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**SUMMARY**

Scar, a member of the WASp protein family, was discovered in *Dictyostelium discoideum* during a genetic screen for second-site mutations that suppressed a developmental defect. Disruption of the *scar* gene reduced the levels of cellular F-actin by 50%. To investigate the role of Scar in endocytosis, phagocytosis and endocytic membrane trafficking, processes that depend on actin polymerization, we have analyzed a *Dictyostelium* cell line that is genetically null for Scar. Rates of fluid phase macropinocytosis and phagocytosis are significantly reduced in the *scar* cell-line. In addition, exocytosis of fluid phase is delayed in these cells and movement of fluid phase from lysosomes to post-lysosomes is also delayed. Inhibition of actin polymerization with cytochalasin A resulted in similar phenotypes, suggesting that Scar-mediated polymerization of the actin cytoskeleton was important in the regulation of these processes. Supporting this conclusion, fluorescence microscopy revealed that some endo-lysosomes were ringed with F-actin in control cells but no F-actin was detected associated with endo-lysosomes in Scar null cells. Disruption of the two genes encoding the actin monomer sequestering protein profilin in wild-type cells causes defects in the rate of pinocytosis and fluid phase efflux. Consistent with a predicted physical interaction between Scar and profilin, disrupting the *scar* gene in the profilin null background results in greater decreases in the rate of fluid phase internalization and fluid phase release compared to either mutant alone. Taken together, these data support a model in which Scar and profilin functionally interact to regulate internalization of fluid and particles and later steps in the endosomal pathway, probably through regulation of actin cytoskeleton polymerization.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome is an X-linked immunodeficiency disease that results from the failure of both T and B cell function and additional defects in monocyte chemotaxis (Ochs et al., 1980). As a result, patients with this disease not only have compromised immune function, but also have increased incidence of thrombocytopenia and are more susceptible to lymphomas and leukemias (Kirchhausen and Rosen, 1996). Recently, the gene responsible for this disease was identified (Derry et al., 1994) and found to encode a 54 kDa protein. The WASp protein can associate with filamentous (F)-actin and is hypothesized to participate in Cdc42 mediated cellular signal transduction pathways that regulate the polymerization of F-actin (Aspenstrom et al., 1996; Kolluri et al., 1996; Symons et al., 1996). Although the originally identified WASp protein was shown to be expressed only in cells of hematopoietic origin (Derry et al., 1994), another closely related protein, N-WASP, was later found to be ubiquitously expressed but enriched in neural tissue (Miki et al., 1996).

It has been proposed that the various forms of WASp interact with several other proteins in order to induce the polymerization of F-actin. For instance, it was shown that, through its proline-rich domains, WASp can bind to the adaptor proteins Nck and Grb2 (Rivero-Leczano et al., 1995; She et al., 1997). In addition, WASp can bind a novel protein termed WIP (Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein-interacting protein) (Ramesh et al., 1997). Verprolin, a WIP ortholog, in turn, was demonstrated to control cell polarity in yeast (Vaduva et al., 1999). WIP also binds to the adaptor protein Nck, suggesting that Nck, WASp and Wip might form complexes that regulate the actin polymerization machinery (Anton et al., 1998). Further evidence for the involvement of Wip/WASp in actin polymerization has come from experiments showing that site-directed mutations in WASp impair its interaction with Wip and might lead to Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome (Stewart et al., 1999). Finally, it has also been established that N-WASp can bind actin monomers and sequester the profilin through its polyproline domain, and that this association leads to plasma membrane alterations (Suetsugu et al., 1998).
In a genetic screen for second-site suppressors of a mutation in one of the cAMP receptors, another protein that has significant homology to WASp, Scar, was identified in D. discoideum (Bear et al., 1998). Subsequently, a Scar homolog, termed h-Scar1 or Wave, was identified in humans and other vertebrates (Bear et al., 1998; Miki et al., 1998). When scar was disrupted in Dictyostelium, it was able to restore the normal development to cells that were null for the cAMP receptor car2 (Saxe et al., 1993). When scar was disrupted in a wild-type background, both morphogenetic and actin cytoskeletal defects were seen in Dictyostelium (Bear et al., 1998; C.L.S. et al., unpublished), indicating that Scar might behave in a similar manner to the closely related protein WASp. Interestingly, alignment of the two proteins showed that Scar shares many of the same domains as WASp, including a C-terminal acidic domain, a short WASp-homology domain (shown to interact with actin) and a polyproline rich domain (shown to interact with profilin). However, unlike WASp, Scar family members do not contain a Cdc42/Rac1 interactive binding (CRIB) domain and, instead, have a novel protein region, termed a Scar homology domain (SHD). Finally, whereas WASp contains an N-terminal domain related to pleckstrin homology domains, Scar does not. This evidence suggests that WASp and Scar have both overlapping and distinct functions (reviewed in Mullins, 2000).

Recent data (Machesky et al., 1999; Rohatgi et al., 1999) have provided perhaps the most compelling evidence for a role for Scar/WASp in regulating actin cytoskeleton organization. These studies confirmed that Scar/WASp bound to and stimulated the activity of the Arp2/3 complex, a seven protein complex that was previously shown to be important in the dendritic nucleation involved in forming branching F-actin (Mullins et al., 1997; Mullins et al., 1998; Welch et al., 1997). The proposed dendritic nucleation model suggests that Arp2/3 associates with actin on the side of existing filaments and acts as a cap for the pointed end of a newly formed actin filament. Scar is believed to bind to the complex and activate the nucleation activity of Arp2/3, causing the nascent filament to grow in the barbed-end direction. Thus, cells can control the localized polymerization of actin to form lamellipodia by adding on to already-existing filaments (Weiner et al., 1999; Svitkina and Borisy, 1999).

The Arp2/3 complex was originally discovered by searching for binding partners for the actin binding protein profilin in Acanthamoeba (Machesky et al., 1994). It was subsequently found that one of the subunits of the Arp2/3 complex could bind to actin (Mullins et al., 1997). Around the same time, it was found (Suetsugu et al., 1998) that N-WASp could also bind profilin at its polyproline stretch of amino acids, suggesting the possibility that Scar or WASp binds to profilin-actin to recruit it to the Arp2/3 complex. This model is probably an oversimplification, as profilin inhibits the polymerization of F-actin in vitro in the presence of Arp2/3 and Scar (Machesky et al., 1999).

The exact function of profilin remains unknown, as seemingly conflicting experimental results have been published. It is now hypothesized that at low concentrations profilin stimulates actin assembly (Vinson et al., 1998), while at high concentrations it behaves as an actin monomer sequestering protein and prevents F-actin assembly (Carlsson et al., 1977). Deletion of the two genes encoding profilin in Dictyostelium results in a number of phenotypic changes (Haugwitz et al., 1994). Motility is reduced and mutant cells are large and contain a broad rim of cortical actin, suggesting that profilin acts primarily to sequester monomeric actin in Dictyostelium. In addition, the profilin null mutants show defects in endosomal trafficking and internalization (Temesvari et al., 2000).

Two recent papers demonstrate that WASp also plays a role in the regulation of phagocytosis (May et al., 2000; Lorenzi et al., 2000). In order to gain more insight into how WASp-like proteins might function in the regulation of endocytosis and endo-lysosomal membrane trafficking, processes dependent on actin regulation, we have analyzed a D. discoideum cell line in which the gene encoding Scar has been disrupted (Bear et al., 1998). Previous studies of Dictyostelium have demonstrated the importance of the actin cytoskeleton in the regulation of vesicle trafficking and endocytic pathways (Buczynski et al., 1997; Seastone et al., 1998; Maniak et al., 1995; Hacker et al., 1997; Temesvari et al., 2000). The current studies demonstrate that a knockout of the gene encoding Scar results in defects in macropinocytosis and phagocytosis. In addition, the scar disruption causes a block in the trafficking and release of fluid phase from cells. Finally, disrupting the scar gene in a Dictyostelium mutant null for both profilin proteins further reduced the levels of fluid phase pinocytosis and exocytosis observed in the profilin mutant. Taken together, these results suggest a model in which profilin and Scar act in parallel and/or in concert to regulate the polymerization of F-actin that is critical for multiple endocytic processes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Organism**

Dictyostelium strains were grown axenically in HL5 medium (1% Oxoid proteose peptone, 1% glucose, 0.5% yeast extract (Difco), 2.4 mM Na2HPO4, pH 6.5) in 175 cm2 tissue culture flasks (Sarsstedt) at 19°C. Generation of the scar- cells (scarA−) from a Ax3 derived strain is described elsewhere (Bear et al., 1998). Mutant cells were cultured in HL5 medium supplemented with 10 μg ml−1 blasticidin (Calbiochem).

Profilin/Scar triple null cells (Prof−/−/scar−) were constructed by introducing the 9A/07 plasmid (Bear et al., 1998) into the profilin double null mutant (provided by M. Schleicher) and selecting for blasticidin-resistant transformants. The genotype was confirmed by PCR-based detection of the Scar-blastocidin cassette as previously described (Bear et al., 1998). These cells were maintained on HL5 medium in tissue culture dishes or on lawns of Klebsiella aerogenes.

**Phagocytosis, fluid phase pinocytosis and exocytosis assays**

For phagocytosis, fluid phase pinocytosis and exocytosis assays, exponentially growing cells were harvested from T-175 tissue culture flasks (Sarsstedt) and resuspended in growth medium at a titer of 3×106 cells ml−1. For phagocytosis assays, cells were exposed to 1 μm fluorescent crimson latex beads (Molecular Probes) in HL5 medium at a concentration of 50 beads per cell. Cells and beads were shaken at 150 rpm in 25 ml Erlenmeyer flasks for 90 minutes. At various times, 1 ml aliquots of cells were harvested by centrifugation (1000 g for 5 minutes), washed twice with cold HL5 growth medium, and once with sucrose buffer (5 mM glycine, 100 mM sucrose, pH 8.5). Cells were lysed with 0.5% Triton X-100 and the intracellular fluorescence was measured by spectrofluorimetry using 625 nm wavelength for excitation and 645 nm for emission. The fluorescence
of each of the samples was normalized to total cell protein to account for any differences in cell sizes between the strains. For fluid phase pinocytosis assays, FITC-dextran (relative molecular mass (Mr) 70,000, Sigma) was incubated with shaking cultures of cells in growth medium to a final concentration of 2 mg ml⁻¹ for 2 hours. At various times, cells were harvested and lysed with 0.5% Triton X-100, and the intracellular fluorescence was calculated with a spectrofluorimeter using 492 nm for excitation and 525 nm for emission. For exocytosis assays, cells were loaded for 3 hours with 70,000 Mr FITC-dextran. The cells were washed twice with cold HL5 medium and resuspended in growth medium. At various times, the cells were harvested, lysed with 0.5% Triton X-100, and the intracellular fluorescence was determined as described above. To determine the percent of fluorescence remaining in the cells, the fluorescence value at each time point was compared with the fluorescence at time T=0, which was given a value of 100%.

pH flux assays

For pH flux assays, cells were harvested from T-175 flasks and resuspended in HL5 growth medium containing FITC-dextran (5 mg ml⁻¹) at a titer of 3×10⁶ cells ml⁻¹. After a 10 minute pulse with the FITC-dextran, cells were harvested by centrifugation (1000 g for 5 minutes) and resuspended in growth medium without FITC-dextran. At various times during the chase period, 1 ml aliquots of cells were harvested, washed twice with HL5 medium, once with MES buffer (50 mM), and then resuspended in 1 ml MES. The ratio of the emission value at 525 nm (after excitation at 450 nm) to that after excitation at 495 nm was calculated and compared to a FITC fluorescence/pH standard curve to obtain the vesicular pH.

Fluorescence microscopy

Cells were harvested from T-25 tissue culture flasks and incubated in HL5 medium with the fluid phase markers RITC-dextran (2 mg ml⁻¹) or FITC-dextran (2 mg ml⁻¹). For macropinocytosis measurements, cells were pulsed for 5 minutes while attached to coverslips. For experiments designed to examine the steady state appearance of endolysosomal vesicles, cells shaking in suspension were pulsed for 1 hour with FITC-dextran (2 mg ml⁻¹) or RITC-dextran (2 mg ml⁻¹) and washed with HL5 growth medium. The cells were resuspended in fresh growth medium and LysoSensor Green (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) was added at a dilution of 1:1000. Cells were immediately spotted onto plastic coverslips and, after 10 minutes, they were gently rinsed with HL5 medium and examined using fluorescence microscopy.

F-actin staining of wild-type, profilin and profilin/Scar null mutants was performed as described (Bear et al., 1998). Briefly, growing cells were collected, allowed to adhere to glass coverslips, washed with PBS and stained with PBS containing 400 nM TRITC-phalloidin (Sigma). Cells were viewed on a Model 510 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss) or an Olympus Ax70 epifluorescence microscope. F-actin was visualized in vivo using cell lines expressing GFP-ABD, a fusion protein previously shown to bind intracellular F-actin with high specificity.

Lysosomal hydrolase secretion assay

Cells were cultured in HL5 growth medium and were harvested from T-175 flasks, washed and the steady state intracellular and extracellular levels of α-mannosidase activity were assayed as described (Seastone et al., 1998).

Fig. 1. scar⁻ cells are defective in phagocytosis, fluid phase pinocytosis and macropinocytosis. To determine the rates of phagocytosis, cells were incubated with 1 μm fluorescent latex beads or FITC-dextran for the indicated times and the intracellular fluorescence was calculated using a spectrofluorimeter. The fluorescence value at each time point was normalized to protein load to account for any difference in cell size among the strains. (A) scar⁻ cells internalized beads at a rate two to three times less than that of control cells, indicating that the mutant was defective in phagocytosis (n=6). (B) scar⁻ cells internalized FITC-dextran at half the rate of control cells, indicating that the mutant also defective in fluid phase pinocytosis (n=5). (C-F) Cells were incubated with 2 mg ml⁻¹ FITC-dextran for 10 minutes, washed twice in fresh HL5 growth medium, spotted onto plastic coverslips and examined using phase contrast (C,E) or fluorescence (D,F) microscopy. Control cells (C,D) contained many large macropinosomal vesicles (arrows), whereas the scar⁻ cells (E,F) contained no large macropinosomes. Bar, 2.5 μm.
**Fig. 2.** *scar* cells are defective in fluid phase exocytosis. To examine exocytosis, wild-type and *scar* cells were loaded with FITC-dextran for 3 hours, washed and allowed to efflux FITC-dextran for the indicated times prior to harvesting and fluorescence measurement. The percentage FITC-dextran remaining inside the cell was calculated by comparing the fluorescence values at each of the time points to the value at time *T* = 0. (A) The average of four independent experiments, showing that *scar* cells are defective in exocytosis. Whereas wild-type cells have released 50% of the FITC-dextran from the cell by 50 minutes, *scar* cells required nearly twice as long (90 minutes) to exocytose 50% of the FITC-dextran. (B) The vesicular pH was calculated over time as described in Materials and Methods. Fluid phase entered acidic vesicles rapidly (within 10 minutes into the chase period in both control Ax3 and *scar* cells). However, the fluid phase only slowly left lysosomes in *scar* cells and did not reach more neutral pH post-lysosomes until after 60 minutes into the chase period, whereas, in wild-type cells, the fluid phase entered the post-lysosomes within 45 minutes.

**RESULTS**

**Disruption of *scar* reduces the rates of phagocytosis and fluid phase endocytosis**

To determine the role of Scar in regulating phagocytosis and fluid phase endocytosis, processes previously demonstrated to require actin polymerization (Lamaze et al., 1997; Maniak et al., 1995; Hacker et al., 1997), wild-type and *scar* null cells were incubated with 1 μm fluorescent latex beads or FITC-dextran. At various times, cells were washed and intracellular fluorescence was measured. As shown in Fig. 1A, the rate of phagocytosis of the latex particles was decreased by 80% in *scar* cells compared to control Ax3 cells and the rate of uptake of the fluid phase marker FITC-dextran was decreased by 40% (Fig. 1B). The rate of uptake of fluorescently labeled *Escherichia coli* was also reduced by 80% in the *scar* null strain as compared to control cells (results not shown), indicating that the phagocytic defect is not specific for latex particles.

Much of the uptake of fluid phase that occurs in *Dictyostelium* is through the actin-based process of macropinocytosis (Hacker et al., 1997). In order to determine whether the decrease in fluid phase uptake was due to a decrease in macropinocytosis, we incubated cells attached to coverslips with the fluid phase marker FITC-dextran for 5 minutes, fixed cells with 1% formaldehyde and examined them by fluorescence microscopy. As shown in Fig. 1, in most of the control Ax3 cells after a 5 minute pulse, fluid phase resided in one or two macropinosomes that range in size between 2 μm and 3 μm in diameter (Fig. 1C,D). However, in *scar* cells, large fluid phase filled vesicles were essentially absent and only a few, much smaller, vesicles were observed (Fig. 1E,F). Therefore, it appeared that the *scar* cells were defective in macropinocytosis as well as in phagocytosis.

**Scar null cells are defective in late endo-lysosomal trafficking events**

Within minutes of internalization, fluid is transported to acidic lysosomes (Aubry et al., 1993), followed by transported from lysosomes to neutral pH post-lysosomes (Padh et al., 1993), from where it is released into the extracellular milieu beginning approximately 45 minutes after internalization. To determine whether Scar played a role in regulating the release of fluid phase material from the endo-lysosomal system of *Dictyostelium*, wild-type and mutant cells were allowed to internalize FITC dextran for 3 hours (to load all endocytic compartments completely), washed and placed back into marker-free growth medium to initiate the chase. At various time points, cells were harvested and washed, and the fluorescence remaining in the cells was measured using a spectrofluorimeter. Fig. 2A indicates that the rate of release of fluid phase from wild-type cells was significantly higher than that observed for the mutant cells. For instance, after 50 minutes of chase, wild-type cells retained 50% of the internalized FITC-dextran, whereas *scar* cells still retained 75%. The *scar* cells did not release 50% of the FITC-dextran until 90 minutes of chase, at which time the control cells had already released 80%.

This result indicated that there was a delay in the release of fluid from the endo-lysosomal system in *scar* cells. To determine if this delay occurred before or after fluid phase reached acidic lysosomes, cells were pulsed with FITC-dextran for 10 minutes, washed and placed into fresh growth medium. At various time points, the fluorescence of intracellular FITC-dextran (525 nm) was measured after excitation at 450 and 495 nm; the ratio of fluorescence was converted to pH using a standard curve. As shown in Fig. 2B, fluorescence ratio measurements indicated that fluid phase entered the most acidic compartments (*pH* < 5) 5-10 minutes after initiating the chase period in both control and *scar* cells. This result is in accordance with previously published studies showing that fluid phase normally enters acidic lysosomes within minutes after internalization (Padh et al., 1993). However, in control cells, the fluid phase marker rapidly entered more neutral pH compartments (*pH* > 5.5), whereas, in *scar* cells, this process was delayed, suggesting that fluid phase was retained in acidic compartments (Fig. 2B).

As an alternative approach to determine whether fluid phase...
Fig. 3. *scar* cells contain mostly small acidic vesicles. Phase contrast (A,C) and fluorescent (B,D) microscopic images of control (A,B) and *scar* cells (C,D). (A–D) Cells were incubated with FITC-dextran for 1 hour, washed and spotted onto coverslips prior to examination. In control cells (A,B), vesicles of many different sizes were present, including large post-lysosomal and macropinosomal vesicles (arrows). By contrast, *scar* cells contained primarily smaller vesicles. (E,F) *scar* cells were incubated with RITC-dextran for 1 hour, washed and further incubated with Lysosensor Green for 10 minutes. After spotting cells on coverslips, they were examined using the red channel (E) or the green channel (F) of the fluorescence microscope. Most of the RITC-dextran-positive vesicles (E) also stained with Lysosensor Green (F), indicating that most of the vesicles in the *scar* cells were acidic. Bar, 5 μm.

accumulated in acidic lysosomes in the mutant cells, we incubated *scar* and wild-type cells with FITC-dextran for 1 hour and then allowed cells to attach to coverslips. Subsequent examination by fluorescence microscopy revealed that control cells (Fig. 3A,B) contained fluorescent vesicles of many different sizes including large post-lysosomes and macropinosomes (vesicles >0.5 μm marked with arrows) and smaller lysosomes (<0.5 μm, marked with an arrow head). We have previously demonstrated that these large, more fluorescent vesicles are much less acidic than the smaller less fluorescent lysosomes (Buczynski et al., 1997). By contrast, *scar* cells contained primarily vesicles the size of lysosomes (Fig. 3C,D).

To determine whether these smaller vesicles in the *scar* cells were acidic and thus further support the hypothesis that they were lysosomes, we incubated mutant cells attached to coverslips with RITC-dextran for 1 hour and then added Lysosensor Green (Molecular Probes), a chemical that only fluoresces in acidic compartments. As expected, most of the vesicles in the *scar* cells that were labeled with RITC-dextran also labeled positively with Lysosensor Green (compare Fig. 3E,F), indicating that there were few near-neutral endo-lysosomal vesicles in these cells. By contrast, as reported previously (Buczynski et al., 1997), the large RITC-dextran positive vesicles in the control strain did not label with Lysosensor Green, which is consistent with the presence of macropinosomes and post-lysosomal organelles (data not shown). Taken together, these results are consistent with the hypothesis that Scar is involved in the regulation of the transport of fluid from acidic lysosomes to post-lysosomes.

**F-actin associates with endo-lysosomes in wild-type cells but not *Scar null* cells**

Polymerization of the actin cytoskeleton plays an important role in the regulation of the endo-lysosomal system of several different cell types including mammalian cells, yeast and *Dictyostelium* (Lamaze et al., 1997; Kubler and Riezman, 1993; Jenne et al., 1998; Maniak et al., 1995; Hacker et al., 1997; Seastone et al., 1998; Seastone et al., 1999). Scar might act to regulate the endo-lysosomal system by controlling the polymerization of actin through recruitment and/or activation of the Arp2/3 complex (Machesky et al., 1999). Scar could bind to endo-lysosomes and initiate the polymerization of F-actin, which is necessary for endosomal fluid transport. In fact, a previous publication demonstrated that N-WASp associated with endo-lysosomes and stimulated F-actin polymerization that propelled lysosomes (Taunton et al., 2000). A prediction of this hypothesis is that Scar null cells should contain significantly fewer endo-lysosomes ringed with F-actin than control cells. To test this hypothesis, we first analyzed control cells expressing GFP-ABD protein, which has previously been demonstrated to bind to F-actin (Pang et al., 1998). Cells were allowed to internalize Texas Red (TR)-dextran for 1 hour to load the endosomal compartment. Fig. 4 shows that control cells contain a few TR-dextran-positive endo-lysosomes (Fig. 4B) ringed with GFP-ABD (Fig. 4A). Although, in most cells, only a small proportion of the endo-lysosomes were ringed with GFP-ABD, all of the GFP-ABD-positive vesicles contained TR-dextran, supporting the concept that GFP-ABD positive vesicles were endo-lysosomal.

Next, control and Scar null cells were fixed and stained with TR-phalloidin to visualize F-actin. Fluorescent dextran was not included in this experiment because the fixation conditions necessary to visualize F-actin preclude retention of fluid in vesicles. Control cells contained on average between one and
Fig. 4. F-Actin rings endo-lysosomes in control but not in scar<sup>−</sup> cells. Cells expressing GFP-ABD (A) were incubated with RITC-dextran in growth medium for 1 hour (B), recovered by centrifugation and fixed with formaldehyde prior to visualization using a fluorescence microscope. These two panels show that all the vesicles ringed with F-actin were endo-lysosomal in nature. (C-F) Control cells (C,D) and scar<sup>−</sup> cells (E,F) were fixed and decorated with fluorescent phalloidin to visualize F-actin. (C,E) Fluorescent images; (D,F) Differential interference contrast (DIC) images. Bar, 5 μm.

A for endocytosis was much lower than for phagocytosis (0.2 μM vs 2 μM), suggesting that, in Dictyostelium, a drug that prevents polymerization from the barbed ends of F-actin has a greater negative affect on fluid phase internalization than on the uptake of particles. Treating cells with cytochalasin A also caused a dose-dependent delay in exocytosis of fluid and inhibited the movement of fluid phase into and from acidic to more neutral pH compartments, as previously observed by others (Rauchenberger et al., 1997). Together, these results are consistent with the hypothesis that Scar functions to regulate both internalization of fluid and particles, and endosomal trafficking, perhaps by regulating the polymerization of F-actin.

Disruption of scar in a profilin null background imparts additional defects in fluid phase endocytosis

Based on the available evidence indicating a potential
cells. (C) The steady state secretion rate of u-mannosidase was calculated by comparing the extracellular enzymatic activity with the
amounts of cortical F-actin (Haugwitz et al., 1994). scar- cells have a largely opposite phenotype, producing multiple tipped structures during development, appearing smaller in suspension and having reduced levels of F-actin. The triple mutant cells do not develop beyond the tight aggregate stage (Fig. 5A,B), comparable to the developmental phenotype of the profilin mutants. However, although vegetative cells of the triple mutant were large (Fig. 5D) and flat, similar to the

![Image](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Fig. 5.** Cells with the pl/II- scar- triple mutation are tight aggregate mutants and show abnormal F-actin staining. As described in Materials and Methods, strains were produced that were null for profilin I and profilin II and Scar. (A,B) Development of profilin double mutants (pl/II-) and triple mutants (pl/II-scar-), respectively. The absence of Scar does not significantly alter the profilin-null developmental phenotype. However, cortical F-actin staining as visualized with TRITC-phalloidin was significantly reduced in the triple mutant (D) relative to the double profilin mutant (C). TRITC-phalloidin staining of wild-type (E) and Scar null cells (F) are included for comparison. The arrow identifies a forming F-actin-rich macropinosome in control cells (E), structures that are absent from all the mutant cell lines. Bar, 10 μm.

functional connection between Scar and profilin in the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton (see Introduction), we constructed a triple mutant strain that lacked both scar and the two profilin-encoding genes. The triple mutant did not grow at all in suspension culture (data not shown), although growth on bacterial lawns seemed to be unimpaired. Profilin mutants are characterized by developing to the tight aggregate stage of development and growing as large, flat cells with increased
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**Fig. 6.** Cells with the pl/II-scar- triple mutation are severely defective in fluid phase pinocytosis, exocytosis and lysosomal enzyme secretion. Cells were incubated with FITC-dextran and, at various times, the intracellular fluorescence was calculated as described in Materials and Methods; the averages of four independent experiments are shown (A). Alternatively, cells were loaded with FITC-dextran for 3 hours, washed and placed back in fresh growth medium. At various times, cells were collected, washed and the remaining intracellular FITC-dextran was measured (B). Finally, cells growing exponentially were collected by centrifugation and the intracellular and extracellular levels of α-mannosidase were measured (C). (A) pl/II-scar- cells displayed a defect in pinocytosis compared with control cells, and pl/II-scar- cells showed an additive defect compared with Scar and profilin null mutants alone, supporting the hypothesis that these two proteins interact to regulate fluid internalization. (B) pl/II- cells displayed an exocytic defect: 50% of the fluid phase remained inside the cell after 90 minutes post-chase (compared with 45 minutes for release of one half of the fluid phase from control cells). Cells with the pl/II-scar- triple mutation showed an additive exocytic defect: 50% of the fluid phase remained inside the cell after 150 minutes into the chase period. After 3 hours into the chase period, none of the fluid phase remained in control cells, whereas 20% remained in the pl/II- cells and 40% remained inside the pl/II-scar- cells. (C) The steady state secretion rate of α-mannosidase was calculated by comparing the extracellular enzymatic activity with the total enzymatic activity of the cells and supernatant from pelleted cells. At steady state, only 20% of the α-mannosidase activity remained inside the cell, whereas 80% of the activity resided in the supernatant, owing to secretion of the lysosomal hydrolase. In scar- cells, 40% of the α-mannosidase activity remained inside the cell, and for the pl/II- cells, 30% of the enzymatic activity remained intracellular, indicating that there was a secretion defect in both of these strains. The pl/II-scar- cells displayed an additive secretion defect: only 15% of the α-mannosidase activity was found in the supernatant.
Fig. 7. Cells with the \( p^I / II^I / scar^- \) triple mutation contain mainly small acidic endosomes. To examine the morphology of the endo-lysosomal system of \( p^I / II^- \) and \( p^I / II^- / scar^- \) mutants, cells were incubated with FITC-dextran for 1 hour, spotted on coverslips and prepared for phase contrast (A,C,E) or fluorescence (B,D,F) microscopy. Control cells (A,B) contained vesicles of many different sizes, representing pinosomes, macropinosomes, lysosomes and post-lysosomes. By contrast, \( p^I / II^- \) and \( p^I / II^- / scar^- \) cells (C-F) contained only smaller vesicles that are presumed to be lysosomes, because they stained with an acidic fluorophore (data not shown). Bar, 5 \( \mu m \).

profilin mutants (Fig. 5C), the amount of cortical F-actin appeared to be reduced relative to the profilin mutants, and the level appeared closer to that observed for the Scar null (Fig. 5F). In control cells, some of the cortical actin localizes to forming macropinocytic cups that are absent from all the mutant cell lines. These results suggested a complicated functional relationship between Scar and profilin, and prompted us to characterize the triple mutant further.

As shown in Fig. 6A, the rate of pinocytosis was reduced by \( >60\% \) in the \( p^I / II^- \) null cells, as reported previously (Temesvari et al., 2000), and by \( >80\% \) in the \( p^I / II^- / scar^- \) mutant compared with wild-type cells (Fig. 6A). The triple mutant was also more severely defective in the release of fluid phase (Fig. 6B) and secretion of lysosomal enzymes (Fig. 6C) than either the scar null (Fig. 2) or the \( p^I / II^- \) null cells alone. These data suggest that both Scar and profilin play a positive role in fluid phase endocytosis, fluid phase exocytosis and lysosomal enzyme secretion, and their combined absence leads to an additive decrease in the rates of these processes.

Unfortunately, attempts to measure the rate of fluid phase movement to and from acidic vesicular compartment were unsuccessful because the triple mutant internalized too little FITC-dextran. However, the triple mutant and the \( p^I / II^- \) null mutant accumulated FITC-dextran in small vesicles similar in size to the acidic vesicles observed in the scar null strain (Fig. 7). Furthermore, in the triple mutant, these small vesicles were acidic (they accumulated LysoSensor Green), suggesting that, as observed for the scar null mutant, fluid accumulates in acidic lysosomes in the triple mutant (D.J.S. and J.C., unpublished).

**DISCUSSION**

In this report, we present evidence suggesting that Scar regulates multiple steps in the endo-lysosomal system of *Dictyostelium*. The uptake of latex beads and bacteria (phagocytosis) and of fluid phase (micro- and macropinocytosis), was decreased in scar- cell-lines. In addition, the release of internalized fluid phase to and from lysosomes and post-lysosomes in the scar null cells was inhibited, and the movement of fluid phase from acidic to neutral pH compartments was also delayed. Actin plays an important role in all of these endo-lysosomal processes, as demonstrated by treatment with cytochalasin A, which prevents polymerization of actin. Inhibition of each endocytic process was similar to that observed in the scar null cells. Evidence was also presented suggesting that Scar and the actin monomer sequestering protein profilin (encoded by two genes) functionally interact with one another. Disruption of the two profilin genes together with the Scar gene resulted in additional defects in growth, fluid phase endocytosis and exocytosis, and the secretion of lysosomal enzymes (this report and Temesvari et al., 2000). Together, our results support the hypothesis that Scar and profilin interact to regulate F-actin polymerization, a process that plays an important role in multiple endocytic steps.

It has recently been reported that WASp regulates Fc-receptor mediated phagocytosis in peripheral blood monocytes and that WASp is recruited to the forming phagocytic cup (May et al., 2000; Lorenzi et al., 2000). Our results extend this observation to include the Scar proteins as being important in regulating phagocytosis. Although phagocytosis rates were greatly decreased in scar- cells, this process was still partially active. Additional WASp-like proteins have been identified in the *Dictyostelium* databases (C.L.S., unpublished; http://dictybase.org/dicty.html) and the activity of these proteins might partially compensate for the loss of Scar.
We therefore suggest that Scar might be equally important in the regulation of phagocytosis and macropinocytosis, and a Scar-independent non-macropinocytic endocytic process might partially compensate for the decrease in macropinocytosis. There is precedent for this in animal cells (Damke et al., 1995; Hacker et al., 1997; Rupper et al., 2001). Furthermore, the addition to cultures of cytochalasin A (an agent that prevents F-actin polymerization from barbed ends of growing filaments) inhibited both phagocytosis and fluid phase endocytosis (Maniak et al., 1995; Hacker et al., 1997). Together with previous published studies (Machesky et al., 1999), these data are consistent with the proposed role for Scar as an inducer of actin polymerization and that it is in this role that Scar affects phagocytosis, macropinocytosis and cell motility (C.L.S., unpublished).

We also observed severe defects in the endocytic trafficking pathways of scar− cells: control cells released internalized fluid phase markers and lysosomal enzymes at a significantly faster rate than scar− cells. These data were not unexpected, given that Scar has been proposed to regulate F-actin polymerization, and that we (this study) and others (Rauchenberger et al., 1997) have shown that actin polymerization might be important for a late stage of endo-lysosomal trafficking. We propose that actin polymerization regulates a late step in endosomal trafficking step that might involve fusion of lysosomes. Consistent with this latter observation, we observed a greater accumulation of small acidic vesicles in scar− cells than in control cells. The accumulation of acidic lysosomes and a decrease in the number of post-lysosomes has been observed previously in cell lines that were null for DdPIK1 and DdPIK2, two of the three known phosphatidylinositol (PtdIns) 3-kinase genes in Dictyostelium (Buczynski et al., 1997), and in cell lines overexpressing the Rho-like GTPase RacC (Seastone et al., 1998). Both of these classes of proteins were also demonstrated in these reports to regulate the dynamics of F-actin and there appears to be a functional interaction between Scar and RacC (D.J.S. et al., unpublished). Maniak and co-workers have demonstrated that F-actin-binding proteins ring macropinosomes and post-lysosomes but not acidic lysosomes (Hacker et al., 1997; Rauchenberger et al., 1997), a result that we have confirmed here by examining F-actin directly. Together, these results strongly suggest that F-actin polymerization might directly regulate the fusion of lysosomes to form post-lysosomes, a process that is dependent on Scar.

One possible way that F-actin polymerization could regulate the fusion of lysosomes would be to facilitate the interaction between these acidic vesicles. Taunton and co-workers (Taunton et al., 2000) have recently found that N-WASP is recruited to acidic vesicles (most likely endosomes and lysosomes), and this facilitated the assembly of actin to form comet tails that propel these vesicles. Scar might play a comparable role in Dictyostelium and the motile lysosomes might collide more frequently to trigger fusion. Consistent with this hypothesis, Scar null cells were devoid of vesicles that were ringed with F-actin, whereas control cells contained endo-lysosomes the size of post-lysosomes that were ringed with F-actin. Unfortunately, using three different methods, we were not able to detect an association between Scar and endo-lysosomes. This might mean that Scar never associates with endo-lysosomes, a conclusion we do not favor based on the studies alluded to above. Instead, we favor the idea that the association of Scar with vesicles might be transient and not stable, and the approaches we used to detect association might thus not have been optimal.

Disruption of the two Dictyostelium profilin-encoding genes resulted in a twofold increase in F-actin (Haugwitz et al., 1994) and a decrease in macropinocytosis and fluid phase efflux (this report and Temesvari et al., 2000). Deletion of the scar gene in the profilin null background resulted in a further decrease in endocytosis and fluid phase release. We have proposed that macropinocytosis requires, in addition to Scar (this report), profilin (Temesvari et al., 2000) and the PtdIns 3-kinases, DdPIK1 and DdPIK2 (Rupper et al., 2001). Although the exact role of profilin has not been defined, we propose that this protein binds PtdIns(4,5)P2 and perhaps recruits DdPIK1 and DdPIK2 to generate PtdIns(3,4,5)P3, and this product stimulates the process of macropinocytosis (Rupper et al., 2001). Scar might bind to the plasma membrane and recruit profilin and PtdIns 3-kinases to stimulate F-actin polymerization to drive the formation of the macropinosome, and the combined absence of both types of proteins would have a profound negative affect on fluid phase internalization. Alternatively, profilin might interact with nascent macropinosomal cups and recruit Scar, which could aid in actin polymerization.

Both profilin and Scar are required for efficient efflux of internalized fluid phase. Not surprisingly, the combined absence of Scar and profilin resulted in greater defects in fluid phase exocytosis. This further supports the argument that F-actin dynamics play a critical role in a late step in the endosomal pathway leading to release of internalized fluid, and that profilin and Scar both play a role in this process. Our hypothesis is that profilin and Scar interact functionally, and the absence of both proteins predictably has a more drastic affect on efflux than the absence of either protein alone. However, our results do not preclude the possibility that both proteins act in parallel to regulate endocytic processes.

In summary, we have provided evidence that Scar plays an important role in the regulation of multiple steps in the endocytic membrane trafficking, including phagocytosis, fluid phase endocytosis (particularly macropinocytosis) and a late step in the endosomal pathway in D. discoideum. Scar most probably has its effects through its ability to regulate F-actin polymerization. We also found that the actin-monomer-sequestering protein profilin played an important role in these endo-lysosomal processes, and our evidence suggests that profilin and Scar both functionally contribute to regulate fluid phase endocytosis and endosomal membrane trafficking.
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