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An investigation into the possibility of transmission of tick-borne pathogens via blood transfusion


BACKGROUND: Tick-borne illnesses were diagnosed in a group of National Guard members, including some who had donated blood a few days before the onset of symptoms. A voluntary recall of those blood components was issued and a multistate investigation was conducted to determine if transfusion-transmitted illness had occurred.

STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS: Donors and recipients were asked to complete questionnaires regarding symptoms and risk factors for infection and to provide blood samples for laboratory analysis.

RESULTS: Among National Guard personnel who donated blood, 12 individuals were found to have a confirmed or probable case of Rocky Mountain spotted fever or ehrlichiosis. A total of 320 units (platelets or packed red cells) from 377 donors were transfused into 129 recipients. Although 10 recipients received units from National Guard personnel with confirmed or probable infection, none became ill.

CONCLUSION: Transfusion-transmitted illness did not occur. Despite the awareness of the risk for tick-borne diseases and the use of tick-preventive measures, many National Guard personnel reported exposure to ticks. In addition to augmenting current tick-preventive measures, scheduling blood drives before rather than after field exercises could further reduce the potential for transmission of tick-borne pathogens.

atrogenic transmission of tick-borne pathogens by blood transfusion has been well documented for Babesia species.1-5 There has been one documented case of Rickettsia rickettsii, the causative agent of Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF), being transmitted by blood transfusion. In that case, the donor developed symptoms 3 days after his donation and subsequently died. After a 6-day incubation period, the recipient also developed symptoms of fever and headache, and his illness was confirmed as RMSF by serologic testing.6 Other tick-borne pathogens, including Borrelia and Ehrlichia species, have the same potential, but transmission by transfusion has not yet been documented.2,7-10

In the southern United States, the two most serious human diseases resulting from the bite of an infected tick are RMSF and ehrlichiosis. RMSF is an acute febrile illness
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characterized by malaise, myalgia, headache, and, in approximately two-thirds of the cases, a petechial rash on the extremities, including the palms and soles. Untreated, the illness lasts 2-3 weeks with up to 30 percent case fatalities.11,12 There are two clinically similar yet distinct forms of human ehrlichiosis: human monocytic ehrlichiosis, caused by E. chaffeensis, and human granulocytic ehrlichiosis, caused by a not-yet-named Ehrlichia species closely related to E. equi and E. phagocytophila. Both agents cause an illness similar to RMSF, usually without the characteristic rash, and frequently with the additional laboratory findings of leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, and elevated hepatic aminotransferases.13 All three pathogens are transmitted by bites from infected ticks. The antibiotic treatment of choice is doxycycline.

In June 1997, the Iowa State Department of Public Health identified 10 Iowa National Guard members with an acute illness characterized by fever, headache, malaise, and myalgia; six of these individuals also reported a rash. Guard members became ill shortly after returning from their 2-week, annual field training exercises at a military base in Arkansas, during which they experienced extensive tick exposure. In addition, several of the ill guard members reported that they had donated blood 24 to 72 hours before the onset of their symptoms. Preliminary testing of their serum samples by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and Iowa Department of Public Health laboratories detected antibodies reactive with spotted fever group (SFG) rickettsiae in four of the ill guard members.

In response to these reports, a voluntary recall of blood components collected at the base during three previous blood drives between May 17 and June 29 was issued on July 3. The CDC, in collaboration with the National Guard and state health departments, initiated an investigation to determine the number of blood donors who developed a tick-borne illness and ascertain if recipients of transfused blood components developed a tick-borne illness that could be linked to an infected donor.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The blood collection agency records were reviewed to identify donors who had participated in blood drives held at the military base during May through June, 1997. Additional donors were identified through the National Guard and state health departments whose personnel attempted to contact all guard members who trained at that military base during the training season. Guard members who participated in the blood drives were asked to complete a self-administered questionnaire that included information about their training experience at the base, illness experienced at, or since returning from, the military base, and any associated medical care, prior medical history of tick-borne disease, tick exposure, and tick-bite prevention measures.

Once a list of all the donors was obtained, the number and type of components prepared from each donation, where the components had been distributed (i.e., consignees), and each component's disposition (e.g., transfused, destroyed) were ascertained. On the basis of the results of the donor's blood tests, physicians of patients who had received potentially infectious, recalled blood components were contacted. These physicians were advised of the signs and symptoms of RMSF and ehrlichiosis and the appropriate treatment if disease were detected in a recipient. If a tick-borne infection was suspected in the recipient, or if a recipient had received components from a donor subsequently shown to have had a confirmed or probable tick-borne disease (see below), then the attending physician was requested to submit a serum specimen and complete a questionnaire detailing the recipient's medical history, recent tick exposure, and their medical condition since receiving the recalled blood component.

Laboratory testing for antibodies reactive with tick-transmitted pathogens and DNA from tick-transmitted pathogens was first performed on retention samples available from most (98%) of the donated units of blood. Because serologic confirmation of a case of tick-borne disease requires paired testing of convalescent- and acute-phase samples, an effort was made to contact all donors through their National Guard units at least 6 weeks after the original donation. This effort was coordinated by state health department and National Guard personnel and relied primarily on collections at regular musters. However, donors not present were repeatedly requested by phone to provide blood through a local health clinic.

Sera were tested by immunofluorescent assay (IFA) for IgG and IgM antibodies reactive with R. rickettsii, E. chaffeensis, and the human granulocytic ehrlichiosis agent and by an investigational enzyme immunoassay (EIA) for IgG and IgM antibody to SFG rickettsiae. An IFA result was considered positive at a titer of >64 and an EIA result at a titer of >100 for these agents. Samples from donors reporting an erythema migrans-like rash were also tested by EIA and, when required, by Western immunoblot, for antibodies to Borrelia burgdorferi, the agent of Lyme disease.16

Total DNA was extracted from whole blood recovered from the retention samples. Attempts to amplify specific DNA of rickettsial origin by polymerase chain reaction used primers for the citrate synthase gene, RpCS.877p and RpCS.1258n,17 for the detection of R. rickettsii, and primers HE1 and HE3, targeting the 16S ribosomal RNA gene,18 for E. chaffeensis. The detection sensitivity of the R. rickettsii assay, as applied to control samples of spiked whole blood, was between 10 and 100 organisms per 200 µL of blood. The detection sensitivity of the E. chaffeensis assay, as applied to control samples of spiked whole blood, was 1000 infected cells per 1 mL of blood.

Cases of RMSF and ehrlichiosis were classified as confirmed, probable, asymptomatic seroconversion, or past...
infection by using both clinical and laboratory criteria (Table 1). A clinically compatible illness was defined as fever, or rash plus at least one other symptom (e.g., headache, arthralgia, or malaise).

Data collected from the questionnaires were analyzed with the use of statistical software (SPSS for Windows version 7.0). Written informed consent from participating donors and recipients was obtained before collection of questionnaires and whole blood.

RESULTS

Between May 17 and June 29, 1997, 8984 National Guard members from 10 states (Arkansas, Georgia, Iowa, Illinois, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, Oklahoma, Tennessee, and Texas) engaged in annual training exercises at the Arkansas military base. Training exercises typically lasted 2 weeks and principally were conducted away from the barracks, in the field. Three separate blood drives were held at the military base on May 29-30, June 11-12, and June 25-26, coincident with the final days of each training session. Participants of those blood drives included the visiting National Guard members, as well as a few permanent military and civilian staff members at the military base.

A total of 377 donors were identified who had donated blood at one of the scheduled blood drives at the military base (n =368), or within 4 weeks after having returned home (n =9). Of these donors, 306 (81%) completed a questionnaire. The median age of donors was 30 years (range, 19-59 years). Seventy-six percent were male and 1.9 percent were civilian staff at the base. Thirty-seven donors reported fever or rash plus at least one other symptom after donating blood. The convalescent-phase serum samples were collected 2 to 18 weeks (mean, 8 weeks) after the date of blood donation. Twelve of the 37 ill donors also had serologic evidence of recent exposure to either R. rickettsii or E. chaffeensis (Table 2) and were designated as confirmed or probable cases. The average approximate incubation period until the onset of symptoms was 7 days. Five persons sought medical attention (donors 1, 3, 9, 10, and 11 in Table 2) for their illness and one required hospitalization (donor 10) for 2 days. Sixty (16%) of the 377 donors had evidence of asymptomatic seroconversion: 54 to R. rickettsii and six to E. chaffeensis. Overall, 14.3 percent (9 of 63) and 40 percent (4 of 10) of the donors with serologic evidence of recent exposure to R. rickettsii and E. chaffeensis, respectively, reported having a clinically compatible illness. None of the 10 donors tested were positive for antibodies to B. burgdorferi. Polymerase chain reaction testing for pathogen DNA was negative on all blood retention samples from the confirmed and probable cases.

The blood that was collected from the 377 donors was separated into 1020 components, including packed red cells, platelets, and plasma. Of these, 320 units (157 packed red cells and 163 platelets) were transfused into 129 recipients in nine states (Alabama, Arkansas, Illinois, Iowa, Louisiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma, Texas, and Utah). Physicians of all recipients were contacted, and none of the 129 recipients had developed symptoms that could be attributable to a tick-borne illness after receiving one of these blood components. Ten recipients received blood from donors who were confirmed or probable cases (Fig. 1). Physicians of these recipients were recontacted and reported that since receiving their transfusions, none of the 10 recipients developed symptoms consistent with a tick-borne illness. Serum samples were forwarded from six recipients; four recipients had either died because of their preexisting medical conditions or were unwilling to participate. Of the six recipients tested, three had positive serologic results with the investigational EIA for IgG to SFG rickettsia. A recipient from Arkansas submitted paired sera that yielded results consistent with past infection with SFG rickettsia, and recipients from Texas and Mississippi had single positive samples, drawn a minimum of 4 weeks after transfusion, that were consistent with past infection or asymptomatic seroconversion to SFG rickettsia. Corresponding IgM tests and all IFA results were uniformly negative on these three recipients.

Tick exposure and awareness were high among the donors—85 percent (260 of 306) of the questionnaire respondents reported that they had always or sometimes employed at least one tick-bite preventive measure, such as using repellent on their skin or wearing a uniform impregnated with tick repellent. However, 75 percent of the

---

**TABLE 1. Case definitions for RMSF spotted fever and ehrlichiosis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Compatible illness*</th>
<th>Serology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Confirmed case</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>&gt;Fourfold increase or decrease in paired serum IgG titers by IFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable case</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>&gt;Fourfold increase or decrease in paired serum IgM titers by IFA or EIA or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;Fourfold increase or decrease in paired serum IgG titers by EIA or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Single elevated serum IgG or IgM titer by IFA or EIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymptomatic seroconversion</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&gt;Fourfold increase or decrease in paired serum IgG or IgM titers by IFA or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past infection</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt;Fourfold increase or decrease in paired serum IgG or IgM titers by IFA or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either asymptomatic seroconversion or past infection</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Single elevated serum IgG or IgM titer by IFA or EIA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Fever, or rash plus at least one other symptom.
TABLE 2. Results of serologic testing and symptoms for confirmed and probable cases of RMSF and ehrlichiosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>1st sample Titers</th>
<th>2nd sample Titers</th>
<th>Fever</th>
<th>Rash</th>
<th>Headache</th>
<th>Myalgia</th>
<th>Arthralgia</th>
<th>Nausea</th>
<th>physician Hospitalized</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ec</td>
<td>&lt;64</td>
<td>1,024</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ec</td>
<td>&lt;64</td>
<td>2,048</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ec</td>
<td>&lt;64</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ec</td>
<td>&lt;64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rr</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>6,400</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Rr</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Rr</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Rr</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>6,400</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Rr</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Rr</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>12,801</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Rr</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Rr</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>12,801</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Ec = IgG by IFA against E. chaffeensis antigen; Rr = IgM by EIA against spotted fever group rickettsiae.

---

**DISCUSSION**

In the follow-up of the National Guard blood donations, transfusion-associated illness from a tick-borne pathogen was not demonstrated, although transfusion-associated infection could not be ruled out in all cases. One recipient had static IgG titers against SFG rickettsia that were consistent with past infection (Table 3). In addition, two recipients submitted single serum samples that tested positive for IgG to SFG rickettsia. From these results, it is impossible to determine if these findings represented past infection, asymptomatic seroconversion, or nonspecific reactivity with the investigational EIA. All of the IFA results were negative, as were IgM assays by EIA. All three individuals were from states where RMSF is endemic, so previous exposure is a possibility. Because no recipient reported the onset of symptoms suggestive of a tick-borne infection after their transfusion, serum samples were not collected from recipients whose donors were asymptomatic seroconverters or from the seronegative donors who reported being ill with symptoms suggestive of infection.

Passive infection with tick-borne pathogens through blood transfusion has been confirmed for one case of RMSF and multiple cases of babesiosis.\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^6\) In these cases, there was a period when the donor was asymptomatic and therefore not deferred from donating blood, yet parasitemia was sufficient to result in transfusion-related disease. Other bacteria, such as B. burgdorferi and Orientia tsutsugamushi, the causative rickettsial agent of scrub typhus, can survive in stored blood products,\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^10\),\(^20\) but these pathogens have not been linked to transfusion-related disease. Although the pathogenesis of the human ehrlichiosis is incompletely understood, it is likely that an asymptomatic period occurs in which infected individuals have high enough titers of ehrlichiae circulating in their blood that it would be potentially infectious if transfused. Therefore, the potential for transfusion-related disease exists, although the magnitude of the risk is difficult to assess.

There are data to suggest that military training exercises in some locations within the United States place trainees at exceptionally high risk of exposure to ticks\(^21\) and tick-borne diseases.\(^22\),\(^23\) In 1989, an outbreak of tick-borne disease coupled with asymptomatic infections occurred among military personnel training at the same base investigated in this report; 38 percent of the training participants were found to have antibodies (single positive titers) against SFG rickettsia (n = 14 cases) or ehrlichial antigens (n = 2 cases).\(^24\) As in this study, the majority (67%) of those persons were asymptomatic or did not have an illness clinically compatible with RMSF or ehrlichiosis. In 1990, a follow up,
prospective, seroepidemiologic study collecting paired pre-
exposure and postexposure sera from 1194 military train-
ees was conducted at the same Arkansas base.22 Thirty per-
sons (2.5%) seroconverted to R. rickettsii and 15 (1.3%) to 
E. chaffeensis, although once again only about 30 percent 
of the persons seroconverting reported symptoms indicat-
ing a possible tick-borne disease.

The current investigation also identified a number of 
confirmed or probable cases of RMSF and ehrlichiosis 
among a larger percentage (59 of 71 or 83%) of persons with 
asymptomatic seroconversions or past infections. Between 
1981 and 1992, the average annual incidence of reported 
cases of RMSF was 0.32 case per 100,000 population in the 
United States and 1.1 cases per 100,000 in Arkansas.12 Al-
though not directly comparable, the occurrence of probable 
RMSF among donors who participated in this investigation 
and who also sought medical attention was 3 of 306, the 
equivalent of 980 cases per 100,000 population during a 6-
week interval. In assessing the magnitude of the overall sit-
nuation, one should also consider the potential importance 
of the large fraction of asymptomatic seroconverting do-
nors. An infection that may be subclinical in a healthy 
young adult could result in a completely different disease 
course when transmitted to sick or otherwise compromised 
transfusion recipients.

Although serum samples were not obtained from all 
recipients, no one (including those who received compo-
nents from asymptomatic seroconverting donors) reported 
becoming ill with symptoms consistent with a tick-borne 
disease.

At the time of the investigation and the voluntary re-
call of the blood components, the CDC and the Food and 
Drug Administration (FDA) advised all individuals who 
participated in training exercises at the military base dur-
ing April through June not to donate blood within 4 weeks 
of their departure. In addition, blood drives there were sus-
pended until September, when the tick season usually is 
ever. On the basis of discussions among representatives 
from the CDC, FDA, and the Department of Defense (DOD), 
the FDA has concluded that blood or plasma collection at 
this military base during the tick season is safe, provided 
that no unit is collected more than 48 hours after the po-
tential donor arrives at the base. In addition, the FDA has 
determined that these trainees will again be advised not to 
donate blood or plasma for at least 4 weeks after leaving the 
base.25 DOD, however, decided not to allow blood collect-
ions at this military base in 1998 and 1999.

The potential for transfusion of tick-borne pathogens 
remains a concern, both within the military and among ci-
vilian blood collection agencies. A workshop to review the 
epidemiology and biology of tick-borne agents with the 
potential to be transmitted by transfusion was held in Geor-
gia, January 1999, to help agencies responsible for the 
safety of blood components obtain the background infor-
mation on these subjects so that appropriate steps can be 
taken to reduce the risk of transfusion-related infection 
without mandating unrealistic screening procedures at 
collection centers.

**TABLE 3. Characteristics of ill donors and results of serologic testing of recipients’ blood**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor and status</th>
<th>Recipient</th>
<th>Blood component received</th>
<th>Serology*</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Confirmed ehrlichiosis</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>Rr 1:800, 1:400</td>
<td>Prior RMSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Confirmed ehrlichiosis</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Confirmed ehrlichiosis and probable RMSF</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Red cells</td>
<td>Unable to test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Probable RMSF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>Rr 1:400</td>
<td>Prior RMSF or asymptomatic seroconversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Probable RMSF</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>Unable to test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Probable RMSF</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Probable RMSF</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>Unable to test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Red cells</td>
<td>Rr 1:100</td>
<td>Prior RMSF or asymptomatic seroconversion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rr = IgG by EIA against spotted fever group rickettsiae (all other IFAs or EIAs for IgM were negative).
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