10-2013

Improving the Sweeping Efficiency of Permanganate into Low Permeable Zones To Treat TCE: Experimental Results and Model Development

Chanat Chokejaroenrat  
_Suranaree University of Technology, Thailand_

Negin Kananizadeh  
_University of Nebraska–Lincoln, nkananizadeh2@unl.edu_

Chainarong Sakulthaew  
_Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand_

Steve D. Comfort  
_University of Nebraska-Lincoln, scomfort@unl.edu_

Yusong Li  
_University of Nebraska–Lincoln, yli7@unl.edu_

Follow this and additional works at: [http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/watercenterpubs](http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/watercenterpubs)

Part of the [Environmental Chemistry Commons](http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/watercenterpubs), and the [Environmental Engineering Commons](http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/watercenterpubs)

Chokejaroenrat, Chanat; Kananizadeh, Negin; Sakulthaew, Chainarong; Comfort, Steve D.; and Li, Yusong, "Improving the Sweeping Efficiency of Permanganate into Low Permeable Zones To Treat TCE: Experimental Results and Model Development" (2013).  
_Faculty Publications from The Water Center_. Paper 26.  
[http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/watercenterpubs/26](http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/watercenterpubs/26)
Improving the Sweeping Efficiency of Permanganate into Low Permeable Zones To Treat TCE: Experimental Results and Model Development

Chanat Chokejaroenrat,1,2 Negin Kananizadeh,1 Chainarong Sakulthaew,3,4 Steve Comfort,3 and Yusong Li1

1. Department of Civil Engineering, University of Nebraska–Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588-0531, USA
2. School of Environmental Engineering, Institute of Engineering, Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand 30000
3. School of Natural Resources, University of Nebraska–Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska 68583-0915, USA
4. Department of Veterinary Technology, Faculty of Veterinary Technology, Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand 10900

Corresponding author — S. Comfort, tel 402 472-1502, fax: 402 472-7904, email scomfort@unl.edu

Abstract

The residual buildup and treatment of dissolved contaminants in low permeable zones (LPZs) is a particularly challenging issue for injection-based remedial treatments. Our objective was to improve the sweeping efficiency of permanganate into LPZs to treat dissolved-phase TCE. This was accomplished by conducting transport experiments that quantified the ability of xanthan-MnO₄⁻ solutions to penetrate and cover (i.e., sweep) an LPZ that was surrounded by transmissive sands. By incorporating the non-Newtonian fluid xanthan with MnO₄⁻, penetration of MnO₄⁻ into the LPZ improved dramatically and sweeping efficiency reached 100% in fewer pore volumes. To quantify how xanthan improved TCE removal, we spiked the LPZ and surrounding sands with ¹⁴C-labeled TCE and used a multistep flooding procedure that quantified the mass of ¹⁴C-TCE oxidized and bypassed during treatment. Results showed that TCE mass removal was 1.4 times greater in experiments where xanthan was employed. Combining xanthan with MnO₄⁻ also reduced the mass of TCE in the LPZ that was potentially available for rebound. By coupling a multiple species reactive transport model with the Brinkman equation for non-Newtonian flow, the simulated amount of ¹⁴C-TCE oxidized during transport matched experimental results. These observations support the use of xanthan as a means of enhancing MnO₄⁻ delivery into LPZs for the treatment of dissolved-phase TCE.

Introduction

Removing chlorinated solvents from contaminated groundwater is recognized as one of the most difficult problems associated with groundwater pollution.¹ Research results from the last 30 years have shown that past pump and treat approaches as well as many other in situ treatments have failed to restore complex aquifers back to drinking water standards.²⁻⁴ Remedial approaches like in situ chemical oxidation (ISCO) by permanganate (MnO₄⁻) have been used for some time, but the success of this approach depends upon whether the oxidant comes in contact with the chlorinated solvents. This in turn is dependent upon the heterogeneity of the aquifer and whether the oxidant effectively sweeps or covers the intended target zone.

Contaminants migrating from DNAPL source zones will often diffuse from transmissive regions into low permeable zones (LPZs). This residual buildup of dissolved contaminants in LPZs over time becomes particularly challenging for ISCO treatments because these less permeable areas are usually bypassed during treatment. Moreover, once the injected oxidant has traversed the transmissive zones, contaminants in LPZs will eventually diffuse back into the surrounding higher permeability flow zones via matrix diffusion, a process known as rebound and one that has been documented to occur at numerous ISCO sites.⁵⁻¹²

To address the treatment of chlorinated solvents in LPZs, shear-thinning polymers have been used as a co-injected remedial agent to increase the viscosity of the displacing fluid.¹³⁻²⁰ By increasing viscosity, fluid mobility in higher permeable strata is reduced, and this reduction in mobility promotes cross-flow into adjacent lower permeable strata. The net result is a more unified coverage of the injected fluid across heterogeneous formations.²⁰⁻²² Although several researchers
have documented the success of using shear-thinning polymers, like xanthan, to combat the heterogeneity-induced by-passing of surfactants (e.g., References 13–20), the coupling of shear-thinning polymers with oxidants for use in ISCO applications has received less attention. Smith et al.23 provided the first evidence that xanthan was compatible with permanganate and could be used as a polymer-enhanced chemical oxidation treatment for PCE. Their research provided important groundwork for further studies aimed at chemically oxidizing chlorinated solvents in low permeable zones. McCray et al. 24 showed that xanthan increased the sweeping efficiency of MnO$_4^-$ into LPZs (containing nonaqueous phase PCE) and improved the percentage of PCE oxidized, which they inferred by the moles of MnO$_4^-$ consumed.

In this present study, we quantified how xanthan directly improved the permanganate-induced oxidation of TCE during transport by using a two-dimensional (2D) flow cell containing transmissive and low permeable zones that were spiked with dissolved-phase 14C-TCE. Our objective was to improve the sweeping efficiency of MnO$_4^-$ into low permeable zones to treat TCE and reduce the potential for rebound. This was accomplished by determining the viscosity-shear rate relationship of various MnO$_4^-$-xanthan solutions and then conducting multiple transport experiments where injection volumes and MnO$_4^-$-xanthan concentrations were varied. The criteria used to evaluate the various MnO$_4^-$-xanthan treatments included visual coverage of the LPZ (via time lapse photography), the percentage of 14C-labeled TCE oxidized in the effluent, and the amount of TCE bypassed during treatment. Experimental results were then used in model development where we coupled a multiple species reactive transport model with the Brinkman equation for non-Newtonian flow to simulate the oxidation of 14C-TCE during transport.

Materials and Methods

Experimental details on rheology measurements (i.e., viscosity-shear rate relationships) and how the temporal stability of xanthan-MnO$_4^-$ solutions was quantified are provided in the Supporting Information (SI). Materials and methods used in the transport experiments including construction of the 2D tank (Figure SI-1), chemicals (Table S1) and soils used, procedures to create transmissive and low permeable zones, and sampling protocols of 2D tank effluent are also provided in the Supporting Information.

Transport Experiments. Prior to flooding the 2D tank, we exchanged the air space in the tank with CO$_2$ for 60 min. This prevented entrapped air pockets from forming in the tank, which would prevent the tank from being fully saturated. We equipped the exit sampling ports with lock needles fitted to the exit sampling ports. We used the procedures of Kriegman-King and Reinhard, 27 to differentiate between 14C-TCE, 14C-degradation products, and 14CO$_2$. Details of those procedures are provided in the Supporting Information.

Model Development

Flow Model. Flows of xanthan and the xanthan-MnO$_4^-$ solution were simulated by coupling the Brinkman equation 28 (Equation 1) with the continuity equation (Equation 2). The Brinkman equation is a generalization of Darcy’s law, which accounts for the production of an effective viscosity 29 and has previously been used to simulate non-Newtonian flow in porous medium. 30

\[ \frac{d\vec{u}}{dt} = \nabla \cdot \left( -P \nabla \vec{u} + \left( \nabla \vec{u} \right)^T \right) - \frac{2\mu}{3n} \left( \nabla \cdot \vec{u} \right) \cdot \vec{u} + \vec{F} \]  

(1)

\[ \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{u}) = 0 \]  

(2)

Here, $P$ is the pressure [Pa], $\vec{u}$ is the velocity vector [m/s], $\mu$ is the viscosity of the fluid [Pa·s], $k$ is the permeability of the porous media [m$^2$], $n$ is the porosity, and $\vec{F}$ is the volume force [kg/(m$^2$·s$^2$)], which, in this case, is gravity.

Both xanthan and the xanthan-MnO$_4^-$ solution were observed as shear-thinning non-Newtonian fluids, where viscosity changes with the shear rate of flow. Therefore, fluid viscosity was considered a function of shear rate and polymer
Results from our viscometer experiments also showed that interaction that increases the macromolecule dimensions.

\[ \mu = \max(\mu_a, \min(a(C_i/C_{10})y^b, \mu_0)) \]  
(3)

where \( a \) and \( b \) are power law model constants; \( \mu_a \) and \( \mu_0 \) are the fluid viscosity parameters at high (\( \mu_a \)) and low shear rates (\( \mu_0 \)); \( C_i/C_{10} \) (unit: kg/m\(^3\)) is the normalized polymer concentration; and \( y \) is the shear rate (Equation 4):

\[ Y = \frac{2.4u}{\sqrt{k}} \]  
(4)

Here, \( u \) is the magnitude of the velocity vector \( \vec{u} \). When the xanthan-MnO\(_4^-\) solution was injected, the influence of MnO\(_4^-\) concentration on the fluid viscosity was considered by including a normalized MnO\(_4^-\) concentration term \( C_2/C_{20} \) (unit: kg/m\(^3\)):

\[ \mu = \max(\mu_a, \min(a(C_i/C_{10})(C_2/C_{20})y^b, \mu_0)) \]  
(5)

For both Equations 3 and 5, \( \mu_a \) was equal to the viscosity of water. Parameters \( a, b \), and \( \mu_0 \) were estimated by fitting the measured shear rate and viscosity data for shear rate less than 6 s\(^{-1}\), using the power law model (Equation 3). Focusing on this region allowed us to overcome the numerical problems associated with the extremely steep reduction in viscosity at high shear rates. In all the experiments, simulated shear rate was generally less than 6 s\(^{-1}\). For the xanthan-MnO\(_4^-\) solution, \( \mu_0 \) was treated as a function of MnO\(_4^-\) concentration by fitting the measured viscosity at a shear rate of 0.1 s\(^{-1}\) to the MnO\(_4^-\) concentration:

\[ \mu_0 = 0.0876 \times C_2 + 0.24 \]  
(6)

The values for variables \( a, b \), and \( \mu_0 \) are listed in the Supporting Information (Table S3).

**Transport Model.** Advection dispersion reaction (ADR) equation for multiple species transport was used to simulate solute transport and reaction:

\[ \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} = -\frac{u}{n} \cdot C_i + \nabla \cdot (D \nabla C_i) + R_i \]  
(7)

where \( C \) is the concentration of the species (kg/m\(^3\)), \( D \) is dispersion coefficient (m\(^2\)/s), \( R \) is the reaction rate [kg/(m\(^3\) s)], and \( i \) represents the simulated species, including xanthan, MnO\(_4^-\), TCE, and a lumped reaction product. No reaction was applied to xanthan. A second order reaction was considered for the MnO\(_4^-\) and TCE reaction:

\[ \frac{dC_{TCE}}{dt} = -k \times C_{TCE} \times C_{MnO_4^-} \]  
(8)

Here, \( k \) is the second order reaction rate constant [m\(^3\)/ (kg·s)]. The same rate value was applied for the generation of a lumped reaction product. Details of model implementation including software used and boundary conditions imposed are provided in the Supporting Information.

**Results and Discussion**

**MnO\(_4^-\) Effects on Xanthan Viscosity.** Previous researchers have observed an increase in viscosity with increasing xanthan concentrations\(^{19,20}\) attributed in part to the intermolecular interaction that increases the macromolecule dimensions.\(^{18,32}\) Results from our viscometer experiments also showed that increasing xanthan concentrations increased the solution viscosity across all shear rates (Figure 1A). At xanthan concentrations of 125 or 250 mg L\(^{-1}\), viscosities were relatively constant at shear rates less than 3 s\(^{-1}\) (i.e., Newtonian plateau); at higher shear rates, viscosities decreased with increasing shear rates (Figure 1A). Differences in viscosities among xanthan solution concentrations were most evident at low versus high shear rates. For example, at the 3 s\(^{-1}\) shear rate, viscosities spanned several hundred centipoise (cP), whereas at the shear rate of 200 s\(^{-1}\), the viscosities of all solutions were ≤10 cP (Figure 1).

To determine how MnO\(_4^-\) concentrations influenced the viscosity-shear rate relationship, a 500 mg L\(^{-1}\) xanthan solution was mixed with varying MnO\(_4^-\) concentrations (1250–10,000 mg L\(^{-1}\)), and viscosities were measured immediately. Results showed that increasing MnO\(_4^-\) concentrations increased the apparent viscosity of the solution in both Newtonian and non-Newtonian flow regions (Figure 1B). As observed with xanthan alone, treatment differences were more pronounced at low shear rates. Collectively, viscosity measurements for xanthan solutions tested with and without MnO\(_4^-\) (Figure 1B) showed that xanthan was compatible with MnO\(_4^-\), and overall a similar viscosity-shear rate relationship was observed.

When higher MnO\(_4^-\) and xanthan concentrations were both used (1250 mg L\(^{-1}\) MnO\(_4^-\); 1000 or 1250 mg L\(^{-1}\) xanthan), viscosities values were the highest recorded (Figure 1B). Although the increased salinity of additional mono- and bivalent cations have been shown to decrease polymer solution viscosity,\(^{13}\) especially at high shear rates (500–5000 s\(^{-1}\))\(^{33}\), Smith et al.\(^{21}\) indicated that xanthan was more resistant to the effects of cations in solution than other polymers. By systematically evaluating the effects of ionic strength on solution rheology, Zhong et al.\(^{18}\) showed that increasing Na\(^+\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) ions (0–50 mg L\(^{-1}\)) decreased the viscosity of xanthan, but at high xanthan concentrations, the opposite trend was observed. In an earlier study, Zhong et al.\(^{16}\) also reported a slight increase in viscosity across shear rates between 0.1 and 100 s\(^{-1}\) when 600
mg L⁻¹ xanthan was mixed with 6000 mg Na⁺ L⁻¹ versus 400 mg Na⁺ L⁻¹. While the associated cation from the permanganate salt may be responsible for increasing the viscosity of the xanthan solutions (Figure 1B), the fact that permanganate reacts with xanthan to some degree means that the intermolecular bonding between the MnO₄⁻ and xanthan as well as the products produced (e.g., MnO₂) could also have contributed.

We also quantified the temporal stability of the xanthan-MnO₄⁻ solutions and found that the viscosities of the xanthan-MnO₄⁻ solutions significantly declined with holding times >12 h and that this instability consequently inhibited “aged” xanthan-MnO₄⁻ solutions from penetrating the LPZ during transport. A detailed description of experiments that support this conclusion is provided in the Supporting Information section (Figures SI-2–SI-5).

**MnO₄⁻ Penetration into LPZ and ¹⁴C-TCE Mass Recovery Using Conventional Flooding.** Multiple transport experiments were performed and photographed to systematically evaluate the ability of xanthan to increase the penetration of permanganate into the low permeable zone (Figure 2). Variables adjusted included the initial and secondary flooding solutions, xanthan concentrations, and pore volumes of initial floods (Table S2). Sweeping efficiency was qualitatively defined as the percentage of LPZ that was visibly covered by the flooding solution as a function of pore volume (method details provided in the SI). A graph displaying sweeping efficiencies of the various treatments is provided in the Supporting Information (Figure SI-6). Initial transport experiments injected 2 pore volumes of either xanthan (500 mg L⁻¹) or MnO₄⁻ (Exps. 1A-1B). Using xanthan alone, the injectate front moved nearly perpendicular to the direction of flow (i.e., ~90° tilt angle) and effectively swept through the LPZ (Figure 2, Exp. 1A). Previous publications have reported similar observations. Using MnO₄⁻ alone, considerable bypass around the LPZ was observed, even after injecting 2 pore volumes of MnO₄⁻ into the 2D tank (Figure 2, Exp. 1B).

To verify that the visual coverage of the LPZ by permanganate corresponded with actual TCE oxidation, we selectively sampled the pore water in and around the LPZ during transport (Exp. 1B). We sampled the transmissive zone up gradient and down gradient of the LPZ as well as inside the LPZ (Figure 3). Results confirmed that the two sampling points not visually covered by MnO₄⁻ had the highest ¹⁴C-TCE activity remaining in solution, with one sampling point in the center of the LPZ still registering the starting fluid concentration (i.e., C/Cₒ = 1). These results confirm that the five pore volumes of dissolved TCE initially used to condition the 2D tank (prior to injecting the treatments) dispersed TCE throughout the LPZ and that bypass of the LPZ by the migrating MnO₄⁻ left some of the LPZ pore water at the initial starting concentration.

When the volume of the initial flood was reduced to 0.5 PV and followed by a secondary flush of 1.5 pore volumes of CaCl₂, we again observed that injecting MnO₄⁻ alone (Exp. 1C) covered mainly the transmissive zone and only penetrated 50% of the LPZ (Figure 2). When xanthan was included in the initial flush (Exps. 1D-1F), sweeping efficiencies increased to >80%, with the 500 mg L⁻¹ xanthan concentration yielding the highest sweeping efficiency (92%, Figure SI-6). This indicates the xanthan solution provided shear thinning to the oxidant flood and allowed more MnO₄⁻ penetration into the LPZ.

---

**Figure 2.** Photographs of temporal changes in 2D tank coverage by conventional flooding (Exps. 1A–1F).
Although xanthan improved the sweeping efficiency of the MnO$_4^-$, the displacing front was not perpendicular to flow when MnO$_4^-$ was included. Rather, gravity under ride was observed with all MnO$_4^-$-xanthan floods (Exps. 1B–1F) and resulted from density differences between the flooding solution and resident fluid (i.e., dissolve phase TCE). Schincariol and Schwartz observed unstable flow displacements when density differences were ≥0.8 kg m$^{-3}$. The solution densities of the various MnO$_4^-$-xanthan concentrations used in our experiments differed by up to 5 kg m$^{-3}$, and, as expected, increasing MnO$_4^-$ concentrations increased solution density while adding xanthan decreased solution density (see Figure SI-7). This counteracting effect resulted in the ratio of 4000 mg L$^{-1}$ MnO$_4^-$ to 500 mg L$^{-1}$ xanthan having a solution density very similar to the control (i.e., no added amendments, Figure SI-7).

**Sweeping Efficiency and $^{14}$C-TCE Mass Recovery during Multi-Step Flooding.** Additional transport experiments used a series of flooding solutions to treat dissolved-phase TCE and calculate mass recovery. This multiple-step flooding consisted of three stages (Table S2). The first step introduced a smaller pore volume of injectate (0.25 PV) in an attempt to get closer to realistic oxidant volumes used in field applications. The second step followed the initial flood with background solution for 2.75 PV. Then, to ensure that all untreated TCE was displaced from the 2D tank and could be used to determine mass balance calculations, the third step was to inject 1.5 PV of xanthan solution to displace fluid from the tank (i.e., mobilization flood).

The delivery of oxidant into the LPZ can be observed by the calculated sweeping efficiencies (Figure 4, Figure SI-6) and through time-lapse photography (Figure 4, Figure SI-8). When MnO$_4^-$ was part of the initial flood, significant differences between treatments were observed. In Experiment 2A, the xanthan MnO$_4^-$ mixture was stirred for 24 h prior to use. Because xanthan started to lose its viscosity, most of the oxidant stayed in the transmissive zone and sweeping efficiency only reached 40% (Figure 4). When MnO$_4^-$ was injected by itself, the sweeping efficiency was 75% at 3 PV. However, when xanthan was also included (Exp. 2F), the sweeping efficiency reached 100% after 2.1 PV. Finally, a comparison of displacing fronts, with and without xanthan, shows that gravity under ride was observed with MnO$_4^-$ alone (Exp. 2E, Figure SI-8), but a more perpendicular front was observed when the xanthan was included (Exp. 2F, Figure SI-8). As mentioned earlier, the 4000:500 MnO$_4^-$:xanthan ratio had the smallest difference in solution density to the control (Figure SI-7).

**Displacing Untreated Dissolved-Phase TCE with Xanthan.** Because the 2D tank was saturated with $^{14}$C-labeled, dissolve-phase TCE, flooding the system allowed us to monitor temporal changes in $^{14}$C-activity in the effluent. By using xanthan in the displacement flood (Step 3), we supplanted any $^{14}$C that was not oxidized or displaced by the initial or secondary

---

**Figure 3.** The relationship between visual coverage of LPZ by permanganate and $^{14}$C-activity.

**Figure 4.** A. Sweeping efficiencies of multistep flooding experiments (Exps. 2A, 2E, 2F). B. Photographs of LPZ coverage for Exps. 2A, 2E, 2F at 1.05, 1.53, and 2.04 PV.
floods (Steps 1 and 2). Given that rebound or back diffusion is attributable to the release of chlorinated solvents from low permeable zones back into transmissive zones, once the transmissive zones have been treated, the use of xanthan as a displacement flood provided a means of showing which treatment could be potentially vulnerable to rebound. Plotting $^{14}$C-activity in the effluent as a function of pore volume (Figure SI-9) revealed that some treatments produced a small but separate $^{14}$C-breakthrough curve (BTC) during the displacement flood. The initial flood treatments that produced these secondary BTCs were the control (Exp. 2C), MnO$_4^-$ alone (Exp. 2E), and the MnO$_4^-$-xanthan solution that was aged overnight (Exp. 2A). By comparison treatments where xanthan was present in the initial flood (Exps. 2D and 2F) showed no evidence of a secondary BTC. These results only confirm what was visually observed. Namely, those treatments that resulted in incomplete coverage of the LPZ (Figure SI-8) and, hence, had low sweeping efficiencies (Figure 4, Figure SI-6) were the ones that have the potential to produce rebound.

$^{14}$C-TCE Oxidized During Transport: Experimental and Simulated Results. Given that the use of xanthan in the initial flood improved the sweeping efficiency of MnO$_4^-$ into the LPZ and reduced the mass of TCE left in the LPZ, the final question remaining was how much did xanthan improve the overall oxidation of TCE during transport. Given that the TCE is pushed out as the oxidant is pumped in, it is often difficult to quantify treatment differences in short, finite-length tanks. Nonetheless, because our sampling protocol allowed us to differentiate the effluent into $^{14}$C-TCE, $^{14}$C-degradation products, and $^{14}$CO$_2$, the amount of cumulative $^{14}$C-TCE oxidized ($^{14}$C-products and $^{14}$CO$_2$) could be calculated (Figure 5). Results show that the majority of oxidized products started to elude from the 2D tank after ~1 PV and likely included oxidized products produced in and around the LPZ.

When only MnO$_4^-$ was used in the initial flush (Exp. 2E), the percent TCE oxidized after 4.5 pore volumes was 28.9% and represents TCE oxidized in the transmissive zone and a portion of the LPZ. When xanthan was mixed with MnO$_4^-$ and injected immediately (Exp. 2F), the amount of $^{14}$C-TCE oxidized increased to 40.8%. This higher rate of oxidation obviously resulted from a greater percentage of the LPZ being treated (100% sweeping efficiency at 2 PV) but also by the fact that the MnO$_4^-$ traversed faster in the transmissive zone on both sides of the LPZ and engulfed the LPZ after approximately 1 PV (see photos in Figure 6). This means MnO$_4^-$ was present at the backside or down gradient of the LPZ when the dissolved-phase TCE was being pushed out of the LPZ.

To date, there have only been a few attempts to numerically simulate polymer flow into LPZs. Zhong et al. successfully modified the Subsurface Transport Over Multiple Phases (STOMP) simulator to predict the flow of xanthan into low permeable zones. Recently, Silva et al. advanced these modeling efforts by using the University of Texas Chemical Composition (UTCHEM) simulator, which accounts for shear-thinning rheology and also includes polymer retention and acceleration parameters. To our knowledge, the coupling of non-Newtonian flow with reactive transport has not been undertaken. By taking such an approach and coupling a reactive transport model with the Brinkman equation (Equations 1–8), we were able to simulate the amount of $^{14}$C-TCE oxidized during transport, with and without xanthan (Figure 5).

In these simulations, the permeability of the LPZ and the reaction rate in the transmissive zone were treated as the fitting parameters and were obtained by trial and error (Table S3). The permeability of the low permeable zone was adjusted by comparing the simulated polymer movement in the LPZ with the time-lapse photos (Figure 6). The reaction rate
in the transmissive zone was adjusted by comparing the simu-
tulated mass of TCE oxidized with measured values. Sensitivity
analysis of Experiments 2E and 2F found that the product of
the reaction rate constants \( k_{TZ}, k_{LPZ} \text{ m}^2/\text{kg s} \) and Peclet numbers \( (Pe_{TZ}, Pe_{LPZ}) \) for the transmissive zone (TZ) and the LPZ were constant:

\[
k_{TZ} \times Pe_{TZ} = k_{LPZ} \times Pe_{LPZ}
\]

The Peclet number in the transmissive zone \( (Pe_{TZ}) \) and LPZ
\( (Pe_{LPZ}) \) were estimated as \( (v d_{50})/D^* \), where \( v \) is the corre-
sponding average fluid velocities of the domain during
\( \text{MnO}_4^- \) injection, \( d_{50} \) is the estimated median grain sizes (Ta-
ble S3), and \( D^* \) is the TCE diffusion coefficient. Equation 9 was used to estimate \( k_{TZ} \). When \( \text{MnO}_4^- \) was injected alone
(Exp. 2E), our simulated transport of permanganate did not mimic the gravity under ride we observed in the transm-
issive zone because density was not accounted for in the model. However, the model was able to approximate the
temporal sweeping efficiency of the LPZ (Figure 6A). When
\( \text{MnO}_4^- \) was paired with xanthan, the model closely mimicked
\( \text{MnO}_4^- \) movement by engulfing the LPZ and leaving TCE in
the bottom right-hand corner of the LPZ as the last area to be
swept by the migrating permanganate (Figure 6B). This sim-
ulated cross-flow was created by the pressure and viscous
distribution generated in the transmissive zone and the LPZ,
which resulted from the shear-thinning rheology of includ-
ing xanthan with \( \text{MnO}_4^- \) in the initial flood. Additional sensi-
tivity analyses of the validated numerical model showed that
xanthan still improved the oxidation of TCE in the LPZ even
when the permeability contrast between the transmissive and
LPZ zones was increased 10-fold. We also found that reduc-
ing the flow rates would lead to higher removal rates, due to
longer reaction times.

Although a number of physical and biological factors must
first be considered before using xanthan under field condi-
tions, \(^{18}\) our experimental and simulated results support com-
bining xanthan with permanganate as a means of increasing
the mass of dissolved-phase TCE oxidized in low permeable
zones and reducing the potential for rebound.

Supporting Information
Details of experimental procedures and further explanation of
results are presented following the References.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Chemicals and Soils.** Trichloroethene (TCE; C₂HCl₃; ACS reagent, ≥99.5%) and sodium permanganate (NaMnO₄, 40% by weight) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Xanthan gum (CAS-11138-66-2) (Sigma-Aldrich), nitric acid (J.T. Baker, Phillipsburgh, NJ), and sodium hydroxide (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) were used as purchased. Physiochemical properties of the main chemicals used in the transport experiments (TCE, xanthan, and MnO₄⁻) are provided (Table S1).

Soils used in transport experiments were chosen to create a transmissive zone and a low permeable zone (LPZ). The transmissive zone was packed with commercial silica sand (Accusand 20/30, Le Sueur, MN) while the low permeability zone was fabricated by mixing a silty clay loam soil with a silica sand (Accusand 40/50) in a 1:16 (w/w) ratio. The silty clay loam was used to increase mass of TCE retained by the LPZ (Sale et al., 2008). This silty clay loam was obtained from a loess deposit ~6.1 m below ground surface on the University of Nebraska campus (Lincoln, NE).

**Viscosity-Shear Rate Relationship.** The effects of xanthan and MnO₄⁻ concentrations on the viscosity-shear rate relationship were quantified. This was accomplished by preparing varying concentrations of xanthan and MnO₄⁻ and measuring viscosities across varying shear rates. To prepare the xanthan stock solution, we slowly added xanthan powder to H₂O while stirring to avoid powder formation of the glass wall. Because xanthan preparation can directly affect the solution viscosity (Chen and Sheppard, 1979), once mixed, the xanthan stock solution (2 g L⁻¹) was continuously mixed on a magnetic stirrer for 90 min at room temperature (25°C) and used within 2 h, unless stated otherwise.

To quantify how solution viscosity changed with varying xanthan concentrations, we diluted the xanthan stock solution to 125, 250, 500, 750, and 1000 mg L⁻¹. Solution viscosity was then monitored with a cone/plate DV-II + Pro Brookfield Viscometer.
Brookfield Engineering Laboratories, Middleboro, MA) over shear rates ranging from 0.1 to 400 s⁻¹. This range of shear rate would adequately cover a variety of aquifer matrices and conditions.

To quantify the effects of MnO₄⁻ concentrations on the viscosity of xanthan, we prepared xanthan solutions of 500, 1000, and 1250 mg L⁻¹ and spiked each with NaMnO₄ at 1250, 2500, 5000, 10000, and 12500 mg MnO₄⁻ L⁻¹. Once the xanthan-MnO₄⁻ solutions were completely mixed, the viscosity-shear rate relationship was measured.

**Temporal Stability of Xanthan-MnO₄⁻.** To quantify the temporal stability of the xanthan-MnO₄⁻ solutions, we measured viscosity-shear rate values periodically over 9 d. In this experiment, a 500 mg L⁻¹ xanthan solution was spiked with NaMnO₄ at an initial concentration of 2500 and 10000 mg L⁻¹. Each flask was then covered with paraffin film and aluminum foil to prevent MnO₄⁻ photodegradation, and agitated on an orbital shaker at ambient temperature (25°C) until analysis. Sampling occurred at 0, 0.25, 0.75, 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9 d. For each sampling time, we measured viscosity, as previously described, and MnO₄⁻ concentrations (n = 3). MnO₄⁻ was measured with a HACH Spectrophotometer DR2800 (HACH Company, Loveland, CO) at a wavelength of 525 nm. Samples were diluted with water in 20-mL vials and filtered with 0.45 µm glass wool membrane prior to analysis to avoid any colloidal interference from MnO₂ (Chokejaroenrat et al., 2011), and to remove any possible gel formations (Zhong et al., 2008).

**2D-Tank.** All transport experiments were conducted in the specifically designed rectangular anodized aluminum tank (2D-Tank) consisting of three chambers. The main chamber (1061 cm³) housed the soil and had internal dimensions of 21.6 cm (length) by 12.7 cm (height) by 5.1 cm (width) (Fig. SI-1). The other two chambers were up gradient (inlet) and down gradient (outlet) of the main chamber. The inlet chamber was packed...
with 4-mm diam glass beads (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) to promote mixing. Titanium mesh was also used in the inlet and main chambers to prevent clogging of the array of tank openings between chambers. The outlet chamber (49.9 cm$^3$) collected the effluent from the main chamber and had three exit ports (top, middle, and bottom), which were used for sampling. The front and back of the 2D-tank consisted of glass plates that allowed us to visually observe fluid flow in the transmissive and low permeable zones. All joints of the 2D-Tank assembly were secured with PTFE o-rings that fit closely inside the tank to prevent any leakage. The influent and effluent connections were constructed out of 316 stainless steel tubing (3.18-mm dia.) equipped with stainless steel 3-way Swagelock valves. Liquid flow was controlled with an HPLC pump (Shimadzu Scientific Instruments, Columbia, MD) that provided a constant flow rate. All additional tubing was either stainless steel or PTFE (Teflon) to minimize TCE adsorption.

Establishing Transmissive and Low Permeable Zones in the 2D Tank. The 2D tank was hand packed with a rigorous set of steps and procedures to ensure uniformity between experiments. This involved using the same soil weights and template tools to produce a low permeable zone surrounded by a transmissive zone. In brief, the steps used to pack the 2D tank were as follows: (1) the tank was divided into 7 layers before placing the transmissive sand into the tank; (2) after adding each layer of soil, we dry-packed the tank by gently packing with a specifically designed rubber hammer and shaking the tank horizontally at each packing level, (3) when the tank was packed to the height of the LPZ base, we marked the position of LPZ and inserted a plastic casing that fit closely inside the tank and: (4) LPZ soils were then added to the casing using a funnel; (5) we removed the plastic casing once the LPZ and surrounding transmissive soil was packed (6) transmissive soil was pack above the LPZ and the top of the tank was reassembled.
The 2D-tank was initially dry-packed by hand to yield a bulk density of approximately 1.76 kg m\(^{-3}\) for transmissive zone and 1.57 kg m\(^{-3}\) for the LPZ. The packed systems had an approximate average porosity of 0.38 and a total pore volume (PV) of \(\sim 481\) cm\(^{3}\).

**Sample Collection Protocol.** To quantify \(^{14}\)C-activity in experiments not using MnO\(_4^-\) (i.e., Exp. 2B–2D), effluent samples were transferred directly into a 7-mL scintillation vial containing 5 mL of Ultima Gold\(^{TM}\) scintillation cocktail (Packard, Meriden, CT). For experiments that used an oxidant flood (i.e., Exps. 2A, 2E–2F) and a background treatment (i.e., Exp. 2D), 3 mL samples were withdrawn into a 5-mL glass syringe. This sample was then quickly distributed into three equal 1 mL aliquots (labeled Portions A, B, and C) and analyzed using the procedures modified from Kriegman-King and Reinhard, (1992). In brief, Portion A was transferred to a 7-mL scintillation vial that contained 0.3 mL of 2.67 N HNO\(_3\); Portion B was transferred into a scintillation vial containing 0.3 mL of 2.67 N NaOH; and Portion C was transferred to a vial containing 0.3 mL of 2.67 N HNO\(_3\) and quickly transferred to a vial containing 5 mL of Ultima Gold\(^{TM}\) scintillation cocktail (Packard, Meriden, CT). Portions A and B were purged with N\(_2\) gas for 10 min to release CO\(_2\), TCE, and volatile degradates from solution. Then, 5 mL of scintillation cocktail was added and portions A and B were counted. All samples were mixed on a vortex mixer prior to analysis and \(^{14}\)C-activity was determined by liquid scintillation counting (LSC) using a Packard 1900TR liquid scintillation counter (Packard instrument Co., Downers Grove, IL). The \(^{14}\)C-activity in Portion A was equivalent to the \(^{14}\)C-non volatiles while the activity in Portion B was equivalent to the total amount of oxidized TCE (i.e., \(^{14}\)CO\(_2\) + \(^{14}\)C-non volatiles). The \(^{14}\)CO\(_2\) content was calculated by subtracting the activities of Portion A from Portion B. The amount of \(^{14}\)C-TCE recovered was calculated by subtracting the activities of Portion A from Portion C (Kriegman-King and Reinhard, 1992).
**Determination of Sweeping Efficiency.** To compare the ability of xanthan to increase the penetration of MnO$_4^-$ into the low permeable zone (LPZ), we visually observed the movement of flooding solutions into the 2D-tank. The term sweeping efficiency is generally used in the remedial amendment literature (Neil et al., 1983; Zhong et al., 2008; Silva et al., 2012). We report the sweeping efficiency of the LPZ as a function of pore volume (e.g., Fig. SI-6). Sweeping efficiency was qualitatively defined as the percentage of the swept area divided by the total area.

Areal sweep is determined by overlaying the template consisted of multiple square grids onto the photo of 2D-Tank captured in each individual pore volume. Due to the double layer of glass plates on the sides of the 2D-tank, we were unable to differentiate color pixels digitally. We therefore, created square grids (1/8" x 1/8") inside a 5" x 8" rectangular shape which is the same size as 2D-Tank. The transmissive zone contains 2072 grids while the LPZ contains 648 grids. We used these grids as a template. Permanganate has a distinct purple color. If a grid was completely occupied by the flooding solution, it would be counted as one grid. If a grid was partially occupied, it was given a score of 0.25, 0.50, or 0.75.

For example, if the areal sweep of the transmissive zone was equivalent to 1,500.25 grids, the sweeping efficiency of this zone is 72.41% (1500.25/2072 x 100%).

If the areal sweep of the LPZ was equivalent to 345.75 grids, the sweeping efficiency of this zone is 53.36% (345.75/648 x 100%).

**Model Implementation.** A Computational Fluid Dynamic (CFD) package in Comsol Multiphysics software (version 4.3a) was used to solve the coupled flow problem (Eqs. 1-6). The constant velocity boundary condition was applied for the inlet boundary. The outlet boundary combined a no viscose stress boundary condition with zero pressure at the top right corner. The Solute Transport in Porous Medium Module in Comsol Multiphysics 4.3a was applied to solve the transport equations (Eqs. 7-8).
concentration boundary conditions were set as time dependent concentration boundary conditions for each species based on the procedure of each experiment. The outlet boundary was considered as an outflow where all species mass were transported out of the domain.

An adaptive mesh refinement technique was necessary to ensure the numerical stability of the problem. In this technique, the elements at the interface of reaction were dynamically discretized to smaller sizes as computation progressed. The fully coupled direct numerical solver was used to solve the flow model, where the Jacobian matrix was set to update every iteration. The computational model was implemented to simulate experimental results from transport experiments 2E and 2F (Tables 1, S2). It took 15 h to simulate Experiment 2E and 30 h to simulate Experiment 2F on a Dell OptiPlex 9010 computer (32GB RAM, 3\textsuperscript{rd} Gen Intel\textregistered Core\textsuperscript{TM} i7-3770).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Temporal Stability of MnO$_4$-Xanthan Solutions. To quantify the stability of the xanthan-MnO$_4$ solutions, temporal changes in measured viscosity-shear rate values were periodically recorded over 9 d (Fig. SI-2). Result showed xanthan alone was relatively stable with minor decreases in apparent viscosities with time (Fig. SI-2A). When MnO$_4$ was mixed with 500 mg L$^{-1}$ xanthan, either at concentrations of 2500 or 10000 mg L$^{-1}$, viscosities significantly declined with increased holding times. This was especially evident in the non-Newtonian flow regime (i.e., $> 10$ s$^{-1}$) and at the higher versus lower MnO$_4$ concentration (i.e., 10000 vs. 2500 mg L$^{-1}$; Fig. SI-2C, SI-2B). Possible reasons for this decline include the oxidation of xanthan by MnO$_4$ and production of byproducts such as MnO$_2$. When we conducted a parallel experiment where MnO$_4$ concentrations were monitored in xanthan-MnO$_4$ solutions for up to 15 d,
results showed slight decreases in MnO$_4^-$ concentrations (Fig. SI-3) and brownish MnO$_2$ precipitates observed in the experimental units (Fig. SI-4).

High viscosity reduces the mobility of the fluid in the higher permeability strata, which in turn promotes cross-flow into adjacent strata of lower permeability (Silva et al., 2012). The instability of xanthan with holding times could hinder the xanthan-MnO$_4^-$ solutions from creating cross-flow between layers of different porosities (i.e., different shear rates). Given that the higher the viscosity difference ($\Delta\mu$), the better the xanthan performs in penetrating low permeable zones, we calculated viscosity differences at shear rates of 3 and 200 s$^{-1}$, rather than concentrating on declines in viscosity at one shear rate. When the viscosity-shear rate relationship was measured immediately ($T = 0$ d), a 46 cP difference ($\Delta\mu$), was observed for the xanthan solution (Fig. SI-2A). By $T = 9$ d, $\Delta\mu$ of the xanthan solution had dropped to 29 cP. This decrease indicates some instability that may be due to biodegradation, which has previously been shown to reduce viscosity (Littmann, 1988; Martel et al., 1998; Robert et al., 2006). By comparison, $\Delta\mu$ of solutions containing MnO$_4^-$ (both 2500 and 10000 mg L$^{-1}$) showed significant decreases when holding times were longer than 12 h. For instance, at $T = 9$ d, $\Delta\mu$ decreased to 6.72 cP, an 82.5% decrease (Fig. SI-2C).

To illustrate how holding times of xanthan-MnO$_4^-$ solutions can influence the penetration of MnO$_4^-$ into low permeable zones (LPZ), we compared the sweeping efficiency of a freshly prepared xanthan-MnO$_4^-$ solution to one that had been held for 24 h before being injected into our transport tank. Results showed that the freshly prepared MnO$_4^-$-xanthan solution delivered MnO$_4^-$ into the LPZ (Fig. SI-5A) but the aged xanthan-MnO$_4^-$ solution could not penetrate the LPZ after a similar number of pore volumes had been delivered (Fig. SI-5B). Even though xanthan-MnO$_4^-$ solutions have been shown to preserved 60 to 95% of their viscosity after 72 h (Smith et al., 2008), results under our experimental conditions (i.e., soil textures, flow rate, and MnO$_4^-$ concentrations)
indicated that xanthan-MnO$_4^-$ solutions needed to be used within a few hours after mixing. A recent publication by Zhong et al. (2013) also demonstrates that a number of site factors such as soil type and water chemistry can contribute to viscosity losses of xanthan solutions during transport and that these factors should be considered before field use.
Table S1. Chemical properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Molecular Formula</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>M.W. (g mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>Density (g cm⁻³)</th>
<th>Viscosity (cP)</th>
<th>Solubility (mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>C₂H₃Cl₃</td>
<td>Contaminant</td>
<td>131.39</td>
<td>1.48(1)</td>
<td>0.58(2)</td>
<td>1101(1)</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich (ACS grade)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthan gum</td>
<td>(C₃H₆O₃)ₙ</td>
<td>Soluble polymer</td>
<td>(933)ₙ</td>
<td>0.998(3)</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>&gt;5000(3)</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Permanganate</td>
<td>NaMnO₄</td>
<td>Oxidizing agent</td>
<td>141.93</td>
<td>1.972</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Liquid</td>
<td>Aldrich Chemistry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1)Seol et al., 2001; (2)Imhoff, 1992; and (3)Martel et al., 1998

Table S2: Solutions, concentrations, and pore volumes used in transport experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Exp</th>
<th>Initial Flood Type</th>
<th>Initial Flood</th>
<th>Secondary Flood</th>
<th>Displacement Flood</th>
<th>Total PV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Solution, (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>Solution, (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>PV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Water-Polymer</td>
<td>Red-CaCl₂, (441)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Oxidant</td>
<td>MnO₄⁻, (4000)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Oxidant</td>
<td>MnO₄⁻, (4000)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>CaCl₂, (441)</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Oxidant - Polymer</td>
<td>MnO₄⁻, (4000); Xanthan, (250)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>CaCl₂, (441)</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1E</td>
<td>Oxidant - Polymer</td>
<td>MnO₄⁻, (4000); Xanthan, (500)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>CaCl₂, (441)</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1F</td>
<td>Oxidant - Polymer</td>
<td>MnO₄⁻, (4000); Xanthan, (1000)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>CaCl₂, (441)</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple-Step</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Oxidant - Polymer</td>
<td>MnO₄⁻, (10000)²; Xanthan, (500)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>CaCl₂, (441)</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Red-CaCl₂, (441)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Red-CaCl₂, (441)</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Red-CaCl₂, (441)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Red-CaCl₂, (441)</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>Water - Polymer</td>
<td>Red-CaCl₂, (441)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Red-CaCl₂, (441)</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Oxidant</td>
<td>MnO₄⁻, (10000)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>CaCl₂, (441)</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Oxidant – Polymer</td>
<td>MnO₄⁻, (10000); Xanthan, (500)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>CaCl₂, (441)</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Red-CaCl₂ is a CaCl₂ solution dyed red for visual tracking. ² Xanthan solution was prepared overnight.
Table S3. Model parameters used in simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter/Property</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fluid properties</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate</td>
<td>( \text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
<td>( 5 \times 10^{-8} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>( \text{kg m}^{-3} )</td>
<td>1002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymer initial concentration</td>
<td>( \text{kg m}^{-3} )</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanganate initial concentration</td>
<td>( \text{kg m}^{-3} )</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCE initial concentration</td>
<td>( \text{kg m}^{-3} )</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Soil properties</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Transmissive zone</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeability*</td>
<td>( \text{m}^2 )</td>
<td>( 3.9 \times 10^{-10} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersivity</td>
<td>( \text{m} )</td>
<td>0.00155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_{50} )</td>
<td>( \text{m} )</td>
<td>( 7.13 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Low permeable zone</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeability</td>
<td>( \text{m}^2 )</td>
<td>( 5 \times 10^{-11} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersivity</td>
<td>( \text{m} )</td>
<td>0.000752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_{50} )</td>
<td>( \text{m} )</td>
<td>( 5.09 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reaction rate constant</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>( 2E ) experiment</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmissive zone (( k_{TZ} ))</td>
<td>( \text{m}^3 \text{kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
<td>( 7 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low permeable zone (( k_{LPZ} ))</td>
<td>( \text{m}^3 \text{kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
<td>( 5 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>( 2F ) experiment</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmissive zone (( k_{TZ} ))</td>
<td>( \text{m}^3 \text{kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
<td>( 2.64 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low permeable zone (( k_{LPZ} ))</td>
<td>( \text{m}^3 \text{kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
<td>( 1.2 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viscosity parameters</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Xanthan (500 mg L(^{-1}]</em>)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a )</td>
<td>( \text{cP} )</td>
<td>97.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b )</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu_o )</td>
<td>( \text{cP} )</td>
<td>175.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu_x )</td>
<td>( \text{cP} )</td>
<td>1.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Xanthan (500 mg L(^{-1}]</em>) +( \text{MnO}_4^− ) (10000 mg L(^{-1}]*)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a )</td>
<td>( \text{cP} )</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b )</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu_o )</td>
<td>( \text{cP} )</td>
<td>87.6( \text{C}_2+240 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu_x )</td>
<td>( \text{cP} )</td>
<td>1.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Estimated using Karman-Cozeny equation; \( \text{C}_2 \) is \( \text{MnO}_4^− \) concentration (kg m\(^{-3}\))
Figure SI-1. Schematic of 2D-tank dimensions
Figure SI-2. Temporal changes in viscosity-shear rate relationships for (A) xanthan, (B) xanthan + 2500 mg L\(^{-1}\) MnO\(_4^−\); (C) xanthan + 10000 mg L\(^{-1}\) MnO\(_4^−\).
Figure SI-3. Temporal changes in MnO$_4^-$ concentration for various MnO$_4^-$-xanthan ratios.
Figure SI-4. Photographic evidence of MnO$_2$ formation observed in xanthan-MnO$_4^-$ solution.
Figure SI-5. Photographs of 2D tank following initial floods with (A) xanthan + 500 mg MnO$_4^-$ L$^{-1}$; and (B) xanthan + 500 mg MnO$_4^-$ L$^{-1}$ aged for 24 h.
Figure SI-6. Sweeping efficiencies of (A) conventional flooding experiments (Exps. 1C-1F) and (B) multiple flooding experiments (Exps. 2B-2D).
Figure SI-7. Solution densities of various combinations of MnO$_4^-$ and xanthan concentrations. Error bars indicate sample standard deviations (n=7).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multistep Treatment</th>
<th>Pore Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exp. 2A</td>
<td>0.19, 0.57, 1.05, 1.53, 2.04, 3.06, 3.93, 4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO₄⁺ + Xanthan Aged (0.25 PV); CaCl₂ (2.75 PV); Xanthan (1.50 PV)</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. 2B</td>
<td>0.19, 0.57, 1.05, 1.53, 2.04, 3.06, 3.93, 4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red-CaCl₂ (0.25 PV); Red-CaCl₂ (2.75 PV); H₂O (1.50 PV)</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. 2C</td>
<td>0.19, 0.57, 1.05, 1.53, 2.04, 3.06, 3.93, 4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red-CaCl₂ (0.25 PV); Red-CaCl₂ (2.75 PV); Xanthan (1.50 PV)</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. 2D</td>
<td>0.19, 0.57, 1.05, 1.53, 2.04, 3.06, 3.93, 4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red-CaCl₂ + Xanthan (0.25 PV); Red-CaCl₂ (2.75 PV); Xanthan (1.50 PV)</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. 2E</td>
<td>0.19, 0.57, 1.05, 1.53, 2.04, 3.06, 3.93, 4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO₄⁺ (0.25 PV); CaCl₂ (2.75 PV); Xanthan (1.50 PV)</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. 2F</td>
<td>0.19, 0.57, 1.05, 1.53, 2.04, 3.06, 3.93, 4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO₄⁺ + Xanthan (0.25 PV); CaCl₂ (2.75 PV); Xanthan (1.50 PV)</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure SI-8.** Time-lapse photography of multistep flooding experiments (Exps. 2A-2F).
Figure SI-9. $^{14}$C-effluent breakthrough curves following multistep flood treatments.
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