5-16-2005

Search for *ZZ* and *ZW* production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at √s=1.96 TeV

Darin Acosta
University of Florida, acosta@phys.ufl.edu

Kenneth A. Bloom
University of Nebraska-Lincoln, kenbloom@unl.edu

Collider Detector at Fermilab Collaboration

Search for *ZZ* and *ZW* production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV

D. Acosta,[16] J. Adelman,[12] T. Affolder,[9] T. Akimoto,[54] M. G. Albrow,[15] D. Ambrose,[43] S. Amerio,[42] D. Amidei,[33] A. Anastassov,[50] K. Anikeev,[15] A. Annovi,[44] J. Antos,[1] M. Aoki,[54] G. Apollinari,[15] T. Arisawa,[56] J-F. Arguin,[32] A. Artikov,[13] W. Ashmanskas,[15] A. Attal,[7] F. Azfar,[41] P. Azzi-Bacchetta,[42] N. Bacchetta,[42] H. Bachacou,[28] W. Badgett,[15] A. Barbaro-Galtieri,[28] G. J. Barker,[25] V. E. Barnes,[46] B. A. Barnett,[24] S. Baroiant,[6] M. Barone,[17] G. Bauer,[31] F. Bedeschi,[44] S. Behari,[24] S. Belforte,[53] G. Bellettini,[44] J. Bellinger,[58] E. Ben-Haim,[15] D. Benjamin,[14] A. Beretvas,[15] A. Bhatti,[48] M. Binkley,[15] D. Bisello,[42] M. Bishai,[15] R. E. Blair,[2] C. Blocker,[5] K. Bloom,[33] B. Blumenfeld,[24] A. Bocci,[48] A. Bodek,[47] G. Bolla,[46] A. Bolshov,[31] P. S. L. Booth,[29] D. Bortoletto,[46] J. Boudreau,[45] S. Bourov,[15] B. Brau,[9] C. Bromberg,[34] E. Brubaker,[12] J. Budagov,[13] H. S. Budd,[47] K. Burkett,[15] G. Busetto,[42] P. Bussey,[19] K. L. Byrum,[2] S. Cabrera,[14] M. Campanelli,[18] M. Campbell,[33] A. Canepa,[46] M. Casarsa,[53] D. Carlsmith,[58] S. Carron,[14] R. Carosi,[44] M. Cavalli-Sforza,[3] A. Castro,[4] P. Catastini,[44] D. Cauz,[53] A. Cerri,[28] L. Cerrito,[23] J. Chapman,[33] C. Chen,[43] Y. C. Chen,[1] M. Chertok,[6] G. Chiarelli,[44] G. Chlachidze,[13] F. Chlebana,[15] I. Cho,[27] K. Cho,[27] D. Chokheli,[13] J. P. Chou,[20] M. L. Chu,[1] S. Chuang,[58] J. Y. Chung,[38] W-H. Chung,[58] Y. S. Chung,[47] C. I. Ciobanu,[23] M. A. Ciocci,[44] A. G. Clark,[18] D. Clark,[5] M. Coca,[47] A. Connolly,[28] M. Convery,[48] J. Conway,[6] B. Cooper,[30] M. Cordelli,[17] G. Cortiana,[42] J. Cranshaw,[52] J. Cuevas,[10] R. Culbertson,[15] C. Currat,[28] D. Cyr,[58] D. Dagenhart,[5] S. Da Ronco,[42] S. D'Auria,[19] P. de Barbaro,[47] S. De Cecco,[49] G. De Lentdecker,[47] S. Dell'Agnello,[17] M. Dell'Orso,[44] S. Demers,[47] L. Demortier,[48] J. Deng,[14] M. Deninno,[4] D. De Pedis,[49] P. F. Derwent,[15] C. Dionisi,[49] J. R. Dittmann,[15] C. Dörr,[25] P. Doksus,[23] A. Dominguez,[28] S. Donati,[44] M. Donega,[18] J. Donini,[42] M. D'Onofrio,[18] T. Dorigo,[42] V. Drollinger,[36] K. Ebina,[56] N. Eddy,[23] J. Ehlers,[18] R. Ely,[28] R. Erbacher,[6] M. Erdmann,[25] D. Errede,[23] S. Errede,[23] R. Eusebi,[47] H-C. Fang,[28] S. Farrington,[29] I. Fedorko,[44] W. T. Fedorko,[12] R. G. Feild,[59] M. Feindt,[25] J. P. Fernandez,[46] C. Ferretti,[33] R. D. Field,[16] G. Flanagan,[34] B. Flaugher,[15] L. R. Flores-Castillo,[45] A. Foland,[20] S. Forrester,[6] G. W. Foster,[15] M. Franklin,[20] J. C. Freeman,[28] Y. Fujii,[26] I. Furic,[12] A. Gajjar,[29] A. Gallas,[37] J. Galyardt,[11] M. Gallinaro,[48] M. Garcia-Sciveres,[28] A. F. Garfinkel,[46] C. Gay,[59] H. Gerberich,[14] D. W. Gerdes,[33] E. Gerchtein,[11] S. Giagu,[49] P. Giannetti,[44] A. Gibson,[28] K. Gibson,[11] C. Ginsburg,[58] K. Giolo,[46] M. Giordani,[53] M. Giunta,[44] G. Giurgiu,[11] V. Glagolev,[13] D. Glenzinski,[15] M. Gold,[36] N. Goldschmidt,[33] D. Goldstein,[7] J. Goldstein,[41] G. Gomez,[10] G. Gomez-Ceballos,[10] M. Goncharov,[51] O. González,[46] I. Gorelov,[36] A. T. Goshaw,[14] Y. Gotra,[45] K. Goulianos,[48] A. Gresele,[4] M. Griffiths,[29] C. Grosso-Pilcher,[12] U. Grundler,[23] M. Guenther,[46] J. Guimaraes da Costa,[20] C. Haber,[28] K. Hahn,[43] S. R. Hahn,[15] E. Halkiadakis,[47] A. Hamilton,[32] B-Y. Han,[47] R. Handler,[58] F. Happacher,[17] K. Hara,[54] M. Hare,[55] R. F. Harr,[57] R. M. Harris,[15] F. Hartmann,[25] K. Hatakeyama,[48] J. Hauser,[7] C. Hays,[14] H. Hayward,[29] E. Heider,[55] B. Heinemann,[29] J. Heinrich,[43] M. Hennecke,[25] M. Herndon,[24] C. Hill,[9] D. Hirschbuehl,[25] A. Hocker,[47] K. D. Hoffman,[12] A. Holloway,[20] S. Hou,[1] M. A. Houlden,[29] B. T. Huffman,[41] Y. Huang,[14] R. E. Hughes,[38] J. Huston,[34] K. Ikado,[56] J. Incandela,[9] G. Introzzi,[44] M. Iori,[49] Y. Ishizawa,[54] C. Issever,[9] A. Ivanov,[47] Y. Iwata,[22] B. Iyutin,[31] E. James,[15] D. Jang,[50] J. Jarrell,[36] D. Jeans,[49] H. Jensen,[15] E. J. Jeon,[27] M. Jones,[46] K. K. Joo,[27] S. Y. Jun,[11] T. Junk,[23] T. Kamon,[51] J. Kang,[33] M. Karagoz Unel,[37] P. E. Karchin,[57] S. Kartal,[15] Y. Kato,[40] Y. Kemp,[25] R. Kephart,[15] U. Kerzel,[25] V. Khotilovich,[51] B. Kilminster,[38] D. H. Kim,[27] H. S. Kim,[23] J. E. Kim,[27] M. J. Kim,[11] M. S. Kim,[27] S. B. Kim,[27] S. H. Kim,[54] T. H. Kim,[31] Y. K. Kim,[12] B. T. King,[29] M. Kirby,[14] L. Kirsch,[5] S. Klimenko,[16] B. Knuteson,[31] B. R. Ko,[14] H. Kobayashi,[54] P. Koehn,[38] D. J. Kong,[27] K. Kondo,[56] J. Konigsberg,[16] K. Kordas,[32] A. Korn,[31] A. Korytov,[16] K. Kotelnikov,[35] A. V. Kotwal,[14] A. Kovalev,[43] J. Kraus,[23] I. Kravchenko,[31] A. Kreymer,[15] J. Kroll,[43] M. Kruse,[14] V. Krutelyov,[15] S. E. Kuhlmann,[2] S. Kwang,[12] A. T. Laasanen,[46] S. Lai,[32] S. Lami,[48] S. Lammel,[15] J. Lancaster,[14] M. Lancaster,[30] R. Lander,[6] K. Lannon,[38] A. Lath,[50] G. Latino,[36] R. Lauhakangas,[21] I. Lazzizzera,[42] Y. Le,[24] C. Lecci,[25] T. LeCompte,[2] J. Lee,[27] J. Lee,[47] S. W. Lee,[51] R. Lefèvre,[3] N. Leonardo,[31] S. Leone,[44] S. Levy,[12] J. D. Lewis,[15] K. Li,[59] C. Lin,[59] C. S. Lin,[15] M. Lindgren,[15] T. M. Liss,[23] A. Lister,[18] D. O. Litvintsev,[15] T. Liu,[15] Y. Liu,[18] N. S. Lockyer,[43] A. Loginov,[35] M. Loreti,[42] P. Loverre,[49] R-S. Lu,[1] D. Lucchesi,[42] P. Lujan,[28] P. Lukens,[15] G. Lungu,[16] L. Lyons,[41] J. Lys,[28] R. Lysak,[1] D. MacQueen,[32] R. Madrak,[15] K. Maeshima,[15] P. Maksimovic,[24] L. Malferrari,[4] G. Manca,[29] R. Marginean,[38] C. Marino,[23] A. Martin,[24] M. Martin,[59] V. Martin,[37] M. Martínez,[3] T. Maruyama,[54] H. Matsunaga,[54] M. Mattson,[57] P. Mazzanti,[4] K. S. McFarland,[47] D. McGivern,[30] P. M. McIntyre,[51] P. McNamara,[50] R. NcNulty,[29] A. Mehta,[29] S. Menzemer,[31] A. Menzione,[44] P. Merkel,[15] C. Mesropian,[48] A. Messina,[49] T. Miao,[15] N. Miladinovic,[5] L. Miller,[20] R. Miller,[34] J. S. Miller,[33] R. Miquel,[28] S. Miscetti,[17] G. Mitselmakher,[16] A. Miyamoto,[26] Y. Miyazaki,[40] N. Moggi,[4] B. Mohr,[7] R. Moore,[15] M. Morello,[44] P. A. Movilla Fernandez,[28] A. Mukherjee,[15] M. Mulhearn,[31] T. Muller,[25] R. Mumford,[24] A. Munar,[43] P. Murat,[15] J. Nachtman,[15] S. Nahn,[59] I. Nakamura,[43] I. Nakano,[39] A. Napier,[55] R. Napora,[24] D. Naumov,[36] V. Necula,[16] F. Niell,[33] J. Nielsen,[28] C. Nelson,[15] T. Nelson,[15] C. Neu,[43] M. S. Neubauer,[8]

C. Newman-Holmes,[15] T. Nigmanov,[45] L. Nodulman,[2] O. Norniella,[3] K. Oesterberg,[21] T. Ogawa,[56] S. H. Oh,[14] Y. D. Oh,[27] T. Ohsugi,[22] T. Okusawa,[40] R. Oldeman,[49] R. Orava,[21] W. Orejudos,[28] C. Pagliarone,[44] E. Palencia,[10] R. Paoletti,[44] V. Papadimitriou,[15] S. Pashapour,[32] J. Patrick,[15] G. Pauletta,[53] M. Paulini,[11] T. Pauly,[41] C. Paus,[31] D. Pellett,[6] A. Penzo,[53] T. J. Phillips,[14] G. Piacentino,[44] J. Piedra,[10] K. T. Pitts,[23] C. Plager,[7] A. Pompoš,[46] L. Pondrom,[58] G. Pope,[45] X. Portell,[3] O. Poukhov,[13] F. Prakoshyn,[13] T. Pratt,[29] A. Pronko,[16] J. Proudfoot,[2] F. Ptohos,[17] G. Punzi,[44] J. Rademacker,[41] M. A. Rahaman,[45] A. Rakitine,[31] S. Rappoccio,[20] F. Ratnikov,[50] H. Ray,[33] B. Reisert,[15] V. Rekovic,[36] P. Renton,[41] M. Rescigno,[49] F. Rimondi,[4] K. Rinnert,[25] L. Ristori,[44] W. J. Robertson,[14] A. Robson,[41] T. Rodrigo,[10] S. Rolli,[55] L. Rosenson,[31] R. Roser,[15] R. Rossin,[42] C. Rott,[46] J. Russ,[11] V. Rusu,[12] A. Ruiz,[10] D. Ryan,[55] H. Saarikko,[21] S. Sabik,[32] A. Safonov,[6] R. St. Denis,[19] W. K. Sakumoto,[47] G. Salamanna,[49] D. Saltzberg,[7] C. Sanchez,[3] A. Sansoni,[17] L. Santi,[53] S. Sarkar,[49] K. Sato,[54] P. Savard,[32] A. Savoy-Navarro,[15] P. Schlabach,[15] E. E. Schmidt,[15] M. P. Schmidt,[59] M. Schmitt,[37] L. Scodellaro,[10] A. Scribano,[44] F. Scuri,[44] A. Sedov,[46] S. Seidel,[36] Y. Seiya,[40] F. Semeria,[4] L. Sexton-Kennedy,[15] I. Sfiligoi,[17] M. D. Shapiro,[28] T. Shears,[29] P. F. Shepard,[45] D. Sherman,[20] M. Shimojima,[54] M. Shochet,[12] Y. Shon,[58] I. Shreyber,[35] A. Sidoti,[44] J. Siegrist,[28] M. Siket,[1] A. Sill,[52] P. Sinervo,[32] A. Sisakyan,[13] A. Skiba,[25] A. J. Slaughter,[15] K. Sliwa,[55] D. Smirnov,[36] J. R. Smith,[6] F. D. Snider,[15] R. Snihur,[32] A. Soha,[6] S. V. Somalwar,[50] J. Spalding,[15] M. Spezziga,[52] L. Spiegel,[15] F. Spinella,[44] M. Spiropulu,[9] P. Squillacioti,[44] H. Stadie,[25] B. Stelzer,[32] O. Stelzer-Chilton,[32] J. Strologas,[36] D. Stuart,[9] A. Sukhanov,[16] K. Sumorok,[31] H. Sun,[55] T. Suzuki,[54] A. Taffard,[23] R. Tafirout,[32] S. F. Takach,[57] H. Takano,[54] R. Takashima,[22] Y. Takeuchi,[54] K. Takikawa,[54] M. Tanaka,[2] R. Tanaka,[39] N. Tanimoto,[39] S. Tapprogge,[21] M. Tecchio,[33] P. K. Teng,[1] K. Terashi,[48] R. J. Tesarek,[15] S. Tether,[31] J. Thom,[15] A. S. Thompson,[19] E. Thomson,[43] P. Tipton,[47] V. Tiwari,[11] S. Tkaczyk,[15] D. Toback,[51] K. Tollefson,[34] T. Tomura,[54] D. Tonelli,[44] M. Tönnesmann,[34] S. Torre,[44] D. Torretta,[15] S. Tourneur,[15] W. Trischuk,[32] J. Tseng,[41] R. Tsuchiya,[56] S. Tsuno,[39] D. Tsybychev,[16] N. Turini,[44] M. Turner,[29] F. Ukegawa,[54] T. Unverhau,[19] S. Uozumi,[54] D. Usynin,[43] L. Vacavant,[28] A. Vaiciulis,[47] A. Varganov,[33] E. Vataga,[44] S. Vejcik III,[15] G. Velev,[15] V. Veszpremi,[46] G. Veramendi,[23] T. Vickey,[23] R. Vidal,[15] I. Vila,[10] R. Vilar,[10] I. Vollrath,[32] I. Volobouev,[28] M. von der Mey,[7] P. Wagner,[51] R. G. Wagner,[2] R. L. Wagner,[15] W. Wagner,[25] R. Wallny,[7] T. Walter,[25] T. Yamashita,[39] K. Yamamoto,[40] Z. Wan,[50] M. J. Wang,[1] S. M. Wang,[16] A. Warburton,[32] B. Ward,[19] S. Waschke,[19] D. Waters,[30] T. Watts,[50] M. Weber,[28] W. C. Wester III,[15] B. Whitehouse,[55] A. B. Wicklund,[2] E. Wicklund,[15] H. H. Williams,[43] P. Wilson,[15] B. L. Winer,[38] P. Wittich,[43] S. Wolbers,[15] C. Wolfe,[12] M. Wolter,[55] M. Worcester,[7] S. Worm,[50] T. Wright,[33] X. Wu,[18] F. Würthwein,[8] A. Wyatt,[30] A. Yagil,[15] C. Yang,[59] U. K. Yang,[12] W. Yao,[28] G. P. Yeh,[15] K. Yi,[24] J. Yoh,[15] P. Yoon,[47] K. Yorita,[56] T. Yoshida,[40] I. Yu,[27] S. Yu,[43] Z. Yu,[59] J. C. Yun,[15] L. Zanello,[49] A. Zanetti,[53] I. Zaw,[20] F. Zetti,[44] J. Zhou,[50] A. Zsenei,[18] and S. Zucchelli[4]

[1]*Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan 11529, Republic of China*
[2]*Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA*
[3]*Institut de Fisica d'Altes Energies, Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona, E-08193, Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain*
[4]*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, University of Bologna, I-40127 Bologna, Italy*
[5]*Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02254, USA*
[6]*University of California at Davis, Davis, California 95616, USA*
[7]*University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90024, USA*
[8]*University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA*
[9]*University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA*
[10]*Instituto de Fisica de Cantabria, CSIC-University of Cantabria, 39005 Santander, Spain*
[11]*Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, USA*
[12]*Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA*
[13]*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, RU-141980 Dubna, Russia*
[14] *Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA*
[15]*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, USA*
[16]*University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, USA*
[17]*Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, I-00044 Frascati, Italy*
[18]*University of Geneva, CH-1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland*
[19]*Glasgow University, Glasgow G12 8QQ, United Kingdom*
[20]*Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA*
[21]*The Helsinki Group: Helsinki Institute of Physics; and Division of High Energy Physics, Department of Physical Sciences, University of Helsinki, FIN-00044, Helsinki, Finland*
[22]*Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima 724, Japan*
[23]*University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA*
[24]*The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218, USA*
[25]*Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Universität Karlsruhe, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany*

[26] *High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan*

[27] *Center for High Energy Physics: Kyungpook National University, Taegu 702-701, Korea;*
Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea; and SungKyunKwan University, Suwon 440-746, Korea

[28] *Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA*

[29] *University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZE, United Kingdom*

[30] *University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom*

[31] *Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA*

[32] *Institute of Particle Physics: McGill University, Montréal, Canada H3A 2T8; and University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada M5S 1A7*

[33] *University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA*

[34] *Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA*

[35] *Institution for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, ITEP, Moscow 117259, Russia*

[36] *University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131, USA*

[37] *Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA*

[38] *The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA*

[39] *Okayama University, Okayama 700-8530, Japan*

[40] *Osaka City University, Osaka 588, Japan*

[41] *University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RH, United Kingdom*

[42] *University of Padova, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova-Trento, I-35131 Padova, Italy*

[43] *University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA*

[44] *Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, University and Scuola Normale Superiore of Pisa, I-56100 Pisa, Italy*

[45] *University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260, USA*

[46] *Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, USA*

[47] *University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA*

[48] *The Rockefeller University, New York, New York 10021, USA*

[49] *Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Roma 1, University di Roma La Sapienza, I-00185 Roma, Italy*

[50] *Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08855, USA*

[51] *Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, USA*

[52] *Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409, USA*

[53] *Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, University of Trieste/Udine, Italy*

[54] *University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan*

[55] *Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts 02155, USA*

[56] *Waseda University, Tokyo 169, Japan*

[57] *Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48201, USA*

[58] *University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA*

[59] *Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, USA*

We present a search for *ZZ* and *ZW* vector boson pair production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV using the leptonic decay channels $ZZ \rightarrow ll\nu\nu$, $ZZ \rightarrow lll'l'$, and $ZW \rightarrow lll'\nu$. In a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 194 pb^{-1} collected with the Collider Detector at Fermilab, 3 candidate events are found with an expected background of 1.0 ± 0.2 events. We set a 95% confidence level upper limit of 15.2 pb on the cross section for *ZZ* plus *ZW* production, compared to the standard model prediction of 5.0 ± 0.4 pb.

The measurements of *ZZ* and *ZW* production provide a direct test of the standard model (SM) prediction of triple-gauge-boson couplings [1]. The presence of unexpected neutral triple-gauge-boson couplings (*ZZZ* and *ZZγ*) can result in an enhanced rate of *ZZ* production, and an anomalous *WWZ* coupling can increase the *ZW* production rate above the SM prediction. The *WWZ* and *ZZγ* couplings have been studied by the CDF and D0 experiments through the study of *WW*, *ZW*, *Wγ*, and *Zγ* production [2–8]. The D0 experiment has measured an upper limit on the cross section for *ZW* production [4]. No limit has been set on the cross section for *ZZ* production from hadron collisions, but production properties have been studied at LEP II in e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 183 - 209$ GeV [9]. A comprehensive review of the limits on anomalous *WWZ*, *ZZZ*, and *ZZγ* couplings at LEP II can be found in Ref. [10]. The production of *WZ* and *ZZ* boson pairs is also of interest because the decays cause significant backgrounds in searches for the SM Higgs boson.

In this report we present a search for *ZZ* and *ZW* production using the three decay modes $ZZ \rightarrow ll\nu\nu$, $ZZ \rightarrow lll'l'$, and $ZW \rightarrow lll'\nu$, where l and l' are electrons or muons, predominantly from direct *W* or *Z* decays, but also with a small contribution from the leptonic decay of tau leptons. This study is based on (194 ± 12) pb^{-1} [11] of data collected by the upgraded Collider Detector at

Fermilab (CDF II) from March 2002 to September 2003 using $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV. CDF II is a general-purpose detector at the Tevatron accelerator at Fermilab. The main components used in this analysis are a silicon vertex detector, a central tracking drift chamber, central ($|\eta| < 1.1$ [12]) and forward ($1.1 < |\eta| < 3.6$) electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters, and muon chambers. The silicon detector and central tracking chamber are located inside a 1.4 T superconducting solenoidal magnet. A more detailed description of the detector can be found in the CDF technical design report [13] and in a recent publication describing a measurement of WW pair production [8].

The data samples are collected by a trigger system that selects events having electron candidates in the central calorimeter with $E_T > 18$ GeV, or muon candidates with $p_T > 18$ GeV/c. Events for this analysis are then selected by requiring at least two leptons with $E_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ for electrons, or $p_T > 20$ GeV/c and $|\eta| < 1$ for muons. An electron is identified as energy deposited in the central electromagnetic calorimeter which is matched to a well-measured track reconstructed in the central tracking chamber, or, for an electron with $|\eta| > 1.2$, as energy deposited in the forward electromagnetic calorimeter with an associated track utilizing a calorimeter-seeded silicon tracking algorithm [14]. In addition, electrons must have appropriate shower profiles in the electromagnetic calorimeters. A muon is identified as a track in the central tracking chamber, with energy deposition in the calorimeter consistent with a minimum ionizing particle, and with a track segment in the muon chambers. If a minimum ionizing track points towards a gap in the muon chamber coverage, it is still considered a muon candidate in events that have an additional electron in the central calorimeter or a muon with a muon chamber track segment. All charged leptons are required to be isolated from additional nearby calorimeter activity. The transverse energy deposited around an electron or muon in a cone of radius $\Delta R = \sqrt{\Delta\phi^2 + \Delta\eta^2} = 0.4$, excluding the calorimeter energy matched to the lepton candidate, is required to be less then 10% of the electron E_T or muon p_T.

The signature of neutrinos in the decays of $ZZ \to ll\nu\nu$ and $ZW \to lll'\nu$ is missing transverse energy ($\not{E}_T$), measured from the imbalance of E_T in the calorimeter and the escaping muon p_T (when muon candidates are present).

The next-to-leading-order (NLO) ZZ and ZW cross sections in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV are calculated by the MCFM [15] program using the CTEQ6 parton distribution functions [16]. They are $\sigma(p\bar{p} \to ZZ) = (1.39 \pm 0.10)$ pb and $\sigma(p\bar{p} \to ZW) = (3.65 \pm 0.26)$ pb.

We study events in three categories designed to encompass the main leptonic branching ratios of the ZZ and ZW decays. The first includes events with four charged leptons, which is sensitive to $ZZ \to lll'l'$ (l and $l' = e, \mu, \tau$) with a branching ratio of 1.0%. Since we only select events with

electrons and/or muons, we are only sensitive to final-state taus through their subsequent decay to leptons. The second category, which includes events with three charged leptons plus $\not{E}_T$, consists predominantly of $ZW \to lll'\nu$ (branching ratio of 3.3%). Events from $ZZ \to lll'l'$, where one lepton is not identified, can also fall into this category. The third category includes events with two charged leptons plus $\not{E}_T$, which is sensitive to $ZZ \to ll\nu\nu$ (branching ratio of 4.0%) and $ZW \to lll'\nu$, where one lepton is not identified.

Our strategy is to first select events containing a Z boson and then require additional leptons and/or large $\not{E}_T$ in the event. The Z boson is identified by one pair of same-flavor oppositely-charged leptons (e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$) with an invariant mass between 76 GeV/c^2 and 106 GeV/c^2. The four-lepton category selects a second lepton pair using the same criteria. The three-lepton plus $\not{E}_T$ category selects, in addition to the Z boson, a third charged lepton with $E_T > 20$ GeV ($p_T > 20$ GeV/c for muons) and $\not{E}_T$ GeV. For two-lepton events, in order to reduce the significant contribution from WW boson pairs, we select Z bosons using a narrower invariant mass range of $86 < M_{ll} < 96$ GeV/c^2. Two additional requirements are designed to suppress the Drell-Yan background in the two-lepton category. The first requires $\not{E}_T$ significance ($\not{E}_T^{\text{sig}}$) to be larger than 3 GeV$^{1/2}$, where $\not{E}_T^{\text{sig}}$ is defined as $\not{E}_T / \sqrt{\sum E_T}$ and the sum is over all calorimeter towers above a given threshold. If muons are identified in the event, $\sum E_T$ is also corrected for the muon momenta. We find that $\not{E}_T^{\text{sig}}$ is a better discriminant than $\not{E}_T$ in controlling the Drell-Yan background, and that the maximum expected signal significance is achieved when $\not{E}_T^{\text{sig}}$ is at least 3 GeV$^{1/2}$. The second requirement is for $\Delta\phi$ between $\not{E}_T$ and the closest lepton or jet, $\Delta\phi(\not{E}_T, \text{lepton}/\text{jet})$, to be larger than 20°, in order to reduce the likelihood of falsely-reconstructed large $\not{E}_T$ due to mismeasured jets or leptons. Finally, in the two-lepton category we only consider events with zero or one jet to suppress $t\bar{t}$ background. In this analysis, jets are reconstructed using a cone of fixed radius $\Delta R = 0.4$ [17], and are counted if $E_T > 15$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$.

The main background in the four-lepton and three-lepton categories is from ''fake-lepton'' events, in which jets have been misidentified as leptons in Z/W + jets events. The backgrounds in the two-lepton category include WW, $t\bar{t}$, Drell-Yan, and fake-lepton events.

For each of the three categories of events, the total efficiency for accepting a ZZ or ZW event can be expressed as $\epsilon_{\text{total}} = \epsilon_{\text{ID}} \times \epsilon_{\text{trigger}} \times \epsilon_{\text{geom-kin}}$, where ϵ_{ID} is the efficiency for identifying the number of leptons appropriate for a given category, $\epsilon_{\text{trigger}}$ is the efficiency for the event to pass the trigger requirements, and $\epsilon_{\text{geom-kin}}$ is the efficiency for the leptons to fall within the geometric acceptance of the detector and for the events to pass all kinematic requirements for each signature. The total efficiencies times branching ratios are listed in Table I. The lepton identification efficiencies are measured using $Z \to ee/\mu\mu$ data.

TABLE I. The expected contributions from SM ZZ, ZW and background sources in 194 pb^{-1}, and the observed number of candidates in the data. The parentheses show the total efficiency times branching ratio for accepting ZZ or ZW events. Systematic and statistical uncertainties, and the uncertainties of the ZZ and ZW NLO cross sections, are included.

Process	4 leptons	3 leptons	2 leptons	Combined
ZZ	0.06 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.01	0.69 ± 0.11	0.88 ± 0.13
($\epsilon_{ZZ} \times 10^3$)	(0.22)	(0.48)	(2.56)	(3.26)
ZW	$\cdots$	0.78 ± 0.06	0.65 ± 0.10	1.43 ± 0.16
($\epsilon_{ZW} \times 10^3$)	($\cdots$)	(1.10)	(0.92)	(2.02)
Total Signal	0.06 ± 0.01	0.91 ± 0.07	1.34 ± 0.21	2.31 ± 0.29
WW	$\cdots$	$\cdots$	0.40 ± 0.07	0.40 ± 0.07
Fake	0.01 ± 0.02	0.07 ± 0.06	0.21 ± 0.12	0.29 ± 0.16
Drell-Yan	$\cdots$	$\cdots$	0.31 ± 0.17	0.31 ± 0.17
$t\bar{t}$	$\cdots$	$\cdots$	0.02 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.01
Total Background	0.01 ± 0.02	0.07 ± 0.06	0.94 ± 0.22	1.02 ± 0.24
Signal + Background	0.07 ± 0.02	0.98 ± 0.09	2.28 ± 0.35	3.33 ± 0.42
Data	0	0	3	3

The $Z \to ee$ events are selected with one identified electron and a second deposition of energy in the electromagnetic calorimeter and an associated track. The $Z \to \mu\mu$ events are selected with one identified muon and a second track. The lepton pairs are required to have an invariant mass consistent with a Z and tracks of opposite charge. The unbiased lepton is used to measure the identification efficiency. The trigger efficiencies are measured using data from independent trigger paths. The geometric and kinematic efficiencies are determined using a PYTHIA event generator [18] with a GEANT-based detector simulation [19]. Table I also shows the expected numbers of ZZ and ZW events, each calculated using $\sigma \times \epsilon_{\text{total}} \times \int \mathcal{L} dt$, where σ is the aforementioned NLO theoretical cross section. In each of the three categories a relatively small, but non-neglible, fraction of the total efficiency is due to final-state tau leptons decaying to electrons and muons. Overall, we expect 2.31 ± 0.29 ZZ plus ZW events in (194 ± 12)pb^{-1} of data.

The systematic uncertainties associated with the signal acceptances are dominated by the Monte Carlo simulation of $\not{E}_T^{\text{sig}}$ in the two-lepton category. This uncertainty is estimated by comparing distributions of $\not{E}_T^{\text{sig}}$ between data and Monte Carlo in inclusive W events, where neutrinos from the W decays produce large $\not{E}_T^{\text{sig}}$. Relative to the signal acceptance, the uncertainty due to $\not{E}_T^{\text{sig}}$ is 10% for ZZ and 6% for ZW. The other systematic uncertainties include those from lepton identification efficiencies (1%), trigger efficiencies (1%), the efficiency of the zero or one jet requirement (2%), dependence on different PDF's (2%), and the calorimeter energy scale and resolution (3%). The total uncertainty in the efficiency estimate is 11% for ZZ and 8% for ZW.

Backgrounds to the ZZ and ZW events are determined using a combination of data and Monte Carlo simulations. The WW, Drell-Yan, and $t\bar{t}$ background estimates are obtained using PYTHIA Monte Carlo samples with the expected numbers of events normalized to the theoretical cross sections: 12.4 pb for WW from the MCFM program, 330 pb for Drell-Yan from PYTHIA ($M(\gamma^*/Z^0) > 30$ GeV/c^2 and including a K-factor of 1.4 [20]), and 7 pb for $t\bar{t}$ [21]. A systematic uncertainty of 14% on the WW background results from the same effects that lead to the uncertainties on the ZZ and ZW acceptances. The Drell-Yan background has a 50% uncertainty from two main sources: 35% from the modeling of $\not{E}_T^{\text{sig}}$, and another comparable amount from $\Delta\phi$ ($\not{E}_T$, lepton/jet). The first is estimated from the comparison of $\not{E}_T^{\text{sig}}$ distributions between Drell-Yan data and Monte Carlo, and the second from the observed change in efficiency of the $\Delta\phi$ ($\not{E}_T$, lepton/jet) requirement after adjusting the jet energy scale. The $t\bar{t}$ background has a 15% uncertainty due primarily to the uncertainty in the jet energy scale.

The fake-lepton background is obtained entirely using data. First, the probability for a jet to be misidentified as an electron or muon (fake rate) is estimated from jet-triggered data samples after subtracting real leptons from W and Z decays. The lepton fake rates are averaged over four samples with increasingly harder jet E_T spectra. The observed differences between the jet samples are used to estimate the uncertainties in the lepton fake rates. The fake-lepton background is then determined by applying these fake rates to jets in lepton-triggered events which would have passed the event selection had one jet faked a lepton. This background has a 41% uncertainty, dominated by the uncertainty associated with the lepton fake rates. The backgrounds in the three event categories are summarized in Table I.

After all selection criteria we observe 3 events in the data,[1] all of them in the two-lepton plus $\not{E}_T$ category (2 ee

---

[1]Another event passes all the requirements for $ZZ \to eeee$ in the four-lepton category, except for an isolation cut on one electron [22].

FIG. 1. Distributions of (a) lepton p_T and (b) lepton η of the candidate data events, and the expected SM contributions in the two-lepton plus $\not{E}_T$ category.

and 1 $\mu\mu$), compared to a SM signal plus background expectation of 3.33 ± 0.42 events. In Fig. 1 we present distributions of lepton p_T and η in the two-lepton plus $\not{E}_T$ category, comparing data to SM expectations. The probability for the background of 1.02 ± 0.24 events to fluctuate to give three or more events is 9%. Therefore, we set a 95% confidence level upper limit on the ZZ and ZW combined cross section by applying a Bayesian method [23] with a flat prior cross section probability above zero. Using the Poisson statistics for the data and including the assumed Gaussian uncertainties in the expected signal and background, we find that the ZZ and ZW combined cross section is less than 15.2 pb at the 95% confidence level. Using this analysis we conclude that about 1 fb^{-1} of data is needed for a 3σ measurement of the SM cross section for $ZZ + ZW$ production.

In summary, a search for ZZ and ZW production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV has been performed using the leptonic decays of the vector bosons. In a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 194 pb^{-1}, 3 candidates are found with an expected background of 1.02 ± 0.24 events. The predicted number of ZZ and ZW events is 2.31 ± 0.29. A 95% confidence level limit on the sum of the production cross sections for $p\bar{p} \rightarrow ZZ$ and $p\bar{p} \rightarrow ZW$ is measured to be 15.2 pb, consistent with the standard model prediction of 5.0 ± 0.4 pb.

[1] J. Ellison and J. Wudka, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **48**, 33 (1998).

[2] V. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), hep-ex/0410066 [Phys. Rev. Lett. (to be published)].

[3] D0 Collaboration, B. Abbott *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **57**, R3817 (1998).

[4] B. Abbott *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **60**, 072002 (1999).

[5] B. Abbott *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **62**, 052005 (2000).

[6] F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1017 (1995).

[7] D. Acosta *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 041803 (2005).

[8] D. Acosta *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), hep-ex/0501050 [Phys. Rev. Lett. (to be published)].

[9] R. Barate *et al.* (ALEPH Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **469**, 287 (1999); G. Abbiendi *et al.* (OPAL Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **476**, 256 (2000); P. Abreu *et al.* (DELPHI Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C **30**, 447 (2003); P. Achard *et al.* (L3 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **572**, 133 (2003).

[10] LEP Collaborations, ALEPH Collaboration, DELPHI Collaboration, L3 Collaboration, OPAL Collaboration, LEP Electroweak Working Group, and SLD Heavy Flavour Working Group, hep-ex/0212036.

[11] S. Klimenko, J. Konigsberg, and T. M. Liss, FERMILAB-FN-0741, 2003 (unpublished).

[12] CDF uses a (z, ϕ, θ) coordinate system, where the z-axis is in the direction of the proton beam, and ϕ and θ are the azimuthal and polar angles, respectively. The pseudorapidity η is defined as $-\ln(\tan\frac{\theta}{2})$. The transverse momentum of a charged particle is $p_T = p\sin\theta$, where p represents the measured momentum of the track. The analogous quantity using calorimeter energies, defined as $E_T = E\sin\theta$, is called transverse energy.

[13] R. Blair *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), FERMILAB-PUB-96-390-E (unpublished).

[14] C. Issever, AIP Conf. Proc. **670**, 371 (2003).

[15] J. M. Campbell and R. K. Ellis, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 113006 (1999).

[16] W. Giele *et al.*, hep-ph/0204316.

[17] F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **45**, 1448 (1992).

[18] T. Sjostrand *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **135**, 238 (2001).

[19] R. Brun and F. Carminati, CERN Program Library Long

Writeup W5013, 1993 (unpublished).

[20] F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **59**, 052002 (1999).

[21] M. Cacciari, S. Frixione, M. L. Mangano, P. Nason, and G. Ridolfi, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2004) 068.

[22] D. Acosta *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 101802 (2005).

[23] I. Bertram *et al.*, Fermilab-TM-2104 (unpublished); J. Conway, CERN 2000-005, p. 247, 2000 (unpublished); K. Hagiwara *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 010001 (2002).