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A former agrichemical dealership in western Nebraska was suspected of having contaminated soil. Our objective was to characterize and remediate the contaminated site by a combined chemical-biological approach. This was accomplished by creating contour maps of the on-site contamination, placing the top 60 cm of contaminated soil in windrows and mixing with a mechanical high-speed mixer. Homogenized soil containing both atrazine [6-chloro-N-ethyl-N'-isopropyl-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diamine] and cyanazine [2-[[4-chloro-6-(ethylamino)-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl]amino]-2-methylpropanenitrile] was then used in laboratory investigations to determine optimum treatments for pesticide destruction. Iron suspension experiments verified that zerovalent iron (Fe⁰) plus ferrous sulfate (FeSO₄·7H₂O) removed more than 90% of both atrazine and cyanazine within 14 d. Liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS) analysis of the atrazine solution after treating with Fe⁰ and ferrous sulfate identified several degradation products commonly associated with biodegradation (i.e., deethylatrazine (DEA), deisopropylatrazine (DIA), hydroxyatrazine (HA), and aminelines). Biological treatment evaluated emulsified soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.] oil (EOS) as a carbon source to stimulate biodegradation in static soil microcosms. Combining emulsified soybean oil with the chemical amendments resulted in higher destruction efficiencies (80–85%) and reduced the percentage of FeSO₄ needed. This chemical-biological treatment (Fe⁰ + FeSO₄ + EOS, EOS Remediation, Raleigh, NC) was then applied with water to 275 m² of contaminated soil in the field. Windrows were tightly covered with clear plastic to increase soil temperature and maintain soil water content. Temporal sampling (0–342 d) revealed atrazine and cyanazine concentrations decreased by 79 to 91%. These results provide evidence that a combined chemical-biological approach can be used for on-site, field-scale treatment of pesticide-contaminated soil.

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) estimated that in 1999, the United States had approximately 20,000 agrichemical firms that distributed roughly 5 billion pounds of fertilizers and pesticides (USEPA, 1999, p. 8–20). Given that most U.S. agriculture is heavily dependent on the use of pesticides and fertilizers, it is likely that chemical spills and inadvertent discharges of agrichemicals will continue to occur around farmsteads and dealerships. Although numerous improvements have been made in construction of pesticide containment facilities, surveys of pesticide distributors indicate prevalent soil contamination (Minnesota Department of Agriculture, 1997). These accidental releases have the potential to create soil concentrations that are several orders of magnitude greater than soils receiving agronomic rates (i.e., labeled rates). High concentrations are problematic because pesticides that may readily biodegrade at labeled-rate concentrations may persist at high concentrations due to the inhibition of microbial activity and low degradation rates (Grant and Williams, 1982; Gan and Koskinen, 1998). Furthermore, if soil adsorption sites become saturated (i.e., nonlinear adsorption), high concentrations can result in lower soil adsorption coefficients and increased transport (i.e., chemical nonequilibrium transport).

Although individual State regulations may vary, pesticide spills are usually handled in one of the two ways. The contaminated soil is excavated and shipped to a certified landfill or the contaminated soil is reapplied to farmland at labeled rates. When contaminated soils also contain banned or toxic chemicals, a third option of incineration may also be considered. None of these approaches treat the contaminated soil on-site and all are costly and often labor intensive.

Identifying and remediating point-sources of pesticide contamination is a major undertaking. The Nebraska Department of Agriculture has begun to identify several pesticide-contaminated sites across Nebraska and is seeking aid in developing remedial protocols and treatments. In 2005, soil samples obtained from an abandoned
fertilizer dealership in western NE revealed high concentrations of the herbicides atrazine (~500 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) and cyanazine (~900 mg kg\(^{-1}\)). The research presented within provides a series of procedures and experiments undertaken with the goal of remediating the pesticide-contaminated site. Specifically, we grid sampled the contaminated site to spatially delineate the extent of contamination; conducted laboratory treatability studies to determine optimum treatments for pesticide destruction using chemical, biological, and combined approaches; and finally, performed a field-scale cleanup of the contaminated site.

**Materials and Methods**

**Pesticide Spill Site**

The pesticide spill site was a former agrichemical dealership in western Nebraska. This site had been abandoned for several years with most of the original buildings and storage containers removed. Historical maps of the agrichemical dealership provided guidance on where past chemical handling activities occurred and likely locations for soil contamination. The abandoned site was grid sampled by spacing coordinates 4.57 m apart and covering a 20 by 40 m area. Soil samples were taken at coordinate intersections except where physical structures (e.g., buildings, pavement, or foundations) prevented sampling. Two soil samples were taken per coordinate (one from 0–30 cm and one from 30–60 cm). Each soil sample was placed in a Whirl-Pak plastic bag (Nasco, Modesto, CA) and stored in coolers until transported back to the laboratory where they were held at 4°C until analysis (24–72 h).

Soil samples were passed through a 2-mm screen and a subset sent to Midwestern Laboratories (Omaha, NE) for pesticide screening. In brief, the pesticide screening analysis followed the Minnesota Department of Agriculture's standard operating procedures (SOP) 26c (Extraction of Neutral Extractable Pesticides from Soil) where soil extracts were concentrated to 5 mL in 60/40 (v/v) isooctane/toluene and quantitative analysis of pesticides done by gas chromatography following SOP 27d (Chromatography and Quality Control for Neutral Extractable Pesticides in Water; Minnesota Department of Agriculture, 1997), referred to USEPA Method 507. Results from the pesticide screening showed that the two major pesticides present in the contaminated soil were atrazine and cyanazine. All soil samples obtained by grid sampling were subsequently analyzed for atrazine and cyanazine by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (methodologies given below).

Atrazine and cyanazine concentrations along with grid coordinates were entered into graphical software (SigmaPlot, Systat Software, San Jose, CA) to generate contour plots of soil contamination. A front-end loader was then used to remove and place the contaminated soil into two windrows (North and South) on top of a 15 cm bed of sand. The area from which the soil was removed was resampled to verify the removal of contamination. Additional soil removal was required in some areas and resulted in the total soil volume of 275 m\(^3\) (360 yd\(^3\)). Both windrows were triangular in shape and approximately 3 m wide by 1.5 m high. Lengths of the windrows were 67 m for the North and 50 m for the South.

Soil in both windrows (North and South) was mixed three times within 24 h by using a tractor-pulled high speed mixing and fractionation implement (Frontier Industrial Corp., Salem, OR), sold under the trade name Microenfractionator (H&H Ecosystems, North Bonneville, WA). This implement is similar in appearance to a conventional composter but differs in that its components have been augmented and redesigned to handle windrows containing 100% soil. Soil mixing is facilitated by a John Deere (Moline, IL) 6068T 170-horsepower diesel engine that propels a large 32-cm (diam.) stainless steel rotating drum with 50 fan-knife blades (30.8-cm length). This implement also allows simultaneous injection of liquids into the mixing tunnel via pressurized lines connected to a mobile holding tank, which is pulled behind the Microenfractionator. Once the soil was mixed, a sample of the contaminated soil was taken back to the laboratory and used in treatability studies.

**Pesticide Solution- Iron Suspension Experiments**

Aqueous solutions of atrazine and cyanazine were prepared in deionized water using commercial standards with the following purities: atrazine, 98%; cyanazine, 99.5% (Chem Service, West Chester, PA). Batch experiments were conducted in 125-mL Erlenmeyer flasks filled with 100 mL of either atrazine (20 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and cyanazine (20 mg L\(^{-1}\)) solutions. All flasks were covered with Parafilm M (Pechiney Plastic Packaging, Chicago, IL) and agitated on a reciprocating shaker at ambient temperature (23°C).

We first quantified the ability of three commercial zerovalent iron sources to degrade atrazine and cyanazine in aqueous solutions. The three iron sources were obtained from Peerless Metal Powders (Detroit, MI) and designated as (i) unannealed iron; (ii) iron aggregate 60D; and (iii) SP4. Each iron source was evaluated (\(n = 3\)) by adding 2.5 g to 100 mL of pesticide solutions (atrazine and cyanazine, 20 mg L\(^{-1}\)). At 0, 6, 12, 24, 48, 96, 120, and 144 h, 1.5-mL aliquots were removed and transferred to 1.7-mL polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes, centrifuged at 13,000 \(\times\) g for 10 min, and analyzed by HPLC for atrazine and cyanazine.

Additional experiments further evaluated Iron Aggregate 60D (2.5 g) to degrade atrazine and cyanazine (100 mL, 20 mg L\(^{-1}\)) with and without 1 g of commercial-grade FeSO\(_4\)·7H\(_2\)O. Each treatment (including control) was replicated three times. At 0, 2, 4, 6, 10, 24, 48, 72, 120, and 144 h, 1.5-mL aliquots were removed and transferred to 1.7-mL polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes, centrifuged at 13,000 \(\times\) g for 10 min, and analyzed by HPLC for atrazine and cyanazine.

**Liquid Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry of Degradation Products**

Selected aliquots from the atrazine solution experiment (Fe\(^{0}\) + FeSO\(_4\)) were analyzed by LC/MS to identify possible degradation products. Transformation products were confirmed by LC/MS using standards under the same conditions but we did not quantify changes in concentrations of the degradation products. Atrazine products were characterized on a Finnigan LCQ ion trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Sci., Waltham, MA) with chro-
were degraded by Fe⁰ + FeSO₄. Soil incubation studies involved anazine by HPLC (procedure described in soil analysis below). Biological treatment involved adding emulsified soybean oil (EOS, Raleigh, NC) as a C source to promote cometabolism of the pesticide.

Soil incubations were performed with 20 g soil (air-dry soil) in 40-mL Teflon tubes. Soils used in all treatability studies came from the contaminated site. Given that for field-scale treatment, this soil would be excavated and mixed with lower subsoil, we also mixed the surface samples with subsoil to get lower and more uniform concentrations (~20 to 30 mg kg⁻¹ for both atrazine and cyanazine).

For the biological treatment, we used EOS 598B42, which also contained a vitamin B₁₂ supplement. To determine what concentration of soybean oil to add to the soil, screening experiments were performed that varied soybean oil concentration. Results led us to dilute the EOS product 100-fold with water and spraying the liquid into the mixing chamber during soil mixing. Expressing the chemical amendments in units of mass of chemical added per cubic yard (1 yard³ = 0.765 m³) of soil (1070 kg or 2360 lb, assuming 1.4 g cm⁻³ bulk density), our treatment rates were equivalent to 24.99 kg (55.55 lb) of Fe⁰, 9.99 kg (22.22 lb) of FeSO₄·7H₂O and 2.87 L (0.76 gal) of EOS 598B42 per 0.765 m³ (1.0 yd³) of contaminated soil.

The Fe⁰ and FeSO₄·7H₂O (in 22.6 kg bags) were placed on the top of the windrows and directly mixed into the contaminated soil by the soil mixer a minimum of three times. Water and EOS 598B42 oil were mixed together in 3790 L (1000 gallon) tanks and added during the mixing process until the soil gravimetric water content reached between 0.35 and 0.40 kg kg⁻¹, which was determined on site by weight loss following repeated cycles of heating subsamples of soil from the windrows in a microwave oven.

Once desired water content was achieved, the windrows were tightly covered with clear plastic sheeting that was held in place with sand placed along the periphery of the windrows. Temporal changes in volumetric soil water content were measured by ML2 type probes with a handheld HH2 reader (Delta-T Devices, Ltd, Cambridge, UK). Probes were inserted into the windrows at approximately 60 cm depth and spaced 12 to 18 m apart. Four probes were placed in both the North and South windrows. Soil temperature was measured with a bimetal thermometer that had a stem length of 60.96 cm (Cole-Parmer, Vernon Hills, IL). The tip of the thermometer was placed at three depths: 15, 30, and 45 cm and temperature readings taken at six locations on the South windrow and eight locations on the North windrow approximately every 3 to 9 m.

Windrows were sampled for temporal changes in pesticide concentrations at 7, 14, 21, 30, 60, 250, 270, 315 d. Soil windrows were sampled approximately every 3 m by cutting a slit into the plastic covering midway up the side of the windrow, removing three to five soil cores with a hand-held auger, combining the samples into a bucket and placing the composite sample into a plastic bag. Plastic sheeting was resealed after
Soil samples obtained from the windrows were inventoried and stored at 4°C until analysis. For each sample, soil water content, pH, atrazine, and cyanazine concentrations were determined. Soil water content was determined on ~10-g subsamples by quantifying weight loss after drying in a microwave oven. Soil pH was determined on 20-g soil samples (oven dry basis) using a 1:2 soil to water ratio.

Atrazine and cyanazine concentrations were determined in soil microcosm experiments and from the field experiment by extracting 20 g of soil in a 40-mL Teflon centrifuge tube with 20 mL CH₃CN and shaking overnight (≥8 h) on a reciprocating shaker at ambient temperature (20–25°C). The tubes were then centrifuged at 5000 × g for 10 min. After centrifuging, 1 mL of the supernatant was stored in a glass HPLC vial at 4°C until analysis. Changes in acetonitrile-extractable concentrations were used to gauge the effectiveness of the remedial treatments.

Atrazine and cyanazine concentrations were determined in soil microcosm experiments and from the field experiment by injecting 20 μL of aqueous or CH₃CN extract into a Hypersil gold column (250 × 4.6 mm) (Thermo Electron Corporation, Waltham, MA) connected to Shimadzu (Kyoto, Japan) photodiode array detector with quantification at 220 nm. Peak areas were integrated and compared to certified standards. The mobile phase was 50:50 acetonitrile and water at a flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹. Under these conditions typical retention time was 6 min for atrazine and 4 min for cyanazine.

Soil samples obtained from the windrows were inventoried and stored at 4°C until analysis. For each sample, soil water content, pH, atrazine, and cyanazine concentrations were determined. Soil water content was determined on ~10-g subsamples by quantifying weight loss after drying in a microwave oven. Soil pH was determined on 20-g soil samples (oven dry basis) using a 1:2 soil to water ratio.

Results and Discussion

Pesticide Solution- Iron Suspension Experiments

A comparison of atrazine and cyanazine destruction by the three iron sources showed that Iron Aggregate 60D was superior in transforming the pesticides. Using only 2.5 g of Fe⁰ per 100 mL, Iron Aggregate 60D resulted in nearly 50% removal of atrazine whereas reaction with the Unannealed and SP4 iron only removed around 20% (Fig. 1A). Similarly, 40% of cyanazine was converted with Iron Aggregate 60D as compared to 15% with Unannealed iron and 15% with SP4 iron (Fig. 1B). When higher iron loading rates were used (i.e., 5 g per 100 mL) about 80% of the atrazine was removed (data not shown). Subsequent extraction of the iron with CH₃CN showed no residual bound atrazine. The lack of extractable parent compound from the iron combined with the production of degradation products (see LC/MS results) indicates that loss of atrazine and cyanazine from solution were likely due to transformation and not adsorption. However, adsorption of atrazine or cyanazine transformation products and co-precipitation are also possible removal mechanisms. Co-precipitation has been documented to occur with heavy metals and natural and dissolved organic matter (Crawford et al., 1993; Gu et al., 1994; Satoh et al., 2006). Moreover, Singh et al. (1988a) using ¹⁴C-atrazine and a different iron source than used in these experiments showed that nonextractable residues of atrazine could form on exposure to iron. From a remediation standpoint, forming unextractable residue to the iron surface could also be viewed as an acceptable endpoint.

Table 1. Soil chemical-physical properties before and after chemical-biological treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil property</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Initial (T = 0 d)</th>
<th>Final (T = 342 d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus (weak Bray)</td>
<td>mg kg⁻¹</td>
<td>810 (95.9)†</td>
<td>20 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate-N</td>
<td>mg kg⁻¹</td>
<td>1836 (93.6)</td>
<td>496 (156)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium N</td>
<td>mg kg⁻¹</td>
<td>772 (113)</td>
<td>361 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>5 (0.3)</td>
<td>4 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cation exchange capacity</td>
<td>cmol kg⁻¹</td>
<td>30 (1.8)</td>
<td>20 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>mg kg⁻¹</td>
<td>62 (2.0)</td>
<td>47 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur</td>
<td>mg kg⁻¹</td>
<td>627 (40.1)</td>
<td>999 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (DTPA)</td>
<td>mg kg⁻¹</td>
<td>38 (4.6)</td>
<td>143 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (DTPA)</td>
<td>mg kg⁻¹</td>
<td>66 (7.3)</td>
<td>33 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (DTPA)</td>
<td>mg kg⁻¹</td>
<td>32 (2.9)</td>
<td>65 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (DTPA)</td>
<td>mg kg⁻¹</td>
<td>6 (0.8)</td>
<td>15 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boron</td>
<td>mg kg⁻¹</td>
<td>2 (0.2)</td>
<td>2 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>mg kg⁻¹</td>
<td>4 (2.0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 (0.1)</td>
<td>6 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>75 (3.1)</td>
<td>78 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>15 (4.2)</td>
<td>12 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>9 (1.2)</td>
<td>10 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Parenthetic values represent sample standard deviation (n = 3).
Liquid Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry Analysis

The LC/MS analysis of atrazine solutions treated with Fe\(_0\) + Fe\(_{SO_4}\) indicated that deethylatrazine (DEA), deisopropylatrazine (DIA), hydroxyatrazine (HA), and ammelines were formed (Fig. 3). Singh et al. (1998b) similarly observed the formation of DEA, DIA, HA, and didealkylatrazine following the treatment of atrazine with zerovalent iron. Thus both dealkylation and hydrolysis occurred by this abiotic treatment.

Surface-catalyzed hydrolysis is well known and several Fe and Al oxides have been shown to catalyze organic hydrolysis reactions, at least those known to be OH\(^-\) catalyzed (Hoffman, 1990). Previous research has shown that several iron oxyhydroxides could potentially form during treatment of organic contaminants with Fe\(_0\) (i.e., \(\alpha\)-FeOOH, \(\beta\)-FeOOH, \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), and \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\); Satapanajaru, 2002). Although the OH\(^-\) ion activity is greater at the positively charged oxide surface than in solution, coordination between structural Fe (III) (Lewis acid) and the organic functional groups is a probable cause of decomposition (McBride, 1994).

Therefore, we similarly show how Fe (III) in an iron oxide coatings could facilitate atrazine hydrolysis (Fig. 4A).

Shin and Cheney (2004, 2005) also showed how dealkylation occurs when atrazine weakly binds to Mn oxide surfaces through the ring N or amino groups. The first step in the dealkylation mechanism is the partial oxidation of atrazine by exchange of electrons via an \(\text{N} - \text{Mn (IV)}\) double bond formed through \(\pi\) bonding. The rearrangement of \(-\text{HN} - \text{Mn-OH}\) fraction to \(-\text{H}_2\text{N-Mn} = \text{O}\) occurs and dissociation of the bound amine completes the dealkylation reaction. Given that we observed similar atrazine degradation products found by Shin and Cheney (2004, 2005), a similar dealkylation of atrazine by iron oxide is presented (Fig. 4B).

Soil Microcosm Experiments

Although batch solution experiments indicated that addition of Fe\(_{SO_4}\) had a complementary effect on the Fe\(_0\)-mediated atrazine degradation, the optimum quantities and treatments required to treat the contaminated soil needed to be determined.

Past research has documented increased losses in pesticide concentrations by adding a variety of C sources. Wagner and Zablhotowicz (1997) studied the effects of carbon amendments on cyanazine biodegradation and observed a decrease in cy-
anazine half-life (unamended soils, t_{1/2} = 28.3 d) when soils were amended with ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum* Lam.) (t_{1/2} = 18.2 d), corn meal (t_{1/2} = 21d), or poultry litter (t_{1/2} = 21 d). Similarly, biodegradation of acetochlor [2-chloro-\(N\)-(ethoxymethyl)-\(N\)-(2-ethyl-6-methylphenyl)acetamide], atrazine, and metolachlor [2-chloro-\(N\)-(2-ethyl-6-methylphenyl)-\(N\)-(2-methoxy-1-methyl-ethyl)acetamide] were enhanced by the stimulation of microbial activity with addition of organic fertilizers and amendments (Cai et al., 2007; Moorman et al., 2001). However, when we compared atrazine and cyanazine degradation with and without the commercial product EOS 598B42, we saw no significant differences in overall degradation after 60 d (Fig. 5). Incubating the air-dried soils with water initially increased extractable pesticide concentrations, which has previously been contributed to the expansion of clays and less sorption (Chiou et al., 1983), but with time, pesticide concentrations in both the water and water + oil treatments decreased at similar rates. Adding the soybean oil, however, did provide one notable observation in that abundant fungal growth was observed in the microcosms. The fungus growing on the oil-treated soils was identified as *Mucor* sp, which is not known to break down complex organic compounds such as pesticides but could impact physiochemical conditions (i.e., temperature and redox).

The benefit of combining chemical and biological treatments together was evaluated by comparing atrazine degradation following treatment with (i) Fe\(^0\), (ii) Fe\(^0\) + FeSO\(_4\), and (iii) Fe\(^0\), FeSO\(_4\), and oil (EOS 598B42). The benefit of combining all three amendments was most noticeable within 7 d where atrazine loss was 73% compared to 43% for the Fe\(^0\) + FeSO\(_4\) and 30% for the Fe\(^0\) alone. After 60 d of incubation, 78% of the atrazine was degraded in the chemical-biological treatment compared to 69% for the Fe\(^0\) alone and 73% for the Fe\(^0\) + FeSO\(_4\) treatment (Fig. 6). Decreases in extractable pesticide concentrations were also observed in the control treatment (\(H_2O\) only, Fig. 6). One of the benefits of the high-speed mechanical mixing is that concentrated zones of pesticides, such
as those observed during grid sampling (i.e., concentrations >500 mg kg⁻¹), were initially lowered to concentrations that did not impede microbial activity.

**Field Experiment**

Grid sampling the abandoned fertilizer dealership revealed high concentrations of atrazine and cyanazine. Pesticide concentrations were higher in the surface samples (0–30 cm) than the deeper samples (30–60 cm). Maximum concentrations of atrazine were approximately 500 and 900 mg kg⁻¹ for cyanazine while the maximum concentrations below 30 cm were 160 mg kg⁻¹ for atrazine and 300 mg kg⁻¹ for cyanazine. Following removal of the top 60 cm and high-speed mixing, soil concentrations dropped at least 10-fold with mean pesticide concentrations (n = 15–20) averaging 15.87 and 30.25 mg kg⁻¹ for atrazine in the two windrows (Table 2). However, despite considerable mixing of the windrowed soil, pesticide concentrations were still variable as evident by the high standard deviations (Table 2).

Following field treatment of the pesticide-contaminated soil with Fe⁰, FeSO₄, and EOS 598B42, average pesticide concentrations (and standard deviations) decreased significantly (Table 2). By the second sampling (t = 14 d), atrazine and cyanazine concentrations decreased between 34 and 75%. After 60 d, average pesticide concentrations had decreased by 72 to 82% and remained fairly constant until the windrows were mixed again and sampled (t = 342 d). The final sampling showed that the mean pesticide concentrations (atrazine and cyanazine) decreased by 91% in the North windrow and between 79 and 87% in the South windrow. Possible reasons for the drop in pesticide concentrations after the final mixing (i.e., t = 315 vs. 342) may be that routine sampling generally occurred within the top 15 to 30 cm of the windrow by a handheld auger and that pesticide destruction was more efficient at deeper depths. When we physically dug into the windrows, soils deeper inside the windrows tended to be blacker in color while soils on the outer edge of the windrows were golden or brown in color. This color change is likely a manifestation of the iron oxides formed during corrosion of the added Fe⁰. Satapanajaru et al. (2003b) previously showed that during Fe⁰ treatment of metolachlor, goethite and lepidocrocite (yellow-brown color) were products of iron corrosion under aerobic conditions while magnetite (black) forms under anoxic conditions. Although that oxygen content was not measured, oxygen diffusion into the windrows would occur from the outer edges inward and increase as the soil water content decreased. With time, stratification of iron oxides and colors would occur by the diffusion of oxygen. Thus, by mixing the windrows, soils in the center and outer edges of the windrow were homogenized before sampling. We similarly observed a decrease in metolachlor concentrations in...
a previous field remediation study following the final mixing of the windrows (Comfort et al., 2001). Results from temporal sampling indicate that our chemical-biological treatment of the pesticide-contaminated soil was effective in reducing the pesticide concentrations but given that we could not include an untreated windrow at this particular site, we also acknowledge that natural attenuation may have also contributed to the reduction in pesticide concentrations.

Changes in Soil Physical and Chemical Properties

Average soil water content during the first 60 d was 0.40 cm$^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$ in the North and 0.34 cm$^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$ in the South windrow. Even after several months (t = 270 d), the soil water content was 0.20 cm$^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$ in the North and 0.15 cm$^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$ in the South. Issa and Wood (2005) observed that atrazine and isoproturon degraded more rapidly in soils with 90% of field capacity (optimum) than in samples with higher moisture contents. Differences in soil water content between windrows kept the North windrow slightly cooler throughout the experiment. Within 5 d after treatment, soil temperatures had reached 34.1°C (45 cm depth) in the North windrow and 38.2°C in the South windrow. These soil temperatures were consistently 10 to 15°C higher than the daytime air temperature. Moreover, sampling temperature with depth (15, 30, and 45 cm) revealed temperatures were consistently higher at the deeper depths for the first 30 d. This indicates that solar radiation through the clear plastic was not the only source of heat. Rather, the emulsified oil provided a readily utilisable carbon source that not only generated heat through heterotrophic respiration but also likely facilitated reductive transformations by providing an additional oxygen demand, which was confirmed by the observed stratification of soil colors (i.e., iron oxides) with depth. Finally, as observed in the laboratory microcosms experiments, abundant fungal growth was observed under the clear plastic throughout the field experiment.

Table 2. Temporal changes in atrazine and cyanazine concentrations in the North and South windrows following treatment with zerovalent iron, ferrous sulfate, and emulsified oil (EOS 598B42).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pesticide (Windrow)</th>
<th>Time (d)</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>270</th>
<th>315</th>
<th>342†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atrazine (North)</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.25</td>
<td>15.94 (7.18)</td>
<td>12.45 (7.08)</td>
<td>11.23 (5.50)</td>
<td>8.91 (5.71)</td>
<td>7.14 (2.70)</td>
<td>4.81 (3.28)</td>
<td>4.67 (2.77)</td>
<td>3.86 (3.80)</td>
<td>2.80 (1.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent decrease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>90.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrazine (South)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.87</td>
<td>12.03 (6.30)</td>
<td>10.40 (4.05)</td>
<td>5.50 (1.8)</td>
<td>4.94 (2.88)</td>
<td>4.37 (1.02)</td>
<td>4.66 (1.64)</td>
<td>5.28 (2.21)</td>
<td>4.79 (3.80)</td>
<td>3.34 (1.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent decrease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>79.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanazine (North)</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.25</td>
<td>17.54 (5.38)</td>
<td>15.71 (12.5)</td>
<td>11.93 (10.61)</td>
<td>7.81 (7.55)</td>
<td>7.98 (6.01)</td>
<td>7.83 (2.76)</td>
<td>9.71 (3.06)</td>
<td>4.88 (2.95)</td>
<td>2.71 (1.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent decrease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>91.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanazine (South)</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.15</td>
<td>19.63 (16.0)</td>
<td>7.29 (6.36)</td>
<td>6.36 (3.70)</td>
<td>5.17 (2.47)</td>
<td>5.25 (3.22)</td>
<td>5.57 (3.35)</td>
<td>7.77 (5.29)</td>
<td>7.03 (4.69)</td>
<td>3.92 (2.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent decrease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>86.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Windrows were mechanically mixed on Day 342 before sampling.
‡ Parenthetic values represent sample standard deviation (n = 15–20).
Initial analysis of the contaminated soil indicated that, in addition to atrazine and cyanazine, the mixed soil had very high concentrations of NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$, and P as well as diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA)-extractable metals (Zn, Mn, Fe, Cu) (Table 1). Following 342 d of treatment, some notable changes in soil chemical properties were observed (Table 1). Extractable P concentrations decreased in the windrows following treatment. Iron salts have been used to efficiently remove P from wastewater (Zeng et al., 2004). Nitrate concentrations were reduced following Fe$^0$ treatments, corroborating previous research showing transformation of NO$_3^-$ to NH$_4^+$ on addition of Fe$^0$ (Cheng et al., 1997; Till et al., 1998). The added C source also likely facilitated denitrification. Because most reductive reactions consume protons (H$^+$), anaerobic transformations have been shown to increase pH in acidic soils (Seybold et al., 2001). Iron corrosion also causes an increase in pH. This was observed in our study where the initial pH of the contaminated soil increased from 5.5 to 7 within 60 d. However production of organic acids and CO$_2$ may contribute to soil acidity and cause pH to decrease (Patrick and DeLaune, 1977; Wang et al., 1993) which was seen at 315 d when pH again decreased to 5 over the winter months and then increased to 5.9 after the final mixing at 342 d.
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