et al. 2002). Soil variables, including root zone water-holding capacity and surface organic matter, were obtained from the Nebraska State Soil Geographic (STATSGO) database (Natural Resources Conservation Service 1994). Root zone water-holding capacity is a measure of the available water-holding capacity across an effective rooting depth, which represents the root zone’s potential storage in millimeters (Sinclair et al. 1998). Large-scale climate variables examined included regional patterns in: growing degree-days, average temperature, and precipitation. Growing degree-days estimate the total heat units accumulated and available for growth throughout the year. The data [in degrees Fahrenheit, °F, where 32°F = 0°C and each degree Fahrenheit = 0.556 degree Celsius] were derived from the period 1961–1990, based on the formula \([(T_{\text{max}} - T_{\text{min}})/2] - 30°F\), and the grid surface was generated from a terrain regression applied to the U.S. Geological Survey 3 arc-second digital elevations models (Waltman et al. 1998). Average temperature \([(T_{\text{max}} + T_{\text{min}})/2]\) and precipitation (millimeters) were calculated for each site, based on data from the nearest weather station (High Plains Climate Center, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). We used average temperature over the three-month spring interval during which weevil activity and plant flowering occur (1 April–30 June) for each year 1996–1998. Precipitation data represented the cumulative amount rainfall (centimeters) over this same interval in each year.

**Statistical analyses**

Using JMP 4.0.4 (SAS Institute 2001), we calculated site means for stem height (as an estimate of plant stature/size) and total number of flower heads (as an estimate of floral resource availability for *R. conicus*). To calculate the site means, we averaged over all of the plants with the typical single-stemmed morphology sampled at a given site within a given year. Additionally, for the synthesis represented in the path analysis, we calculated the cumulative means over all plants at each site over all three years. Both annual and cumulative averages are independent estimates since *C. canescens* is monocarpic; individual plants die after flowering and so cannot be re-measured. For the parameters that we measured on a per flower head basis, such as flower head diameter and weevil density, we calculated site means by finding the mean value for each plant and then averaging the plant means for the site, since the plant was the replicate sampling unit for the site. Cumulative means for the overall path analysis were calculated by averaging plant means at each site over all three years. Finally, we examined more directly the potential effects of exotic thistle abundance, estimated at the county level, on *R. conicus* abundance on *C. canescens*. First, we calculated a county-level estimate of weevil density per flower head by averaging plant means for all the plants measured within a given county across the three years of the study. Second, these were analyzed in relation to county-level musk thistle infestation and biogeographic position. Variables were transformed when necessary to normalize distributions and homogenize variances; counts of *R. conicus* and flower heads per plant were power-transformed \((x^{0.5})\), and root zone water-holding capacity was \(\ln(x)\)-transformed.
We used stepwise multiple regression models (with backwards elimination) to examine the potential effects of biogeographic position, as well as those of large-scale variation in soil, climate, and plant variables, on \( R. \) conicus densities on \( C. \) canescens across sites in each year. Biogeographic position was a categorical variable, with sites designated as either in the Sand Hills formation central core, formation edge, or disjunct peripheral sand patch. We included root zone water-holding capacity as the soil variable, because it explained more of the variance in \( R. \) conicus density than did surface organic matter, and because only one soil variable could be included to avoid colinearity. Regional climatic variables included in initial models included: growing degree-days, cumulative precipitation, and average temperature. Potentially biologically relevant first-order interactions between abiotic variables (precipitation by growing degree-days, precipitation by temperature, precipitation by root zone water-holding capacity) were also included in initial models. Finally, the two plant performance variables included in the initial models were stem height (stature) and the number of flower heads per plant (weevil resources). We also ran stepwise regression models to examine the effect of large-scale variation in soils, climate, and biogeographic location on the two plant variables. None of the final models showed colinearity; variance inflation factors (VIFs) were always <5 (Montgomery and Peck 1992).

Analysis of variance and covariance were used to more directly test for differences in levels of \( C. \) mutans infestation by biogeographic location (Sand Hills core, edge, or disjunct peripheral areas), and to evaluate the relative contribution of variation in \( C. \) mutans abundance and biogeographic position to explaining patterns of spatial variation in county-level estimates of \( R. \) conicus densities on \( C. \) canescens. The infestation level of musk and \( R. \) conicus density were power-transformed (\( x^{0.2} \) and \( x^{0.5} \), respectively) prior to analysis to meet model assumptions.

Finally, we used path analysis, using structural equation models with LISREL, version 8.51 (Joreskog and Sorbom 2001), to estimate the overall relative contribution of direct and indirect effects of the abiotic and biotic factors hypothesized to affect insect abundance. LISREL uses maximum-likelihood estimation techniques to estimate path coefficients (Johnson et al. 1991). The same variables used in the initial stepwise regression models were included in the LISREL model, transformed as necessary to meet assumptions of normality. To simplify the analysis in the development of hypotheses for further study, we used average values over the three years and treated location (with three levels) as a continuous variable; the latter is standard in structural equation modeling, given the number of categories exceeds two and skewness is <1 (Byrne 1998). All of the causal pathways hypothesized to be potentially important were included in the model, with the exception of location effects on plant variables (stem height, head number); location was considered a priors as unlikely to be an important predictor of plant traits independent of the regional variation in soil and climate parameters. We also included paths to test for correlations between exogenous variables that might be interdependent. Exclusion of nonsignificant paths did not improve model fit significantly (\( P > 0.10 \) for all \( \chi^2 \) tests; see Mitchell 2001); however, including the path from location to head number marginally increased model fit (\( P < 0.10 \)), and, thus, it was included in the final structural model.

**RESULTS**

**Geographic overlap of the exotic weevil and the native host plant**

\( Rhinocyllus \) conicus was present at 93.5% of all sites (101 of 108) surveyed 1996–1998 (Fig. 1A). The densities of \( R. \) conicus (mean ± se number of weevils/flower head) at the two long-term study sites (Arapaho Prairie Preserve = 3.65 ± 0.63; Niobrara Valley Preserve = 3.15 ± 0.55) were close to the middle (median = 3.24) of the distribution and representative of the average density observed across all sites (3.32 ± 0.23). Mean \( R. \) conicus density per flower head varied across sites, and ranged from 0 to a maximum of 11.4 weevils per \( C. \) canescens flower head.

**Biogeographic patterns of Rhinocyllus conicus abundance on Cirsium canescens**

We found strong spatial patterns in weevil density on \( C. \) canescens as a function of general position within its biogeographic range (Fig. 2). Overall, biogeographic position (central core vs. edge vs. disjunct areas) within and around the Sand Hills formation consistently explained \( R. \) conicus density (mean number per flower
Table 1. Results of stepwise regression models examining the influence of plant, soil, and regional climate variables as well as biogeographic location (center, edge, or disjunct) on site-level *Rhinocyllus conicus* density in *Cirsium canescens* flower heads (mean no. weevils/flower head) in 1996, 1997, and 1998.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stem height</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.029</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total flower heads</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>10.15</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>12.19</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>21.36</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root zone water-holding capacity</td>
<td>-0.245</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>-0.299</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growing degree-days</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Analyses were run using transformed variables for *R. conicus* density, root zone water-holding capacity, and flower head number. Untransformed data were used for all other variables. Tested interactions were never significant and thus are not included in the table (see Methods for details). Whole-model results for 1996 regression, $F_{5,87} = 10.31, P < 0.001$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.34$; 1997 regression, $F_{5,93} = 9.41; P < 0.001$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.29$; 1998 regression, $F_{4,103} = 8.75; P < 0.001$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.23$. For all years, the numerator df is 1 for all predictor variables except the one categorical variable (Location), which has 2 df. For all variables, the denominator df is 87, 97, 103 in 1996, 1997, and 1998, respectively. A tilde (−) signifies a categorical variable, and NS signifies nonsignificant factors excluded in stepwise regression (backward elimination) procedures.

In summary, we found that *R. conicus* occurred on *C. canescens* throughout its range, and that weevil density and frequency of occurrence were consistently greatest in the center of the native plant’s distribution in the Sand Hills, farthest from the surrounding regional areas with higher densities of the weevil’s coevolved exotic host plant, *C. nutans*.

**Abiotic and plant variables associated with variation in weevil abundance**

Stepwise regression models that included significant large-scale soil, climate, and/or plant variables, along with biogeographic position, explained a significant proportion of the variance in *R. conicus* density in all years. A greater percentage of the variance was explained in 1996 (34%) than in 1997 or 1998 (29% and 23%, respectively; Table 1). Aside from biogeographic position, the only additional consistent predictor of the variation in *R. conicus* density among sites was the mean number of flower heads per plant, which represents the main flower head resource available for *R. conicus* within the Sand Hills formation where *C. canescens* is the predominant thistle species. The density of *R. conicus* increased significantly as the mean number of *C. canescens* flower heads per plant increased in a site in all years (Table 1, Fig. 3).

The effects of other parameters evaluated, including both large-scale variation in abiotic parameters (growing degree-days, temperature, precipitation, root zone water-holding capacity) and a key biotic parameter (stem height) were weak or variable across years (Table 1). For example, densities of *R. conicus* decreased significantly with increasing growing degree-days in 1996, but this factor was not significant (i.e., excluded from models in backwards elimination procedures) in the subsequent two years (Table 1). Growing season precipitation was only significant in explaining *R. conicus* densities in 1997, when densities increased with increased precipitation (Table 1). Densities of *R. conicus*
generally decreased with increased root zone water-holding capacity (Fig. 3). This factor was significant in 1997, marginally significant in 1996, but not significant in 1998 (Table 1). Finally, within growing season, temperature was never a significant predictor of *R. conicus* abundance (i.e., was never retained in stepwise models).

The influences of the abiotic variables on the native plant resource for the weevil, measured as plant stature and total number of flower heads, were similarly weak or highly variable. None of the factors examined, including root zone water-holding capacity, macroclimatic parameters (growing degree-days, temperature, precipitation), or biogeographic location, contributed significantly to explaining the variance in the number of flower heads per plant across sites in either 1996 or 1997 (i.e., all factors were removed in backwards elimination procedures). In 1997, the mean number of flower heads per plant decreased significantly with increases in growing degree-days (coefficient = 0.0009, $F_{1,100} = 7.53, P = 0.007$), but increased with temperature (coefficient = 0.2892, $F_{1,100} = 10.58, P = 0.002$). Overall, however, the significant model ($F_{2,100} = 5.74, P = 0.004$) explained only 8% of the variation in the number of flower heads per plant across sites (adjusted $R^2 = 0.08$). For stem height (plant stature), none of the examined parameters, including large-scale climate and soil variables or biogeographic location, were informative for predicting variation in plant size in either 1997 or 1998. In 1996, stem height increased significantly with increasing degree-days (coefficient = 0.0109, $F_{1,90} = 11.17, P = 0.001$) and showed a marginally significant decreasing trend with increasing temperature (coefficient = –1.862, $F_{1,90} = 3.38, P = 0.070$). However, once again the overall model explained very little of the overall variance in stem height (adjusted $R^2 = 0.09, F_{2,90} = 5.75, P = 0.005$).

In the exploration of hypothesized relationships using path analysis, we found that biogeographic location, total number of *C. canescens* flower heads, and root zone water-holding capacity had strong direct effects on *R. conicus* density on *C. canescens* (Fig. 4). The standardized parameter values, equivalent to standardized path coefficients, were rescaled to have a variance of 1.0; thus, direct comparisons of the relative strengths of each effect are possible (Johnson et al. 1991). Location had the largest effect, and the negative coefficient indicates that *R. conicus* density decreased from central core to edge to disjunct peripheral locations overall. Next, *R. conicus* densities increased as the number of flower heads of *C. canescens* increased. Root zone water-holding capacity also had an overall direct effect, with densities of *R. conicus* on *C. canescens* decreasing as water-holding capacity increased. Root zone water-holding capacity was positively correlated with location (Fig. 4), increasing from a mean of 3.6 ± 0.11 mm for sites in central core locations, to 4.4 ± 0.12 mm in disjunct sand patches. Also, growing degree-days
were positively correlated with growing season precipitation and temperature (Fig. 4).

Potential causal pathways for indirect effects emerged due to significant direct effects of both growing degree-days and stem height on the number of flower heads, which directly influenced *R. conicus* density (Fig. 4). However, the calculated magnitudes of the indirect effects were very small for both growing degree-days (standardized solution = 0.07) and stem height (standardized solution = 0.05). These overall results are consistent with the regression analyses, but they provide an alternative way of identifying and integrating direct and indirect effects, and they permit a comparison of the relative strengths of the parameters measured in predicting *R. conicus* densities on *C. canescens* across the host plant’s distributional range.

**DISCUSSION**

*Geographic overlap of the exotic weevil with the native host plant*

The data from this study resolve a critical, but controversial issue regarding the spatial extent of the threat posed by *R. conicus* to *C. canescens* (Boldt 1997, Louda et al. 1997, 1998). The results unambiguously demonstrate that *R. conicus* is abundant throughout the center of the distribution of this adopted native host species. We found that the weevil was broadly distributed across the entire Sand Hills and surrounding region, occurring at 94% of the sites surveyed, which constitutes the majority of the habitat of *C. canescens* (Great Plains Flora Association 1986). Our results suggest that the negative effect of *R. conicus* on seed production and population dynamics demonstrated with intensive data at two long-term study sites in the Sand Hills (Louda and Arnett 2000, Louda et al. 2003, Rose et al. 2005) is likely a general, widespread phenomenon.

We found no strong latitudinal or longitudinal patterns in the occupancy of sites by *R. conicus* (Fig. 1A), despite the fact that the original release sites of *R. conicus* (1969–1972) were restricted to the southeast and south-central areas of the state (McCarty and Lamp 1982; Fig. 1B). Thus, in the 30-plus years since its release in Nebraska, the weevil has spread and established throughout the state, even in the large central Sand Hills region, which lacks significant populations of its targeted thistle host, *Carduus nutans*. Given the broad distribution of *R. conicus* across our sampling region, its documented occurrence in states both to the east and west (Louda et al. 1997), its establishment in Canada, as well as eastern and western states, and its broad distribution in Europe (Zwo¨lfer and Harris 1984, Klein and Seitz 1994), it is clear that the range of the weevil far exceeds the relatively restricted range of *C. canescens* in prairie grasslands on sand and gravelly soils of the north-central Great Plains (Great Plains Flora Association 1986). Furthermore, the densities of *R. conicus* at the two sites where it is known to be having a significant negative impact on *C. canescens* populations (Arapaho Prairie Preserve and Niobrara Valley Preserve) were found to be representative of the average densities observed across all sites. Thus, despite previous skepticism (Boldt 1997), the data available now support the inference that the weevil poses a major threat to *C. canescens* populations across a large proportion of its range.

*Biogeographic patterns and potential biological mechanisms*

The abundance of the exotic weevil on the native Platte thistle, *C. canescens*, was greatest in the central core of the Sand Hills prairie habitat that forms the main part of the plant’s distribution (Fig. 2). In fact, *R. conicus* was two to three times more abundant there than
in the disjunct sand and gravel sites, which are surrounded by prairie on loamier soils and higher densities of the targeted, coevolved host. The pattern of highest exotic weevil abundance on *C. canescens* in the center of the plant’s distribution vs. lowest abundance on plants in the disjunct sites was consistent across all three sampling years, indicating its robustness. The data suggest that the nontarget effects associated with higher densities of *R. conicus* are likely most severe at the center of the distribution of the focal native thistle, while *C. canescens* currently appears to experience a relative refuge from this exotic insect herbivore in the disjunct sand patches.

The observed pattern in insect herbivore abundance is contrary to that expected if spillover effects were driving native plant use by the weevil at the spatial scale examined. The targeted exotic weed, *Carduus nutans*, is generally restricted to prairie on the loamier soils outside and around the Sand Hills formation (Fig. 1B). The degree of *C. nutans* infestation varied with biogeographic position; it was extremely low in the counties containing the central core of the Sand Hills formation (<0.0001%) and much higher in those counties containing the edge (2.16% ± 1.82%) and the peripheral sand sites (1.55% ± 0.74%). Consequently, if spillover was the main process determining *R. conicus* use of and abundance on *C. canescens*, then weevil densities should have been lowest in the central core of the Sand Hills, increasing in the edge of the main formation and in the disjunct sand patches which border *C. nutans* habitat. This is exactly the opposite of the pattern that we found. Thus, we conclude that spillover cannot be the driving mechanism underlying the observed pattern of exotic weevil densities on *C. canescens* at the biogeographic scale. This result was quite unexpected, given our previous work demonstrating that such spillover processes increase the use of native thistles by *R. conicus* at both local and landscape scales in prairie on loam soils outside of the Sand Hills formation (Rand and Louda 2004, Rand et al. 2005).

In theory, a number of alternative mechanisms could drive the observed, unexpected large-scale biogeographic pattern in *R. conicus* abundance on *C. canescens*. For instance, one hypothesis is that the distribution of the exotic *C. nutans* does drive weevil use of *C. canescens*, but in opposite direction of that predicted. Lower *R. conicus* use of native *C. canescens* in regions infested by *C. nutans* could result if the weevil preferentially uses its coevolved host if available, such that *C. nutans* acts as a “trap crop.” However, this is unlikely for two reasons. First, as already noted, previous studies have shown that native thistles (*C. undulatum*, *C. dfodmanii*), either in close proximity to *C. nutans* patches or in landscapes heavily infested by *C. nutans*, experience higher *R. conicus* egg loads than those isolated from the exotic thistle (Rand and Louda 2004, Rand et al. 2005), in spite of a continued weevil preference for its targeted, coevolved host (Arnett and Louda 2002). Thus, it is seems highly improbable that the opposite (“trap crop”) process is operating at the biogeographic scale. Additionally, we found a strong influence of biogeographic position on *R. conicus* densities on native thistle, independent of the infestation level of *C. nutans*. This finding strongly suggests that factors other than *C. nutans* density are important in driving the observed biogeographic pattern of weevil attack on the *C. canascens*.

Another hypothesis is that the large-scale spatial variation in the use of *C. canescens* by *R. conicus* reflects the availability of other, native thistle resources within the region. However, at least three observations combine to make this hypothesis equally unlikely. First, the three co-occurring native thistles, *Cirsium undulatum*, *C. dfodmanii* and *C. ochrocentrum*, are even sparser than *C. canescens* throughout the Sand Hills formation (S. M. Louda, personal observation). Second, all of the co-occurring native thistle species flower later than *C. canescens* (Great Plains Flora Association 1986). Their peak flowering occurs after the *R. conicus* oviposition period, so they are not optimal hosts for the weevil. Third, recent studies have found that variation in *R. conicus* abundance on *C. canescens* in the two main Sand Hills sites, which also contain *C. undulatum* (the next most common species), was directly related to the availability of *C. canescens* flower heads and not total heads for both species combined (Russell and Louda 2004). Alternately, the weevil’s abundance on *C. undulatum* in these sites was inversely related to the availability of *C. canescens* flower heads (Russell and Louda 2005). These observations strongly suggest that in Nebraska, *C. canescens* is the primary native thistle resource species to which the weevil responds.

In fact, the observed pattern in weevil densities on *C. canescens* resembles that documented in studies of specialized insect herbivores, which have similarly found that herbivore abundances are often highest in large or more connected host plant patches and decrease in small or isolated plant patches (Kruess and Tscharntke 1994, Steffan-Dewenter et al. 2001). This was unexpected in the case of this exotic insect on its new host, especially given the presence of its preferred, coevolved exotic host in habitats surrounding sand prairie. However, a number of observations combine to suggest that, in fact, *R. conicus* abundance on *C. canescens* may well reflect weevil response to spatially structured variation in the local availability of this native host species, which is highly acceptable (Arnett and Louda 2002) and phenologically synchronized (Louda 1998, Russell and Louda 2004).

One of the strongest patterns in biogeography is the tendency for the abundance of an organism to decrease from the center toward the edge of its range (MacArthur 1972, Brown 1995, Frenzel and Brandl 2000). The abundance of *C. canescens* conforms to this pattern, with higher densities generally observed in the central core area of the Sand Hills, which represents the center
of its geographic range, than in disjunct habitat sand patches at the edges (S. M. Louda, personal observation). In this study, for example, we found that the time required to locate sufficient C. canescens plants to sample in the disjunct sand and gravel outcrops near planned sampling points was much greater than in the central region of the Sand Hills. Since insect herbivore densities often increase with local resource density (Root 1973), it is likely that reduced R. conicus densities on C. canescens in disjunct compared to central core areas reflect the reduced C. canescens densities and flower head resources within these peripheral habitats. The positive relationship between the total number of flower heads per plant, one of the main factors influencing the oviposition resource for R. conicus in our analysis, and R. conicus densities (Fig. 3), reinforces the finding from previous studies (Russell and Louda 2004) that resource availability is an important parameter underlying variation in weevil abundance. The path analysis further supports the general inference of the importance of both location within the regional distribution of, and total flower head resources on, this newly adopted, phenologically synchronized native thistle (Fig. 4). Overall, the path analysis supports that direct, rather than indirect, processes predominate in determining variation in R. conicus density on C. canescens across the heart of the plant’s range.

We conclude that the most likely explanation for the observed pattern of high weevil densities in the center of the regional distribution of C. canescens, and lower densities on C. canescens in peripheral disjunct habitat patches, is that R. conicus use of C. canescens is related to local densities of this native host plant, and local density varies in relation to biogeographic position. A detailed evaluation of this hypothesis presents an interesting avenue for further study.

Lack of large-scale climate effects on the regional-scale abundance of R. conicus

Previous studies have demonstrated that temperature and degree-day accumulations can have an important influence on R. conicus oviposition, larval development, as well as egg and larval mortality (Surles and Kok 1975, Smith and Kok 1985, 1987), as is often observed for herbivorous insects. Specifically, laboratory studies established an apparent threshold for oogenesis (17°C) and oviposition (15°C), with no significant differences in number of eggs per female from 19.5°C to 36°C, and demonstrated a deleterious effect of increased temperature (degree-days over 17°C) on oviposition by R. conicus (Smith and Kok 1987). However, in field cages, temperature had no effect on mean fecundity per female weevil (Smith and Kok 1987). Larval development started with a hatching threshold of 11°C, with maximum rates of development between 27°C and 36°C, and maximum mortality observed at or above the temperature for maximum developmental rate (36°C) in laboratory studies (Smith and Kok 1985). Across the Sand Hills region, average temperatures during the spring–early summer oviposition and development period of R. conicus ranged from 7.9°C to 17.2°C across sites. Given that the observed temperature range spans the critical thresholds for oviposition, egg hatch, and larval development, and that it is well below the high temperatures found to be detrimental, we expected a strong positive relationship between mean temperature or degree-day accumulation and R. conicus abundance on C. canescens. However, on the regional scale across the distribution of C. canescens in the Nebraska Sand Hills, R. conicus density was unrelated to macroclimatic variation in temperature within its activity period, and only weakly related to long-term averages in growing degrees days, which only had a significant effect in one year of the study (Table 1).

The discrepancy between the smaller scale laboratory and field studies and our results could occur if R. conicus responds primarily to variation in microclimatic factors, impossible to measure at the scale of our study, as opposed to broader scale variation in macroclimate. Alternately, climatic influences may be complex and influential in ways not examined in our analysis. For example, at the local scale within the two long-term Sand Hills study areas, Russell and Louda (2005) found that no single climatic parameter was significant in predicting temporal variation in R. conicus abundance; prediction required a combination of temperature accumulation (growing degree-days) in both winter and early spring preceding the growing season, days since last hard freeze, and precipitation in both the spring and previous summer. In any case, it is clear from the present study that large-scale variation in temperature is not a consistent or straightforward predictor of spatial variation in R. conicus numbers on C. canescens across the plant’s range.

Precipitation also has the potential to influence R. conicus dynamics. For example, Surles et al. (1975) found that 36% of R. conicus eggs laid at exposed grassland field sites, similar to those in this study, were dislodged by rain and wind. This suggests that high levels of spring precipitation could negatively influence R. conicus abundance by increasing egg mortality. In contrast, Russell and Louda (2005) found that weevil numbers increased with good conditions for plant growth, and specifically increases in within-year spring precipitation along with previous summer precipitation and pre-season temperatures (Russell and Louda 2005). Thus, previous work suggested that precipitation might have negative direct effects on weevil densities via increased egg mortality, while exerting a positive indirect effect through increased growth and subsequent flowering by host plants. In this study, we found that within-season precipitation was an inconsistent predictor of R. conicus numbers across the biogeographic scale (Table 1). In only one year (1997) was there a significant positive relationship between precipitation and weevil densities across the region consistent with the findings of
Russell and Louda (2005), although the effect was not mediated through host plant traits in this case. In contrast, we never observed the negative relationship expected if increased precipitation increased \textit{R. conicus} egg mortality.

Root zone water-holding capacity was the strongest abiotic predictor of weevil abundances; \textit{R. conicus} abundances were significantly negatively related to root zone water-holding capacity in 1997, with a similar trend in 1996 (Table 1). Since sites with increased root zone water-holding capacity likely have higher soil moisture on average than sandier soils at sites with lower root zone water-holding capacity, we expected a positive relationship, if any; increased soil moisture should positively influence plant growth and reproductive effort and, thus, potentially growth and survival of \textit{R. conicus}. The observed inverse relationship between soil water-holding capacity and \textit{R. conicus} density contradicts this expectation. An alternative hypothesis to explain the observed relationship is that overwinter and early-spring egg mortality.

The observed inverse relationship between soil water-holding capacity and \textit{R. conicus} density contradicts this expectation. An alternative hypothesis to explain the observed relationship is that overwinter and early-spring success of \textit{R. conicus} at the soil–litter interface is negatively affected by high soil moisture levels.

In general, we found that large-scale variation in the within-season climatic variables examined were generally weak predictors of the biogeographic spatial patterns in \textit{R. conicus} densities on the native thistle. Neither mean temperature nor cumulative precipitation each year measured over the three-month period of \textit{R. conicus} oviposition and development, nor the long-term (20 year) averages for seasonal growing degree-days, were consistent predictors of \textit{R. conicus} abundance on \textit{C. canescens} over the three years of this study. This result held despite the fact that weevil densities were highly variable across sites, ranging from means of 0 to 11.4 weevils developing per flower head. Regional variation in growing season climate variables generally were also weak predictors of large-scale spatial variation in plant size and reproductive effort (Fig. 4). Thus, although \textit{R. conicus} numbers across the region may be influenced by patterns in soil moisture, we conclude that the abundance and distribution of \textit{R. conicus} across the sand prairie region of the state cannot be explained by consistent large-scale patterns of variation in growing season temperature or precipitation.

\textbf{Conclusion}

Our results clearly demonstrate that \textit{R. conicus} is widely distributed and abundant on \textit{C. canescens} across the heart of this native plant’s distributional range. Given the evidence that \textit{R. conicus} feeding has a significant negative effect on plant performance and, specifically, on seed reproduction of this relatively sparse, seed-limited species, the spatial scale of the threat posed is clear. Within-growing-season variation in macroclimatic factors across the region did not provide any consistent, reliable predictors of the level of occurrence and density of this exotic biocontrol insect on its newly adopted native host plant. In contrast, plant location within the region and \textit{C. canescens} flower head resource availability strongly influenced weevil abundance on this new host plant. The biogeographic pattern of weevil abundance indicates that, unexpectedly, the newly adopted native host plant is most at risk in the center of its distribution. The implication for conservation is that persistence of this sparse native plant species, in the face of the threat posed by the invasive weevil, likely depends on the integrity and persistence of its disjunct, peripheral populations. These results underscore the value of large-scale studies in quantifying the magnitude and pattern of the threat posed by invasive exotic species to native species and providing quantitative information that is critical to developing strategies for management of such threats. Such data can be used to single out high-risk areas for weevil control efforts, while lower risk areas in peripheral habitats may be identified as having high conservation value and managed for protection and persistence of impacted natives such as \textit{C. canescens}.

The broader implication of our results is that host plant spatial position within islands of natural habitat can be important in determining patterns of exotic insect abundance and potential impact on the native plants. Further, smaller, disjunct populations, the opposite of the “hot spots” often of conservation concern, are potentially critical refuges for native plants threatened by widespread invasive exotic insect herbivores. Protection of populations in such habitats may be crucial to the persistence of sparse native plant species subject to exotic natural enemy impacts.
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