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Dopamine and Executive Control

- Miller and Cohen's (2001) model of executive control emphasizes the prefrontal cortex’s modulation of activity in other brain regions through "bias signals" boosting activation of task-relevant neural pathways, likely through the action of dopamine (Montague, 2004)
- A number of studies have found associations between executive control and dopamine-related candidate genes, likely because of variation in the availability of dopamine in the synapse and/or efficiency of dopaminergic neurotransmission (Blais, 2005; de Frias, 2005)
- Variation in the D2 dopamine receptor DRD2 has been linked to addiction (Muñoz, 2004) and sensitivity to reward (Cohen, 2005), with individuals with 1 or 2 copies of the A1 allele at risk for negative outcomes
- However, several recent studies have linked DRD2 with executive control and the ability to adapt behavior to changing contextual contingencies in human adults (Rodriguez-Jimenez, 2006; Roensch-Elly, 2005) and in animal models (Kruzhik, 2004)

The Preschool Trail-Making Test

- In the Trail-Making Test, subjects connect stimuli on a page in sequence
  - Condition A: Subjects alternate between letters and numbers
  - This task is sensitive to frontal dysfunction (Reitan, 1955)
- Because preschool children are still learning literacy skills, the adult version of the test is not a valid test
- In the Preschool Trail-Making Test (Trails-P), stimuli are a family of 5 dogs that vary in size (Espy, 2004)
- Children complete the task by using a happy face stamper to mark stimuli in order from smallest to biggest
- Condition A (Control): Children stamp dogs only
- Condition B (Switch): Children alternate between dogs and numbers
- This task is sensitive to frontal dysfunction (Reitan, 1955)

Method

- 91 preschool children (mean age 4.3 years, range 2.5 to 6 years) were administered the Trails-P task as part of an executive control battery
- Children were genotyped on the DRD2 Taq1A polymorphism from cheek swabs obtained using a preschooler-friendly "lollipop game" procedure (Espy, 2002)
- Children were classified as DRD2 A1 carriers (A1A1 or A1A2) or non-carriers (A2A2)
- Demographic information for the full sample and the 2 genotype groups is presented in the table

Results: Response Latencies

- There was also a main effect of condition: F(2, 88) = 6.76, p < .005
- Tukey tests revealed that all the Inhibit condition differed significantly from the Control condition (p < .005), and marginally from the Switch condition (p < .10). The interaction between genotype and condition was not significant: F(2, 88) = 1.91, p > .15

Results: Errors

- For errors, there was a significant interaction between condition and genotype: F(2, 88) = 3.92, p < .05
- The effect of genotype was insignificant for the Control condition (p = .44), marginal for the Switch condition (p < .10) and reached significance for the Inhibit condition (p < .02)
- Main effects of genotype and condition were not statistically significant (p > .20)

Discussion

- DRD2 genotype contributes to variation in executive control in young children, as indexed by the Trails-P task
- Deficits in executive control in DRD2 A1 carriers may be related to lower availability of dopamine receptors associated with this genotype
- For errors, gene-related differences were observed only for the Inhibit and, to a lesser degree, Switch conditions
- However, for response latencies, gene-related differences were seen across all 3 conditions, even though the Control condition was intended as a non-executive baseline
- It is possible that, for young children, even the control condition (sequencing dogs based on size) involved executive control
- Problematically, faster latencies were observed for more challenging conditions; this may be because children with strong executive control deficits may have been less likely to complete the later conditions because of difficulties understanding or complying with task instructions
- Furthermore, genotype groups differ somewhat in SES and parental education
- More work is necessary to test for replication in a larger sample, examining the contributions of gene-environment and gene-gene interactions to executive control development
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