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Prof. Joseph J. Mpagalile (jjmpagalile@yahoo.com)
Dr. Wenceslaus R. Ballegu
The training package from FPC (UNL) forms a good basis to start from. Training materials need customization to suit the local conditions. The package exclusively focuses on food processors only. It should address other actors along the value-chain, especially on the supply chain (farmers and traders). Originally the training was designed for one day. It should be extended to 2 days (to cover both theory and practical).
GENERAL OBSERATIONS

Research Strategy and Approach

- The Research Strategy and Approach are appropriate (are based on proven experience of the FPC (UNL) (20+ years)
- Starts with training of processors (Idea to Product) and (Product to Profit) – theory and practical
- Allows flexibility to the use of existing localized educational materials
- Involves continuous/regular M&E to allow timely response to changing conditions/situations
- Targets to work closely with local machine manufacturers to re-engineer sorghum processing machines that are not readily available in the local market
GENERAL OBSERATIONS

For sustainability, the project did two things;

- Extended program expertise by training at PhD level 2 project team members (1 from Tanzania and 1 from Zambia)
- Shared widely educational and dissemination materials to interested stakeholders (NGOs, Local Government Authorities, media etc)
GENERAL OBSERATIONS

Selection of Stakeholders to work with

- Work closely with Local Government Authorities (LGA) to identify the people/groups to work with
- Start with a large number of processors/groups as a significant proportion will drop out along the way!
- You need a multidisciplinary team, including a sociologist to handle challenges associated with group dynamics (often leading to group disintegration)
- At a level of small-scale sorghum processing, women groups are more serious/determine than groups of men or youths
SPECIFIC OBSERATIONS

Stakeholders of interest along the Value Chain

- Farmers (sorghum producers)
- Supply Chain Traders
- Small-scale processors
- Large-scale processors
- Product retailers
- Supermarkets
SPECIFIC OBSERVATIONS

Farmers

- Most of them have low level of education
  (Therefore, work closely with the local extension agents)
- They resist changes (they prefer to use traditional ways that they are familiar with)
- Identify a few progressive farmers and work with them closely (one-on-one) so that they eventually act as role models
- Link farmers (who adopt and apply the good postharvest handling practices) with reliable markets that offer better prices
- They lack good storage facilities for long storage. Compelled to sell the product soon after harvest (hence low prices)
- They complain of lack of reliable markets for their sorghum
SPECIFIC OBSERVATIONS

Supply Chain Traders

- They are more united than farmers and they use this unity to dictate sorghum prices: **buying price** and **selling price**
- They try hard to discourage direct communication between farmers and processors (this is increasingly becoming difficult)
- They demand farmers to produce high quality sorghum grain (HQSG) but they are not willing to pay good price for HQSG!
- They prefer to buy sorghum cheaply at the time of harvest, stock for some time and wait for better prices. Some traders lack good storage facilities – hence the grains loose quality
SPECIFIC OBSERVATIONS

Small-scale processors

- They process a wide range of sorghum products (the main ones are: ready-to-eat snacks, “Lishe” and sorghum flour)
- Low volume of production (less than 3 tonnes per month)
- Some work as individuals but most work in groups
- They are very concerned with the poor quality of sorghum from farmers (sand contamination, mould growth, insect infestation)
- They are prepared to pay premium price for HQSG
- Poor working facilities and poor working premises (hence hard to register their businesses: LGA, TFDA and TBS)
- Sorghum de-hulling is principally done by mortar and pestle (one of the biggest snags for business expansion)
SPECIFIC OBSERVATIONS

Large-scale processors

- Large volume of production (more than 30 tonnes per month)
- They are few in number and are located in big cities
- They do not buy sorghum directly from farmers
- They are still reluctant to invest heavily in sorghum business
- They have equipment to sort clean grains from rubbish / contaminants. The processor pays only for the clean grains. This minimizes product adulteration
- They are concerned with the low quantity and quality of sorghum obtained from farmers. At times they are forced to import.
SPECIFIC OBSERATIONS

Product Retailers

- Are the main distributors of shelf-stable sorghum products ("Lishe" and flour)
- They demand products of consistently good quality. Some processors fail to meet this condition!
- They prefer registered products (TFDA and TBS) but they also accept non-registered products
- Majority take products on credit and pay only after sale. This limits the operation of processors (small working capital)
SPECIFIC OBSERVATIONS

Supermarkets

- At the moment supermarkets are not involved very much in the distribution of shelf-stable sorghum products
- Some reasons for their low involvement include:
  - Low level of production of these products (most of these are produced by small-scale processors)
  - Most of these products are not registered with TFDA and TBS
  - Lack of consistency in product quality produced by some processors (variability from one batch to another)
RECOMMENDATIONS

- When adopting this model to other places, the model must be customized adequately to suit the local conditions.

- Implementation of the model should involve more stakeholders along the value chain (other than processors).

- Implementation should last long enough (at least 4 years) for meaningful impact – because it involves behavioral change on the part of stakeholders (farmers, traders, processors etc).

- The projects should have own vehicles. This is because most project sites are far away from the project hosting institutions – hence high costs of transport.
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