

*Vertebrate Pest Conference Proceedings collection*  
*Proceedings of the Eleventh Vertebrate Pest*  
*Conference (1984)*

---

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Year 1984

---

## RAT CONTROL IN ALBERTA

Michael J. Dorrance  
Alberta Environmental Centre, Vegreville, Alberta, Canada

# RAT CONTROL IN ALBERTA

MICHAEL J. DORRANCE, Alberta Environmental Centre, Vegreville, Alberta, Canada

ABSTRACT: A rat control program, administered and coordinated by Alberta Department of Agriculture, has kept Alberta essentially free of Norway rats (Rattus norvegicus) since 1950. A control zone, 29 x 600 km, along the eastern border prevents rats from moving into Alberta from the east. Sporadic rat infestations within the interior of Alberta are reported by concerned citizens and eradicated by government personnel. Strong support for the program by citizens and local governments was developed through public education and information. The program has been successful for a variety of reasons including geography, climate, legislation, availability of warfarin, the relatively small size of the infested area, grass roots support, lack of complacency, and the personnel involved.

Norway rats were introduced to the east coast of North America about 1775 and gradually spread westward over most of the continent, their distribution being closely associated with the habitation of man (Hall and Kelson 1959). Rats entered eastern Saskatchewan about 1919 and extended their range to the northwest at about 24 km (15 mi) per year (W. Lobay unpubl. data). Rats were first reported on the eastern border of Alberta in 1950, and would have continued to spread westward were it not for a rat control program that halted their advance and continues to maintain an essentially rat-free Alberta to date--more than 33 years later. This paper describes the evolution, history, and current status of the rat control program in Alberta, and discusses factors which contributed to the success of the program.

Data were obtained from Alberta Department of Agriculture (ADA) annual reports, 1949-73, ADA Plant Industry Division annual reports, 1974-81, and from the files of J.B. Gurba, Head, Crop Protection Branch, ADA.

## THE EARLY YEARS, 1950-53

Norway rats were first discovered on a farm near Alsask on the eastern border of Alberta during summer, 1950 (Fig. 1). The discovery was made by field crews from Alberta Department of Health, engaged in studies of sylvatic plague (Yersinia pestis) in Richardson's ground squirrel (Spermophilus richardsonii). Provincial authorities were initially concerned that rats might spread plague throughout Alberta, although they were also aware of the economic destruction caused by rats. Consequently, the Alberta government decided to halt or at least slow the spread of rats to the west. In 1950, responsibility for rat control was transferred from Alberta Department of Health to ADA, Field Crops Branch, much to the distress of some senior officials in the former department.

ADA was probably the better choice to administer a rat control program because the affected area was predominantly rural, and ADA specialized in extension and was better staffed and organized in rural areas. Existing legislation also authorized ADA to control pests of agriculture.

The Agricultural Pest Act of Alberta, 1942, authorized the Minister of Agriculture to designate as a pest any animal that was likely to destroy crops or livestock. The act further stipulated that every person and every municipality had to destroy and prevent the establishment of designated pests. (A municipality is a local government within Alberta and includes cities, towns, and counties or comparable rural governments). Where pest control was not considered adequate, the provincial government could carry out the necessary measures and charge the costs to the landowner or municipality. Thus, legislation that mandated control of pests by every person and every level of government was in place before rats ever entered Alberta, and became effective when rats were declared a pest in 1950. An amendment to the act in 1950 further required that every municipality appoint a pest control officer (PCO).

William Lobay, Supervisor, Crop Protection, ADA, originally conceived the idea of a buffer control zone to prevent rats from spreading into Alberta, and was initially responsible for organizing, supervising, and administering the program during 1950-53.

Most people in Alberta had had no contact with rats and, consequently, did not know what rats looked like or how to control them. Thus, the government's initial response was to educate the public, outline program objectives, and obtain support from local governments and residents. ADA encouraged local municipalities to appoint PCOs and agreed to: a) coordinate and supervise the rat control program, b) aid any local programs undertaken by any organization, c) provide printed material for information and education campaigns, d) provide poisons to local PCOs, and e) provide additional assistance (financial, equipment, etc.) to any municipality where such support would significantly aid in the extermination of rats.




Fig. 1. Distribution of Norway rats in eastern Alberta, 1950-58.

Preserved rat specimens were distributed to ADA offices to aid in the identification of rats in the 1950s. In 1951, five provincial PCOs whose primary responsibility was weed inspection, provided training and assistance to municipal PCOs. Inspectors from Saskatchewan Department of Health, familiar with rats and rat control, also assisted with training. Conferences on rat control were held in six towns in eastern Alberta and 2000 posters and 1500 mimeographed pamphlets, "Rat Control in Alberta, 1951", were distributed to elevators, railway stations, schools, post offices, and private citizens.

"Rat Control in Alberta, 1951," advocated destruction of rats, elimination of rat harborages and food supplies, and rat-proofing of buildings, principles which are still valid and basic for rat control today. Recommended toxicants were red squill, antu, barium carbonate, zinc phosphide, 1080, thallium sulfate, arsenic, strychnine alkaloid, and warfarin. In 1951, warfarin was still a new and relatively untried toxicant.

By fall 1951, 30 rat infestations had been confirmed along 180 km of Alberta's eastern border, and in 1952, rats were active along 270 km of border. Most infestations were within 10 to 20 km of the border although rats had penetrated 50 to 60 km westward in three areas (Fig. 1).

Alberta did not have the expertise to control rats and probably could not have developed the expertise in time to halt the movement of rats to the west. Thus, a private pest control firm was contracted to control rats until ADA could develop an effective program.

During June 1952-July 1953, 63,600 kg of 73% arsenic trioxide tracking powder was used to treat 8,000 buildings on 2,700 farms (24 kg/farm; 8 kg/building) in an area 20 to 50 km wide and 300 km long between Medicine Hat and Provost (Fig. 1). Tracking powder was blown underneath all permanent buildings within the control zone. While only permanent buildings were supposed to have been treated, some temporary structures were treated as well. Tracking powder was exposed in some areas where the treatment was haphazard or where temporary buildings were moved or torn down. In addition, some residents were not informed that arsenic was being used, and some, allegedly, were told that the tracking powder was only harmful to rodents. Consequently, some nontarget poisoning of livestock, poultry, and pets occurred for at least the first 2 to 4 years after treatment. As a precaution, ADA sent letters to all residents in the control zone each year through 1955, warning of the dangers to humans, livestock, and pets.

The poison-proofing program was expensive, costing \$152,670 (Canadian) for 1952-53, of which 74% was for tracking powder. Annual cost of rat control did not exceed this figure until 1978. Consequently, the poison-proofing program was discontinued because the cost was considered to be too high

and the arsenic poison was too dangerous. However, the program apparently was effective; most infestations were confined to areas within 10 to 20 km of the border, and ADA had the time to develop a rat control program.

THE GOVERNMENT PROGRAM, 1953-59

During 1953-59, the rat control program evolved into its current format. PCOs were appointed by municipalities and control was administered and supervised by local governments with coordination and support by the provincial government. PCOs numbered 195 in 1953 and 240 in 1954. The southward spread of rats was halted in 1953 when they reached the relatively uninhabited Cypress Hills. Rats continued to spread north until 1958 when they were stopped by the uninhabited and unbroken boreal forest (Figs. 1 and 2).



Fig. 2. Rat control zone in eastern Alberta.

Then, as today, the seven rural municipalities bordering Saskatchewan carried the major responsibility for rat control. However, funding was in dispute; these municipalities argued that they were spending funds to protect the entire province from rats. Thus, in 1954, ADA agreed to pay 50% of the salary and expenses of a full-time PCO for each rural municipality along the eastern border. These PCOs checked every premise within the first three ranges (29 km) west of the border (Fig. 2), distributed bait and established bait stations, encouraged rat-proofing of buildings, encouraged the removal of rat harborage and food, and destroyed any rat infestations that were found.

Rat bait was supplied free of charge to all municipalities that had appointed a PCO. Warfarin was available in 0.025% ready-mixed baits and as a 0.5% concentrate in 1953. Pival was the only water-soluble bait available until 1956, when warfarin was purchased in water-soluble form. Warfarin was developed in Wisconsin, where finely-ground corn was the recommended bait substrate. However, corn was not normally available to rats in Alberta and bait acceptance was poor. A series of field trials during 1953-55 showed that coarsely-rolled oats with 5% icing sugar gave satisfactory results; this bait substrate is still used today. The amount of bait used in the control program increased annually until about 1958 and then leveled off with the yearly requirements varying between 5,280 and 12,700 kg of dry warfarin bait and between 660 and 4,750 liters of water-soluble warfarin during 1958-80.

Public education and information continued. Posters and brochures on rat control were widely distributed, displays were presented at local fairs, picnics, and rodeos, and talks were presented to schools, 4-H clubs, agricultural societies, chambers of commerce, and to just about anyone who would listen. "Call of the Land," an ADA sponsored radio program for agricultural news, was initiated in 1953 and was used to disseminate information on rat control. Public interest and support for rat

control was favorable, particularly from people who had rats. As an example, seven meetings were attended by almost 900 people in the Medicine Hat area during February 1956. However, there was some resistance. One mayor refused to cooperate because he thought the program was a red herring initiated by the ruling political party. Another mayor refused to believe that rats would threaten his town and stated that he would eat any rats within the town limits. He subsequently changed his mind when presented with a bushel of rats from a local abattoir.

Indian reservations and Metis colonies in north-central Alberta presented a special problem in public relations. Natives did not want to have rats but were only familiar with strychnine, and assumed that all poisons had the same properties. Warfarin baits were removed or destroyed by Natives because they feared for their children, pets, and livestock. One PCO held a series of meetings with Natives and casually chewed on warfarin-treated rolled oats while he was discussing rat control and the physiological effects of warfarin. His behavior had a startling effect on the Natives, for they expected him to die before their eyes, and convinced them of the relative safety of warfarin.

The Agricultural Pest Act made rat control mandatory. Property holders who failed to control rats and disregarded repeated encouragement and warnings from a PCO could be served with an official warning. Failure to comply with the terms of the warning could result in a court action. However, legal recourse was not used for several years until the public was educated in rat control. The first court case did not occur until 1955. In 1956, 17 notices to control were issued and three court actions and convictions resulted. At that time, court cases were heard by a local magistrate who was usually a locally prominent citizen, often a merchant or post master. Thus, rat control was enforced as well as supervised at the local level. There is an inherent danger of biased enforcement of the law when a man sits in judgment of his friends and neighbors; alternatively, fair and equal treatment by a local magistrate can have a positive effect on local public opinion. The court actions apparently had the desired effect, for no more than seven notices to control rats have been issued in any year since 1956.

The City of Lloydminster provided a special problem in rat control because it straddled the Alberta-Saskatchewan border. Obviously, rat control in Lloydminster, Alberta, would have been difficult if there were no control in the Saskatchewan portion of the city. This dilemma was resolved by orders in council by the governments of Alberta and Saskatchewan that declared that the Agricultural Pests Act of Alberta applied to Lloydminster, Saskatchewan.

The number of known rat infestations in the border area increased rapidly from 1 in 1950 to 573 in 1955, and varied between 394 and 637 during 1956-59. After 1959, numbers of infestations dropped dramatically (Fig. 3). Thus, almost 10 years passed before an accumulation of training, experience, and public education brought the rat problem firmly in hand.




Fig. 3. Number of known infestations in the rat control zone, 1955-80.

Rat control in Alberta has not changed markedly since 1960. The bulk of control is conducted by seven full-time PCOs hired and supervised by seven rural municipalities along the Alberta-Saskatchewan border. The provincial government's share of funding was increased to 60% in 1971, 75% in 1973, and 100% in 1975. All premises within the 29 x 600 km control zone from Montana to Cold Lake are inspected at least annually. Numbers of premises inspected annually varied between 2,137 and 4,001 during 1955-80. Rat infestations are eliminated by bait, tracking powder, gas, or traps. Buildings are often moved or torn down, and in some cases, rats are dug out with a backhoe or bulldozer. Farmers within the control zone are encouraged to eliminate rat food sources and harborage and to maintain permanent bait stations. Rats within bale stacks of hay and straw are a continual problem; farmers are encouraged to place bait within the lower one or two layers of bales when the stacks are built. Pits are dug for municipal garbage disposal sites so that garbage can be buried or burned, and sites are fenced to channel garbage into the pits.

Saskatchewan initiated a rat control program in 1963 which may have reduced the number of rats moving into Alberta. Over the years, meetings have been held with personnel from Saskatchewan to share information and discuss common problems. Some municipal employees from Alberta also work on rat control in Saskatchewan to reduce migration into Alberta. A considerable amount of Alberta's warfarin bait is used in Saskatchewan by farmers and neighbors who farm on both sides of the border.

Newer anticoagulents have been used, but warfarin is the standard toxicant. Warfarin on coarsely-rolled oats with 5% icing sugar is distributed in 454-g plastic bags. Colored confetti was added to the rolled oats as a safety precaution in 1965. Warfarin water baits are also effective, particularly in the semi-arid prairie and on premises (e.g., grain elevators) where food is plentiful. Warfarin resistance has not been a problem to date, probably because every infestation is eradicated. Thus, there are no survivors to pass on warfarin resistance.

After 1959, the number of infestations dropped dramatically and varied between 36 and 216 during 1960-80 (Fig. 3). However, the distribution of infestations within the control zone remained about the same with 54-72% in Range 1 (0-9.6 km west of the border), 20-30% in Range 2 (9.7-19.2 km west), 7-19% in Range 3 (19.3-28.8 km west), and 1-2% west of the control zone (Fig. 4).




Fig. 4. Distribution of rat infestations within the rat control zone.

Surprisingly, overland transportation of rats has not been a major problem, with no more than eight infestations reported in any one year. Most infestations within the interior of Alberta consisted of a single rat transported by truck or rail. At least four infestations of black rats (*Rattus*

rattus) have been documented and probably came from the west coast where they are common. A few white rats have been brought in by pet stores, biology teachers, and well-meaning individuals who did not know that it was unlawful to have rats in Alberta. Canada Customs confiscate any rats brought in from the United States. Perhaps the greatest "problem" is that most of the residents of Alberta still cannot identify rats and rat sign. As many as 137 suspected infestations have been reported in a single year by concerned citizens, but most turn out to be muskrats (Ondatra zibethicus, pocket gophers (Thomomys talpoides), ground squirrels (Spermophilus spp.), bushy-tailed wood rats (Neotoma cinerea), or mice. However, all suspected infestations are investigated either by local PCOs or by provincial field staff. As previously mentioned, confirmed infestations are eradicated as soon as possible.

After 30 years, the public information and education effort might best be described as a maintenance program. Within the control zone, residents know how to identify and control rats. Periodic inspections by PCOs serve as a reminder that the rat control program is active and necessary. Within the interior of Alberta, most residents know that Alberta is rat-free and there is a program to keep it that way. Most public education is directed toward identification of rats and rat sign. The discovery of a rat in Edmonton or Calgary receives full media coverage. In addition, the success of the program is reported by provincial or national media three or four times a year, and serves as a reminder to the residents that rat control is still an important program in Alberta.

The cost of the rat control program rose dramatically in recent years, increasing from \$58,000 in 1969-70 to \$217,000 in 1982-83. However, beginning in 1971 the cost of rat control also included expenditures for rabies control. PCOs along the Alberta-Saskatchewan border were given the additional responsibility of skunk control in 1972, as part of a program to keep skunk rabies from entering Alberta (Gunson et al. 1978). Regardless, rat control is probably still a bargain with annual per capita costs of less than \$0.11 province-wide.

After 30 years, rat control has become routine and is a source of pride to the citizens of Alberta. However, the problem is not solved; personnel involved in rat control must continually guard against complacency. Rats have the capability to spread throughout Alberta just as easily today as they could 30 years ago.

#### REASONS FOR SUCCESS

The rat control program in Alberta has been successful for several reasons. Some of the more prominent reasons are as follows:

##### 1. Geography/Distribution of People

The distribution of rats is dependent upon the distribution of people, which in turn is affected by geography. Alberta is protected from an overland invasion of rats by the sparsely-populated mixed prairie on the south, Rocky Mountains on the west, and boreal mixedwood forest on the north. Overland movement of rats is limited to a 520-km-long area along the eastern border, between the sparsely populated Cypress Hills in the south and Boreal Forest in the north (Fig. 2). The area is predominantly rural. The largest city in the control zone is Lloydminster with a population of 16,000. The rural character of the zone serves to hinder the spread of rats, at least during the winter, and isolate infestations which makes eradication easier.

##### 2. Climate

Winter in Alberta is characterized by several months of continuous snow cover and below-freezing temperatures. Overwinter infestations are limited to man-made structures; severe climate prevents colonies from overwintering in open fields where they would probably go undetected.

##### 3. Size of the Infested Area

The bulk of the control effort is limited to a relatively small area ( $520 \times 29 = 15,080 \text{ km}^2$ ). If the same amount of effort per unit area were expended province-wide, the cost of the program would probably be unacceptable to the taxpayer. Moreover, the number of people who do not want to cooperate with any government program is probably proportional to the population. As previously mentioned, no more than 17 notices to control rats were issued in any one year. A government may be willing to take legal action against a few people each year, but would probably become reluctant to resort to legal recourse against several hundred people.

##### 4. New Problem

The rat control program was initiated as soon as rats entered the province. The people never became accustomed to living with rats and never became complacent.

##### 5. Legislation

Alberta had the legislation in place that authorized a rat control program and mandated control of pests before rats ever entered Alberta.

6. Grass Roots Program

Rat control was the responsibility of local governments and was supervised and enforced by local citizens. It was not a product of faceless bureaucrats. On the other hand, the bulk of the work was conducted by only seven rural municipalities. Coordination of the program would have been more difficult if a large number of municipalities were involved.

7. Availability of Anticoagulents

Alberta was fortunate because warfarin, which is relatively safe for humans and effective against rats, was developed and available soon after rats entered the province. Public opposition could have prevented continuation of the rat control program if control were limited to the relatively hazardous acute toxicants available in the 1950s.

8. Personnel

The rat control program in Alberta has been successful because of the concern and effort of thousands of citizens and hundreds of PCOs. However, most human accomplishments result from the right person being in the right place at the right time, and the Alberta rat control program was no exception. Three men contributed immeasurably to the success of the program: William Lobay, Supervisor of Crop Protection, had the imagination to conceive the program in 1950, and directed the program from 1950-53; Arthur M. Wilson continued to support the program as Field Crops Commissioner and later as Director, Plant Industry Division; and J.B. Gurba, the first full-time permanent employee on the rat control program, developed, coordinated, and supervised the program from 1953-82.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank M.W. Barrett, Alberta Environmental Centre, and J.B. Gurba, ADA, for critical advice.

LITERATURE CITED

- GUNSON, J.R., W. J. DORWARD, and D.B. SCHOWALTER. 1978. An evaluation of rabies control in skunks in Alberta. *Can. Vet. J.* 19:214-220.
- HALL, E.R., and K.R. KELSON. 1959. *The mammals of North America*. The Ronald Press Co. New York, N.Y. 1083pp.