

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

2000 Bird Strike Committee-USA/Canada, 2nd
Annual Meeting, Minneapolis, MN

Bird Strike Committee Proceedings

August 2000

DEER MANAGEMENT AT AIRPORTS: A CASE STUDY

J. Harris Glass
USDA, Wildlife Services

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/birdstrike2000>



Part of the [Environmental Health and Protection Commons](#)

Glass, J. Harris, "DEER MANAGEMENT AT AIRPORTS: A CASE STUDY" (2000). *2000 Bird Strike Committee-USA/Canada, 2nd Annual Meeting, Minneapolis, MN. 7.*

<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/birdstrike2000/7>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Bird Strike Committee Proceedings at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2000 Bird Strike Committee-USA/Canada, 2nd Annual Meeting, Minneapolis, MN by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

DEER MANAGEMENT AT AIRPORTS: A CASE STUDY

J. Harris Glass, USDA, Wildlife Services, 900 Bland Blvd., Newport News, VA 23602 USA (757-890-1210; fax 757-877-6369; harris.glass@usda.gov)

Deer living on and around airports pose a threat to aircraft. The consequence of a deer-aircraft strike can be serious and potentially tragic. Nationally, cervids (deer) accounted for 67% of all reported mammal-aircraft strikes and have caused damage in 81% of deer strikes in the United States (Cleary et al. 1999). Due to the potential for damage, the Federal Aviation Administration and USDA-APHIS-Wildlife Services (WS) program recommend a zero-tolerance of deer on an airfield. Deer densities at Newport News / Williamsburg International Airport (PHF) were as high as 171.5 deer/mi² at the onset of the Wildlife Hazard Assessment conducted by WS. Several factors contributed to this extraordinary deer density including: inadequate fencing, abundant high quality food, insufficient hunting pressure on the AOA and a lack of hunting on adjacent properties, and unlimited security cover to provide shelter. Prior to the cooperative agreement between PHF and WS, the deer depredation plan in effect at PHF did little to limit the growth of the deer herd. However, following the removal of 113 deer by WS through sharpshooting, the deer population at PHF was successfully reduced by 88 percent, subsequently reducing the threat to aviation.