

Eastern Wildlife Damage Control Conferences
Proceedings of the Eighth Eastern Wildlife
Damage Management Conference (1997)

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Year 1997

Private Nuisance Wildlife Control: Is
There A Future in Kansas?

Charles Lee*

Philip Gipson[†]

Lucas Koch[‡]

*Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS

[†]Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS

[‡]Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS

This paper is posted at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ewdcc8/17>

PRIVATE NUISANCE WILDLIFE CONTROL: IS THERE A FUTURE IN KANSAS?

CHARLES LEE, Department of Animal Science, Room 127 Call Hall, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506

PHILIP GIPSON, Kansas Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, Room 205 Leasure Hall, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506

LUCAS KOCH, Kansas Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, Room 205 Leasure Hall, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506

Abstract: The private industry involvement in nuisance animal damage control is increasing in Kansas. Improved oversight of that industry is needed and the Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks (KDWP) plans to implement a mandatory education and certification program beginning in 1998. KDWP currently issues permits to individuals who wish to trap or control nuisance animals outside of normal harvest seasons. Individuals who have held these permits to conduct nuisance animal damage control were surveyed in 1995 to better understand the status and needs of that industry in Kansas. A 3-page mail survey was sent to all 93 permit holders. Raccoons (*Procyon lotor*), beaver (*Castor Canadensis*), and skunks (*Mephitis mephitis*) were the species most often involved in complaints. Most damage control was conducted from March to June and occurred in both urban and rural areas. About 42% of the individuals doing nuisance animal damage control work did not receive monetary compensation for their services. Most respondents believed there was competition for control services from KDWP, Cooperative Extension Service, and the USDA- Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service-Animal Damage Control program. There was strong support for a mandatory training and certification program. About half of all respondents indicated there is potential or strong potential for new private nuisance animal damage control businesses. Private animal damage control appears to have a future in Kansas. That future should include continuing cooperation and coordination between public natural resource management agencies and private damage control operators.

Key Words: animal damage control, certification program, Kansas, private nuisance control operators, survey

Proc. East. Wildl. Damage Manage. Conf. 8:33
