Central auditory system plasticity: Generalization to novel stimuli following listening training

Kelly Tremblay
Northwestern University

Nina Krause
Northwestern University

Thomas D. Carrell
University of Nebraska-Lincoln, tcarrell@unl.edu

Therese McGee
Northwestern University

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/specedfacpub
Part of the Special Education and Teaching Commons
Central auditory system plasticity: Generalization to novel stimuli following listening training

Kelly Tremblay
Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders, Auditory Neuroscience Laboratory, Northwestern University, 2299 N. Campus Drive, Evanston, Illinois 60208-3540

Nina Kraus
Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders, Auditory Neuroscience Laboratory, Northwestern University, 2299 N. Campus Drive, Evanston, Illinois 60208-3540 and Departments of Neurobiology and Physiology, and Otolaryngology, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois 60208-3540

Thomas D. Carrell
Department of Communication Disorders, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588

Therese McGee
Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders, Auditory Neuroscience Laboratory, Northwestern University, 2299 N. Campus Drive, Evanston, Illinois 60208-3540

(Received 3 June 1996; revised 17 June 1997; accepted 5 August 1997)

Behavioral perceptual abilities and neurophysiologic changes observed after listening training can generalize to other stimuli not used in the training paradigm, thereby demonstrating behavioral “transfer of learning” and plasticity in underlying physiologic processes. Nine normal-hearing monolingual English-speaking adults were trained to identify a prevoiced labial stop sound (one that is not used phonemically in the English language). After training, the subjects were asked to discriminate and identify a prevoiced alveolar stop. Mismatch negativity cortical evoked responses (MMN) were recorded to both labial and alveolar stimuli before and after training. Behavioral performance and MMNs also were evaluated in an age-matched control group that did not receive training. Listening training improved the experimental group’s ability to discriminate and identify an unfamiliar VOT contrast. That enhanced ability transferred from one place of articulation (labial) to another (alveolar). The behavioral training effects were reflected in the MMN, which showed an increase in duration and area when elicited by the training stimuli as well as a decrease in onset latency when elicited by the transfer stimuli. Interestingly, changes in the MMN were largest over the left hemisphere. The results demonstrate that training can generalize to listening situations beyond those used in training sessions, and that the preattentive central neurophysiology underlying perceptual learning are altered through auditory training. © 1997 Acoustical Society of America.

PACS numbers: 43.71.Pc [WS]

INTRODUCTION

The auditory cortex is plastic, that is, it is capable of reorganization as a function of experience. This fact has been well documented by animal experiments, and by human behavioral and electrophysiologic studies. For example, single- and multiple-unit recordings from mammalian cortical neurons show learning-induced changes in the auditory cortex (Buchwald et al., 1966; Olds et al., 1972; Kraus and Disterhoft, 1982; Diamond and Weinberger, 1984, 1986, 1989; Bakin and Weinberger, 1990; Recanzone et al., 1993; Edeline et al., 1993; Weinberger, 1993). In humans, Näätänen et al. (1993) have reported learning-related neurophysiologic changes reflected in a far-field electrophysiologic response called the mismatch negativity (MMN). They showed that the MMN changed following discrimination training using tonal stimuli. Similarly, Kraus et al. (1995a) demonstrated learning-related changes in the MMN when elicited by synthetic speech stimuli.

The MMN is an auditory-evoked response that reflects preattentive discrimination of acoustic signals (Naätänen et al., 1978; Sams et al., 1985; Näätänen and Picton, 1987; Scherg and Picton, 1990; Giard et al., 1990; Csépe et al., 1987). The MMN is elicited by a rarely occurring stimulus when it is presented within a series of homogeneous stimuli (Naätänen et al., 1978). Animal and human studies indicate that the encoding of different acoustic cues important for speech perception involve distinct neuroanatomic and neurophysiologic processes (Blackburn and Sachs, 1990; Delgutte and Kiang, 1984; Steinschneider et al., 1982, 1990) and that the MMN can be used to probe the neurophysiologic encoding of essential speech features (McGee et al., 1996; Micco et al., 1995; Csépe, 1995; Sharma et al., 1995; Kraus et al., 1993, 1994a, 1994b, 1995b, 1996).

The Naätänen et al. (1993) and Kraus et al. (1995a) findings suggest that changes in the MMN reflect experience-related changes in central auditory processing of
complex signals such as speech. However, in those studies, subjects were trained and tested using the same stimuli. What is of great interest is if changes in stimulus representation are limited to the stimuli used in training, or whether the changes in acoustic representation at a preattentive level will generalize to novel stimuli with acoustic properties similar to the trained stimuli. This “transfer of learning” is important from a clinical perspective because it is important to know if efforts at (re)habilitation using auditory training will generalize beyond the training sessions to other listening events.

Behavioral evidence of “transfer of learning” already has been established in the speech-learning literature. For example, McClaskey et al. (1983) found that adult listeners generalized their newly acquired ability to perceive prevocoded labial syllables to prevocoded alveolar phonemes. However, little is known about the neurophysiologic changes associated with such learning and transfer of learning.

Consequently, this study combined the behavioral approaches of Pisoni et al. (1982) and McClaskey et al. (1983) with the neurophysiological approach used by Näätänen et al. (1993) and Kraus et al. (1995a) to explore whether behavioral training modified the neurophysiologic representation of speech, and to test the hypothesis that neurophysiologic modification is not limited to the stimuli used to establish the change. Specifically, this study was designed to determine if surface-recorded mismatch negativity responses in humans reflect behavioral changes in perception following training, and whether “transfer of learning” is evident behaviorally and neurophysiologically in response to novel speech contrasts having an equivalent voice-onset-time distinction but a different place of articulation.

I. METHODS

A. Subjects

The subjects were 18 normal hearing, right-handed monolingual speakers of English. Normal hearing was defined as pure tone sensitivity better than or equal to 20 dB HL bilaterally for octave frequencies between 250 Hz and 8 kHz. All subjects were born into monolingual English-speaking households and had less than five years of exposure to a foreign language(s) in school. Each subject was randomly assigned to either an experimental or control group. The mean age for the control group was 21 years with an age range of 18–28 years. The mean age for the experimental group was 22 years with an age range of 18–26 years.

B. Stimuli

The stimuli were synthesized tokens modeled after those used by McClaskey et al. (1983). Labial (/ba/-/pa/) and alveolar (/da/-/ta/) continua were created in which voice-onset-time (VOT) varied from −50 ms to +50 ms in 10-ms steps. Therefore, each continuum consisted of eleven items. The VOT is defined as the interval between the release from stop closure and the onset of laryngeal pulsing. A negative VOT indicates laryngeal pulsing during the stop closure period before the release. The stimuli were generated using a Klatt digital speech synthesizer (Klatt, 1980).

The steady-state portion of the stimuli consisted of the vowel /a/, which varied in duration relative to the VOT so that the overall duration for each stimulus remained constant at 180 ms. The formant values for this vowel were: \( F_1 = 700 \text{ Hz}, \ BW_1 = 90 \text{ Hz}; F_2 = 1200 \text{ Hz}, \ BW_2 = 90 \text{ Hz}; F_3 = 2600 \text{ Hz}, \ BW_3 = 130 \text{ Hz}, F_4 = 3300 \text{ Hz}, \ BW_4 = 400 \text{ Hz}; F_5 = 3700 \text{ Hz}, \ BW_5 = 500 \text{ Hz}. \) The fundamental frequency of the stimuli began at 120 Hz and then fell to 100 Hz during the steady-state portion of the vowel. The labial formant transitions were 40 ms in duration. The alveolar formant transitions were 50 ms in duration. To simulate a burst, a turbulent noise source (AF) 10 ms in duration and 60 dB in amplitude was added to both bilabial and alveolar stimuli. The spectrum of the burst was centered around 2500–4000 Hz.

Because the MMN is elicited by any acoustic change, we chose to use synthetic rather than natural speech in order to precisely control the acoustic parameters and to determine systematically which acoustic dimension was reflected in the MMN. The use of natural speech would have complicated the interpretation because natural speech contains many simultaneously changing parameters that might be reflected in the MMN.

C. Procedure

The entire testing procedure took nine days for each individual. The experimental and control group participated in exactly the same testing on days 1, 2, 8, and 9. The only difference was that the experimental group participated in training sessions on days 3–7 whereas the control group did not receive any training.

1. Day 1

a. Electrophysiology baseline. Baseline MMN responses were obtained on the first day for both the experimental and control groups in response to the two stimulus pairs; the training stimuli (labial) and the transfer stimuli (alveolar). The −10-ms VOT stimulus (either labial or alveolar) was the standard stimulus (frequency of occurrence =85%) and the −20-ms VOT stimulus (either labial or alveolar) was the deviant stimulus (frequency of occurrence =15%). The stimuli were presented in a pseudorandom sequence with at least three standard stimuli separating presentations of deviant stimuli (oddball paradigm). Although 3500 standard stimuli were presented, the responses to the standard stimuli following each deviant were not included in the averaged response. In an “alone” condition, 1000 presentations of the deviant stimulus were presented alone for comparison with the response to that same stimulus when it was the deviant stimulus in the oddball paradigm. The rationale for using a deviant-stimulus-alone control condition is reviewed by Kraus et al. (1995b). The order of labial and alveolar presentation was counterbalanced across subjects to prevent any potential order effects. For each individual, the order of stimulus presentation was the same before and after training. All subjects were tested in a sound-treated booth. The stimuli were presented monaurally to the right ear using Etymotic Research (ER3) insert earphones. Subjects were instructed to ignore the stimuli. To ensure that the subject was
not attending to the stimuli, each subject watched a video-
tape of his or her choice. The test stimuli were presented at
74 dB SPL while the background videotape sound, presented
in free field, was 40 dB SPL.

Previous studies by Näätänen et al. (1993) and Kraus
et al. (1995a) recorded over the midline of the scalp only
and therefore did not report topographical distributions of MMN
change. This study included nine active electrode locations.
Active electrodes were placed at Fz, Cz, Pz, over the frontal
lobes (F3 and F4), temporal lobes (TL and TR), and masto-
toids (A1 and A2). Electrode TR was situated halfway be-
tween T4 and T6. Electrode TL was situated half way be-
tween T3 and T5 according to the International 10/20
recording system (Jasper, 1958). A nose electrode served as
the reference and a forehead electrode served as ground.
Eyeblink activity was monitored using electrodes located on
the superior and outer canthus of one eye. Artifacts measur-
ing in excess of 100 μV on the EOG channel were rejected
off-line during data processing.

Each individual’s grand-averaged “difference” wave
was calculated by subtracting the average response to the
deviant stimulus when presented alone from the response
to the same stimulus when it was presented in the oddball para-
digm. The MMN onset latency, duration, and area were mea-
sured from the individual subject grand-averaged difference
wave. Latency was measured between 120 and 500 ms. Du-
ration was defined as the offset minus the onset latency. The
MMN area was calculated by drawing a line between the
onset and offset of the MMN in the difference wave, and
determining the number of ms × μV.

Individual grand averages were averaged together for
each group. Group MMN onset latency, duration, and area
measurements were calculated using the same methods. The
present study intentionally focused on group effects. To date,
methods for statistically defining the MMN in individuals are
evolving (McGee, 1997a, 1997b). Extracting the MMN sig-
nal from noise in individual responses has been a longstanding
methodological issue.

2. Day 2

a. Behavioral baseline: Discrimination testing. During
behavioral tests, subjects were seated in a sound-treated
booth in front of a computer monitor which provided visual
instructions to the subjects. Subjects in both the experimental
and control groups were instructed to listen to two stimuli
and to indicate whether the stimuli were the same or differ-
et. This AX discrimination task served as a practice task
and included ten trials without feedback using two-step
stimulus pairs from the labial series. Once the subjects dem-
onstrated proficiency with this task, they performed the same
discrimination test using all of the labial stimuli in the VOT
continuum. That is, each subject was presented with 264
trials consisting of both one- and two-step pairs of the labial
stimuli with no feedback. The two-step pairs were included
to provide reinforcement since these were “easier” con-
trasts.

The discrimination task was an AX paradigm with an
interstimulus interval of 750 ms. The interpair interval varied
since the test was self-paced. Once the subject provided a
response, the next stimulus pair was delivered 1 s later. The
discrimination test was repeated using stimuli from the al-
veolar continuum. The number of correct and incorrect re-
sponses for the −20/−10 ms VOT contrast were calculated
during each trial. These results served as a baseline dis-

b. Identification testing. Following discrimination testing,
each subject was asked to identify, from three choices
displayed as text on the computer screen, what sound they
heard. This paradigm was a three-alternative forced-choice
identification task. When a single labial stimulus from the
labial continuum was presented, the choices were /mba/, and
/+/ba/. When the stimulus was from the alveolar con-

3. Day 3

a. Behavioral training (experimental group only). The
experimental subjects were asked to listen to single presen-
tations of the labial stimuli in order from −50 ms through
+50 ms VOT. This served as a familiarization session. Us-
ging a fading technique described by Jamieson and Morosan
(1986, 1989), subjects were presented with either a −10 ms
or −30 ms VOT stimulus from the labial continuum. Sub-
jects were asked to identify the sound as either /mba/ or /ba/.
Both choices were presented as text on the computer moni-
tor. Feedback in the form of a green reinforcement light ap-
peared when the subject correctly identified the −30 ms
VOT stimuli as /mba/ and the −10 ms VOT stimuli as /ba/.
This task was repeated 50 times to train the listener to label
the acoustic prevoiced component as /mba/.

The −10 ms and −30 ms stimuli were chosen based on
a pilot study in which listeners were able to identify these
stimuli well above chance without training. Therefore, this
initial session allowed the subjects to listen to the prevoiced
stimuli and orient themselves to the prevoiced cue using an
easy stimulus pair. Following this fading session, each sub-
ject began their first training session using a more difficult
stimulus (−20 ms VOT). This stimulus was now assigned
the label /mba/. The training session consisted of four blocks
of 50 trials where either a −10 ms or −20 ms VOT labial
stimuli was presented. Feedback in the form of a green rein-
forcement light appeared when the −20 ms VOT stimulus
was labeled as /mba/ and the −10 ms VOT was labeled as
/mba/. Each stimulus was presented randomly with equal prob-
ability of occurrence. Percent correct was calculated based
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on the number of correct responses for each block of 50 trials. Performance on all four blocks of 50 trials was averaged to obtain a final training session score.

4. Days 4–7

a. Behavioral training continued (experimental group only). Each subject in the experimental group continued the training sessions, listening, and identifying the −20- and −10-ms VOT labial stimuli. Nine sessions were conducted over 5 days. Each training session (200 trials) lasted approximately 20 min.

5. Day 8

a. Electrophysiology posttraining test. Electrophysiological responses were measured for both groups in response to the −20- and −10-ms VOT contrasts (labial and alveolar) using the same procedure described for day 1.

6. Day 9

a. Behavioral posttraining test. Discrimination and identification measures were obtained for both the labial and alveolar stimuli for both the experimental and control groups as described under day 2.

II. RESULTS

The results are presented in two sections: (A) Behavioral data and (B) Electrophysiological data.

A. Behavioral results

1. Identification training

Through training, all subjects in the experimental group learned to identify the −20-ms VOT stimulus as /mba/ and the −10-ms VOT stimulus as /bba/. Grouped data show a significant improvement from the first to the last training session ($t = 3.43, df = 8, p < 0.01$). Group averaged data across sessions are shown in Fig. 1.

2. Pre- and postdiscrimination and identification testing

a. Trained stimuli /mba/. Training resulted in improved postraining discrimination and identification scores for the experimental group but not the control group (Fig. 2). Planned comparisons revealed a significant improvement in the experimental group’s ability to identify the −20-ms VOT stimuli as /mba/ when comparing pre- versus postraining identification scores ($t = 2.11, df = 8, p < 0.05$). The control group did not show any significant change in performance from pre- to posttesting ($t = 0.85, df = 8, p > 0.05$). Similarly, the experimental group demonstrated a significant improvement in their ability to discriminate the −20/−10-ms VOT /mba/ contrast ($t = 2.59, df = 8, p < 0.05$) whereas the control group did not ($t = 1.51, df = 8, p > 0.05$).

b. Transfer stimuli. The effects of training transferred to the /nda/ stimuli with postraining discrimination scores improving significantly for the experimental group ($t = 2.60, df = 8, p < 0.05$) but not the control group ($t = 1.71, df = 8, p > 0.05$). Improvement in the identification of the −20-ms VOT /nda/ stimulus narrowly missed reaching significance for the experimental group ($t = 1.71, df = 8, p = 0.06$). The control group did not show any significant changes in the ability to correctly identify the −20-ms VOT /nda/ stimulus ($t = 0.09, df = 8, p > 0.05$).

3. Summary

In summary, listening training improved the experimental group’s ability to discriminate and identify the unfamiliar prevoiced labial stimulus /mba/. That enhanced ability transferred from one place of articulation (labial) to another (alveolar). No significant changes in performance were seen for the control group since they did not receive the training.

B. Electrophysiology results

1. MMN onset latency, duration, and area

Planned comparisons revealed a significant decrease in MMN onset latency for the experimental group but not the control group for the training stimuli /mba/ ($t = 2.31, df = 8, p < 0.05; t = 0.99, df = 8, p > 0.05$). No significant changes were seen in onset latency for either the experimental or control groups when tested using the transfer stimuli ($t = 1.48, df = 8, p > 0.05; t = 0.22, df = 8, p > 0.05$).

Planning comparisons comparing pre- versus postraining scores revealed significant increases in MMN duration for the experimental group for both the trained and transfer stimuli ($t = 4.97, df = 8, p < 0.01; t = 2.91, df = 8, p < 0.05$). The control group did not show significant changes for either stimulus condition ($t = 0.90, df = 8, p > 0.05; t = 0.25, df = 8, p > 0.05$).

Planning comparisons comparing pre- versus postraining revealed significant increases in MMN area for the experimental group for both the trained and transfer stimuli ($t = 2.77, df = 8, p > 0.05; t = 2.94, df = 8, p > 0.05$). The control group did not show significant changes for either stimulus condition ($t = 1.13, df = 8, p < 0.05; t = 0.91, df = 8, p > 0.05$).

FIG. 1. Average correct identification scores (■) and one standard deviation (---) are shown as a function of training session for the experimental group. Identification scores improved significantly from the first to final training session ($n = 9$).
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In summary, an increase in MMN duration and area were seen for the experimental group when elicited by either the training or transfer stimuli following training (Fig. 3). No significant pre- versus posttraining changes were seen for the control group.

2. Laterality differences

Changes in MMN were largest over the left hemisphere. Figure 4 provides right versus left hemisphere MMN data pre- and posttraining for the experimental group in response to the training stimuli. Enhancement of the MMN was evident visually at all electrode sites in response to the training stimuli. For the transfer stimuli, changes were evident at Fz and FL only. Because there appeared to be a greater amount of change over the left hemisphere in the experimental group, MMN onset latency, duration, and area measures were analyzed using a 2×(2×2) repeated measures ANOVA (experimental versus control group, left versus right hemisphere, and frontal versus temporal lobe), using post-minus pretraining values as the dependent variable.

For the training stimuli (mba), there were significant group effects for onset latency ($F=4.135$, $p=0.05$). A group × hemisphere interaction was seen for MMN onset latency ($F=5.62$, $p<0.05$). Post hoc t tests revealed that the significant difference between right and left onset latency was seen over the frontal lobes. The decrease in onset latency following training was greater at electrode site FL when compared to FR ($t=3.10$, $df=8$, $p<0.01$).

For the training stimuli (mba), there were significant group effects for MMN duration and area ($F=4.41$, $p<0.05$; $F=5.63$, $p<0.05$). A group × hemisphere × lobe interaction was seen for MMN duration ($F=4.6$, $p<0.05$). Post hoc t tests revealed that the degree of duration change was greater at electrode site FL compared to FR in the experimental group ($t=3.74$, $df=8$, $p<0.01$). No significant onset latency, duration, or area differences were seen across hemispheres for the control group. For the transfer stimuli (nda), there were no significant hemispheric differences.

C. Summary of results

Listening training improved the ability to discriminate and identify an unfamiliar VOT contrast. That enhanced ability transferred from one place of articulation (labial) to another (alveolar). The behavioral training effects were re-
flected in the MMN, which showed an increase in duration and area when elicited by the training or transfer stimuli for the experimental group but not the control group. This finding is illustrated in Figs. 5 and 6, which show grand-averaged MMN waveforms for each group. Figure 7 illustrates that the grand-averaged MMN measures reflect the individual trends in the data and are not simply a result of one or two outliers. Figure 4 shows that changes in the MMN were largest over the left hemisphere.

III. DISCUSSION

A. Main findings

Auditory training alters the perception of novel stimuli. Behavioral learning was shown by the improved identification scores during training sessions as well as the improved pre- versus posttraining discrimination and identification scores. Concurrently, neurophysiological plasticity was shown by the changes in the mismatch response. Specifically, this study showed that (1) through training, the experimental group learned to identify speech contrasts (differing in VOT) that are not phonemically different in the English language, (2) improvement of voice-onset-time discrimination generalized to spectrally different stimuli that also varied in VOT, (3) electrophysiologic responses to the training and transfer stimuli reflected this improved perception as an increase in MMN duration and area, as well as a decrease in the onset of the mismatch response for the experimental group but not the control group, and (4) electrophysiologic

FIG. 3. Increases in MMN duration and area and a decrease in onset latency (recorded from Fz) were seen in the experimental group and not in the control group for both training and transfer stimuli. Single asterixes denote a significant change with $p$ values $<0.05$. Double asterixes denote a significant change with $p$ values $<0.01$. 

duration changes were greater over the left hemisphere than the right hemisphere.

The behavioral data are consistent with those of McClaskey et al. (1983) who reported that the perception of a new linguistic contrast can be acquired by adult listeners and that this trained ability generalizes to novel stimuli not used during training. The electrophysiologic data reinforce the findings of Näätänen et al. (1993) and Kraus et al. (1995a) that neurophysiologic changes can be demonstrated in humans following listening training, thereby reflecting auditory system plasticity. Most importantly, this study showed that both behavioral and electrophysiologic changes are generalizable to other auditory stimuli that were not used during the training sessions.
B. Implications of experimental results to other areas of research

1. Plasticity of the adult system

In the past, there was debate concerning the flexibility of the mature perceptual system to accommodate new phonetic categories. Both successful as well as unsuccessful training and generalization attempts subsequently have led to the conclusion that the adult system does not lose the ability to acquire new linguistic contrasts, provided specific experimental procedures are used to train the listeners (Carney et al., 1977; Aslin and Pisoni, 1980; Strange and Dittmann, 1984; Jamieson and Morosan, 1989; Pisoni et al., 1982; Werker and Tees, 1984a; Lively et al., 1993, 1994; Logan et al., 1991). Until now, this line of research has been limited to behavioral studies. The present study establishes that the adult perceptual system is indeed plastic and that transfer of training can be shown through both behavioral and neurophysiologic measures.

Training-induced neurophysiologic changes as well as their generalizability may be the result of (1) a greater number of neurons responding in the sensory field, (2) increased synchrony of neuronal responses, or (3) an expansion of receptive fields (Berger et al., 1976; Merzinech, 1983, 1984; Kaas et al., 1983; Merzinech and Jenkins, 1983). Although this study does not specifically address whether changes occurred cortically or subcortically, it does provide insight into where neural changes might have occurred and how neuroanatomy may relate to perceptual learning. Specifically, changes in MMN were greater over the left compared to the right hemisphere following training, thereby suggesting that preattentive aspects of speech processing may also be lateralized. This laterality finding is new and may have implications regarding the role of each hemi-

FIG. 5. A significant increase in duration and area of the mismatch response was seen following training for the experimental group. Group-averaged electrophysiologic responses (electrode Fz) are shown for the experimental group to the training and transfer stimuli (~20/~10 ms VOT) when the deviant stimulus was presented alone (thin line) and when it was in the mismatch paradigm (thick line). The MMN is seen in the subtraction wave below. The area of significance ($p<0.05$) is depicted by the blocked area on the x axis ($n=9$).
sphere in processing learning-associated stimulus change.

Mapping studies using current source density analysis have reported both bilateral cortex involvement and predominantly right-hemispheric frontal involvement in MMN generation (Giard et al., 1990; Paavilainen et al., 1991). In the present study, the pretraining MMN was seen bilaterally and was not significantly larger over one hemisphere. The absence of laterality may result in part from the nature of the stimuli. Prior to training, subjects did not perceive the /mba/ and /nda/ stimuli to be phonetically distinct from /ba/ and /da/. Identification training may have resulted in these stimuli being recognized linguistically rather than as simple acoustic variants of /ba/ and /da/, thereby leading to greater activity in the left hemisphere. For example, when Giard et al. (1990) and Paavilainen et al. (1991) observed right-hemispheric dominance when recording the MMN, they used tonal stimuli. Because tones do not convey linguistic or phonetic information, the left hemisphere may not have played a dominant role in encoding those stimuli. Sharma and Kraus (1995) showed that the MMN lateralizes to the left if the change in a stimulus sequence signals a phonetic rather than a tonal pitch contrast. Thus, these studies in combination with the findings from the present study suggest that the linguistic/phonetic nature of the stimuli may relate to which hemisphere is most active in detecting the stimulus change and that preattentive aspects of speech processing are modifiable and may also be lateralized.

3. The role of attention and perceptual learning

Regardless of where and how these neurophysiological changes take place, data suggest that some degree of speech learning occurs at a preattentive level that can be measured in the absence of an overt response. Until now, the role of attention in comparable training and generalization experiments has been explored primarily in the visual modality. Visual experiments suggest that high-level attentional mechanisms are necessary for demonstrating “transfer” of visual learning (Ahissar and Hochstein, 1993). Even though the behavioral tasks in this study required attention and cognitive processing of the stimuli during training, changes in the MMN elicited by the training as well as the transfer stimuli indicate that speech-sound learning is evident at an automatic, preattentive level. Future studies examining the role of attention and other high-level cognitive processes
may yield information pertaining to the site(s) of perceptual learning, and how learning manifests itself as behavior.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

Ultimately, the goal of successful (re)habilitative training is to have listeners transfer learned behaviors to new listening situations. The behavioral results of this study have confirmed that controlled laboratory-based training procedures can be effective in modifying listeners' preattentive phonetic perception in a short period of time and that the learned behavior transfers to new acoustic conditions. The corresponding neurophysiologic findings are significant from a clinical perspective because they provide an objective approach for examining the neurophysiologic change that may occur following (re)habilitation efforts in populations such as hearing-impaired individuals and children with auditory-based learning problems, who may not be able to participate in behavioral testing. From a more theoretical standpoint, these findings establish an avenue for examining the human capacity for change, and for understanding neurophysiologic processes associated with foreign language training, musician ear training, and other forms of auditory learning.

FIG. 7. Individual subject changes in MMN onset latency, duration, and area after training are shown for the experimental group. Postraining changes are plotted relative to normalized pretraining values and show a significant increase in both duration and area as well as a significant decrease in onset latency. Note that overlapping individual scores are indicated by the symbol.
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