University of Nebraska - Lincoln
DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

8th Triennial National Wildlife & Fisheries Extension Specialists Conference (1996)

Extension Wildlife & Fisheries Specialists Conferences

6-1-1996

Workshop Evaluations

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ewfsc8

Part of the Environmental Health and Protection Commons

"Workshop Evaluations" (1996). *8th Triennial National Wildlife & Fisheries Extension Specialists Conference (1996)*. Paper 43.
http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ewfsc8/43

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Extension Wildlife & Fisheries Specialists Conferences at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in 8th Triennial National Wildlife & Fisheries Extension Specialists Conference (1996) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Workshop Evaluations

Thirty workshop evaluations were returned. the following graphs and tables summarize the responses.

WORKSHOP CONTENT

How would you rate this workshop in terms of:



In which subject matter areas in the workshop did you gain ideas or learn new techniques (check all that apply)?

Workshop Session	Number of responses	Rank
GPRA Evaluations and Accountability	8	11
Extension Technologies for the 21st Century	21	1
Ecosystem Management	10	10
Public Issues Education	20	2
Wetlands	11	8
Aquatic Exotics	3	14
Biodiversity and Endangered Species	13	6
Animal Damage Management	11	8
Pond Management	4	13
Continuing Education for Adults and Youth	11	8
Wildlife as an Asset to Landowners	15	4.5
Fee-fishing Enterprises	6	12
Addressing Controversy	16	3
Balancing Split Appointments	15	4.5

FIELD TRIPS

Did you attend a preworkshop field trip?
8–Yes 22–No

If yes, how would you rate the experience?
8–Excellent

How would you rate the Marine Ecosystem field trip?
4–Good 23–Excellent

WORKSHOP FORMAT AND TIMING

Length of the Workshop
1–Too short 29–About right

Do you feel field trips should be an integral part of future workshops?
27–Yes 0–No

When should field trips be offered?
10–Before 14–During 6–After

If pre- or post-workshop field trips are preferred, what duration? 6–1 day 9–2–3 days

Which National Extension Specialists Workshops have you attended previously?
2–Estes Park (1st) 6–San Antonio (2nd)
10–Baton Rouge (3rd) 12–Madison (4th)
11–Jekyll Island (5th) 12–Monterey (6th)
15–Kansas City (7th)

Do you prefer meals being built into the registration fee, or would you prefer a lower fee and more flexibility?
27–Incorporate meals in fee
3–No meals in fee

WORKSHOP FACILITIES



PARTICIPANT BACKGROUND

What is your professional affiliation (check one)?
24–Extension Specialist
2–Extension Administrator
3–Federal Agency

If Extension, characterize your appointment split.

Appointment	Number of responses	Mean FTE (%)	FTE range (%)
Extension	27	74.5	20-100
Research	12	39.9	15-65
Teaching	5	23.6	5-40
Administration	1	80	80

If Extension, characterize your duties.

Duties	Number of responses	Mean FTE (%)	FTE range (%)
Wildlife	21	78.1	15-100
Fisheries/Aquaculture	12	45.8	5-100
Other Natural Resources	11	33.6	5-100
4-H	9	24.4	5-60
Sea Grant	1	50	50