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Abstract – Francisella tularensis is a gram-negative coccobacillus and the etiologic agent of the zoonotic disease tularemia. First described in 1911 in Tulare County, California, it has since been reported throughout the Northern Hemisphere, with natural infections reported among an unusually wide range of vertebrates and invertebrates. In recent years, tularemia has emerged in new geographic locations, populations, and settings. This review will serve to highlight mechanisms contributing to the recent emergence of tularemia as well as a repertoire of diagnostic tools useful for detecting and diagnosing disease.
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1. HISTORY

Tularemia is a zoonotic disease caused by the small, gram-negative bacterium, Francisella tularensis, one of the most infectious bacteria known, with < 10 organisms capable of causing severe disease in both humans and animals [23, 60]. First described as a disease of significance nearly a century ago, tularemia has recently emerged in areas with no previous known risk and re-emerged in research and public health circles due to its biothreat potential.

The complexity of F. tularensis and its associated disease can be illustrated by a brief review of the early history. In 1911, McCoy and Chapin described a plague-like illness of rodents in Tulare County, California, and soon after (1912) cultured F. tularensis from squirrels in the area [49]. Two years later, the first human illness attributed to F. tularensis was described by Wherry and Lamb in Ohio, who isolated the bacterium from two patients with confirmed wild rabbit contact [68]. Subsequently, Edward Francis, for whom the genus is named, established that several clinical syndromes throughout the United States were caused by F. tularensis and proposed the name “tularemia” to describe them [29, 30]. These syndromes included “rabbit fever”, “market’s men disease” and “meat-cutter’s disease”, all of which were used to describe the illness associated with dressing rabbits for meat. “Deer-fly fever” was used to describe the disease following a deerfly bite, with most early observations made by Pierce in Utah. “Glandular type of tick fever” was used by physicians in Idaho who noted enlargement of lymph nodes in response to a tick bite.

Until 1925, it was widely believed that tularemia was a disease with risk limited to the United States. This perception soon changed. Ohara, studying hare disease (Yato-byo) in Japan, recognized the similarity of the disease to tularemia and sent specimens to Francis, who confirmed the presence of F. tularensis [52]. In the USSR (1928), F. tularensis was recognized as the causative agent of “water-rat-trappers’ disease”, an illness acquired by trappers who skinned water-rats for their pelts [62, 71]. Soon thereafter, tularemia was also reported in Norway (1929), Canada (1930), Sweden (1931) and Austria (1935) [31].

2. EPIDEMIOLOGY

Today, tularemia is recognized as a widely dispersed disease throughout the Northern Hemisphere with foci in certain parts of North America, Europe, and northern Asia [23, 43]. Few, if any, zoonotic diseases have a broader or more complex host distribution and epizootiology. F. tularensis infection has been evidenced in a staggering number of wildlife species including various lagomorphs, rodents, insectivores, carnivores, ungulates, marsupials, birds, amphibians, fish and invertebrates [8, 42, 43, 50]. Arthropods, including ticks, biting flies, and possibly mosquitoes, serve as vectors and potentially, long-term reservoirs [8, 24, 42, 44, 50]. Despite the complexity of the global picture of tularemia, the main components of regional disease cycles are much more narrow, typically involving only one to a few, key mammalian and arthropod species.

Two disease cycles, terrestrial and aquatic, have been described [44, 50]. In the terrestrial cycle, rabbits and hares typically serve as amplifying hosts and ticks or biting flies are arthropod vectors. In the aquatic cycle, beaver, muskrat and voles serve as important mammalian hosts and appear to shed live organisms into their environments. In Sweden, mosquitoes have been strongly implicated as vectors of tularemia and may acquire infection from other components of the aquatic cycle. Curiously, mosquitoes are not thought to be significant contributors to disease transmission in the United States, despite their sharing of the same environments as other components of the aquatic system. Recently, protozoa have been shown to harbor F. tularensis and may play an important role in aquatic cycles [1]. The interaction between aquatic and terrestrial cycles is largely unknown.
*F. tularensis* has been classified into four distinct subspecies, *tularensis, holarctica, mediasiatica*, and *novicida* [60]. The global distribution of disease caused by each subspecies is shown in Figure 1; clearly, subsp. *tularensis* and *holarctica* are responsible for the majority of described human and animal illness. Of the four subspecies, subsp. *tularensis*, also known as Type A, has the highest mortality rate. Infections due to Type A have been limited to North America. In comparison, *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*, also known as Type B, has been documented throughout the Northern Hemisphere. Thus, in North America, both Type A and Type B are present and often overlap within a given sub-region. The subsp. *novicida* and *mediasiatica* have more focal distributions with *mediasiatica* isolated only from the Central Asian regions of the former USSR, and *novicida* isolated from North America and more recently Australia [18, 41, 60, 69]. These two subspecies are infrequently associated with human disease.

Risk factors associated with human disease are linked to local disease ecology. For example, in the Western United States, biting fly exposures, tick bites and animal contact are all significant risks factors [43, 44]. In contrast, human cases in the central United States are rarely linked with biting flies and most often associated with tick bites and animal exposure [43, 44]. Similarly, mosquito exposure is an important risk factor in Sweden, whereas tabanid exposure is more strongly linked to cases in Russia [8].

Clinical presentation of tularemia is variable and dependent on the route of infection [22, 23]. Presentations include ulceroglandular, glandular, oculoglandular, oropharyngeal, pneumonic and typhoidal forms of tularemia. Ulceroglandular tularemia generally arises from contact with an infected animal or by the bite of an infected vector and is characterized by the presence of an ulcerated lesion and enlargement of regional lymph nodes. This type of exposure is exemplified by cases in a recent outbreak in Spain (described below). Glandular tularemia is quite similar to ulceroglandular disease but lacks the ulcerated site of infection. Oculoglandular tularemia occurs when the conjunctiva is the initial site of infection, usually as a result of mechanical transfer of organisms from an infectious source to the eye by the fingers. This form of disease is characterized by the appearance of ulcers and nodules on the conjunctiva and regional lymph node swelling. Oropharyngeal tularemia results from ingestion of contaminated water or food and is characterized by a severe sore throat with enlargement of the tonsils and swollen cervical lymph nodes. Most human cases in the recent outbreak of tularemia in Kosovo (described below) were of this form. Pneumonic tularemia, the most severe form of disease, occurs by direct inhalation of the organism, or may develop secondarily by septicemic spread of infection from a primary site of infection. Historically, farming, and more recently landscaping, have been significant occupational risk-factors associated with pneumonic tularemia in certain endemic areas [26, 63]. Typhoidal tularemia is used to describe a systemic disease with fever and other constitutional signs in the absence of lymphadenitis, cutaneous lesions, or primary pulmonary involvement.

### 3. NATURAL EMERGENCE AND ASSOCIATED FACTORS

Perhaps Roy Parker best voiced the potential for emergence of tularemia when he said: “I know of no other infection of animals communicable to man that can be acquired from sources so numerous and so diverse. In short, one can but feel that the status of tularemia, both as a disease in nature and of man, is one of potentiality” [54]. With *F. tularensis* being so widespread in wildlife species and the environment, it is poised to appear in new places and populations at any time.

As examples of natural emergence or re-emergence of tularemia, three outbreaks
Figure 1. The global distribution of disease caused by *F. tularensis* subspecies. The different shadings represent the distributions of Type A and Type B, *novicida*, and *mediasiatica*. Note that in North America, disease is caused by both subsp. Type A and Type B.
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and an isolated case will be highlighted in this review (Tab. I) and include: the first evidence of tularemia in Spain (1997) and in Kosovo (2000), the first large-scale outbreak of tularemia in prairie dogs (United States, 2002), and the finding of *F. tularensis* in the Southern Hemisphere (2002). While other examples of tularemia emergence exist, the cases detailed here serve to highlight a variety of mechanisms for disease emergence.

3.1. Spain (1997)

Although tularemia has been reported throughout much of Europe, it first emerged as a human disease in Spain in 1997–1998, when a large outbreak occurred [21, 37, 55]. A total of 559 cases of tularemia were reported with 519 cases from the community of Castille-Leon in northwestern Spain. A study of 142 patients in this region indicated that 97.2% had previous contact with hares; 83.8% had prepared hare carcasses and 13.3% had handled hare meat [55]. As expected based on contact with infected animals, ulceroglandular tularemia was the most common form of clinical disease observed (87% of patients). Type B was isolated from patients and also from hares in the region.

In 1998, a second outbreak of tularemia in humans was reported, this time in the central province of Cuenca, a region distant from the outbreak the previous year [4]. Nineteen cases of ulceroglandular tularemia were identified in persons who had contact with crayfish. This outbreak was most unusual in that tularemia had not previously been associated with fishing. Waterborne outbreaks of tularemia have occurred in the past, but most have been associated with contaminated drinking water [35, 43]. Transient contamination of the river and crayfish was implicated as the cause of the outbreak, with most patients incurring crayfish-related scratches, cuts, and abrasions while fishing. A sewage plant, which intermittently discharged water into the river, was linked to the cause of the outbreak. Type B, PCR positive samples were obtained from the river, crayfish, and human lymph node aspirates.

It is not clear when tularemia was introduced into Spain. Since tularemia was not recognized as a disease of Spain it would not have been considered in a clinical diagnosis prior to 1997. Nonetheless, evidence suggests that *F. tularensis* was present in Spain before the first outbreak. Retrospective studies have shown the presence of anti-*F. tularensis* antibodies in humans prior to 1997 and tularemia as the cause of a large hare die-off in the Castille-Leon area in 1994 [27, 34, 37].

The basis for emergence of tularemia in Spain remains speculative, with a likely explanation that infected hares were imported

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site of emergence</th>
<th>Subspecies</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Source of infection</th>
<th>Likely basis for emergence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spain (Humans)</td>
<td>holarctica</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Hares</td>
<td>Importation of hares from endemic countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Crayfish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosovo (Humans)</td>
<td>holarctica</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Food and water</td>
<td>War conditions/rodent population increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States (Prairie Dogs)</td>
<td>holarctica</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Prairie dogs</td>
<td>Exotic Pet trade/unregulated commercial sales of wild-caught animals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia (Human)</td>
<td>novicida</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Enhanced detection/laboratory skills</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
from tularemia endemic countries. Every year, hares are imported from Central Europe for hunting purposes and in 1996 tularemia infected hares from France, Italy, Austria, Finland, Sweden and Slovakia were described [5].

Importation of infected rabbits has been attributed to the emergence of tularemia elsewhere in the Northern Hemisphere. In the United States in the 1930s, infected rabbits from the tularemia endemic states, Missouri and Arkansas, were imported by game clubs into Massachusetts and liberated [7]. Soon thereafter the first locally acquired cases of tularemia were reported [3, 7].

3.2. Kosovo (2000)

The first report of tularemia in Kosovo occurred in early 2000, at the end of 10 years of political crisis and warfare in the region, when a cluster of patients was identified with an unusual syndrome of fever, pharyngitis and pronounced cervical lymphadenopathy [59, 70]. Active case-finding and serology testing confirmed 327 cases of tularemia in 21 Kosovo municipalities, with most cases presenting as oropharyngeal tularemia. Ingestion of \textit{F. tularensis} was suspected as the cause of the outbreak and a follow-up case-control study indicated that the outbreak was food and water related [59].

Retrospective analysis of public health records back to 1946 showed no prior evidence of tularemia in Kosovo [59]. Nonetheless, it is possible that tularemia had been present in Kosovo prior to the outbreak, but unrecognized in the absence of a large number of human cases. Both animal and human tularemia had been reported in neighboring countries and independent provinces [59]. In 1976, a five-year ecological study in neighboring Croatia showed that meadow and common voles were foci of tularemia in the region [10]. In addition, tularemia was reported in Turkey in the 1990s, with the majority of human cases being waterborne (83% of cases) [36, 39].

The emergence of tularemia in Kosovo is linked to the conditions resulting from war and political crisis [59]. The principal populations affected by the outbreak lived in rural farming villages and had fled their villages in 1999 as a result of ongoing conflict, leaving behind unprotected food storage areas, unharvested crops and plowed, unseeded fields. Untended wells were damaged and contaminated. Returning villagers noted a population explosion of rodents with increased rodent activity apparent both in and around homes. Thus, it is likely that the war time conditions led to increase in the local rodent population which allowed for epizootic spread of tularemia in rodents and consequent widespread environmental contamination.

The consequences of war, damaged public health care systems, unsound hygiene, and rodent increase, set the stage for the emergence and spread of a variety of infectious diseases. Conflict conditions, during World War II and in Bosnia in 1995, have led to previous outbreaks of tularemia [53, 59].

3.3. United States (2002)

Tularemia is a recognized disease of the United States, yet in 2002 it emerged in a rather unusual and unexpected setting [6, 15, 56]. The site of the outbreak was a Texas exotic pet facility where a variety of animal species were housed together and sold to domestic and international distributors. Thousands of wild-caught prairie dogs were supplied to this facility for commercial purposes and in July 2002 a large die-off (~ 250 prairie dogs) occurred. Type B was isolated from the dead prairie dogs at the Texas facility as well as prairie dogs distributed to the Czech Republic and to a Texas pet shop.

In this outbreak, the trade of trapping and selling wild animals as exotic pets allowed disease emergence. Although both tularemia and plague had previously been identified in wild-caught prairie dogs, there were no quarantine restrictions or testing requirements for wild-captured animals, prior to
their worldwide distribution as pets [2, 13, 47]. Apparently, one or more infected animals were wild-captured, and the disease spread by cannibalism as a result of the unnaturally close contact at the exotic pet facility. The primary mode of transmission was ingestion of *F. tularensis*, as all infected prairie dogs displayed enlarged submandibular lymph nodes, a hallmark of oropharyngeal tularemia.

In the years prior to this outbreak, the exotic pet trade had experienced growing popularity in the United States with unregulated importation and exportation. Wild prairie dogs, found throughout the Great Plains of North America from southern Canada to just inside Mexico, were collected in the United States between the months of April and July every year and distributed to pet stores throughout the country as well as exported internationally.

In 2003, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued an interim final rule to establish new restrictions on the commercial sale of prairie dogs and the importation of certain exotic species into the United States as the result of a monkeypox outbreak in prairie dogs and subsequent transmission to human owners [16, 17, 58]. Both the tularemia and monkeypox outbreaks in prairie dogs served to highlight the public health dangers associated with the exotic pet trade, including the speed with which infected animals can be transported and the potential for the exotic pet trade to rapidly introduce non-native pathogens worldwide.

### 3.4. Australia (2002)

Although *F. tularensis* has long been considered to cause disease in the Northern Hemisphere, in 2002 *F. tularensis* subsp. *novicida* emerged in the Southern Hemisphere with the first report of human infection [69]. A 53-year-old man presented with a swollen toe and swollen inguinal lymph nodes as a result of a cut received in brackish waters in the Northern Territory of Australia. The toe wound yielded an isolate of *F. tularensis* subsp. *novicida*. Because *F. tularensis* was not known to be present in the Southern Hemisphere, molecular comparison with other Type A, Type B, and *novicida* isolates was required before this isolate could be appropriately identified.

As in the case of tularemia in Spain, it’s unlikely that this case represents true emergence of *F. tularensis* in Australia. *F. tularensis* subsp. *novicida* is a less virulent subspecies of *F. tularensis* with only a few human cases of tularemia-like illness described worldwide. In addition, tularemia was not recognized as a disease of Australia and would not have been considered in a clinical diagnosis. As a result, the identification of infection due to *F. tularensis* relied heavily on the laboratory’s skill and persistence in identifying the isolate. The availability of molecular tools and national and international collaboration contributed to the accurate identification of *F. tularensis* in the Southern Hemisphere.

Interesting questions remain to be elucidated regarding *F. tularensis* in Australia, including how long the organism has been present in Australia and whether there might have been previous unrecognized cases. Nonetheless, this example serves to underscore the likelihood that *F. tularensis* is more widespread than previously thought and elicits the question of whether other *F. tularensis* subspecies are also present in Australia and elsewhere in the Southern Hemisphere.

### 4. BIOTERRORISM AND EMERGENCE

Perhaps the most unique aspect of *F. tularensis* emergence relates to recent worldwide concerns regarding the potential use of biological weapons [40]. Whereas the previous examples all discussed emergence with respect to the appearance of the disease in a new geographic location or population,
emergence here is used to describe the general public and scientific community awareness of tularemia. Due primarily to concerns over the use of *F. tularensis* as a biological weapon, tularemia has emerged as a widely recognized disease throughout the world. This is in stark contrast to 10 years prior when tularemia was considered to be of negligible public health significance, removed from the United States nationally notifiable list of diseases [14] and recognized only by a few subject matter experts around the world.

*F. tularensis* has been classified as a Category A select agent, because of its extreme infectivity, ease of dissemination, and substantial capacity to cause illness and death [20]. Heightened concern regarding the intentional use of *F. tularensis* as a biological weapon is also due to its previous history [22]. It was studied by Japanese germ warfare units between 1932 and 1945 and the United States military stockpiled *F. tularensis* for use as a biological weapon in the 1960’s. In the 1990s, the Soviets were reported to have produced *F. tularensis* strains with engineered resistance to vaccines and antibiotics.

In the scientific research community, massive amounts of bioterrorism funding are being directed at Category A agents. As a result, more researchers are working with *F. tularensis* than ever before. Numerous laboratories in the United States are studying the biology of this organism, with respect to vaccine development, new diagnostics, and virulence factors.

In public health laboratories around the world, laboratorians are now on the lookout for potential bioterrorism agents. In countries where *F. tularensis* has not previously been found, microbiologists are now becoming familiar with the protocols to isolate and identify this organism. Awareness of the disease has also increased among the medical community. With this enhanced worldwide surveillance, we may expect to discover *F. tularensis* in new and unexpected places. No doubt bioterrorism awareness contributed to the first identification of *F. tularensis* in the Southern Hemisphere.

5. DIAGNOSIS AND DETECTION

Identification of natural cases of disease emergence is limited by our diagnostic tools, as well as by the skills and attention of clinicians and laboratorians. In this section we will provide a review of the current diagnostics for *F. tularensis* and their importance in understanding disease emergence, as well as some recent advances in diagnostics that may aid in future investigations.

5.1. Culture

Culture recovery and characterization remains the “gold standard” for laboratory confirmation of tularemia infection according to the CDC. However, this approach has historically proven itself challenging, particularly with *F. tularensis* subsps., Type A and Type B. *F. tularensis* subsps., Type A and Type B, are slow-growing, fastidious organisms requiring sulfhydryl compounds and 24–72 h for growth on artificial media at 37 °C [19, 60]. *F. tularensis* is also notorious for causing laboratory acquired infections and has to be handled under BSL-3 conditions [19, 60]. Despite these concerns, culture provides a conclusive diagnosis of infection and an invaluable resource for molecular epidemiology, subtyping and discovery of novel species and subspecies.

*F. tularensis* from primary clinical sources grows well on several media, including enriched chocolate agar (CA), cysteine heart agar with 9% chocolatized blood (CHAB), and buffered charcoal yeast extract (BYCE) [19, 60]. *F. tularensis* can be isolated from nutrient enriched specimens (tissues) on sheep blood agar (SBA), but CHAB is strongly recommended for subculture as the organism will fail to thrive with continued passage on SBA. Additonally, growth on CHAB provides for presumptive identification of *F. tularensis* as the organism shows
characteristic growth on this media (green, opalescent, raised, shiny colonies at 24–48 h). Once a pure isolate has been recovered, glycerol fermentation can be used to differentiate Type A (glycerol fermentation positive) and Type B (glycerol fermentation negative). \textit{F. tularensis} subspp. novicida is non-fastidious and can be isolated on general microbiological agars, including SBA.

Contaminated specimens pose an especially difficult challenge when attempting to isolate \textit{F. tularensis}. Evidence suggests that some bacteria inhibit \textit{F. tularensis} growth [57]. This finding has important implications when attempting to isolate \textit{F. tularensis} from a variety of samples that contain other bacterial species. Recently, an antibiotic supplemented CHAB media (CHAB-A) was shown to significantly improve recovery rates of \textit{F. tularensis} from tissue sources contaminated or overgrown by other flora [57]. In clinical or environmental specimens where mixed flora is likely, the use of CHAB-A should be considered.

5.2. Serology

Serology is the most commonly used laboratory approach for confirmation of suspected disease. However, specific antibody responses are typically not detectable prior to two weeks of infection with currently available tests [9]. Nonetheless, retrospective serosurveys can be extremely useful for understanding the timing of disease emergence in a particular area or population. IgM, IgA and IgG antibodies appear simultaneously after initial infection and IgM antibodies can last for many years. Agglutination based on formalin-killed whole cells is the standard serology test used for determining the presence of antibody against \textit{F. tularensis} [12]. ELISAs based on LPS or outer membrane carbohydrate-protein fractions have also been utilized [9, 67]. With renewed interest in \textit{F. tularensis} due to bioterrorism, we may well expect the identification of new diagnostic antigens useful for early detection and subtyping.

5.3. PCR

A variety of PCR methods have been described for the detection of \textit{F. tularensis} DNA in both clinical and environmental specimens. PCR can be an invaluable diagnostic tool when organisms are noncultivable or nonviable. The majority of PCR tests for \textit{F. tularensis} have been gel-based PCR assays targeted at the genes encoding the outer membrane proteins, \textit{fopA} or \textit{tul4} [32, 48, 61]. These PCR assays show good specificity and allow for rapid detection of \textit{F. tularensis} in specimens. The \textit{tul4} PCR assay displays a sensitivity of 75% when applied to wound specimens from patients with ulceroglandular tularemia [45].

Advances in PCR detection have been made with the development of real-time TaqMan PCR assays. These assays have increased specificity and rapidity over gel-based PCR and can provide added sensitivity when testing both clinical and environmental specimens in which the number of organisms is expected to be quite low. A real-time \textit{F. tularensis} multitarget TaqMan PCR assay based on three targets, the ISFtu2 element, 23kDa and \textit{tul4} genes was recently described [66]. In addition to increased sensitivity, a detection limit of ~ 1 CFU, the multitarget aspect of this assay has the added advantage of decreasing the likelihood of false positives.

5.4. 16S rDNA sequencing

Several studies have shown the usefulness of 16S rDNA sequence identification in the diagnostic laboratory, especially as relates to slow-growing, unusual, and fastidious bacteria [38, 64]. For identifying true emergence of \textit{F. tularensis}, in areas where it has not previously been reported, 16S rDNA sequencing is a particularly useful diagnostic test. 16S rDNA sequencing played an important role in the first identification of \textit{F. tularensis} in the Southern Hemisphere and also in the tularemia outbreak associated with crayfish in Spain [4,
69]. For identification of recovered bacterial isolates, the universal 16S rDNA primers as well as the *Francisella* specific 16S rDNA primers, provide good sequence data [28]. For diagnostic identification of *Francisella* spp. in contaminated samples (ticks, water, field specimens), the *Francisella* specific 16S rDNA primers should be considered [4, 51].

5.5. Molecular subtyping

PCR subtyping assays have been developed that allow for discriminating *F. tularensis* subsp., Type A and Type B, in the absence of a culture [46, 56]. These assays are gel-based and center on the detection of differences in amplified product sizes. More recently, a gel-based PCR assay targeted at the region of difference 1 (RD1), has been reported to distinguish between all four subspecies of *F. tularensis*, Type A, Type B, *novicida*, and *mediaasiatica*, although evaluation of a larger panel of isolates, especially *novicida*, will be required before this assay can be employed routinely for *F. tularensis* subtyping [11].

Advances have recently been made in strain differentiation using a variety of molecular techniques including restriction fragment linked polymorphism (RFLP) Southern blot, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and multi-locus variable number tandem repeat assays (MLVA) [25, 33, 65]. These techniques will be extremely important for detailed molecular epidemiology studies in the future. With continued development and refinement of these techniques, we can well expect molecular strain differentiation of *F. tularensis* to play an important role in understanding the true basis of disease emergence.

6. CONCLUSION

Renewed scientific and public interest in the field of tularemia has evolved recently in large part due to the biothreat potential of this bacterial agent. Ironically, tularemia was dropped from the list of nationally reportable diseases in the United States in 1994 only to be reinstated in 2000. Although actively investigated in the early 1900’s, many of the questions posed during that era are still valid. What are the differences that account for virulence between Type A and Type B strains? Where does tularemia persist during quiescent periods? Is there spill-over between aquatic and terrestrial disease cycles? What are the environmental factors that lead to outbreaks in animals and humans? Natural factors influencing the ecology and emergence of tularemia are poorly understood but undoubtedly include climate, numbers of suitable hosts and vectors, and resistance of hosts to infection. Although these parameters may be influenced by man, more immediate outcomes are affected by activities such as the introduction or movement of diseased and susceptible hosts. Recent and novel human outbreaks have served to heighten the awareness, importance, and interest in this complex zoonotic disease. With the aid of current molecular diagnostic tools, tried-and-true bacteriologic methods, increased clinical recognition, epidemiologic investigations and an infusion of research funding, the answers to these questions are well within our grasp.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Pedro Anda and Raquel Escudero for information regarding the emergence of tularemia in Spain. We also thank Charles B. Beard, David Withum, Barbara J. Johnson, and Kiersten Meacham for critically reading the manuscript.

REFERENCES


[52] Ohara H., Human inoculation experiment with a disease of wild rabbits, with a bacteriologic study, Kinsei Igaku 12 (1925) 401.


