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Permanent-Magnet Properties of Thermally Processed FePt and FePt–Fe Multilayer Films

Jian Zhou, Ralph Skomski, Xingzhong Li, Wei Tang, George C. Hadjipanayis, and David J. Sellmyer

Abstract—FePt single-layer and FePt–Fe multilayer thin films are prepared by magnetron sputtering. By varying the Pt content, FePt, Fe$_{51}$Pt, or a mixture of FePt and Fe$_{51}$Pt can be obtained in Fe–Pt single layers. In annealed FePt–Fe multilayers, the coercivities decrease with the introduction of Fe layers compared to FePt single layers, while the magnetization increases. The single-phase behavior of the hysteresis loops of FePt–Fe multilayers indicates the existence of exchange coupling in these materials. For one FePt–Fe sample with optimized exchange coupling, the intrinsic properties correspond to an energy product of 19 MGOe. The texture of the magnets is determined by the orientation of the crystallites. This means that the easy magnetization directions of the FePt grains form an angle of 54.7° with the film normal, but are randomly oriented in the film plane. It is analyzed how this easy-axis distribution affects the magnetic hysteresis.

Index Terms—Annealing, permanent magnets, Pt–Fe, texture.

I. INTRODUCTION

SINCE THE concept of exchange-spring magnets was introduced by Kneller and Havig [1], FePt and CoPt emerged as candidates for hard–soft exchange-coupled nanocomposites with appreciable hard-magnetic properties [2]–[4]. Yung et al. investigated the microstructure of Fe–Pt thin films and obtained an energy product of 15 MGOe [2], whereas Liu et al. developed a rapid annealing process and obtained an energy product of more than 50 MGOe in an Fe–Pt nanocomposite thin film [3]. Exchange-spring bilayer films of CoPt/Co were investigated by Crew et al. [5].

Previous work has shown that the as-deposited cubic-phase Fe–Pt may form a tetragonal phase after heat treatment if the Fe concentration is between 50%–75% [2]. When more Fe is added, the Fe$_3$Pt phase will form. Liu et al. found that after proper annealing, hard–soft exchange-coupled nanocomposite is realized in the Fe–Pt multilayer thin film with Fe concentration around 64% [3].

An important aspect of exchange-spring magnets is the degree of crystalline alignment or texture. Nanostructured permanent magnets exhibit a broad range of textures, from isotropic [6] to partially aligned [3], whereas the theoretical predictions made in [7] imply perfect alignment. The FePt structures discussed in this paper exhibit a particular (111) texture with random in-plane anisotropy.

In this paper, we report the magnetic properties of thermally processed FePt and FePt–Fe multilayer thin films and discuss the micromagnetism of the (111)-textured thin films.

II. SAMPLE PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION

We use three methods to prepare the Fe–Pt thin films. Method I is to sputter the Fe target with Pt pellets on it. This gives a homogenous Fe–Pt single layer film. The approximate composition is determined by comparing the lattice parameters with those known Fe–Pt thin films. Method II is to sputter Fe and Pt targets to get Fe–Pt multilayers. Method III is similar to Method II, except that some Fe layers are thicker than the remaining Fe layers, to create regions with enhanced Fe concentration. A typical structure is (Fe$_8$Pt$_{10}$Fe$_{30}$Pt$_{10}$Fe$_8$Pt$_{10}$)$_5$ Å. All the samples are annealed on an Si (100) substrate. The total thickness of the films is between 500–1000 Å. As-deposited samples are heat-treated at 550 °C by rapid thermal annealing for 10–300 s or oven annealed in vacuum for 30 min. The crystal structure is determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD), whereas superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer is used to measure the magnetic properties.

III. MAGNETIC PROPERTIES

Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns of Fe–Pt single layer thin films rapidly annealed at 550 °C for 60 s (Method I). For Fe concentrations of more than 80%, two-phase mixture of Fe and Fe$_3$Pt is obtained. When the Fe concentration is between 70%–80%,
the only phase observed is Fe\textsubscript{3}Pt. For Fe less than 70\%, the appearance of (200) and (002) peaks indicate the existence of tetragonal FePt phase (with a small amount of Fe\textsubscript{3}Pt). The corresponding hysteresis loops of these samples show single-phase behavior with in-plane anisotropy. The observed coercivities confirm the results of the X-ray phase analysis. Since Fe\textsubscript{3}Pt is a relatively soft magnetic phase, the coercivity of Fe\textsubscript{3}Pt is less than 0.5 kOe. For the Fe\textsubscript{90}Pt\textsubscript{10} sample, a coercivity of larger than 10 kOe can be reached when annealing at 600 °C for 60 s.

To obtain regions with locally enhanced Fe concentrations, we prepared FePt–Fe multilayers (Method III). Fig. 2 shows the comparison of the demagnetization curves of annealed FePt–Fe and Fe–Pt multilayers. It can be seen that the additional Fe increases the magnetization, while the coercivity remains largely unchanged.

Table I shows that magnetic properties of Fe–Pt thin films prepared by Method III. The coercivities are about 1–2 kOe larger than that of the films with same Fe : Pt ratio made by Method II. This indicates that a hard phase exists in samples made by Method III, while those made by Method II have lower coercivities because they are more homogenous and rather soft magnetic. Furthermore, the saturation magnetizations $M_s$ and remanences $M_r$ of the samples made by Method III are larger than those made by Method II. A corresponding maximum energy product of 19 MGOe is obtained with the Fe–Pt ratio 1.23, while the corresponding Fe–Pt layer gives 15 MGOe. This proves that our way of creating soft-magnetic regions yields good exchange coupling and fairly good magnetic properties. The dc demagnetization measurement (recoil measurement) also indicates the exchange-spring behavior of hard–soft phase nanocomposite.

![TEM micrograph of Fe–Pt film with Fe : Pt thickness ratio of 1.25.](image)

Fig. 3. TEM micrograph of Fe–Pt film with Fe : Pt thickness ratio of 1.25.

Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fe\textsubscript{90}Pt\textsubscript{10}</th>
<th>Fe\textsubscript{90}Pt\textsubscript{10}</th>
<th>(BH\textsubscript{max})</th>
<th>Hc</th>
<th>Hc (method II)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Theoretical calculations have shown that for good exchange coupling, the dimension of the soft phase should not be larger than about twice the domain wall thickness of the hard phase [7]. Kim \textit{et al.} measured the CoPt/Co bilayer and found that an annealed CoPt/Co(6.3 nm) shows exchange-coupled behavior [8], while CoPt has domain wall width of 4.5 nm. For tetragonal FePt, the domain wall thickness is around 4 nm [4]. In our experiment, we prepared the thin film using Method III with an Fe layer as thick as 8.5 nm. After rapid annealing at 550 °C for 0–60 s, the XRD patterns show that the Fe peak shown in as-deposit film disappears and the hysteresis loops show single-phase behavior. This indicates: 1) that free Fe is difficult to form in FePt—due to pronounced diffusion, the soft phase is Fe-rich Fe\textsubscript{3}Pt and 2) that 8.5-nm-thick Fe can be coupled by the tetragonal FePt hard phase after annealing.

Fig. 4(a) shows the XRD patterns of Fe–Pt–Fe films made by Method III. The accumulative thickness ratio of Fe–Pt is 1.23. It can be seen that short time annealing gives almost (111) texture and the longer annealing time leads to the development of other peaks. Loop measured parallel to the film plane shows $M_r/M_s > 0.8$, whereas perpendicular loop gives $M_r/M_s > 0.7$ with a hard-axis shape [see Fig. 4(b)]. The enhancement of the $M_r/M_s$ ratio shows the exchange coupling and the easy–hard axis behavior shows the effect of partial texture.

Since the magnetic anisotropy of tetragonal FePt reflects the layered structure of the L1\textsubscript{0} phase rather than the distortion of the unit cell, the magnetic behavior of the present structure differs from that of cubic (111) crystallites. The angle $\theta$ between the local easy axis and the film normal has the common value $\theta_0 = \arccos(1/\sqrt{3})$, but the angle $\varphi$ describing the in-plane orientation of the crystallites is a random quantity. The uniaxial texture is described by the probability $P(\theta)$, where the angle $\theta$ describes the $c$-axis orientation with respect to the film normal. (In terms of $P$, unit-sphere integration is realized by
Fig. 4. (a) XRD of Fe–Pt made by Method III (the total thickness ratio of Fe to Pt is 1.23) and (b) the corresponding loop for annealing for 60 s.

Fig. 5. Calculated texture of thin-film magnets. \( P(x) \) describes the angular distribution of the easy-axis direction.

\[
2\pi \int P(x) \sin \theta \, d\theta = 4\pi.
\]

Fig. 5 shows that the distribution \( P(\theta) \) is peaked at \( \theta = 0 \).

Depending on the size of the crystallites, there are two hysteretic regimes. Crystallites larger than the Bloch-wall width of the hard phase, about 5 nm, can in fair approximation be considered as interaction-free Stoner–Wohlfarth particles. Due to random in-plane orientation of the easy axes averaging, the in-plane remanence is close to zero. The perpendicular remanence reflects a competition between the uniaxial type shape anisotropy of the film and the \( \langle 111 \rangle \)-type magnetocrystalline anisotropy. Starting from the general expression for the micro-magnetic free energy [7] and taking into account that inside the grains, yields the magnetization angle

\[
\tan(2\theta) = \frac{\sin(2\theta_0)}{\cos(2\theta_0) - \mu_0 M_s / 2K_1}. \tag{1}
\]

Fig. 6 shows the corresponding remanence ratio \( M_r/M_s = \cos(\theta_0) \) as a function of the anisotropy \( K_1 \) of the crystallites. We see that the remanence and, consequently, the coercivity, are nonzero, except in the limit \( K_1 = 0 \). This is confirmed by the experiment that the remanence is above 0.6 in both in-plane and normal to plane measurements.

In the case of very small grains, which is less relevant in the present context, the hysteretic behavior is governed by random-anisotropy effects. In the limit of strong exchange coupling, the magnetization is uniform on a macroscopic scale, and the net anisotropy is equal to the “coherent” [9] anisotropy obtained after structural averaging. Interestingly, this structural averaging over all angles \( \theta \) yields a zero net magnetocrystalline anisotropy, and the magnetization is determined by the shape anisotropy. Note that this zero average is a specific consequence of the \( \langle 111 \rangle \) texture; other textures yield nonzero magnetocrystalline anisotropy contributions.

IV. Conclusion

We have investigated how the fabrication process affects the structural and magnetic properties of the Fe–Pt thin films. The first method co-sputters Fe and Pt with Fe concentrations of 60% to 85% and yields FePt or FePt or a mixture of them, depending on the composition. By comparison, Methods II and III make normal or Fe-rich multilayers. After being rapidly thermal annealed, an improved energy product of as much as 19 MGOe is obtained. The hysteretic behavior of the magnets reflects the competition between shape and magnetocrystalline anisotropy. The \( \langle 111 \rangle \) texture means that the easy magnetization directions of the crystallites form a common angle with the film normal. This gives rise to perpendicular hysteresis, except in the limit of strong exchange coupling, where both the in-plane and perpendicular magnetocrystalline anisotropies average to zero.
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