Content Validation of a Standardized Language Diagnosis by Certified Specialists in Gerontological Nutrition

Paula K. Ritter-Gooder
University of Nebraska-Lincoln, pgooder@windstream.net

Nancy M. Lewis
University of Nebraska--Lincoln, nlewis2@unl.edu

Kent M. Eskridge
University of Nebraska - Lincoln, keskridge1@unl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/nutritionfacpub
Part of the Dietetics and Clinical Nutrition Commons

http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/nutritionfacpub/6
Content Validation of a Standardized Language Diagnosis by Certified Specialists in Gerontological Nutrition

Paula K. Ritter-Gooder, PhD, RD, CSG, LMNT, Department of Nutrition and Health Sciences, University of Nebraska-Lincoln
Nancy M. Lewis, PhD, RD, FADA, Department of Nutrition and Health Sciences, University of Nebraska-Lincoln
Kent M. Eskridge, PhD, Department of Statistics, University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Abstract

Validation of the nutrition standardized language assures the language is accurate for use in practice, policy, and research, but few validation studies have been reported. The purpose of this descriptive study was to validate content of all components of the nutrition diagnostic term involuntary weight loss using experts providing care for older adults in health care settings. A Nutrition Diagnosis Validation Instrument was developed that contained the definition, etiologies, and signs and symptoms of the diagnosis plus items added from literature review. Questions on clarity and completeness of the language were included. The Nutrition Diagnosis Validation Instrument used a Likert-type scale for deriving a Diagnostic Content Validity (DCV) score for all items in the definition, etiology, and signs and symptoms components to define major, minor, and nonrelevant characteristics and a mean total DCV score for the term. In 2008, all Board Certified Specialists in Gerontological Nutrition (CSGs) were recruited by mail. CSGs (n = 110, 73% response) reported 15 ± 10 (mean ± standard deviation) practice years in gerontological nutrition. The total DCV component scores were 0.80 ± 0.17 (definition), 0.63 ± 0.08 (etiology), and 0.69 ± 0.12 (signs and symptoms). The mean total DCV score of the diagnostic term was 0.69 ± 0.11. Cognitive decline, poor oral health, and impaired skin integrity were identified as missing language. In conclusion, the majority of the definition, etiologies, and signs and symptoms of the term were content-validated, including seven items derived from literature review. The validated items, including recommendations for added language, need to be retested using the same process.

The American Dietetic Association’s (ADA) 2003 introduction of the Nutrition Care Process/Standardized Language (NCP/SL) provided dietetics practitioners with a model for quality care and a taxonomy describing the unique services of dietetics practitioners (1–4). The use of SL, published in the International Dietetics and Nutrition Terminology (IDNT) Reference Manual (5), in electronic and personal health records will enable patients, caregivers, and providers to prevent or manage acute and chronic disease (6, 7). Nutrition diagnoses were the first SL terms identified. Validation, the next step in language development, produces evidence that the diagnostic terms exist, and that their definitions, etiologies, and signs and symptoms are appropriate. Validation refines the SL for use in education, practice, research, and policy. Research models for validation of nursing diagnoses have been used since 1983 and have applicability for validating SL used in the NCP (8–12).

Few validation studies of the SL have been conducted. In one study, the content validity of all nutrition diagnostic terms was measured using a convenience sample of registered dietitians (RDs) (13). A reliability study of the use of the terms among RDs at different practice levels has been reported (14). Validation studies are needed utilizing experts who provide nutrition care for nutrition diagnoses among all practice settings and patient populations.

One IDNT diagnostic term, involuntary weight loss, occurs in many patient populations and practice settings. It is prevalent in up to 65% of long-term care residents who experience malnutrition (15). The prevalence of weight loss is the highest-ranked quality measure of nutrition/eating established by the Centers for Medicaid and Medicare for long-term care facilities receiving federal funding (16, 17). Weight loss has been sufficiently validated to qualify as an indicator of nursing home quality and each facility’s report is publicly available (18). Failure to diagnose and treat unintended weight loss leads to increased risk for patient mortality and potential for litigation (19). Dietetics practitioners, including Board-Certified Specialists in Gerontological Nutrition (CSG) (20), identify and treat this nutrition problem in elderly people with outcomes of increased energy, protein, and nutrient intake, weight gain, and improved quality of life (21–26).
ponents; definition, etiology, and signs and symptoms. Validating content of all components of the diagnostic term *involuntary weight loss* within the older adult population using experts providing care for this problem refines the term for future use. The purpose of this study was to measure the content validity of the nutrition diagnostic term NC-3.2 Involuntary Weight Loss (5) in elderly people using CSGs as experts.

**Methods**

**Concept Analysis**

Content validation of a diagnostic term gathers evidence that RDs identify common definition, etiologies, and signs and symptoms, that language items are relevant and represent the nutrition problem, and that signs and symptoms occur as a cluster in a sufficient number of cases (27). Before validation, the term is analyzed using concept analysis, a study of the attributes or characteristics of the term (28–30). A literature review was conducted using the words *involuntary weight loss*, *undesirable weight loss*, and *elderly* to identify whether additional items need to be added to the IDNT term for testing. Four etiologies and seven signs and symptoms were added to the term.

**Validation Instrument**

A Nutrition Diagnosis Validation Instrument (NDVI) (Figure) was developed based upon the Fehring Diagnostic Content Validity Model (8, 13). The model, used in nursing diagnosis research, obtains quantifiable data using weighted inter-rater reliability ratios, provides a standardized approach for comparison studies, and establishes criteria for decisions about the credibility of the defining characteristics. The NDVI listed all components (definition, etiologies, and signs and symptoms) of the published term (5), plus those added from literature review. All etiologies and signs and symptoms were listed separately to collect a rating for each item (n = 51). To provide clarity, items such as “poor intake” and “fever” were defined (31). The NDVI used a 5-point Likert-type scale, plus a “do not know” response for rating how common or characteristic each item is when involuntary weight loss is present in a patient. Response options for the signs and symptoms were: *not at all characteristic* = 1, *very little characteristic* = 2, *somewhat characteristic* = 3, *considerably characteristic* = 4, *very characteristic* = 5, and *do not know if characteristic*. Other questions asked whether additional language was needed for the definition, etiologies, and signs and symptoms and whether the language was clear and easy to understand. If wording was missing or unclear, an explanation was requested. Final questions inquired whether the diagnostic term was used in practice and, if not, reasons for any nonuse of the term. A 17-item demographic and practice questionnaire was included in the study. After approval was obtained for the study from the Institutional Review Board, the NDVI and demographic questionnaire were reviewed by two members of ADA’s NCP/SL Committee and minor adjustments were made to improve clarity.

**Expert Raters**

To provide scientific rigor, experts were used for validating content of the term. CSGs, recognized for their expertise and skills in gerontological nutrition by their professional peers, were identified as experts in the diagnostic term in older adults, using the expert scale rating proposed by Fehring (9). A Commission on Dietetic Registration mailing list of CSGs was used to select six CSGs to pilot test the NDVI and demographic questionnaire. After modifying instruments to improve clarity and minimize response error, all CSGs (n = 151) were invited to participate by mail using up to five contacts (32). Voluntary participation was implied when the NDVI and demographic questionnaire were completed and returned.
Data Analysis

Data were analyzed with SPSS for Windows (version 16.0, November, 2007, Chicago, IL). A Diagnostic Content Validity (DCV) score was calculated using the Likert scale ratings: 1 = 0, 2 = 0.25, 3 = 0.50, 4 = 0.75, and 5 = 1.0 to derive a weighted mean for each item in the term (9). Items with DCV scores of ≥0.80 were classified as major characteristics, 0.50 to 0.79 were minor characteristics, and those scoring <0.50 were nonrelevant to the diagnosis. After item DCV scores were obtained, a mean total DCV score for each component (definition, etiology, and signs and symptoms) of the term was calculated using all DCV scores of the major and minor characteristics within that component. Using these component total DCV scores, a mean total DCV score of the entire term was derived. The “do not know responses” were summarized as frequencies and percentages and were not computed into the DCV score. Comments regarding missing language were analyzed for common themes. The demographic and practice questions were summarized using frequencies, percentages, and means with standard deviations.

Each participant was assigned an expert scale rating using the following point system; master’s degree = 2, published articles on the diagnosis = 2, current clinical practice of at least 1 year duration in area relevant to the diagnosis = 1, and certification in an area of clinical practice relevant to the diagnosis of interest = 2 (9). The Total DCV diagnostic term scores within subgroups of CSGs by expert scale rating, years of practice in gerontological nutrition, and usage of the NCP/SL were compared.

Results and Discussion

SL has been proposed for use in dietetics practice, but few studies have validated the language. The intent of this study was to validate the content of the SL diagnostic term involuntary weight loss among experts providing nutrition care for this problem within the older population, and learn whether the language is clear and complete.

Eighty-three percent of the CSGs responded to the mailing and 73% (n = 110) participated. Thirty-nine percent practiced in the Midwest, 25% in the South, 22% in the Northeast, and 14% in the Western regions of the United States. Average number of years in gerontological nutrition practice was 15 ± 10 (mean ± standard deviation). Eighty percent of the CSGs worked in long-term, skilled, and rehabilitation care. The average number of patients seen each month with involuntary weight loss was 19 ± 23. Fifty percent of the RDs currently use the NCP/SL and of these, 54% have been in practice more than 20 years. Expert scale rating of the CSGs worked in long-term, skilled, and rehabilitation care. The average number of patients seen each month with involuntary weight loss was 19 ± 23. Fifty percent of the RDs currently use the NCP/SL and of these, 54% have been in practice more than 20 years. Expert scale rating of the CSGs was 4.36 ± 1.6, indicating that raters were experts in the term. When RDs did not use the diagnosis, the most common reason given was that the SL had not been implemented in their practice.

Validated and Nonvalidated Language

A DCV score is a measure of how representative the language item is to the diagnosis and classifies the item as a major, minor, or nonrelevant characteristic. Higher scores, denoting major and minor characteristics, indicate more confidence that the item is present when the nutrition problem exists. The nonrelevant items have low DCV scores, do not represent the diagnostic term, and are not essential or needed cues to identify the nutrition problem. The Table lists DCV scores and major, minor, and nonrelevant classifications of all etiologies and signs and symptoms of the term.

The total DCV diagnostic term score, 0.69 ± 0.11, was similar across years in gerontology nutrition practice, expert scale rating, and use of NCP/SL. This score is lower than the 0.91 reported by Enrione (13) and is a reflection of the lower total DCV component scores found (definition = 0.80 vs 0.99, etiology = 0.63 vs 0.92, and signs and symptoms = 0.69 vs 0.90). The current study surveyed experts in gerontological nutrition with experience in the diagnostic term, while Enrione (13) used a convenience sample of RDs to validate all the diagnostic terms. Experts use fewer cues compared to novices to arrive at correct diagnoses (33), and those in the current study rated 47% of the etiologies and 14% of the signs and symptoms as nonrelevant. Using experts for validation reduced the number of essential and necessary signs and symptoms in the term. This makes the language explicit and succinct for use with older adults, and lessens practitioner time required in deriving a nutrition diagnosis in the clinical setting (34). In addition, accurate diagnosing is made possible with valid and limited number of defining characteristics for the term (35). The degree of accuracy of a nutrition diagnosis can be measured by observing the number of validated signs and symptoms present in the patient state (36).

Validated Language Additions

Etiologies added from literature review, polypharmacy, high levels of emotional stress such as loss of loved one, and use of modified therapeutic diets were validated (Table). Increased amounts of medication taken are associated with poor nutritional status, and high levels of stress have an independent negative impact on energy intake (25, 37–42). Modified diets are often prescribed for the older adult, but liberalizing diet therapy based on current nutrition assessment and diagnosis is beneficial (21, 43–45). Older individuals on texture-modified diets were found to have a lower intake of energy and protein than those on normal diets (46–50). Loss of centrally distributed fat and client history of conditions associated with a diagnosis or treatment of gastrointestinal, kidney, and heart disease (51–53) were added signs and symptoms that were validated.

Missing Language

CSGs identified missing language in the term. The definition was rated by 78% of CSGs as complete, but 10% recommended “significant,” “unavoidable,” or “unintended” as added language. Eleven percent and 10% of the CSGs, respectively, reported dementia/cognitive decline and poor oral health (difficulty chewing or poor dentition) as recommended language for the etiology.
component of the term. Older adults with cognitive impairment have increased risk of unintended weight loss (54) and adverse outcomes (19, 54–56). Poor oral health is a contributing factor in the development of involuntary weight loss in the frail elderly population wearing ill-fitting dentures or edentulous (53) and leads to use of texture-modified diets, a validated etiology for involuntary weight loss by the CSGs.

Three assessment categories within the signs and symptoms component were rated incomplete. CSGs reported missing language in the biochemical data, medical tests, and procedures category. Depressed prealbu-
min (22%) and depressed albumin (16%) were the most frequent recommended signs and symptoms. The use of these indicators for measuring nutritional status or response to nutrition interventions is inconclusive. Their presence in elderly people who have involuntary weight loss correlates with other coexisting medical conditions, including inflammation and severe illness, known to alter these biochemical parameters (57). Recommended language for the nutrition-focused physical findings category were poor dentition (oral cavity changes, missing teeth, poor fitting dentures, chewing difficulty) (30%) and impaired skin integrity (skin breakdown, ulcers, and wounds) (11%). Within the client history category, 8% of the CSGs identified cognitive impairment as needed language.

The signs and symptoms (Table 1) were judged clear and understood by 79% of the CSGs. The signs and symptoms marked “do not know if characteristic” by ≥15% of the CSGs were items with low DCV scores when rated by the remaining RDs. These scores suggest that, in addition to not being relevant to the diagnosis, the signs and symptoms may not be available or observed when assessing older adults in health care settings.

A strength of this study was the use of experts in gerontological nutrition with experience in involuntary weight loss, the majority of whom practiced in long-term care in all regions of the United States. The study’s findings limit the validity of the diagnostic term to a patient population of older adults who reside in extended care facilities. It is expected that other etiologies and signs and symptoms for the diagnosis will be present in other age groups and care settings. For example, the etiologies of depression or high levels of emotional stress validated in the older institutionalized patient would not be prevalent in the younger patient. Lack of or limited access to food, an etiology not validated in this study because of the offering of food and fluids on a consistent basis, may be present in pediatric populations or individuals residing in the community. Axis within nutrition diagnostic terms based on level of care and patient classification, similar to nursing nomenclature, may evolve as research development continues through validation studies.

Future research is needed to continue refinement of the term for use in the older adult. The language additions, recommended by ≥28% of the RDs, need validation by CSGs to derive the major and minor characteristics that are tested in the next clinical phase of validation research. A Clinical Diagnosis Validation Model (27) is used to measure inter-rater independent agreement between RDs of observed presence of the etiologies and signs and symptoms within patients who have the confirmed diagnosis. This model uses the same scoring system of the Diagnostic Content Validity Model.

Conclusions

The NCP/SL was developed to provide a model for quality care and outcomes management. Validation confirms that the SL is accurate and meaningful to practice. This study validated the content of the definition and more than two thirds of the etiologies and signs and symptoms of the IDNT diagnostic term involuntary weight loss within the older adult institutionalized population. CSGs, who commonly provide care for this nutrition problem, were used as experts. The validated major and minor characteristics, including seven items from the literature review, and the recommendations for added language need to be retested using the same process among CSGs before the term is validated in the clinical setting with older adults. Additional research is required to validate the term in other patient populations and settings. Practitioners should continue to use the SL but anticipate refinement of the terms in future revisions of the IDNT as a result of validation research.
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