University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Anthony F. Starace Publications

Research Papers in Physics and Astronomy

August 1978

Graphical approach to the spin-orbit interaction

Keh-Ning Huang

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Anthony F. Starace

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, astarace1@unl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/physicsstarace>

Part of the [Physics Commons](#)

Huang , Keh-Ning and Starace, Anthony F., "Graphical approach to the spin-orbit interaction" (1978).
Anthony F. Starace Publications. 9.

<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/physicsstarace/9>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Research Papers in Physics and Astronomy at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Anthony F. Starace Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Graphical approach to the spin-orbit interaction*

Keh-Ning Huang and Anthony F. Starace[†]

Behlen Laboratory of Physics, The University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588

(Received 28 February 1977)

We derive a new form for the matrix element of the two-particle mutual spin-orbit interaction in the Breit-Pauli approximation. The complexity of standard tensor-operator expansion techniques is obviated by suitably decomposing the mutual spin-orbit interaction into products of spin and orbital angular-momentum operators, whose matrix elements in the lm, sm_s scheme are obtained in a straightforward manner. Graphical representations are given for both the one- and two-particle spin-orbit interactions, thereby permitting the analytical evaluation by graphical techniques of the spin-orbit interaction between arbitrary configurations in any coupling scheme. The graphical procedure for evaluating these matrix elements is demonstrated for LS -coupled configurations having two nonequivalent electrons outside closed shells. Various properties of the two-particle mutual spin-orbit operator are easily illustrated using its graphical representation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The spin-orbit interaction plays an essential role in the calculation of atomic structure,¹⁻³ atomic oscillator strengths,⁴ and other atomic processes.⁵ However, the calculation of spin-orbit interaction in many-electron atoms is complicated by the presence of the two-particle mutual spin-orbit interaction. In the older accounts,¹ the total spin-orbit interaction of a many-electron atom is represented approximately by a sum of one-particle spin-orbit operators of the following form:

$$V_{so} = \sum_{i=1}^N \xi(r_i) \vec{L}_i \cdot \vec{S}_i, \quad (1)$$

where N is the number of electrons, and $\vec{L}_i$ and $\vec{S}_i$ are the orbital and spin angular-momentum operators of the i th electron. The spin-orbit parameter $\xi(r_i)$ is commonly taken in atomic units as

$$\xi(r_i) = \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \frac{1}{r_i} \frac{\partial V(r_i)}{\partial r_i}, \quad (2)$$

where α is the fine-structure constant, and $V(r_i)$ is the effective central potential in which the i th electron moves. Unlike the one-electron atom case, in the many-electron atom the mutual spin-orbit interaction cannot *in general* be interpreted by considering an electron moving in a central field. That is, it cannot be reduced to an effective one-particle spin-orbit operator but must be treated as a two-particle operator.

The mutual spin-orbit interaction between electrons in a many-electron atom is obtained by a series of successive approximations. The relativistic theory for a single electron is described exactly by the Dirac equation,⁶ provided one neglects quantum electrodynamic and field-theoretic effects. The existence of the electron spin gives

rise to the one-particle spin-orbit interaction in the single-electron case. For many-electron atoms, the quantum electrodynamic interaction between electrons to the lowest order in α is due to the exchange of a transverse photon.⁷ This lowest-order interaction may be approximated, to terms of order $(v/c)^2$, by the Breit interaction.⁸ In the Pauli approximation,⁹ the Breit interaction gives rise to the mutual orbit-orbit, spin-orbit, and spin-spin interactions as well as others.¹⁰ Although in a complete treatment of multiplet structure all these interactions have to be considered, the spin-orbit interaction is by far the largest one, after the Coulomb interaction, for medium and heavy atoms.

Many studies of the mutual spin-orbit interaction have been carried out.¹¹⁻²³ A number of these researches were aimed at deriving a more realistic spin-orbit parameter using Hartree-Fock wave functions. In particular, Blume and Watson¹⁷ have developed a theory of the spin-orbit parameter for many-electron atoms and applied it to calculations for a number of atoms and ions. Although they obtained a tensor-operator expansion for the mutual spin-orbit interaction, calculations were carried out only within the same configuration for atoms having a single open shell. Using Blume and Watson's tensorial expression for the mutual spin-orbit interaction, Jucys and co-workers^{21,22} obtained matrix elements of the mutual spin-orbit interaction within the same configuration. Also using Blume and Watson's tensorial expression, Jones²³ calculated its general matrix element in the lm, sm_s scheme (which will be referred to as "the m scheme" or "the zero-order coupling scheme" in this work) and pointed out a procedure to obtain SL -coupling matrix elements by adapting Eissner and Nussbaumer's²⁴ algebraic approach. A main goal of Jones'²³

formulation is to provide a numerical algorithm for the calculation of mutual spin-orbit matrix elements by digital computers; however, it is not a convenient formulation for the analytical study or calculation of the mutual spin-orbit matrix elements.

In this paper we present an alternative form for the mutual spin-orbit interaction operator in terms of products of orbital and spin angular-momentum operators. Because wave functions of the zero-order coupling scheme are usually eigenfunctions of orbital and spin angular momenta, this form yields matrix elements in a straightforward manner. The most general matrix element of the mutual spin-orbit interaction is obtained by this method and is then presented in a graphical form. This graphical form permits one to calculate the mutual spin-orbit matrix element in any coupling scheme for arbitrary configurations by standard graphical techniques.²⁵⁻²⁷ While in all cases both analytical and graphical forms are presented, we do gain in the graphical form some physical insight, similar to the visual understanding of physical processes provided by Feynman diagrams. Moreover, the graphical formulation gives a transparent representation of the angular-momentum selection rules. Although by today's electronic computers it is possible to manipulate complicated analytical expressions,³ the present graphical approach furnishes a means to obtain analytical results from easily constructed diagrams.

In Sec. II we derive the desired angular-momentum form for the mutual spin-orbit interaction. In Sec. III we obtain the general matrix element in the m scheme and introduce its graphical counterpart. In Sec. IV the procedure is given for computing the matrix element between arbitrary LS -coupled configurations using the graphical formulation of El Baz and Castel²⁶ and the covariant notations²⁸ for Wigner's 3- j symbol. The procedure is illustrated for interacting configurations having two electrons outside closed shells. In Sec. V the procedure is given for computing the matrix element between arbitrary LS -coupled configurations using the graphical formulation of Briggs.²⁷ In Sec. VI the trivial case of spin-orbit interaction in a central field is treated for completeness. The physical significance of the mutual spin-orbit interaction is reviewed in Sec. VII, where some commonly used terminologies are explicitly defined, and their graphical representations given. Also in Sec. VII the reduction of two-particle spin-orbit operators to one-particle spin-orbit operators for certain cases is demonstrated graphically, and the spin-orbit parameter corresponding to Blume and Watson's¹⁷ ζ_c is calculated. Appendices A and B sum-

marize the graphical rules used in this paper. Appendix C summarizes the results for the matrix element obtained in Sec. IV in the special case of interacting configurations having two electrons outside closed shells. Comparison is also made with certain previously tabulated results^{13,21(a),21(b)} in particular cases.

II. SPIN-ORBIT INTERACTIONS IN THE PAULI APPROXIMATION

As mentioned in the beginning of Sec. I, the quantum electrodynamic interaction between electrons to the lowest order in α can be approximated by the Breit interaction, and the Breit interaction gives rise to the mutual spin-orbit interaction, among others, in the Pauli approximation. Consequently, the total spin-orbit interaction for many-electron atoms can be written, in atomic units, as¹⁰

$$V_{so} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2} Z \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{r_i^3} \vec{L}_i \cdot \vec{S}_i + \sum_{i \neq j}^N V_{ij} \quad (3)$$

and

$$V_{ij} = -\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \left[\frac{(\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j)}{r_{ij}^3} \times \vec{p}_i \right] \cdot (\vec{S}_i + 2\vec{S}_j), \quad (4)$$

where α is the fine-structure constant, Z the nuclear charge, and N the number of electrons. Here the first term on the right-hand side of (3) accounts for the spin-orbit interaction of each electron in the field of the nucleus, and the second term arises from the mutual spin-orbit interaction between electrons, including the spin-self-orbit and spin-other-orbit contributions. Blume and Watson¹⁷ have derived a tensor-operator form for the mutual spin-orbit interaction (4), but we find, however, an alternative form in terms of products of spin and orbital angular-momentum operators. Because wave functions of the zero-order coupling scheme are usually eigenfunctions of spin and orbital angular momenta, the latter form will yield the matrix element in a straightforward manner. By inspecting the mutual spin-orbit interaction in Eq. (4), we see that the spatial part has the form $\vec{\nabla}_1(1/r_{12}) \times \vec{\nabla}_1$. We can rewrite this as

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla}_1 \left(\frac{1}{r_{12}} \right) \times \vec{\nabla}_1 &= \frac{1}{r_1^2} \left[\vec{r}_1 \times \vec{\nabla}_1 \left(\frac{1}{r_{12}} \right) \right] \times (\vec{r}_1 \times \vec{\nabla}_1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{r_1} \left[\vec{r}_1 \times \vec{\nabla}_1 \left(\frac{1}{r_{12}} \right) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial r_1} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{r_1} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r_1} \left(\frac{1}{r_{12}} \right) \right] (\vec{r}_1 \times \vec{\nabla}_1), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

which may be derived by utilizing the identities

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{A} \times (\vec{B} \times \vec{C}) &= \vec{B}(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{C}) - (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B})\vec{C}, \\ \vec{A} \times (\vec{B} \times \vec{C}) + \vec{B} \times (\vec{C} \times \vec{A}) + \vec{C} \times (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we can decompose the mutual spin-orbit interaction into three terms,

$$\begin{aligned}V_{12} &= -\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \left[\frac{(\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2)}{r_{12}^3} \times \vec{p}_1 \right] \cdot (\vec{s}_1 + 2\vec{s}_2) \\ &= V^{(1)} + V^{(2)} + V^{(3)},\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}V^{(1)} &= i \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \frac{1}{r_1^2} \left[\vec{L}_1 \left(\frac{1}{r_{12}} \right) \right] \times \vec{L}_1 \cdot (\vec{s}_1 + 2\vec{s}_2), \\ V^{(2)} &= -\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \frac{1}{r_1} \left[\vec{L}_1 \left(\frac{1}{r_{12}} \right) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial r_1} \cdot (\vec{s}_1 + 2\vec{s}_2), \\ V^{(3)} &= \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \frac{1}{r_1} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r_1} \left(\frac{1}{r_{12}} \right) \right] \vec{L}_1 \cdot (\vec{s}_1 + 2\vec{s}_2).\end{aligned}$$

Here $\vec{L}_1 = -i \vec{r}_1 \times \vec{\nabla}_1$ is the orbital angular-momentum operator of electron 1. It is worth noting that $V_{12} \neq V_{21}$, and that the total mutual spin-orbit interaction between electrons 1 and 2 is $V(12) = V_{12} + V_{21}$, which is symmetric with respect to electrons 1 and 2.

III. GENERAL MATRIX ELEMENT IN THE m SCHEME

Matrix elements of a two-particle operator in any many-particle configuration can always be expressed as linear combinations of matrix elements in corresponding two-particle configurations.²⁹ We therefore deal first with the general matrix element for two-particle configurations in the m scheme,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle ab | V_{12} | cd \rangle \\ \equiv \langle \phi_{n_a l_a m_a \mu_a}(1) \phi_{n_b l_b m_b \mu_b}(2) | V^{(1)} + V^{(2)} + V^{(3)} \\ \times | \phi_{n_c l_c m_c \mu_c}(1) \phi_{n_d l_d m_d \mu_d}(2) \rangle,\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

where n_a denotes the principal quantum number, l_a the orbital angular-momentum quantum number, and m_a and μ_a are the magnetic quantum numbers of the orbital and spin angular momenta, respec-

tively, etc. The orbitals have the explicit form

$$\phi_{nlm\mu}(i) = R_{nl}(r_i) Y_{lm}(\Omega_i) \chi_{\mu}(i), \quad (8)$$

where χ_{μ} denotes the spin eigenfunction with $s_z = \mu$. To evaluate the matrix element (7), we note that vector spherical harmonics may be generated from scalar spherical harmonics^{30,31} by the use of the orbital angular-momentum operator

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{L} Y_{lm} &= [l(l+1)]^{1/2} \vec{Y}_{l,lm} \\ &= [l(l+1)]^{1/2} \sum_q C(l1l; m-q, q) Y_{l(m-q)} \hat{e}_q,\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

and similarly,

$$\vec{s} \chi_{\mu} = [\tfrac{1}{2}(\tfrac{1}{2}+1)]^{1/2} \sum_q C(\tfrac{1}{2}1\tfrac{1}{2}; \mu-q, q) \chi_{\mu-q} \hat{e}_q. \quad (10)$$

Here $C(l1l; m-q, q)$, and $C(\tfrac{1}{2}1\tfrac{1}{2}; \mu-q, q)$ are Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, and $\hat{e}_q$ are spherical unit vectors defined in terms of Cartesian unit vectors by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{e}_{+1} &= -(1/\sqrt{2})(\hat{e}_x + i\hat{e}_y), \\ \hat{e}_0 &= \hat{e}_z, \\ \hat{e}_{-1} &= (1/\sqrt{2})(\hat{e}_x - i\hat{e}_y).\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

Making use of (10), we can easily obtain the spin part of the matrix element (7),

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \chi_{\mu_a}(1) \chi_{\mu_b}(2) | \vec{s}_1 + 2\vec{s}_2 | \chi_{\mu_c}(1) \chi_{\mu_d}(2) \rangle \\ = \delta_{\mu_b \mu_d} (\sqrt{3}/2) C(s_a 1 s_c; \mu_a, \mu_c - \mu_a) \hat{e}_{\mu_c - \mu_a} \\ + 2 \delta_{\mu_a \mu_c} (\sqrt{3}/2) C(s_b 1 s_d; \mu_b, \mu_d - \mu_b) \hat{e}_{\mu_d - \mu_b}.\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

Here in order to show the coupling of spin angular momenta explicitly, we use s_a, s_b, s_c , and s_d instead of their numerical value $\frac{1}{2}$.

For the spatial part, we note that

$$\frac{1}{r_{12}} = \sum_{lm} \frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \frac{r_{<}^l}{r_{>}^{l+1}} Y_{lm}(\Omega_1) Y_{lm}^*(\Omega_2); \quad (13)$$

therefore, the angular integration of the electron 2 reduces to

$$\int d\Omega Y_{l_b m_b}^* Y_{l_m m}^* Y_{l_d m_d} = \left(\frac{(2l_b+1)(2l+1)}{4\pi(2l_d+1)} \right)^{1/2} C(l_b l_d; m_b, m_d - m_b) C(l_b l_d; 00) \delta_{m(m_d - m_b)}. \quad (14)$$

By using (9), we can perform the angular integration of the electron 1 and obtain the results:

(i) For $V^{(1)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}i \int d\Omega Y_{l_a m_a}^* (\vec{L} Y_{lm}) \times (\vec{L} Y_{l_c m_c}) &= - \left(\frac{l(l+1)(2l+1)l_c(l_c+1)(2l_c+1)}{2\pi(2l_a+1)} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \sum_{qp} C(l1l; m-q, q) C(l_c 1 l_c; m_c - p, p) \\ &\times C(l_a l_c; m_a, m-q) C(l_a l_c; 00) C(111; qp) \delta_{m_a(m-q+m_c-p)} \hat{e}_{q+p},\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

where we have used

$$\hat{e}_q \times \hat{e}_p = i\sqrt{2}C(111; qp)\hat{e}_{q+p}, \quad (16)$$

which can be derived from the tensor property of the spherical unit vectors.

(ii) For $V^{(2)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\Omega Y_{l_a m_a}^* (\vec{L} Y_{l_m}) Y_{l_c m_c} &= \left(\frac{l(l+1)(2l+1)(2l_c+1)}{4\pi(2l_a+1)} \right)^{1/2} \sum_q C(l1l; m-q, q) C(l_a l_c; m_a, m-q) \\ &\quad \times C(l_a l_c; 00) \delta_{m_a(m-q+m_c)} \hat{e}_q. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

(iii) For $V^{(3)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\Omega Y_{l_a m_a}^* Y_{l_m} (\vec{L} Y_{l_c m_c}) &= \left(\frac{(2l+1)l_c(l_c+1)(2l_c+1)}{4\pi(2l_a+1)} \right)^{1/2} \sum_p C(l_c 1 l_c; m_c-p, p) C(l_a l_c; m_a m) \\ &\quad \times C(l_a l_c; 00) \delta_{m_a(m+m_c-p)} \hat{e}_p. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Hence it is a simple task to express the m scheme matrix element (7) in terms of radial integrals with the result:

$$\langle ab | V_{12} | cd \rangle = \langle ab | V^{(1)} | cd \rangle + \langle ab | V^{(2)} | cd \rangle + \langle ab | V^{(3)} | cd \rangle, \quad (19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ab | V^{(1)} | cd \rangle &= 6[(2l_a+1)(2l_b+1)(2l_c+1)(2l_d+1)]^{1/2} [(2l_c+1)l_c(l_c+1)]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (-)^{l_a+l_d} \sum_{lcp} [(2l+1)l(l+1)]^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} l_a & l & l_c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_b & l & l_d \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} R_l^{(1)}(ab; cd) \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} m_a & l & l_c \\ l_a & m_a-m_c+q & m_c-q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_b & l_d & -m_b+m_d \\ l_b & m_d & l \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_c & q & m_c-q \\ m_c & 1 & l_c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l & p & m_a-m_c+q \\ -m_b+m_d & 1 & l \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \left[\delta_{\mu_b \mu_d} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_a & 1 & s_c \\ s_a & \mu_a-\mu_c & \mu_c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_a-\mu_c & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & p & q \end{pmatrix} + 2\delta_{\mu_a \mu_c} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_b & 1 & s_d \\ s_b & \mu_b-\mu_d & \mu_d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_b-\mu_d & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & q & p \end{pmatrix} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (20a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ab | V^{(2)} | cd \rangle &= -2\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/2} [(2l_a+1)(2l_b+1)(2l_c+1)(2l_d+1)]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (-)^{l_a+l_d} \sum_l [(2l+1)l(l+1)]^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} l_a & l & l_c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_b & l & l_d \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} R_l^{(2)}(ab; cd) \begin{pmatrix} m_a & l_c & l \\ l_a & m_c & m_a-m_c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_b & l_d & l \\ l_b & m_d & m_b-m_d \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \left[\delta_{\mu_b \mu_d} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_a & 1 & s_c \\ s_a & \mu_a-\mu_c & \mu_c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_a-m_c & m_b-m_d & \mu_a-\mu_c \\ l & l & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\delta_{\mu_a \mu_c} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_b & 1 & s_d \\ s_b & \mu_b-\mu_d & \mu_d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_a-m_c & m_b-m_d & \mu_b-\mu_d \\ l & l & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (20b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ab | V^{(3)} | cd \rangle &= 2\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/2} [(2l_a+1)(2l_b+1)(2l_c+1)(2l_d+1)]^{1/2} [(2l_c+1)l_c(l_c+1)]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (-)^{l_a+l_d} \sum_l \begin{pmatrix} l_a & l & l_c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_b & l & l_d \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} R_l^{(3)}(ab; cd) \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} m_a & l & -m_a-m_b+m_d \\ l_a & -m_b+m_d & l_c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_b & -m_b+m_d & l_d \\ l_b & l & m_d \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \left[\delta_{\mu_b \mu_d} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_a & 1 & s_c \\ s_a & \mu_a-\mu_c & \mu_c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_a-\mu_c & l_c & l_c \\ 1 & -m_a-m_b+m_d & m_c \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\delta_{\mu_a \mu_c} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_b & 1 & s_d \\ s_b & \mu_b-\mu_d & \mu_d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_b-\mu_d & l_c & l_c \\ 1 & -m_a-m_b+m_d & m_c \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (20c)$$

The radial integrals in Eq. (20) are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
R_i^{(1)}(ab; cd) &= \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \int_0^\infty r_1^2 dr_1 \int_0^\infty r_2^2 dr_2 R_{n_a l_a}(r_1) R_{n_b l_b}(r_2) \frac{r_1^l}{r_2^{l+1}} \frac{1}{r_1^2} R_{n_c l_c}(r_1) R_{n_d l_d}(r_2), \\
R_i^{(2)}(ab; cd) &= \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \int_0^\infty r_1^2 dr_1 \int_0^\infty r_2^2 dr_2 R_{n_a l_a}(r_1) R_{n_b l_b}(r_2) \frac{r_1^l}{r_2^{l+1}} \frac{1}{r_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r_1} R_{n_c l_c}(r_1) \right) R_{n_d l_d}(r_2), \\
R_i^{(3)}(ab; cd) &= \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \int_0^\infty r_1^2 dr_1 \int_0^\infty r_2^2 dr_2 R_{n_a l_a}(r_1) R_{n_b l_b}(r_2) \frac{1}{r_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_1} \left(\frac{r_1^l}{r_2^{l+1}} \right) R_{n_c l_c}(r_1) R_{n_d l_d}(r_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $r_>$ and $r_<$ denote the greater and lesser of r_1 and r_2 , respectively. Also in Eq. (20), we have replaced all the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients by Wigner's 3- j symbols in the covariant notation²⁸ to better represent their tensor property and to avoid carrying phase factors. For example, the component of the 3- j symbol which is contravariant in the first two indices and covariant in the last is

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & m_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & j_3 \end{pmatrix} = (-)^{j_3-m_3} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & -m_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that this definition of El Baz and Castel²⁶ is different from that of Wigner,²⁸ who assigns the names "covariant" and "contravariant" inversely.

We can visualize the mutual spin-orbit interaction by presenting its matrix elements graphically. The expression (20a) is represented graphically as

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle ab | V^{(1)} | cd \rangle &= 6 [(2l_a+1)(2l_b+1)(2l_c+1)(2l_d+1)]^{1/2} [(2l_c+1)l_c(l_c+1)]^{1/2} \\
&\times (-)^{l_a+l_d} \sum_l [(2l+1)l(l+1)]^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} l_a & l & l_c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_b & l & l_d \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} R_i^{(1)}(ab; cd)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \end{array} \right\} + 2 \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ \text{Diagram 4} \end{array} \right\} \tag{22a}$$

The diagrams represent angular momentum coupling. Diagram 1 shows a central vertex with four lines: two horizontal lines at the top labeled S_b and S_d with a '2' below them, and two horizontal lines at the bottom labeled l_b and l_d with a '2' below them. Two vertical lines connect the top and bottom, labeled S_a and S_c with a '1' next to each. A diagonal line connects the two vertical lines, labeled l and l_c with a '+' sign. Diagram 2 is similar but with different labels. Diagram 3 and 4 are similar to the first two but with different labels and signs.

The notational rules used to describe a 3- j symbol are as follows:

(i) Each vertex, indicated by a node, represents a 3- j symbol: each contravariant component is represented by a line with an outgoing single arrow, and each covariant component by a line with an ingoing double arrow.

(ii) The plus (minus) sign at the vertex means that the angular momenta are to be read counter-clockwise (clockwise).

The magnetic quantum number of each angular-momentum line is usually suppressed where no confusion may occur. Also, we note that the "current" of magnetic quantum numbers is conserved at each node due to the selection rule for magnetic quantum numbers of the covariant 3- j symbol; for example,

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_b & l_d & -m_b+m_d \\ l_b & m_d & l \end{pmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram} \end{array}$$

The diagram shows a vertex with three lines: an ingoing double arrow from the bottom left labeled $l_b m_b$, an ingoing double arrow from the top left labeled $l_d (-m_b+m_d)$, and an outgoing single arrow to the right labeled $l_d m_d$.

where we have the ingoing current " $m_b + (-m_b + m_d)$ " = the outgoing current " m_d ".

The notational rules used to combine 3- j symbols are as follows:

(i) The summation, or in the tensorial term "contraction", over a pair of magnetic quantum numbers (one of which is always contravariant, and the other covariant) is performed by joining the corresponding angular-momentum lines to form a linked single-arrowed line.

(ii) The change in direction of a linked angular-momentum line j introduces a phase factor $(-)^{2j}$. As a result, we may suppress the arrow of a linked angular-momentum line j whenever j is an integer.

Although we do not write a magnetic quantum number for a linked angular-momentum line, the summation over the magnetic quantum number is always implied. Nevertheless, in many cases because of the conservation of the "magnetic current," the summation implied by a linked angular-momentum line exists only formally.

The scalar product of a covariant eigenvector

$\langle j_a m_a |$ and a contravariant eigenvector $|j_b m_b\rangle$ is denoted graphically by

$$\langle j_a m_a | j_b m_b \rangle = \begin{array}{c} j_a m_a \quad j_b m_b \\ \longrightarrow + \longrightarrow \end{array} = \delta_{j_a j_b} \delta_{m_a m_b}.$$

The number 1 or 2 at the free end of an open angular-momentum line signifies the electron 1 or 2, respectively; these electron indices will be suppressed where no confusion may occur.

With the foregoing graphical rules, we can similarly represent (20b) and (20c) by the diagrams

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ab | V^{(2)} | cd \rangle &= -2 \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/2} [(2l_a + 1)(2l_b + 1)(2l_c + 1)(2l_d + 1)]^{1/2} \\ &\times (-)^{l_a + l_d} \sum_l [(2l + 1)l(l + 1)]^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} l_a & l & l_c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_b & l & l_d \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} R_l^{(2)}(ab; cd). \end{aligned}$$

(22b)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ab | V^{(3)} | cd \rangle &= 2 \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/2} [(2l_a + 1)(2l_b + 1)(2l_c + 1)(2l_d + 1)]^{1/2} [(2l_c + 1)l_c(l_c + 1)]^{1/2} \\ &\times (-)^{l_a + l_d} \sum_l \begin{pmatrix} l_a & l & l_c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_b & l & l_d \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} R_l^{(3)}(ab; cd) \end{aligned}$$

(22c)

To facilitate the calculation of the matrix element in different coupling schemes, we find that the expressions (20a), (20b), and (20c) can be rearranged into a sum of similar diagrams. The rearrangement can be easily carried out by graphical transformation rules presented in Appendix A. For example, in the first diagram in (22c) we apply rule (A5) to the open angular-momentum lines l_a and l_c and the linked angular-momentum lines 1 and l . As a result, we obtain a sum of products of an open diagram similar to those in (22b) and a closed diagram which is just the graphical representation of a 6- j symbol. The second diagram in (22c) and both diagrams in (22a) can be reduced in a similar way to diagrams of the form of those in (22b). Thus by such procedures, we can rewrite (19) as

$$\langle ab | V_{12} | cd \rangle = \sum_{lk} Q_{lk}(ab; cd) G_{lk}(ab; cd). \quad (23)$$

The graphical portion $G_{lk}(ab; cd)$ is

$$G_{\ell k}(ab;cd) = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{2} S_b \quad S_d \xrightarrow{2} \\ | \\ \xrightarrow{1} S_a \quad S_c \xrightarrow{1} \\ | \\ 1 \\ | \\ \begin{array}{c} l_c \quad k \quad l \\ | \quad + \quad | \\ l_a \quad + \quad l_b \end{array} \\ | \quad + \quad | \\ 2 \quad \quad 2 \end{array} \\ + 2 \times \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{1} S_a \quad S_c \xrightarrow{1} \\ | \\ \xrightarrow{2} S_b \quad S_d \xrightarrow{2} \\ | \\ 2 \\ | \\ \begin{array}{c} l_c \quad k \quad l \\ | \quad + \quad | \\ l_a \quad + \quad l_b \end{array} \\ | \quad + \quad | \\ 2 \quad \quad 2 \end{array} \end{array} \quad (24)$$

or, analytically,

$$G_{\ell k}(ab;cd) = \begin{pmatrix} m_a & k & l_c \\ l_a & m_a - m_c & m_c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_b & l & l_d \\ l_b & m_b - m_d & m_d \end{pmatrix} \left[\delta_{\mu_b \mu_d} \begin{pmatrix} m_a - m_c & m_b - m_d & \mu_a - \mu_c \\ k & l & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_a & 1 & s_c \\ s_a & \mu_a - \mu_c & \mu_c \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ \left. + 2\delta_{\mu_a \mu_c} \begin{pmatrix} m_a - m_c & m_b - m_d & \mu_b - \mu_d \\ k & l & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_b & 1 & s_d \\ s_b & \mu_b - \mu_d & \mu_d \end{pmatrix} \right], \quad (25)$$

where all the summations over magnetic quantum numbers are eliminated due to the conservation of the "magnetic current" although we may formally reinstate the summations as implicitly represented by the diagram (24). The coefficient $Q_{\ell k}(ab;cd)$ in (23) is

$$Q_{\ell k}(ab;cd) = 2\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/2} [(2l_a+1)(2l_b+1)(2l_c+1)(2l_d+1)]^{1/2} (-)^{l_a+l_d} \begin{pmatrix} l_a & l & l_c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_b & l & l_d \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \left[-\delta_{\ell k} [(2l+1)l(l+1)]^{1/2} R_i^{(2)}(ab;cd) + [(2l_c+1)l_c(l_c+1)]^{1/2} (2k+1) \begin{Bmatrix} l_c & l & l_a \\ k & l_c & 1 \end{Bmatrix} I_{\ell k}(ab;cd) \right], \quad (26)$$

where the radial integral $I_{\ell k}(ab;cd)$ is defined as

$$I_{\ell k}(ab;cd) = \frac{1}{2} [l(l+1) - k(k+1) + 2] R_i^{(1)}(ab;cd) + R_i^{(3)}(ab;cd). \quad (27)$$

If we represent the factor $Q_{\ell k}(ab;cd)$ by a circle about the vertex involving angular momenta ($k l 1$), then the general matrix element (23) of the mutual spin-orbit interaction in the m scheme can be graphically represented in the simple form

$$\langle ab | V_{12} | cd \rangle = \sum_{\ell k} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{2} S_b \quad S_d \xrightarrow{2} \\ | \\ \xrightarrow{1} S_a \quad S_c \xrightarrow{1} \\ | \\ 1 \\ | \\ \begin{array}{c} l_c \quad k \quad l \\ | \quad + \quad | \\ l_a \quad + \quad l_b \end{array} \\ | \quad + \quad | \\ 2 \quad \quad 2 \end{array} \\ + 2 \times \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{1} S_a \quad S_c \xrightarrow{1} \\ | \\ \xrightarrow{2} S_b \quad S_d \xrightarrow{2} \\ | \\ 2 \\ | \\ \begin{array}{c} l_c \quad k \quad l \\ | \quad + \quad | \\ l_a \quad + \quad l_b \end{array} \\ | \quad + \quad | \\ 2 \quad \quad 2 \end{array} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (28)$$

IV. MATRIX OF SPIN-ORBIT INTERACTION IN THE LSJM SCHEME

By using the form (28) for the general matrix element of the mutual spin-orbit interaction in the

m scheme, the calculation of these matrix elements for arbitrary configurations in any coupling scheme can be carried out easily by the graphical method. Here, as an example, we will treat the particular case of configurations having two non-

equivalent electrons outside any number of closed shells in LS -coupling. The extension to the cases of equivalent electrons is given in Appendix C. Calculation of the mutual spin-orbit matrix elements for other configurations by the graphical method requires the construction of the appropriate configuration diagram. Appropriate configuration diagrams, for use with our mutual spin-orbit diagram (28), may be constructed using the techniques of El Baz and Castel.²⁶ An alternative form of the spin-orbit diagram (28), appropriate for use with configuration diagrams constructed by Briggs²⁷ techniques, is given in the next section.

In terms of m scheme eigenstates, the LS -coupled state for two nonequivalent electrons is represented by

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_{ab}(12)\rangle &= |(l_a l_b) L (s_a s_b) S J M\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\substack{m_a m_b \\ \mu_a \mu_b}} C^{m_a m_b \mu_a \mu_b} |ab\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Here $|ab\rangle$ are the m -scheme eigenstates

$$\begin{aligned} |ab\rangle &\equiv |\phi_{n_a l_a m_a \mu_a}(1) \phi_{n_b l_b m_b \mu_b}(2)\rangle \\ &= |l_a m_a(1)\rangle |s_a \mu_a(1)\rangle |l_b m_b(2)\rangle |s_b \mu_b(2)\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

and the expansion coefficients $C^{m_a m_b \mu_a \mu_b}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} C^{m_a m_b \mu_a \mu_b} &= -[(2S+1)(2L+1)(2J+1)]^{1/2} \\ &\times \sum_{m_L m_S} \begin{pmatrix} m_a & L & m_b \\ l_a & m_L & l_b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_a & S & \mu_b \\ s_a & m_S & s_b \end{pmatrix} \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} m_L & J & m_S \\ L & M & S \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_{ab}(12) | &= \sum_{\substack{m_a m_b \\ \mu_a \mu_b}} C_{m_a m_b \mu_a \mu_b} \langle ab | \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{m_a m_b \\ \mu_a \mu_b}} [(2S+1)(2L+1)(2J+1)]^{1/2} \\ &\times \sum_{m_L m_S} \begin{pmatrix} l_a & m_L & l_b \\ m_a & L & m_b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_a & m_S & s_b \\ \mu_a & S & \mu_b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L & M & S \\ m_L & J & m_S \end{pmatrix} \langle l_a m_a(1) | \langle s_a \mu_a(1) | \langle l_b m_b(2) | \langle s_b \mu_b(2) |, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

or graphically by

where the vertical bars with an ingoing double ar-

The LS -coupled state (29) can be represented in graphical form in a number of ways, but the two common forms are those of El Baz and Castel²⁶ and of Briggs.²⁷ In this paper we propose to use a modified form of El Baz and Castel's notation,²⁶ i.e., we employ 3- j diagrams to construct a configuration diagram, rather than using Clebsch-Gordan coefficient diagrams, and use a bar on an angular-momentum symbol j (i.e., $\bar{j}$) to indicate the multiplication factor $(2j+1)^{1/2}$ thus incurred.

We represent, then, the LS -coupled state (29) by the diagram

In (32) a bar on an angular-momentum symbol j indicates a multiplication factor $(2j+1)^{1/2}$. The contravariant vectors (or ket vectors) $|l_a m_a(1)\rangle$, $|s_a \mu_a(1)\rangle$, etc. are each denoted by a vertical bar with an outgoing single arrow. The dotted lines indicate the linkage of the eigenstate $|ab\rangle$ with the angular-momentum coupling coefficient $C^{m_a m_b \mu_a \mu_b}$; this corresponds to the summation over the magnetic quantum numbers m_a , m_b , μ_a , and μ_b in the analytical version (29) of the LS -coupled state.

The conjugate LS -coupled state is represented by

row denote covariant vectors (or bra vectors). Also note that $C^{m_a m_b \mu_a \mu_b} = C_{m_a m_b \mu_a \mu_b}$. For closed shells, we can simply use the m -scheme eigenstates $|l_i m_i\rangle |s_i \mu_i\rangle$ and $\langle l_i m_i | \langle s_i \mu_i |$, i.e.,

Using the graphical expressions (32) and (34) to represent the LS -coupled states of two nonequivalent electrons and using (35) to represent the closed-shell electron states, we employ (28) to evaluate matrix elements of the mutual spin-orbit interaction between configurations having two non-equivalent electrons outside closed shells. These matrix elements are conveniently divided into three categories: the interaction between the outer electrons, the interaction between the outer- and closed-shell electrons, and the interaction between the closed-shell electrons. In what follows, we consider each of these in turn.

A. Mutual spin-orbit interaction between the outer electrons

For any two-particle operator, the interacting configurations can differ at most by two orbitals.

Therefore, the most general matrix element in this case involves four different outer electron orbitals, i.e., $\langle \phi_{ab}(12) | V_{12} | \phi'_{cd}(12) \rangle$, where $|\phi'_{cd}(12)\rangle \equiv |(l_c l_d) L' (s_c s_d) S' J M\rangle$. This matrix element can be expressed as a linear combination of m -scheme matrix elements, i.e.,

$$\langle \phi_{ab}(12) | V_{12} | \phi'_{cd}(12) \rangle = \sum_{\text{all } m \text{ and } \mu} C_{m_a m_b \mu_a \mu_b} \langle ab | V_{12} | cd \rangle C'^{m_c m_d \mu_c \mu_d}. \quad (36)$$

Graphically, the summation over magnetic quantum numbers in the matrix element (36) can be carried out by joining corresponding angular-momentum lines of the diagrams

$$\langle \phi_{ab}(12) | V_{12} | \phi'_{cd}(12) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{l k} \sum_{\substack{m_a m_b m_c m_d \\ \mu_a \mu_b \mu_c \mu_d}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \\ \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \right\} \quad (37)$$

The diagrams in (37) represent the graphical expansion of the matrix element. They show the interaction between two outer electrons (a and b) and two closed-shell electrons (c and d). The diagrams are labeled with angular momentum quantum numbers l_a, l_b, l_c, l_d and spin quantum numbers s_a, s_b, s_c, s_d . The diagrams are joined by lines representing the interaction V_{12} .

This procedure yields

$$\sum_{l k} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \\ \text{Diagram 5} \end{array} \right\} \quad (38)$$

The diagrams in (38) show the final result of the procedure, which is a sum over $l k$ of two diagrams. These diagrams represent the interaction between the outer electrons and the closed-shell electrons, with the angular momentum lines joined.

To evaluate these diagrams, we first join together the remaining covariant and contravariant angular-momentum lines J and divide them by the factor $(2J+1)$ since values of the diagrams are independent of the total magnetic quantum number M . This is represented by the graphical transformation rule (A2) in Appendix A. Next we use the graphical transformation rule (A4) to separate the diagrams into

$$\sum_k \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \\ \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \right\} + 2 \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \\ \text{Diagram 5} \end{array} \right\} \quad (39)$$

These are recognizable as basic diagrams whose analytical values are given in Appendix B. By substituting the analytical value for each basic diagram in (39), we obtain the general matrix element in LS coupling for two nonequivalent electrons,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi_{ab}(12) | V_{12} | \phi'_{cd}(12) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{ik} Q_{ik}(ab; cd) (-)^{J+L'+k+1} [2 + (-)^{S+S'}] \\ & \quad \times [(2L+1)(2L'+1)(2S+1)(2S'+1)]^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S \ S' \ 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \ \frac{1}{2} \ \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S \ L \ J \\ L' \ S' \ 1 \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} l_a \ L \ l_b \\ k \ 1 \ l \end{array} \right\} \\ & \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} l_c \ L' \ l_d \end{array} \right\} \\ & \equiv D(ab; cd) . \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Since a , b , c , and d represent arbitrary orbitals, the calculation of direct and exchange terms is implied.

Now we denote the antisymmetrized LS -coupling state of the whole atom by $|\phi_{ab}(12)\phi_{\text{closed}}\rangle_A$, where ϕ_{closed} represents the normalized state of any number of closed shells, and the subscript A outside the ket signifies the antisymmetrization. Therefore, the general matrix element of the mutual spin-orbit interaction between the two nonequivalent electrons outside closed shells is

$$\left\langle \phi_{ab}(12)\phi_{\text{closed}} \left| \sum_{i \neq j}^{\text{open}} V_{ij} \right| \phi'_{cd}(12)\phi_{\text{closed}} \right\rangle_A = \langle \phi_{ab}(12) | V_{12} | \phi'_{cd}(12) \rangle_A + \langle \phi_{ab}(12) | V_{21} | \phi'_{cd}(12) \rangle_A, \quad (41)$$

where the summation is only over the outer electron pairs, and the subscript A indicates that the matrix element is taken between the antisymmetrized states. To calculate matrix elements of a two-particle operator between antisymmetrized states considered here we use the following simple two-step procedure: We first evaluate the matrix element using unsymmetrized states. Then we replace each two-particle matrix element by the difference of the direct and exchange terms. Thus, the antisymmetrization can be carried out only in the last step of evaluating the matrix elements with two-particle configurations. Consequently, we can express (41) in terms of (40) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \phi_{ab}(12)\phi_{\text{closed}} \left| \sum_{i \neq j}^{\text{open}} V_{ij} \right| \phi'_{cd}(12)\phi_{\text{closed}} \right\rangle_A &= \langle \phi_{ab}(12) | V_{12} | \phi'_{cd}(12) \rangle - \langle \phi_{ab}(12) | V_{12} | \phi'_{cd}(21) \rangle + \langle \phi_{ab}(12) | V_{21} | \phi'_{cd}(12) \rangle \\ & \quad - \langle \phi_{ab}(12) | V_{21} | \phi'_{cd}(21) \rangle \\ &= D(ab; cd) + (-)^{l_c+l_d+L'+S'} D(ab; dc) + (-)^{L+S+L'+S'} D(ba; dc) \\ & \quad + (-)^{l_a+l_b+L+S} D(ba; cd). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

The first and third terms of (42) arise from the direct contribution, and the second and fourth terms arise from the exchange contribution.

B. Mutual spin-orbit interaction between the outer electrons and the closed-shell electrons

For the interaction between the outer and closed-shell electrons, the two interacting configurations can differ at most by one orbital. However, due to the coupling between the outer electrons, the matrix element will in general involve four different orbitals for the case of two nonequivalent electrons outside closed shells. The total mutual spin-orbit interaction between a pair of electrons is $V(12) = V_{12} + V_{21}$, and

for each term there are direct and exchange contributions. Thus there are four different types of matrix elements, which we consider in turn:

$$(i) \langle \phi_{ab}(13)\phi_i(2) | V_{12} | \phi'_{cb}(13)\phi_i(2) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{ik} \sum_{\substack{m_a m_b m_c \\ \mu_a \mu_b \mu_c}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \bar{S} \\ \bar{L} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S_a \\ S_b \\ l_a \\ l_b \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S_i \\ S_i \\ S_a \\ S_c \\ l_c \\ l_i \end{array} \right\} + 2 \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S_a \\ S_c \\ S_i \\ S_i \\ l_c \\ l_i \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S_c \\ S_b \\ \bar{S}' \\ \bar{L}' \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S_i \\ S_i \\ S_a \\ S_c \\ l_c \\ l_i \end{array} \right\} \right\} \quad (43)$$

Here $\phi_i(2)$ is any m -scheme eigenstate belonging to closed shells. The summation over magnetic quantum numbers in (43) is carried out by joining corresponding angular-momentum lines, as stated in the last subsection. In addition, we sum over the closed subshell i by joining the corresponding contravariant and covariant lines of l_i and s_i . Hence, the result of summing the first term of (43) over the closed subshell i is

$$\sum_k \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \bar{S} \\ \bar{L} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S_a \\ S_b \\ l_a \\ l_b \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S_i \\ S_i \\ S_a \\ S_c \\ l_c \\ l_i \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S_i \\ S_i \end{array} \right\} \quad (44)$$

$$(ii) \langle \phi_{ab}(13)\phi_i(2) | V_{21} | \phi'_{cb}(13)\phi_i(2) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{ik} \sum_{\substack{m_a m_b m_c \\ \mu_a \mu_b \mu_c}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \bar{S} \\ \bar{L} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S_a \\ S_b \\ l_a \\ l_b \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S_a \\ S_c \\ S_i \\ S_i \\ l_c \\ l_i \end{array} \right\} + 2 \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S_a \\ S_c \\ S_i \\ S_i \\ l_c \\ l_i \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S_c \\ S_b \\ \bar{S}' \\ \bar{L}' \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S_i \\ S_i \\ S_a \\ S_c \\ l_c \\ l_i \end{array} \right\} \right\} \quad (47)$$

This has the analytical value

$$\sum_{ik} Q_{ik}(ai; ci) (-)^{J+S+S'+l_a+l_b} \delta_{l_0} \delta_{k1} \times [(2/\sqrt{3})(2l_i+1)^{1/2} [(2L+1)(2L'+1)(2S+1)(2S'+1)]^{1/2} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S' \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} L' \\ l_a \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} L \\ l_c \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ l_b \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S \\ L' \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} L \\ S' \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} J \\ 1 \end{array} \right\} \right\} \quad (45)$$

The second term in (43) gives zero contribution because upon joining the s_i lines the diagram assumes the form

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} S_i \\ S_i \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \beta \end{array} \right\} = 0. \quad (46)$$

The vanishing of (46) can be seen by virtue of the transformation rule (A3) in Appendix A.

These graphs give zero contribution after summing over closed shells. The first term results in a diagram similar to (46) after connecting the s_i lines. The second term results in a diagram of the following form after connecting the l_i lines:

By rule (A4) in Appendix A, l must equal unity. This causes Q_{ik} , represented by the circle about the $(kl1)$ vertex, to vanish due to its factor $\begin{pmatrix} l_i & l & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, which is zero for odd l .

Summarizing (i) and (ii), we have for the direct terms

$$\begin{aligned} D(aib; cib) &= \sum_{m_i \mu_i} \langle \phi_{ab}(13) \phi_i(2) | (V_{12} + V_{21}) | \phi'_{cb}(13) \phi_i(2) \rangle \\ &= \alpha^2 (2l_i + 1) R_0^{(3)}(ai; ci) \delta_{l_a l_c} g(ab; cb), \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where the Kronecker delta $\delta_{l_a l_c}$ is due to the factor $\begin{pmatrix} l_a & l & l_c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $g(ab; cb)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g(ab; cb) &= (-)^{J+S+S'+l_a+l_b+1} [(2L+1)(2L'+1)(2S+1)(2S'+1)]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/2} [(2l_a+1)l_a(l_a+1)]^{1/2} \begin{Bmatrix} S & S' & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} L' & L & 1 \\ l_a & l_c & l_b \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} S & L & J \\ L' & S' & 1 \end{Bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Note that for $a=c$, $g(ab; ab) = \langle \phi_{ab}(12) | \vec{L}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_1 | \phi'_{ab}(12) \rangle$.

(iii) The exchange counterpart of (43) is represented graphically as

$$\langle \phi_{ab}(13) \phi_i(2) | V_{12} | \phi'_{cb}(23) \phi_i(1) \rangle = \sum_{ik} \sum_{m_a m_b m_c \mu_a \mu_b \mu_c} \bar{J} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \\ \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \right\} \quad (51)$$

The diagrams in (51) are graphical representations of the exchange interaction. Diagram 1 shows a vertex with lines labeled s_a, s_b, s_c and l_a, l_b, l_c . Diagram 2 shows a vertex with lines labeled s_a, s_b, s_c and l_a, l_b, l_c . Diagram 3 shows a vertex with lines labeled s_a, s_b, s_c and l_a, l_b, l_c .

After summing over the closed subshell i , the first term of (51) gives the following connected diagram:

The analytical value of this diagram is

$$\sum_{lk} Q_{lk}(ai; ic)(-)^{J+S+S'+l_b+l_c+k+1} [(2L+1)(2L'+1)(2S+1)(2S'+1)]^{1/2} \times \left\{ \begin{matrix} S & S' & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} l & k & 1 \\ l_a & l_c & l_i \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} S & L & J \\ L' & S' & 1 \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} L' & L & 1 \\ l_a & l_c & l_b \end{matrix} \right\}. \quad (53)$$

Other than the factor of 2, the second term of (51) gives the same connected diagram as (52). Therefore, its contribution is twice the value (53).

(iv) $\langle \phi_{ab}(13)\phi_i(2) | V_{21} | \phi'_{cb}(23)\phi_i(1) \rangle$: Similarly, this exchange counterpart of (ii) can be evaluated, and the total contribution of the corresponding graph is

$$\sum_{lk} Q_{lk}(ia; ci)(-)^{J+S+S'+l_b+l_c+1} 3[(2L+1)(2L'+1)(2S+1)(2S'+1)]^{1/2} \left\{ \begin{matrix} S & S' & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} k & l & 1 \\ l_a & l_c & l_i \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} S & L & J \\ L' & S' & 1 \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} L' & L & 1 \\ l_a & l_c & l_b \end{matrix} \right\}. \quad (54)$$

From (iii) and (iv), we obtain the exchange contribution

$$E(aib; icb) = \sum_{m_i l_i} \langle \phi_{ab}(13)\phi_i(2) | (V_{12} + V_{21}) | \phi'_{cb}(23)\phi_i(1) \rangle \\ = 6(2l_i + 1) \left(\frac{2l_c + 1}{l_a(l_a + 1)} \right)^{1/2} g(ab; cb) \sum_{lk} \left(\begin{matrix} l_a & l & l_i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{matrix} \right) \left(\begin{matrix} l_c & l & l_i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{matrix} \right) T_{lk}, \quad (55)$$

where $g(ab; cb)$ has been defined in (50), and T_{lk} is defined as

$$T_{lk} = [(2l+1)l(l+1)]^{1/2} \left\{ \begin{matrix} l & l & 1 \\ l_a & l_c & l_i \end{matrix} \right\} [R_i^{(2)}(ai; ic) - R_i^{(2)}(ia; ci)] \delta_{lk} \\ + (-)^{k+l+1} (2k+1) [(2l_i+1)l_i(l_i+1)]^{1/2} \left\{ \begin{matrix} l_i & l & l_a \\ k & l_i & 1 \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} l_c & l & l_i \\ k & l_a & 1 \end{matrix} \right\} I_{lk}(ai; ic) \\ + (2k+1) [(2l_c+1)l_c(l_c+1)]^{1/2} \left\{ \begin{matrix} l_c & l & l_i \\ k & l_c & 1 \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} l_a & l & l_i \\ k & l_c & 1 \end{matrix} \right\} I_{lk}(ia; ci). \quad (56)$$

In terms of (49) and (55), we can express the general matrix element of the mutual spin-orbit interaction between the outer electrons and the closed-shell electrons as

$$\langle \phi_{ab}(12)\phi_{\text{closed}} | \sum_{i \neq j}^{(\text{open, closed})} V_{ij} | \phi'_{cb}(12)\phi_{\text{closed}} \rangle_A = \sum_{n_i l_i}^{\text{closed}} [D(aib; cib) - E(aib; icb)], \quad (57)$$

where the summation symbol on the left indicates that only open-closed and closed-open pairs are to be included, and the summation on the right is over all closed shells.

C. Mutual spin-orbit interaction between closed-shell electrons

The vanishing of this contribution has been noted by Blume and Watson.¹⁷ This can be seen easily by considering the appropriate m -scheme matrix element

$$\langle ij | V_{12} | ij \rangle = \sum_{lk} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1: A central vertex with four lines. Top two lines are labeled } S_j, S_j \text{ and } S_i, S_i. Bottom two lines are labeled } l_i, k \text{ and } l, l_j. \end{array} \\ \text{Diagram 2: A central vertex with four lines. Top two lines are labeled } S_i, S_i \text{ and } S_j, S_j. Bottom two lines are labeled } l_i, k \text{ and } l, l_j. \end{array} \right\} + 2 \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3: A central vertex with four lines. Top two lines are labeled } S_i, S_i \text{ and } S_j, S_j. Bottom two lines are labeled } l_i, k \text{ and } l, l_j. \end{array} \right\} \quad (58)$$

where i and j may or may not represent the same subshell. Upon summing over the closed subshell either i or j [which corresponds to joining the concerned contravariant and covariant lines of (58)], we obtain diagrams having the form of (46) or (48), which give zero contribution. The exchange diagrams of (58) also vanish upon summing over a closed subshell because they lead to diagrams having the form of (46).

D. Summary remarks

The graphical technique developed in this section may be used for the calculation of mutual spin-orbit matrix elements between arbitrary configurations. The construction of more complicated configuration diagrams may follow El Baz and Castel.²⁶ As stated in the beginning of Sec. III, matrix elements of a two-particle operator in the many-particle configuration can always be expressed as linear combinations of matrix elements in corresponding two-particle configurations. Graphically, this corresponds to joining contravariant-covariant angular-momentum pairs in a diagram similar to (37), with appropriate diagrams for the interacting configurations. All the coefficients concerning the coupling scheme of the interacting configurations are contained in the resulting connected diagram, which is similar to (38). Alternatively, we may adopt an analytical approach by using the analytical expression (25) of $G_{ih}(ab; cd)$ instead of its graphical version (24) although this approach is more laborious than the graphical one.

We summarize in Appendix C the results for the matrix element obtained in this section in the special case of interacting configurations having two electrons outside closed shells. Comparison is also made with certain previously tabulated results in particular cases.

V. m -SCHEME MUTUAL SPIN-ORBIT DIAGRAM APPROPRIATE FOR USE WITH BRIGGS' CONFIGURATION DIAGRAMS

Briggs' paper²⁷ on graphical methods of evaluating matrix elements only considered spin-independent interactions in LS coupling; therefore Briggs found it convenient to consider spin and orbital angular-momentum graphs separately. In this section we show first that in LS coupling, even spin-dependent interaction diagrams can always be factored into spin and orbital parts. We then present our m -scheme spin-orbit matrix element (28) in an alternative form appropriate for use with Briggs' configuration diagrams.

For any interacting LS -coupled configurations, the matrix element of spin-orbit interaction can always be factored into a product of an orbital

diagram, a spin diagram, and a 6- j symbol accounting for the transformation from the LSM_sM_L scheme to the $LSJM$ scheme. An example is shown by (39). This factorization is due to the fact that spin and orbital momenta are coupled separately for LS -coupled configurations. Specifically, in the diagram below we have two arbitrary LS -coupled configurations at the top and bottom whose total spin and orbital angular momenta are coupled to total angular momentum J . In the middle is the m -scheme mutual spin-orbit diagram (28):

By separating the diagram along the dotted lines according to rule (A4) we obtain the desired factorization:

$$\sum_{l,k} \bar{a}_{lk} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Orbital Diagram} \end{array} \right] + \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{6-j Symbol} \end{array} \right] + \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Spin Diagram} \end{array} \right] \quad (59)$$

Here the diagram on the left is an orbital diagram, the diagram on the right is a spin diagram, and the diagram in the middle represents a 6- j symbol describing the coupling of total spin and orbital angular momenta.

Alternatively, we can factor the matrix element of mutual spin-orbit interaction in the m scheme into a spin part and an orbital part from the outset, and obtain diagrams in the spin and orbital space separately. Although this procedure does not simplify our calculation in any way, it does give some physical insight. We first factor (25) into a spin part and an orbital part:

$$G_{ih}(ab; cd) = \sum_{\nu} [S_{\nu}(ab; cd) + 2S_{\nu}(ba; dc)] \times L_{ih}^{\nu}(ab; cd), \quad (60)$$

where

$$S_\nu(ab;cd) = \delta_{\mu_b \mu_d} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_a & 1 & \mu_c \\ s_a & \nu & \mu_c \end{pmatrix} \quad (61)$$

and

$$L_{lk}^\nu(ab;cd) = \begin{pmatrix} m_a & k & l_c \\ l_a & m_a - m_c & m_c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_b & l & l_d \\ l_b & m_b - m_d & m_d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_a - m_c & m_b - m_d & \nu \\ k & l & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (62)$$

Note that the summation over the magnetic quantum number ν only exists formally. Thus we can rewrite (23) as

$$\langle ab | V_{12} | cd \rangle = \sum_\nu S_\nu \mathcal{L}^\nu, \quad (63)$$

where the spin part is denoted by

$$\mathcal{S}_\nu = S_\nu(ab;cd) + 2S_\nu(ba;dc) = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 2 \xrightarrow{S_b} \quad \xrightarrow{S_d} 2 \\ | \\ 1 \xrightarrow{S_a} \quad \xrightarrow{S_c} 1 \end{array} \\ + 2 \times \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 1 \xrightarrow{S_a} \quad \xrightarrow{S_c} 1 \\ | \\ 2 \xrightarrow{S_b} \quad \xrightarrow{S_d} 2 \end{array} \\ \downarrow 1\nu \end{array} \end{array} \quad (64)$$

Note that, when taking the matrix element in the spin space, the free angular-momentum line 1ν in (64) is to be joined with the total spins of the two interacting configurations to form a closed diagram multiplied by a diagram representing a 3- j symbol [cf. rule (A4)]. The orbital part of (63) is denoted by

$$\mathcal{L}^\nu = \sum_{lk} Q_{lk}(ab;cd) L_{lk}^\nu(ab;cd) = \sum_{lk} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} l_c \quad k \quad l \\ | \quad \oplus \quad | \\ l_a \quad \quad l_b \\ | \quad \quad | \\ 2 \quad \quad 2 \end{array} \end{array} \quad (65)$$

Similarly, when taking the matrix element in the orbital space, the free angular-momentum line 1ν is to be joined with the total orbital angular momenta of the two interacting configurations. The two 3- j diagrams obtained, one from the spin space and the other from the orbital space, will be combined with two other 3- j diagrams, accounting for the coupling of the total spin and orbital angular momenta to the total J in the two interacting configurations, to form a 6- j diagram. The final result has been shown schematically by (59).

Upon changing the signs of the nodes in (64) and (65) and eliminating the double arrow notation, we obtain mutual spin-orbit diagrams in the m scheme suitable for use with Briggs²⁷ LS -coupled configuration diagrams. Thus we represent (64) as

$$\mathcal{S}_\nu = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 2 \xrightarrow{S_b} \quad \xrightarrow{S_d} 2 \\ | \\ 1 \xrightarrow{S_a} \quad \xrightarrow{S_c} 1 \end{array} \\ + 2 \times \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 1 \xrightarrow{S_a} \quad \xrightarrow{S_c} 1 \\ | \\ 2 \xrightarrow{S_b} \quad \xrightarrow{S_d} 2 \end{array} \\ \downarrow 1\nu \end{array} \end{array} \quad (66)$$

and its exchange counterpart as

$$\mathcal{S}'_\nu = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 2 \xrightarrow{S_b} \quad \xrightarrow{S_d} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \xrightarrow{S_a} \quad \xrightarrow{S_c} 2 \end{array} \\ + 2 \times \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 2 \xrightarrow{S_a} \quad \xrightarrow{S_c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \xrightarrow{S_b} \quad \xrightarrow{S_d} 2 \end{array} \\ \downarrow 1\nu \end{array} \end{array} \quad (67)$$

Similarly, we can represent (65) as

$$\mathcal{L}^\nu = \sum_{lk} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} l_a \quad l_c \\ | \quad | \\ k \quad 1\nu \\ | \quad | \\ l_b \quad l_d \\ 2 \quad 2 \end{array} \end{array} \quad (68)$$

and its exchange counterpart as

$$\mathcal{L}'^\nu = \sum_{lk} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} l_a \quad l_c \\ | \quad | \\ k \quad 1\nu \\ | \quad | \\ l_b \quad l_d \\ 2 \quad 2 \end{array} \end{array} \quad (69)$$

Here the circle at the vertex involving k and l represents the multiplication factor $Q_{ik}(ab;cd)$ in Eq. (26). When using the diagrams in (66)–(69) in conjunction with Briggs' rules²⁷ for computing matrix elements, Fig. (3.11) of Ref. 27 should be consulted. Firstly, Briggs' $\rho, \sigma, \rho', \sigma'$ notations correspond to our a, b, c, d . Secondly, our diagrams (66) and (68) correspond to the spin and orbital parts of Briggs' general diagram (3.11a); our (67) and (69) to his (3.11b); our (66) and (68) in which lines a and b are crossed to Briggs' (3.11c); and lastly, our (67) and (69) in which lines a and b are crossed to his (3.11d). The matrix element of the spin-orbit interaction between arbitrary configurations is now obtained by evaluating the matrix element of each of the four spin and orbital interaction diagrams corresponding to (3.11 a–d) in Ref. 27 using the rules in Sec. 6.1 of Ref. 27. When applying these rules the following minor modifications of rules (iii) and (x) should be observed: (iii) There is an overall factor (-1) corresponding to Briggs' diagram (3.11b) and (3.11c); (x) When connecting the free 1ν line in (66)–(69) with total spin and orbital angular momentum, the sign conventions in our diagram (59) should be followed. Lastly, the $6j$ symbol in our (59),

$$(-1)^{L+S+J+L'+1} \begin{Bmatrix} L & L' & 1 \\ S' & S & J \end{Bmatrix},$$

multiplies the overall matrix element.

VI. SPIN-ORBIT INTERACTION IN A CENTRAL FIELD

For completeness, we present here a graphical treatment for the trivial case of spin-orbit interaction in a central field. The interaction Hamiltonian assumes the general form

$$V_{so}^{(c)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \zeta(r_i) \vec{L}_i \cdot \vec{S}_i. \quad (70)$$

Any two interacting configurations can differ at most by one orbital, although they must have the same set of angular-momentum quantum numbers. We first derive the matrix element in the m scheme,

$$\langle \phi_{n_a l_a m_a \mu_a}(i) | \zeta(r_i) \vec{L}_i \cdot \vec{S}_i | \phi_{n_c l_c m_c \mu_c}(i) \rangle = \zeta_{ac} (a | \vec{L}_i \cdot \vec{S}_i | c), \quad (71)$$

where the radial integral ζ_{ac} is defined by

$$\zeta_{ac} = \int_0^\infty r_i^2 dr_i R_{n_a l_a}(r_i) \zeta(r_i) R_{n_c l_c}(r_i), \quad (72)$$

and we use the rounded bra $(a |$ and ket $|c\rangle$ to denote the angular and spin part of the m -scheme states $\langle \phi_{n_a l_a m_a \mu_a}(i) |$ and $| \phi_{n_c l_c m_c \mu_c}(i) \rangle$, respectively. Making use of (9) and (10), we can easily obtain

$$(a | \vec{L}_i \cdot \vec{S}_i | c) = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/2} [(2l_a + 1)l_a(l_a + 1)]^{1/2} G(a; c), \quad (73)$$

where

$$G(a; c) = \delta_{l_a l_c} \sum_{\nu} \begin{pmatrix} m_a & l_c & \nu \\ l_a & m_c & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_a & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \nu & \mu_c \end{pmatrix}, \quad (74)$$

or, graphically,

$$G(a; c) = \begin{array}{c} s_a \quad s_c \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ | \\ 1 \\ | \\ l_a \quad l_c = l_a \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \end{array}. \quad (75)$$

Here the summation over ν only exists formally since $\nu = m_c - m_a = \mu_c - \mu_a$ due to the conservation of the "magnetic current" at each node or, analytically, due to the selection rule for magnetic quantum numbers of the covariant $3-j$ symbol. Thus we can write (71) as

$$\langle a | \zeta(r_i) \vec{L}_i \cdot \vec{S}_i | c \rangle = \Delta(a; c) G(a; c) = \begin{array}{c} s_a \quad s_c \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ | \\ 1 \\ | \\ l_a \quad l_c = l_a \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \end{array}, \quad (76)$$

where $\Delta(a; c)$ is defined as

$$\Delta(a; c) = \zeta_{ac} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/2} [(2l_a + 1)l_a(l_a + 1)]^{1/2}, \quad (77)$$

and represented by a triangle at the node involving l_a and l_c .

As an example of the use of (76) to compute matrix elements of (70) between LS -coupled states, we will once again treat the case of configurations having two nonequivalent electrons outside any number of closed shells. The matrix element of spin-orbit interaction of an electron in the closed subshell j ,

$$\langle \phi_{n_j l_j m_j \mu_j}(i) | \zeta(r_i) \vec{L}_i \cdot \vec{S}_i | \phi_{n_j l_j m_j \mu_j}(i) \rangle,$$

can be represented graphically as

$$\begin{array}{c} s_j \quad s_j \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ | \\ 1 \\ | \\ l_j \quad l_j \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \end{array}.$$

The summation over the magnetic quantum number m_j or μ_j corresponds to joining the free ends of angular-momentum lines l_j or s_j , respectively. This gives zero contribution, as may be seen immediately by virtue of (46). Hence the summation over electrons in closed shells vanishes.

For the spin-orbit interaction of the outer electrons, the two interacting configurations may differ by one orbital. Therefore, we consider the matrix element $\langle \phi_{ab}(12) | \zeta(r_1) \tilde{L}_1 \cdot \tilde{S}_1 | \phi'_{cb}(12) \rangle$, which has the graphical form

Its analytical value is

$$\Delta(a; c)(-)^{J+S+S'+l_a+l_b+1} [(2L+1)(2L'+1)(2S+1)(2S'+1)]^{1/2} \times \left\{ \begin{matrix} S & S' & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} L' & L & 1 \\ l_a & l_c & l_b \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} S & L & J \\ L' & S' & 1 \end{matrix} \right\}. \quad (79)$$

Thus the general matrix element for the whole atom is given by

$$\langle \phi_{ab}(12) \phi_{\text{closed}} | \sum_{i=1}^N \zeta(r_i) \tilde{L}_i \cdot \tilde{S}_i | \phi'_{cb}(12) \phi_{\text{closed}} \rangle_A = \langle \phi_{ab}(12) | \zeta(r_1) \tilde{L}_1 \cdot \tilde{S}_1 | \phi'_{cb}(12) \rangle = \delta_{l_a l_c} \zeta_{ac} g(ab; cb), \quad (80)$$

where $g(ab; cb)$ and ζ_{ac} have been defined in (50) and (72), respectively. The extension of the present graphical method to more complex configurations is straightforward and can easily be obtained by using (76) and graphical representations of the corresponding configurations.^{26,27} There is also an existing computer program by Klotz³² to compute matrix elements of (70) using an analytical approach.

VII. DISCUSSION

A. Analysis of the various contributions to the mutual spin-orbit interaction

In order to review the physical meaning of the mutual spin-orbit interaction, we write the mutual spin-orbit interaction between electrons i and j in the form²

$$V(ij) = -\alpha^2 \left\{ \frac{(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_j)}{r_{ij}^3} \times (\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_j) - \frac{(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_j)}{2r_{ij}^3} \times \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i \right\} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_i - \alpha^2 \left\{ \frac{(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_j - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_i)}{r_{ij}^3} \times (\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_j - \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i) - \frac{(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_j - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_i)}{2r_{ij}^3} \times \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_j \right\} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_j. \quad (81)$$

Here inside the first pair of curly brackets, the first term may be derived from classical arguments. It is proportional to the angular momentum of the i th electron with respect to the j th electron. The second term is of purely kinematic origin due to a relativistic effect called the Thomas precession.³³ We have a similar account for the terms in the second pair of curly brackets. Physically, the expression in the first pair of curly brackets is proportional to the effective magnetic field experienced by the i th electron with respect to the j th electron, and the expression in the second pair

of curly brackets is proportional to the effective magnetic field experienced by the j th electron with respect to the i th electron. Since the spin angular-momentum operator is proportional to the spin magnetic moment of the electron, the mutual spin-orbit interaction (81) simply represents a certain type of mutual magnetic interaction between electrons. On grouping separately terms involving $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_j$, we retrieve the commonly used form

$$V(ij) = -\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \left\{ \frac{(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_j)}{r_{ij}^3} \times \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i \right\} \cdot (\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_i + 2\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_j) - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \left\{ \frac{(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_j - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_i)}{r_{ij}^3} \times \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_j \right\} \cdot (\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_j + 2\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_i). \quad (82)$$

In this discussion, we shall call terms involving $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ of the same electron the *spin-self-orbit interaction*, and terms involving $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ of different electrons the *spin-other-orbit interaction*. The *mutual spin-orbit interaction* has been and will be used to refer to both the spin-self-orbit and spin-other-orbit interactions. To facilitate our discussion, we shall further call those terms included in the first pair of curly brackets in (81) the *mutual spin-orbit interaction in the field of the j th electron*, and those terms included in the second pair of curly brackets in (81) the *mutual spin-orbit interaction in the field of the i th electron*. In the zero-order coupling scheme, each contribution to the total mutual spin-orbit interaction between two electrons can be summarized using the graphical diagram (24):

(i) Spin-self-orbit interaction of the electron 1 in the field of the electron 2:

(83)

(85)

(ii) Spin-other-orbit interaction of the electron 1 in the field of the electron 2:

(84)

(iii) Spin-self-orbit interaction of the electron 2 in the field of the electron 1:

(iv) Spin-other-orbit interaction of the electron 2 in the field of the electron 1:

(86)

B. Graphical reduction of the two-particle spin-orbit interaction to an effective one-particle spin-orbit interaction in certain cases

There are certain simplifications when considering the mutual spin-orbit interaction between an open-shell electron and a closed shell within the Hartree-Fock description of the atom.

(i) The direct contribution to the spin-self-orbit interaction of an open-shell electron in the field of a closed shell always behaves like an effective one-particle spin-orbit interaction. This can be seen by considering the corresponding diagram

$$\sum_{m_i \mu_i} \text{Diagram} = \frac{-\delta_{l_0} \delta_{K1}}{\sqrt{3(2l_i+1)}} \text{Diagram} \delta_{l_a l_c} Q_{01}(a_i; c_i) \times \text{Diagram} \quad (87)$$

which couples (or connects graphically) the interacting configurations in the same way as the one-particle spin-orbit interaction (76). Here the Kronecker delta $\delta_{l_a l_c}$ is due to the factor $\begin{pmatrix} l_a & 1 & l_c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in Q_{1k} , which is denoted by the circle about the $(kl1)$ node. This result can also be deduced by noting that the field of a closed shell is a central one. A specific example for two nonequivalent electrons outside any number of closed shells is illustrated by (44).

(ii) The exchange contribution to the spin-self-orbit interaction of an open-shell electron in the field of a closed shell behaves like an effective one-particle spin-orbit interaction only within a configuration.

The exchange contribution is

$$\sum_{m_i, \mu_i} \text{Diagram} = \text{Triangle} \times \text{Vertical} \quad (88)$$

This is an effective one-particle operator. But since we do not have the kronecker delta $\delta_{l_a l_c}$ here, this only behaves like a one-particle spin-orbit interaction within a configuration, in which case the condition $l_a = l_c$ is guaranteed.

(iii) The direct contribution to the spin-self-orbit interaction of a closed shell in the field of any other electron vanishes. This can be seen immediately from the diagram

$$\sum_{m_i, \mu_i} \text{Diagram} = \text{Circle} \rightarrow \text{Box} = 0, \quad (89)$$

which gives zero contribution due to (46). This may also be expected intuitively by noting that the total spin and orbital angular momenta are zero for a closed shell.

(iv) The exchange contribution to the spin-self-orbit interaction of a closed shell in the field of any other electron is an effective one-particle spin-orbit interaction only within a configuration. The exchange contribution has the diagram

$$\sum_{m_i, \mu_i} \text{Diagram} = \text{Triangle} \times \text{Vertical} \quad (90)$$

As before, this is a one-particle operator, but only within a configuration can this be treated as an effective one-particle spin-orbit interaction.

(v) For the spin-self-orbit interaction between open-shell electrons, neither the direct nor the exchange contributions can in general be considered as an effective one-particle spin-orbit interaction. Only within the same configuration are part of the contributions proportional to a one-particle spin-orbit interaction, e.g., for $l = 0$,

$$= \frac{-\delta_{kl}}{\sqrt{3(2l_b+1)}} Q_{01}(ab;ab)x$$
(91)

and

$$= \frac{-\delta_{l_a l_b} \delta_{kl}}{\sqrt{3(2l_a+1)}} Q_{01}(ab;ba)x$$
(92)

(vi) The direct contribution to the spin-other-orbit interaction of an electron in the field of a closed shell vanishes. Graphically, this is elucidated by the diagram

$$= \text{Diagram} = 0.$$
(93)

This is what would be expected intuitively since the total orbital angular momentum of a closed shell is zero.

(vii) The exchange contribution to the spin-other-orbit interaction of an electron in the field of a closed shell behaves like an effective one-particle spin-orbit interaction. The diagram for the exchange contribution is the same as its spin-self-orbit counterpart (88), but twice as large.

(viii) The direct contribution to the spin-other-orbit interaction of a closed shell in the field of any other electron vanishes. Graphically, we have

$$= \text{Diagram}$$
(94)

This diagram vanishes for the same reason that diagram (48) vanishes. This conclusion also follows from the intuitive argument that the total spin angular momentum of a closed shell is zero.

(ix) *The exchange contribution to the spin-other-orbit interaction of a closed shell in the field of any other electron is an effective one-particle spin-orbit interaction only within a configuration.* The diagram for the exchange contribution is the same as its spin-self-orbit counterpart (90), but twice as large.

(x) *Only part of the spin-other-orbit interaction between open-shell electrons can be related to an effective one-particle spin-orbit interaction.* This result is similar to that for the spin-self-orbit case. For two open-shell electrons (either equivalent or nonequivalent) which are directly coupled to each other, all contributions to the spin-other-orbit interaction between them have a magnitude twice as large as those of their spin-self-orbit counterparts and a relative phase factor $(-)^{s+s'}$, where s and s' are the resultant spin angular momenta of the electron pair under consideration in the two interacting configurations. We can easily verify this graphically,

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu_a \mu_b \\ \mu_c \mu_d}} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{S} & S_a & S_b & S_d & S_c & \bar{S}' \\ & + & & & & \\ & S_b & & & & \\ & & S_a & & S_c & \\ & & + & & & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & l_c & k & + & l & l_b \\ & + & & & + & \\ & l_a & & & & l_d \end{array} \\ = \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{S} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \bar{S}' \\ & - & + & - & + \\ & & \frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} \\ & & + & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & l_c & k & + & l & l_b \\ & + & & & + & \\ & l_a & & & & l_d \end{array} \end{array} \quad (95)$$

$$2 \sum_{\substack{\mu_a \mu_b \\ \mu_c \mu_d}} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{S} & S_a & S_b & S_d & S_c & \bar{S}' \\ & + & & & & \\ & S_b & & & & \\ & & S_a & & S_c & \\ & & + & & & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & l_c & k & + & l & l_b \\ & + & & & + & \\ & l_a & & & & l_d \end{array} \\ = 2(-)^{s+s'} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{S} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \bar{S}' \\ & - & + & - & + \\ & & \frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} \\ & & + & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & l_c & k & + & l & l_b \\ & + & & & + & \\ & l_a & & & & l_d \end{array} \end{array} \quad (96)$$

Other cases can be analyzed similarly. However, the relative magnitude and phase factor depend in general on the coupling scheme of the interacting configurations.

Note that except for (95) and (96), the results we have obtained so far were derived without making any assumption about the coupling scheme of the interacting configurations; therefore, they are valid in any coupling scheme. Also note the interesting fact that the spin-other-orbit interaction in many cases contributes twice as much as the spin-self-orbit interaction, a fact also noted by Blume and Watson.¹⁷ This can be attributed to the Thomas precession,³³ which reduces the spin-self-orbit interaction by half but does not affect the spin-other-orbit interaction.

C. Derivation of an effective spin-orbit parameter ζ_c equivalent to that of Blume and Watson

The result that a large part of the mutual spin-orbit interaction within the same configuration can be regarded as an effective one-particle spin-orbit interaction has been noted implicitly or explicitly by other authors.¹¹⁻¹⁷ In fact, a major effort in the past has been the derivation of effective spin-orbit parameters for many-electron atoms. Blume and Watson¹⁷ derived an effective spin-orbit parameter ζ_c for configurations having a single open shell by considering only the mutual spin-orbit interaction between the open- and closed-shell electrons. Using our graphical approach, we derive an effective spin-orbit parameter ζ_c

which is equivalent to that of Blume and Watson.¹⁷

Blume and Watson's definition for ζ_c can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_c \langle a | \vec{L}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_1 | a \rangle &= \langle a | \frac{Z\alpha^2}{2} \frac{1}{r_1^3} \vec{L}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_1 | a \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{n_b l_b}^{\text{closed}} \sum_{m_b \mu_b} [\langle ab | (V_{12} + V_{21}) | ab \rangle \\ &- \langle ab | (V_{12} + V_{21}) | ba \rangle]. \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

According to (71), the left-hand side is simply

$$\zeta_c \langle a | \vec{L}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_1 | a \rangle = \zeta_c(a | \vec{L}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_1 | a), \quad (98)$$

and the first term on the right-hand side of (97) is

$$\langle a | \frac{Z\alpha^2}{2} \frac{1}{r_1^3} \vec{L}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_1 | a \rangle = \frac{Z\alpha^2}{2} \left\langle \frac{1}{r_1^3} \right\rangle_a \langle a | \vec{L}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_1 | a \rangle, \quad (99)$$

where

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{r_1^3} \right\rangle_a = \int_0^\infty r_1^2 dr_1 R_{n_a l_a}^2(r_1) \frac{1}{r_1^3}.$$

Here for easy reference, we repeat $(a | \vec{L}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_1 | a)$ which was defined in (73),

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n_b l_b}^{\text{closed}} \sum_{m_b \mu_b} [\langle ab | (V_{12} + V_{21}) | ab \rangle - \langle ab | (V_{12} + V_{21}) | ba \rangle] \\ &= (a | \vec{L}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_1 | a) \sum_{n_b l_b}^{\text{closed}} \left[-4(2l_b + 1)M^0(ab) - 6(2l_b + 1) \left(\frac{2l_a + 1}{l_a(l_a + 1)} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{ik} \begin{pmatrix} l_a & l & l_b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 T_{ik} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

Here we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ik} &= [(2l + 1)l(l + 1)]^{1/2} \begin{Bmatrix} l & l & 1 \\ l_a & l_a & l_b \end{Bmatrix} V^{l-1}(ab) \delta_{ik} + t_{ik} (2k + 1) \begin{Bmatrix} l_a & l & l_b \\ k & l_a & 1 \end{Bmatrix} \\ &\times \left[(-)^{k+l+1} [(2l_b + 1)l_b(l_b + 1)]^{1/2} \begin{Bmatrix} l_b & l & l_a \\ k & l_b & 1 \end{Bmatrix} + [(2l_a + 1)l_a(l_a + 1)]^{1/2} \begin{Bmatrix} l_a & l & l_b \\ k & l_a & 1 \end{Bmatrix} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

and

$$t_{l(l-1)} = (2l + 1)N^{l-2}(ab), \quad t_{ll} = (l + 1)N^{l-2}(ab) - lN^l(ab), \quad t_{l(l+1)} = -(2l + 1)N^l(ab). \quad (104)$$

Hence from (97)–(99) and (102), we can write our expression for the spin-orbit parameter as

$$\zeta_c = \frac{Z\alpha^2}{2} \left\langle \frac{1}{r_1^3} \right\rangle_a - \sum_{n_b l_b}^{\text{closed}} 2(2l_b + 1) \left[2M^0(ab) + 3 \left(\frac{2l_a + 1}{l_a(l_a + 1)} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{ik} \begin{pmatrix} l_a & l & l_b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 T_{ik} \right]. \quad (105)$$

Alternatively, we can also start from (22a), (22b), and (22c) and by a similar procedure get

$$\zeta_c = \frac{Z\alpha^2}{2} \left\langle \frac{1}{r_1^3} \right\rangle_a - \sum_{n_b l_b}^{\text{closed}} 2(2l_b + 1) \left[2M^0(ab) + 3 \sum_i \begin{pmatrix} l_a & l & l_b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 S_i \right], \quad (106)$$

$$(a | \vec{L}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_1 | a) = \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^{1/2} [(2l_a + 1)l_a(l_a + 1)]^{1/2}$$

$$\times \sum_{\nu} \begin{pmatrix} m_a & l_a & \nu \\ l_a & m_a & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_a & 1 & s_a \\ s_a & \nu & \mu_a \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \left[\frac{3}{2} (2l_a + 1)l_a(l_a + 1) \right]^{1/2} \times$$

(100)

Here the summation over ν only exists formally since $\nu = 0$.

The second term on the right-hand side of (97) has been calculated more generally in (87)–(90), (93), and (94). By using the analytic expressions associated with those diagrams and substituting the following relations between our radial integrals (21) and the radial integrals $N^l(ab)$, $N^{l-2}(ab)$, $V^{l-1}(ab)$, and $M^0(ab)$ of Blume and Watson,¹⁷

$$\begin{aligned} R_l^{(1)}(ab; ba) &= R_l^{(1)}(ba; ab) = N^{l-2}(ab) + N^l(ab), \\ R_l^{(2)}(ab; ba) - R_l^{(2)}(ba; ab) &= V^{l-1}(ab), \\ R_0^{(3)}(ab; ab) &= -M^0(ab), \\ R_l^{(3)}(ab; ba) &= R_l^{(3)}(ba; ab) \\ &= lN^{l-2}(ab) - (l + 1)N^l(ab), \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

we obtain the following result:

where

$$S_I = \left(\frac{2l_a + 1}{l_a(l_a + 1)} \right)^{1/2} [(2l + 1)l(l + 1)]^{1/2} \begin{Bmatrix} l_a & l_a & 1 \\ l & l & l_b \end{Bmatrix} [N^I(ab) + N^{I-2}(ab) - V^{I-1}(ab)] \\ + \left[-1 + \left(\frac{2l_a + 1}{l_a(l_a + 1)} \right)^{1/2} [(2l_b + 1)l_b(l_b + 1)]^{1/2} \begin{Bmatrix} l_a & l_a & 1 \\ l_b & l_b & l \end{Bmatrix} \right] [lN^{I-2}(ab) - (l + 1)N^I(ab)]. \quad (107)$$

The expressions (105) and (106) and Blume and Watson's¹⁷ expression for the spin-orbit parameter ζ_o are all equivalent to each other. Although a general proof of equivalence is not given here, one can easily show the equivalence for the specific cases $l_b = 0$, $l_b = 1$, etc. by substituting the corresponding values for l_b in the expressions.

APPENDIX A: TRANSFORMATION RULES FOR GRAPHS

There are only two fundamental transformation rules: Rule (1):

$$\sum_k (2k + 1) \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \downarrow j_1 \\ \downarrow j_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \downarrow k \\ \downarrow k \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow j_1 \\ \uparrow j_2 \end{array} \beta = \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \downarrow j_1 \\ \downarrow j_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow j_1 \\ \uparrow j_2 \end{array} \beta. \quad (A1)$$

This rule follows from the graphical relation for 3- j symbols,

$$\sum_{km} (2k + 1) \begin{array}{c} j_1 m_1 \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow j_2 m_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \downarrow km \\ \downarrow km \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow km \\ \uparrow km \end{array} \begin{array}{c} j_1 m'_1 \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow j_2 m'_2 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} j_1 m_1 \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow j_2 m_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow j_1 m'_1 \\ \uparrow j_2 m'_2 \end{array}.$$

which represents the orthogonality relation

$$\sum_{km} (2k + 1) \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_2 & m \\ j_1 & j_2 & k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & k \\ m'_1 & m'_2 & m \end{pmatrix} = \delta_{m_1 m'_1} \delta_{m_2 m'_2}.$$

Here we use the blocks α and β to represent arbitrary diagrams either open or closed. By *open*

or *closed*, we mean that the diagram either has or hasn't any free angular-momentum lines; for example,

The diagram block on the left is closed while the one on the right open. Rule (2):

$$\begin{array}{c} \bar{\alpha} \\ \downarrow j_1 \\ \downarrow j_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow j_1 \\ \uparrow j_2 \end{array} \beta = \frac{\delta_{j_1 j_2}}{2j_1 + 1} \begin{array}{c} \bar{\alpha} \\ \downarrow j_1 \\ \downarrow j_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow j_1 \\ \uparrow j_2 \end{array} \beta. \quad (A2)$$

Here $\bar{\alpha}$ denotes a closed diagram. Since derivation of this transformation rule is more involved, we refer the reader to Refs. 25 and 26 for its proof. Note that for a null block β , rule (A2) becomes

$$\begin{array}{c} \bar{\alpha} \\ \downarrow j_1 m_1 \\ \downarrow j_2 m_2 \end{array} = \frac{\delta_{j_1 j_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2}}{2j_1 + 1} \begin{array}{c} \bar{\alpha} \\ \downarrow j_1 \end{array}.$$

This transformation rule results from the rotational invariance of the diagram.

From these two fundamental rules, we can easily derive the following additional useful rules:

$$\begin{array}{c} \bar{\alpha} \\ \downarrow j_1 \\ \downarrow j_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \downarrow k \\ \downarrow k \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow l_1 \\ \uparrow l_2 \end{array} \beta = \frac{\delta_{k0} \delta_{j_1 j_2} \delta_{l_1 l_2}}{\sqrt{(2j_1 + 1)(2l_1 + 1)}} \begin{array}{c} \bar{\alpha} \\ \downarrow j_1 \\ \downarrow j_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow l_1 \\ \uparrow l_2 \end{array} \beta. \quad (A3)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \bar{\alpha} \\ \downarrow j_1 \\ \downarrow j_2 \\ \downarrow j_3 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow j_1 \\ \uparrow j_2 \\ \uparrow j_3 \end{array} \beta = \begin{array}{c} \bar{\alpha} \\ \downarrow j_1 \\ \downarrow j_2 \\ \downarrow j_3 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow j_1 \\ \uparrow j_2 \\ \uparrow j_3 \end{array} \beta. \quad (A4)$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \alpha \\ \hline j_1 \\ j_2 \\ j_3 \\ j_4 \\ \hline \beta \end{array} = \sum_k (2k+1) \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \alpha \\ \hline j_1 \\ j_2 \\ j_3 \\ j_4 \\ \hline \beta \end{array} \quad (A5)$$

The transformation rules (A1) and (A2) and the rules derived from them allow one to rearrange diagrams or to factor out basic diagrams whose analytical values have been tabulated. Some of those basic diagrams are presented in Appendix B. For manipulating diagrams, note that the change in direction of a linked angular-momentum line j will only introduce a phase factor $(-)^{2j}$, and a sign change at the node (j_1, j_2, j_3) will introduce a phase factor $(-)^{j_1+j_2+j_3}$. For more details of the transformation rules, see Refs. 25 and 26.

APPENDIX B: ANALYTICAL VALUES OF SOME BASIC DIAGRAMS

$$\begin{aligned} j_1 m_1 \quad j_2 m_2 &= (j_1 m_1 | j_2 m_2) = \delta_{j_1 j_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2}; \\ \text{circle } j &= 2j + 1; \\ \text{circle } j_1, j_2, j_3 &= \begin{cases} j_1 j_2 j_3, & \text{triangular delta}^{34} \\ 1 & \text{if } |j_1 - j_2| \leq j_3 \leq (j_1 + j_2), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \\ \text{triangle } j_1, j_2, j_3 &= \begin{cases} j_1 j_2 j_3, & \text{6-j symbol;} \\ l_1 l_2 l_3 \end{cases} \\ \text{hexagon } j_1, j_2, j_3, k_1, k_2, k_3 &= \begin{cases} j_1 j_2 j_3, & \text{9-j symbol;} \\ l_1 l_2 l_3, k_1 k_2 k_3 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

APPENDIX C: MATRIX ELEMENTS OF THE MUTUAL SPIN-ORBIT INTERACTION BETWEEN CONFIGURATIONS HAVING TWO ELECTRONS OUTSIDE CLOSED SHELLS

We summarize here the example, treated in Sec. IV, of the matrix element of mutual spin-orbit interaction between configurations having two electrons outside closed shells. In addition, we simplify our formulas for the special case of interaction between the two-electron configurations $nsn'l'$ and $n'sn''l''$ for a comparison with previously published results^{13,21(a),21(b)} and for application to ns -subshell photoionization in the rare gases.³⁵ As is known,^{21(b)} the results previously tabulated by Marvin¹³ and by Jucys and Dagys^{21(a)} are in error due to their omission of integrals of the type V^1 . Furthermore, these previously tabulated results^{13,21(a),21(b)} are given, generally, for interactions within the *same* configuration, whereas our general formula treats the case of different interacting configurations. Note especially that even if the orbital angular-momentum quantum numbers of the interacting configurations are the same, the fact that the principal quantum numbers differ in the two configurations gives rise to additional radial integrals. For example, in the special case of interacting two-electron configurations $n_a l_a n_b l_b$ and $n_c l_c n_d l_d$ (where the orbital angular-momentum quantum numbers are the same) the interaction matrix element contains certain radial integrals which vanish when $n_c = n_a$ and $n_d = n_b$.

Matrix elements of the mutual spin-orbit interaction between configurations having two electrons outside closed shells can be summarized from Eqs. (42) and (57) for each of the following three cases:

(i) Nonequivalent outer-electron orbitals:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_{ab}(12) \phi_{\text{closed}} | \sum_{i \neq j} V_{ij} | \phi'_{cd}(12) \phi_{\text{closed}} \rangle_A &= D(ab; cd) + (-)^{l_c + l_d + L' + S'} D(ab; dc) \\ &+ (-)^{L + S + L' + S'} D(ba; dc) + (-)^{l_a + l_b + L + S} D(ba; cd) \\ &+ \sum_{n_i l_i}^{\text{closed}} \{ (-)^{l_a + l_b + L + S} \delta_{ad} [D(bia; cid) - E(bia; icd)] \\ &+ (-)^{L + S + L' + S'} \delta_{ac} [D(bia; dic) - E(bia; idc)] + \delta_{bd} [D(aib; cid) - E(aib; icd)] \\ &+ (-)^{l_c + l_d + L' + S'} \delta_{bc} [D(aib; dic) - E(aib; idc)] \}, \end{aligned} \quad (C1)$$

where a, b, c, d in δ_{ad} , etc. stand symbolically for all the quantum numbers of the respective orbitals.

(ii) Orbitals a and b are equivalent:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_{aa}(12) \phi_{\text{closed}} | \sum_{i \neq j} V_{ij} | \phi'_{cd}(12) \phi_{\text{closed}} \rangle_A = & \sqrt{2} [D(aa; cd) + (-)^{L'+S'} D(aa; dc)] \\ & + \sqrt{2} \sum_{n_i l_i}^{\text{closed}} \{ -\delta_{ad} [D(aia; cid) - E(aia; icd)] \\ & + (-)^{L'+S'} \delta_{ac} [D(aia; dic) - E(aia; idc)] \}. \end{aligned} \quad (C2)$$

(iii) Orbitals a and b are equivalent, and orbitals c and d are equivalent:

$$\langle \phi_{aa}(12) \phi_{\text{closed}} | \sum_{i \neq j} V_{ij} | \phi'_{cc}(12) \phi_{\text{closed}} \rangle_A = 2D(aa; cc) + 2\delta_{ac} \sum_{n_i l_i}^{\text{closed}} [D(aia; cic) - E(aia; icc)]. \quad (C3)$$

In Eqs. (C1)–(C3), $D(ab; cd)$, $D(aib; cid)$, $E(aib; icd)$, and their permutations are defined by Eqs. (40), (49), and (55), respectively.

For the special case of interacting two-electron configurations in which $l_a = l_c = 0$, Eq. (C1) gives the matrix element between the triplet terms ($^3L_{J=L}$, $^3L_{J=L}$) as

$$- [3/(2l_b + 1)] [(2l_b + 1)R_0^{(3)}(ba; dc) + R_{l_b}^{(2)}(ba; cd) + R_{l_b}^{(2)}(dc; ab)] \quad (C4)$$

and between the singlet-triplet or triplet-singlet terms ($^{2S+1}L_{J=L}$, $^{2S'+1}L_{J=L}$) $S \neq S'$ as

$$\{ [l_b(l_b + 1)]^{1/2}/(2l_b + 1) \} [(2l_b + 1)R_0^{(3)}(ba; dc) + (-)^S R_{l_b}^{(2)}(ba; cd) + (-)^{S'} R_{l_b}^{(2)}(dc; ab)]. \quad (C5)$$

Or in terms of the following commonly used radial integrals

$$N^l(ab; cd) = \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \int_0^\infty r_1^2 dr_1 \int_0^\infty r_2^2 dr_2 R_a(1) R_b(2) \frac{r_2^l}{r_1^{l+3}} \theta(r_1 - r_2) R_c(1) R_d(2), \quad (C6)$$

$$V^l(ab; cd) = \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \int_0^\infty r_1^2 dr_1 \int_0^\infty r_2^2 dr_2 R_a(1) R_b(2) \frac{r_2^l}{r_1^{l+3}} \left(r_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r_1} - r_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial r_2} \right) R_c(1) R_d(2), \quad (C7)$$

Eqs. (C4) and (C5) become

$$[3/(2l_b + 1)] [(2l_b + 1)N^0(ba; dc) + (l_b + 1)N^{l_b-2}(ba; cd) - l_b N^{l_b}(ab; dc) + V^{l_b-1}(ab; dc)] \quad (C4')$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ [l_b(l_b + 1)]^{1/2}/(2l_b + 1) \} \{ -(2l_b + 1)N^0(ba; dc) \\ & - (-)^{S'} [(l_b + 1)N^{l_b-2}(ba; cd) - l_b N^{l_b}(ab; dc) + V^{l_b-1}(ab; dc) + 2R_{l_b}^{(2)}(ba; cd)] \}. \end{aligned} \quad (C5')$$

In particular cases, these formulas give the correct version of previously tabulated results.^{13,21(a)}

In making comparisons with Refs. 13 and 21(a), note that we use LS coupling whereas these other works use SL coupling. Note also that (C5) and (C5') are generally not symmetrical with respect to interchange of S and S' .

*Supported in part by the U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration under Contract No. EY-76-S-02-2892.

†Work supported in part by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation.

¹E. U. Condon and Shortley, *The Theory of Atomic Spectra* (Cambridge University, Cambridge, 1957).

²J. C. Slater, *Quantum Theory of Atomic Structure* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1960), Vols. I and II.

³W. Eissner, M. Jones, and H. Nussbaumer, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **8**, 270 (1974).

⁴A. W. Weiss, *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* **21**, 1264 (1976).

⁵U. Fano, *Comments At. Mol. Phys.* **2**, 30 (1970).

⁶P. A. M. Dirac, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **117**, 610 (1928); P. A. M. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*,

4th ed. (Oxford University, London, 1958).

⁷A. I. Akhiezer and V. B. Berestetskii, *Quantum Electrodynamics* (Wiley, New York, 1965).

⁸G. Breit, *Phys. Rev.* **34**, 553 (1929).

⁹W. Pauli, *Z. Phys.* **43**, 601 (1927).

¹⁰H. A. Bethe and E. E. Salpeter, *Quantum Mechanics of One- and Two-Electron Atoms* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1957).

¹¹E. David, *Z. Phys.* **91**, 289 (1934).

¹²G. Araki, *Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **21**, 592 (1939).

¹³H. H. Marvin, *Phys. Rev.* **71**, 102 (1947).

¹⁴R. H. Garstang, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **111**, 115 (1951).

¹⁵J. P. Elliott, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **218**, 345 (1953).

¹⁶H. Horie, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **10**, 296 (1953).

- ¹⁷M. Blume and R. E. Watson, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **270**, 127 (1962); **271**, 565 (1963).
- ¹⁸M. Blume, A. J. Freeman, and R. E. Watson, *Phys. Rev. A* **134**, 320 (1964).
- ¹⁹C. Fröese, *Astrophys. J.* **145**, 934 (1966); *Can. J. Phys.* **45**, 1501 (1967).
- ²⁰D. R. Beck, *J. Chem. Phys.* **51**, 2171 (1969).
- ²¹(a) A. P. Jucys and R. S. Dagys, *Liet. TSR Mokslu Akad. Dar. Ser. B*, **1** (21), 41 (1960); 59 (1960). (b) A. P. Jucys, R. S. Dagys, J. Vizbaraitė, and S. Zviornaitė, *Liet. TSR Mokslu Akad. Dar. Ser. B*, **3** (26), 53 (1961). (c) R. Karaziņa, J. Vizbaraitė, and A. P. Jucys, *Litov. Fiz. Sb.* **6**, 487 (1966); J. Vizbaraitė, R. Karaziņa, J. Grudziński, and A. P. Jucys, *Litov. Fiz. Sb.* **7**, 25 (1967).
- ²²A. P. Jucys and A. J. Savukynas, *Mathematical Foundations of the Atomic Theory* (Mintis, Vilnius, 1973) (in Russian).
- ²³M. Jones, *J. Phys. B* **3**, 1571 (1970); **4**, 1422 (1971).
- ²⁴W. Eissner and H. Nussbaumer, *J. Phys. B* **2**, 1028 (1969).
- ²⁵A. P. Jucys, I. B. Levinson, and V. V. Vanagas, *The Theory of Angular Momentum* (Isr. Prog. for Sci. Trans., Jerusalem, 1962).
- ²⁶E. El Baz and B. Castel, *Graphical Methods of Spin Algebras in Atomic, Nuclear, and Particle Physics* (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972).
- ²⁷J. S. Briggs, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **43**, 189 (1971).
- ²⁸E. P. Wigner, *Group Theory* (Academic, New York, 1959).
- ²⁹A. de-Shalit and I. Talmi, *Nuclear Shell Theory* (Academic, New York, 1963).
- ³⁰M. E. Rose, *Elementary Theory of Angular Momentum* (Wiley, New York, 1957).
- ³¹A. R. Edmonds, *Angular Momentum in Quantum Mechanics* (Princeton University, Princeton, 1957).
- ³²W. D. Klotz, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **9**, 102 (1975).
- ³³L. H. Thomas, *Nature* **117**, 514 (1926).
- ³⁴Some authors call the triangular delta "the 3- j symbol", and call Wigner's 3- j symbol "the 3- jm symbol", which reflects the m dependence of Wigner's 3- j symbol. However, we shall adopt the common usage and reserve "the 3- j symbol" for Wigner's 3- j symbol.
- ³⁵K.-N. Huang and A. F. Starace (unpublished).