

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Industrial and Management Systems Engineering --
Instructional Materials

Industrial and Management Systems Engineering


2009

Rubric for Project Report Evaluation

Paul Savory

University of Nebraska at Lincoln, psavory2@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/imseteach>

 Part of the [Education Commons](#), [Industrial Engineering Commons](#), [Operational Research Commons](#), and the [Other Operations Research, Systems Engineering and Industrial Engineering Commons](#)

Savory, Paul, "Rubric for Project Report Evaluation" (2009). *Industrial and Management Systems Engineering -- Instructional Materials*. 6. <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/imseteach/6>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Industrial and Management Systems Engineering at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Industrial and Management Systems Engineering -- Instructional Materials by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

PROJECT REPORT EVALUATION

Team Being Evaluated	Your Team						
REPORT CHARACTERISTIC		Strongly Agree	Agree	Indifferent	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
PROJECT SUMMARY							
The executive summary <i>briefly</i> summarizes the project, objectives, analysis, and conclusions without introducing any statistical or simulation jargon or a mass of numbers generated by the simulation model.		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
PROBLEMS AND ISSUES							
The problem statement describing objectives/goals is clearly stated		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND CONCEPTUAL MODEL							
The system under study is described so that a person unfamiliar with it can understand it (possibly includes a picture).		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Performance measures for evaluated the system are defined		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Assumptions are clearly stated		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Limitations are defined		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
INPUT DATA COLLECTION							
Input data requirements - what specific data needs to be estimated/collected.		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Data collection or sampling plan is defined		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Method of sampling is appropriate		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Methods utilized in analyzing the data are correctly applied		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS							
Results are clearly stated and presented (with graphics)		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Comparisons are appropriately connected		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Conclusions are substantiated by the data presented		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Generalizations are confined to the population from which the data was drawn		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Alternative hypotheses or explanations of the data are ruled out		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
CONCLUSIONS							
State your interpretation of results and offer insight on whether you met your modeling goals.		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Improvements or modifications to the system are proposed		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
CLEAR COMMUNICATION							
Writing is clear, logical, and organized		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Work is written with clarity and without error		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Overall, how would you rate the current quality of the report

Excellent

Good

Average

Poor

Unsatisfactory

Specify any improvements needed to the report