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Abstract
Women in medicine and biomedical research often face challenges to their retention, promotion, and advancement to leadership positions (McPhillips et al., 2007); they take longer to advance their careers, tend to serve at less research-intensive institutions and have shorter tenures compared to their male colleagues (White, McDade, Yamagata, & Morahan, 2012). Additionally, Blacks and Hispanics are the two largest minority groups that are vastly underrepresented in medicine and biomedical research in the United States (AAMC, 2012; NSF, 2011). The purpose of this study is to examine specific barriers reported by students and post-degree professionals in the field through the following questions:

1. How do women who are either currently enrolled or graduated from biomedical research or medical programs define and make meaning of gender-roles as academic barriers?
2. How do underrepresented groups in medical schools and biomedical research institutions define and make meaning of the academic barriers they face and the challenges these barriers pose to their success in the program?

These questions were qualitatively analyzed using 146 interviews from Project TrEMUR applying grounded theory. Reported gender-role barriers were explained using the “Condition-Process-Outcome” theoretical framework. About one-third of the females (across all three programs; majority White or Black between 25-35 years of age) reported gender-role barriers, mostly due to poor mentoring, time constraints, set expectations and institutional barriers. Certain barriers act as conditions, causing gender-role issues, and gender-role issues influence certain barriers that act as outcomes. Strategies to overcome barriers included interventions mostly at the institutional level (mentor support, proper specialty selection, selecting academia over medicine).

Barrier analysis for the two largest URM groups indicated that, while Blacks most frequently reported racism, gender barriers, mentoring, and personal barriers, Hispanics most frequently reported economic barriers, language barriers, institutional and workplace environment barriers, and gender-role barriers. Examining barriers using the “Individual-Institutional” theoretical framework indicated that barriers do not occur in isolation, but due to an interaction between the individual and its institution. Additionally, the barriers of the two groups are qualitatively different and the “one size fits all” approach may not be suitable for interventions.
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Definition of Terms

- **Minority**
  - A racial/ethnic group that is a small percentage of the U.S. population

- **Underrepresented Minority**
  - 1 of 3 racial or ethnic groups (Blacks, Hispanics, American Indians) whose representation in the scientific workforce is smaller compared to their representation in the U.S. population

- **Doctor of Medicine (MD)**
- **Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)**
- **MD/PhD**

What is Currently Known?

- Gender and race are the key to diversity.

- Women: Recruitment is not an issue. Advancement, promotion, and retention is an issue.

- Blacks and Hispanics are enveloped as a single group; comparative analyses are sparse.

- Some URM barriers may not be racial (debt), but they impact URMs more severely.
What is Less Known?

- Sparse barriers research for PhD or MD/PhD students (The focus is on MD programs).
- No comparative analyses for race/ethnicity.
- Seldom incorporate experiences of both students and faculty.
- Strategies to overcome barriers?

Research Questions

1. How do women students and graduates in bio-medicine describe gender roles as professional barriers?

2. How do Blacks and Hispanics in bio-medicine describe the academic barriers they face and the challenges these barriers pose to their success in the program?
Barriers

- **Gender barriers**
  - Study sample: 118 Women
  - Gender-roles (childbearing and childrearing)

- **Racial/Ethnic barriers**
  - Study sample: Blacks (18 male, 38 female) and Hispanics (10 male, 16 female)
  - Comparison of reported barriers

Transitions in the Education of Minorities Underrepresented in Research (TrEMUR)

- **Qualitative-Grounded Theory** (Charmaz, 1983): 214 interviews.
  - Students, former students, graduates, scientists, non-scientists, and faculty.
  - Medical program and biomedical research (MD, PhD, or MD/PhD).

- **Purposeful and snowball sampling**
Racial and Ethnic Distribution of the Overall Sample (N=214)

Sample for Research Question 1 (n=118)
Racial and Ethnic Distribution of the Overall Sample (N=214)

Sample for Research Question 2 (n=82)
Degree Program Affiliation of the Overall Sample (N=214)

- MD
- PhD
- MD/PhD
- Left the program

Distribution of the Overall Sample Based on Current Position (N=214)

- Former student
- Scientist
- Non-scientist
- Post-docs
- Faculty/Researcher
- Student

Frequency (N=214)

Male
Female
Age Distribution of the Overall Sample (N=214)

Distribution of Female Students in the Program (n=58)
Comparison of Students, Female versus Male, Hispanic versus Black. (n=34)

Data Sources and Analysis

- Audio recorded files that were transcribed
  - Telephone and in-person interviews
- Grounded Theory
  - Theory development, as compared to the logical deduction based on existing theoretical frameworks (Charmaz, 1983).
  - Grounded in data developed through the course of research (Strauss & Corbin, 1994).
  - Interpretive. Uses interviews, memos, and journals.
Steps of Grounded Theory

- Generate research question
- Determine research sites and participants
- Collect initial data
- Coding (open and in vivo)
- Collect additional data based on coding, memos, and journals
- Axial coding
- Constant comparative method
- Theoretical sampling
- Selective coding among core categories
- Sorting and integrating memos
- Developing a theory

Degree Program Affiliation of Females Reporting Gender-role Barriers (n=40)
Racial and Ethnic Distribution for Gender-role Barriers (n=40)

- **Asian**: 2
- **Black**: 12
- **Hispanic**: 6
- **More than one race**: 4
- **White**: 23

**Post-degree professionals** vs **Students**

Age Distribution of Females Reporting Gender-role Barriers (n=40)

- **20-29**
- **30-39**
- **40-49**
- **50-59**
- **60-69**

Average age (years):
- **Student** = 27.23
- **Post-degree professional** = 43.22
- **Overall** = 38.03
Age Distribution of Females Reporting Gender-role Barriers (n=40)

Average age (years):
- Student = 27.23
- Post-degree professional = 43.22
- Overall = 38.03

Frequency of Reported Gender-role Barriers in Females

Gender-role Barriers

- Leadership and promotion: 1
- Personal/professional timeline: 2
- Economic: 2
- Lacking networking opportunity: 2
- Lacking support from spouse: 2
- Lacking work productivity: 2
- Maternity leave: 3
- Work/life balance: 3
- Age: 3
- Institutional: 4
- Expectations: 4
- Time constraints: 5
- Mentoring: 6
C-P-O Theoretical Framework

Condition → Process → Outcome

Examples:
- Mentoring
- Time constraints
- Expectations
- Age
- Economics

Example:
- Gender-role barriers

Examples:
- Mentoring
- Time constraints
- Expectations
- Maternity leave
- Lack of networking
- Working part-time

Strategies to Manage Gender-role Barriers

Interventions for Gender-role Barriers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Institutional Strategies to Manage Gender-role Barriers

Black Males

Economic
Personal
Mentoring
Prior-Background
Racism
Institutional
Hispanic Females

Economic
Race/Ethnicity
Family
Language
Workplace–environment
Gender–role
Personal
Mentoring
Program–understanding

Hispanics and Blacks

Economic
Gender–role
Personal
Racism
Institutional
Minority-status
Guidance
Professional
Mentoring
Program
Family
Cultural
Prior-Background
Economic
**Individual-Institutional Theoretical Framework**

**Implications**

- **Women**
  - Women faculty (1/2) and students (1/5).
  - Most frequently reported: Mentoring.
  - Interventions: Good mentorship strategies.

- **URMs**
  - Qualitatively different for each group.
  - Blacks: Racism, gender barriers (for women) and mentoring.
  - Hispanics: Economic and language barriers.
  - The “one size fits all” strategy might not be effective to strategize interventions.
Recommendations

- **Women**
  - Strengthen mentoring relationships.
  - Establish institutional support networks and resource.
  - Working part-time.

- **URMs**
  - Develop communication skills through mentored participation in presentation/publication.
  - Cultural and racial sensitivity to avoid isolation and exclusion.

Recommendations (Age-specific interventions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>Number of Hispanics and Blacks (n=82)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22-29</td>
<td>Black Female: 5, Black Male: 5, Hispanic Female: 6, Hispanic Male: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>Black Female: 5, Black Male: 5, Hispanic Female: 6, Hispanic Male: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>Black Female: 5, Black Male: 5, Hispanic Female: 6, Hispanic Male: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>Black Female: 5, Black Male: 5, Hispanic Female: 6, Hispanic Male: 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Limitations

- Mono-method error (interviews).
- Gender-role barriers: How do the experiences of females compare to males?
- How do Hispanics and Blacks compare to Whites?
- Qualitative findings need to be interpreted with caution.

Strengths of this Study

- Robust sample size
  - n=118 for gender and n=82 for race
  - 87 schools; 4 private companies/non-profit organizations

- Demographic diversity
  - Age, gender, race and ethnicity, geographic location, degree program, current occupation, and year in the program.

- Comparison of Blacks and Hispanics in the same study.
Future Steps

- Designing quantitative studies that examine barriers to success in the field.
- Analysis of barriers reported by Whites, and comparison with racial/ethnic minorities
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Questions?
Blacks (n=56)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Males (n=18)</th>
<th>Females (n=38)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Racism (7)</td>
<td>Gender (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal (7)</td>
<td>Racism (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional (4)</td>
<td>Mentoring (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Personality (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hispanics (n=26)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Males (n=10)</th>
<th>Females (n=16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economic (4)</td>
<td>Economic (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language (4)</td>
<td>Gender-role (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural (3)</td>
<td>Personal/Internal attributes (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blacks</td>
<td>Hispanics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racism (16)</td>
<td>Economic (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (11)</td>
<td>Language (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring (8)</td>
<td>Institutional environment (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal (7)</td>
<td>Gender-role (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Blacks and Hispanics (82)