

Eastern Wildlife Damage Control Conferences
Proceedings of the Eighth Eastern Wildlife
Damage Management Conference (1997)

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Year 1997

Enhancement of Deer Repellent Efficacy
With visual Cues

Milo E. Richmond*

James J. Messina†

*Cornell University, Ithaca, New York

†Deer Stopper, P.O. Box 122, Chester, NJ

This paper is posted at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ewdcc8/28>

ENHANCEMENT OF DEER REPELLENT EFFICACY WITH VISUAL CUES

MILO E. RICHMOND, New York Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, U.S. Geological Service, BRD, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853

JAMES J. MESSINA, Deer Stopper, P.O. Box 122, Chester, NJ 07930

Abstract: Previous research on deer repellents by the authors suggests that visual cues (warnings) coupled with application of an effective repellent may enhance the protection afforded by the repellent. We report the results of 2 separate experiments designed to evaluate and partition the effects of such visual cues in practical applications of 3 candidate repellents. In the first experiment, we established 1-ha plots in late succession old fields in Warren County, New Jersey. Plots were treated with bobcat urine, Deer Stopper[®], water, and no treatment. Treatment application was made to 5-cm strips of cotton cloth attached to ¼-in cotton rope that encircled the entire plot. Strips were placed at 10-cm intervals. Browsing by deer in these plots was monitored for 1 year. The proportion of stems browsed relative to those available was recorded from randomly chosen 1-m x 100-m sample strips (2 per plot per month). Red maple (*Acer rubrum*), blueberry (*Vaccinium* spp.), and black gum (*Nyssa sylvatica*) predominated in a mix of 16 woody species. Overall, browsing rates showed little seasonal change, but were affected by treatments: control (no treatment)-31%; rope only-18%; bobcat urine-10%; and Deer Stopper[®]-2%. Duncan's multiple range test indicates a difference between all treatment except bobcat urine and Deer Stopper[®]. In the second experiment, using Big Game Repellent[®] (BGR) and Deer Stopper[®], these results were confirmed and extended. In situations where deer can make an association of the repellent with a visual cue, they do so. The effect of the combination is both desirable and measurable.

Key Words: repellents, *Odocoileus virginianus*, white-tailed deer

Proc. East. Wildl. Damage Manage. Conf. 8:193
