

Eastern Wildlife Damage Control Conferences
Proceedings of the Eighth Eastern Wildlife
Damage Management Conference (1997)

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Year 1997

Potential Demand for Programs on
Nuisance Wildlife Among
Wildlife-Related Program Offerings to
Urban/Suburban Organizations (Poster
Abstract)

S. M. Leslie*

P. F. Scanlon†

O. T. Clarke‡

*Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State

†Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State

‡City of Roanoke Parks and Recreation, 210 Reserve Avenue, SW, Roanoke, VA

This paper is posted at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ewdcc8/18>

POTENTIAL DEMAND FOR PROGRAMS ON NUISANCE WILDLIFE AMONG WILDLIFE-RELATED PROGRAM OFFERINGS TO URBAN/SUBURBAN ORGANIZATIONS (Poster Abstract)

S.M. LESLIE, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife Sciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061-0321

P.F. SCANLON, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife Sciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061-0321

O.T. CLARKE, City of Roanoke Parks and Recreation, 210 Reserve Avenue, SW, Roanoke, VA 24016

Abstract: Program planners of 114 organizations in the Roanoke Valley area of Virginia responded to the likelihood of their scheduling programs on wildlife-related topics. Among the topics offered was “Controlling Wildlife Pests and/or Their Damage.” Responses were organized by type of organization (civic club, neighborhood organization, educational/PTA organization, environmental/hobby organization, garden/plant club) and whether they were “highly likely” (HL), “somewhat likely” (SL), “not likely” (NL), or “not sure” (NS) they would schedule such a program. Results on likelihood of scheduling were as follows: 31 civic clubs (1 HL, 4 SL, 33NL, 4 NS); 26 neighborhood organizations (5 HL, 7 SL, 5 NL, 9 NS); 26 educational/PTA organizations (3HL, 7 SL, 14 NL, 2 NS); 10 environmental organizations (1 HL, 2 SL, 7 NL, 0 NS); and 21 garden clubs (2 HL, 6 SL, 11 NL, 2 NS). Overall, 114 respondents provided 12 HL, 26 SL, 59 NL, and 17 NS responses. Among the 114 respondents, only 8 rated the topic among their “top 3” most desired topics. In the overall survey, wildlife-related topics were not more acceptable than environmental program topics and, within the wildlife program topics, a program on “Controlling Wildlife Pests and/or Their Damage” was not likely to be scheduled by more than half the programs chairs. As only one-third of respondents indicated any likelihood of scheduling a program on “Controlling Wildlife Pests and/or Their Damage,” a challenge in developing proactive programs on wildlife pest management for urban/suburban club audiences seems very clear.

Proc. East. Wildl. Damage Manage. Conf. 8:204
