

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

---

2002 Bird Strike Committee-USA/Canada, 4th  
Annual Meeting, Sacramento, CA

Bird Strike Committee Proceedings

---

October 2002

## Responses of Captive Birds to Candidate Perching Deterrents on FAA LLWAS Units

Michael L. Avery

*USDA, National Wildlife Research Center*

Ann C. Genchi

*USDA, National Wildlife Research Center*

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/birdstrike2002>



Part of the [Environmental Health and Protection Commons](#)

---

Avery, Michael L. and Genchi, Ann C., "Responses of Captive Birds to Candidate Perching Deterrents on FAA LLWAS Units" (2002).  
*2002 Bird Strike Committee-USA/Canada, 4th Annual Meeting, Sacramento, CA.* 42.

<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/birdstrike2002/42>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Bird Strike Committee Proceedings at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2002 Bird Strike Committee-USA/Canada, 4th Annual Meeting, Sacramento, CA by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

### **Responses of Captive Birds to Candidate Perching Deterrents on FAA LLWAS Units**

*Michael L. Avery and Ann C. Genchi, USDA, National Wildlife Research Center, 2820 East University Avenue, Gainesville, FL 32641 USA*

Successful operation of the FAA's Low-Level Windshear Alert System (LLWAS) depends largely on birds not perching on the wind-sensing units which are installed atop poles 40-45 m tall. Because new LLWAS units will be erected at airports throughout North America, anti-perching devices must deter numerous avian species ranging widely in body size and behavioral pattern. To determine the most promising devices, we conducted pen trials with brown-headed cowbirds, fish crows, barred owls, great horned-owls and black vultures. Birds were given free access to an unmodified sensor unit mounted on a tripod for 24 hours, during which the only alternative perch was a tree branch at ground level. This was followed by 24 h with a perching deterrent installed on the sensor unit. Trials were video-taped 10 hours daily and the sensors were connected to a computer so that failures in acquisition of wind data due to perching activity were continuously recorded. Smaller birds (cowbirds, crows) tended to perch on the 3 arms of the sensor units and were mostly deterred by Bird Spinners, metal bushings slipped onto the sensor arms that turned freely and prevented the birds from obtaining a stable perch. Owls and vultures were not affected by "Bird Spinners", but "AgSpikes" (sharp, stout spikes emanating from a central base) reduced perching 95-98%. With the "AgSpikes" or "AgCone" (a smooth, solid aluminum cone) installed, owls and vultures attempted to perch but departed when they were not able to obtain a comfortable, stable grip. Commercial bird spikes and a monofilament web attached to the sensor arms were each ineffective regardless of species. It appears that a single perch deterrent device will not suffice for all birds, but a combination of "Bird Spinners" with "AgSpikes" or "AgCone" should be appropriate for most situations. Verification of these findings with field testing is needed.