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Buy the Book
This book is first and foremost a historical account of the Hopi people of northeastern Arizona and their experiences at Sherman Institute, an off-reservation Indian boarding school in Riverside, California. The Hopi Tribe possesses no greater historical source than its people. Therefore, a book on the Hopi people should also rely on the involvement and cooperation of the Hopi Tribe. The protection of intellectual property has long been a concern for American Indians, and in response to years of misrepresentations of Hopi culture by Hopis and non-Hopis, the Hopi Tribe established the Hopi Culture Preservation Office (HCPO) in Kykotsmovi, Arizona. Since its founding in the 1980s, the HCPO has acted as a protector of Hopi intellectual property and determined rules and regulations for those who wish to perform research on the Hopi Reservation. Although in the past some researchers have bypassed community involvement and permission when they conducted research on the reservation, I made certain that the Hopi Tribe had a central role in a book that involved the Hopi people.

To accomplish this, I sought the assistance of Leigh J. Kuwanwiswma from the village of Bacavi, director of the HCPO, and Stewart B. Koyiyumptewa from the village of Hotevilla, archivist for the Hopi Tribe. Both of these officials made helpful comments and suggestions on various aspects of my research,
interpretations, and conclusions. Moreover, although an enrolled member of the Hopi Tribe from the village of Upper Moencopi, I did not assume that I had the right to conduct research on the Hopi Reservation without the written permission of the HCPO. In compliance with a series of protocols set forth by the Hopi Tribe, I submitted a research proposal to the HCPO in October 2003. After meeting with officials from the Hopi Tribe in February 2004 to discuss my research topic, the HCPO approved my proposal and issued me Research Permit 01-004, which granted me permission to conduct research, including oral interviews, on the Hopi Reservation.

A major component of my research methodology involved providing the Hopi people with copies of my research. Since 2004, I have provided the HCPO with primary documents for the Hopi Tribe’s archival collection. These documents include letters written by Hopis and government officials; copies of the Sherman Institute’s student-written newspaper, the Sherman Bulletin; pictures; and other materials. My desire to give back to the Hopi community rests on the understanding that the Hopi materials that I uncovered belong to the Hopi people. On several occasions I made copies of Hopi student case files and mailed them to the students’ relatives on the Hopi Reservation. On occasion, I had the privilege of delivering the files in person. In March 2007, I traveled to the University of Arizona in Tucson and talked with Hopi scholar and elder Emory Sekaquaptewa. We spoke about collaborating together on a Hopi history textbook that teachers on the reservation could use in the Hopi schools. As our conversation came to an end, I told Emory that I had something important to give to him: “I have your father’s student file from when he attended Sherman Institute.” Emory seemed very interested in what I had uncovered. I handed Emory
a manila folder with his father’s records, which included letters that his father wrote, his school application, and his report card. While carefully examining documents that pertained to his father’s academic achievements, Emory said, “Why look at here, my father was a good student!”

Providing people with copies of student case files and other materials was one of many ways that I gave back to my community. As a Hopi professor at a research university, I have a responsibility to the academy and to the Hopi people. When I published “‘The Hopi Followers’” in the Journal of American Indian Education and “‘I Learned to Preach Pretty Well, and to Cuss, Too’” in Cherokee writer MariJo Moore’s book Eating Fire, Tasting Blood, I knew that many people on the Hopi Reservation would not have access to this journal or book. In response, I published a series of articles in the Hopi Tutuveni, the Hopi Tribe’s official newspaper, which allowed people on the reservation to have access to their intellectual property. Finally, it is my hope that the following narrative will not only add to the scholarship on the history of Indian education, but also provide the Hopi people with a written history from one of many Hopi perspectives.

Champaign, Illinois
January 2010
The completion of this manuscript is credited to my wife, Kylene, and my daughters, Hannah, Meaghan, and Noelle, who sacrificed more than I could have asked or imagined as I completed this project. In addition to my wife and children, I am grateful for the support of my parents, Willard and Christine Gilbert, my siblings, Christopher and Angela and their spouses, Chrissy and Mitch, my grandparents, Ethel Sakiestewa Gilbert and Lloyd Gilbert of Upper Moencopi, Tio Tachias and Liz Fajardo, and other extended family members, including the Warner, Gmur, Hopewell, and Carl families. I also remain indebted to the Hopi Tribe, the Hopi Tribe Grants and Scholarship Program (HTGSP), and the Hopi Education Endowment Fund (HEEF). Without the patience, inspiration, encouragement, and financial assistance of the Hopi Tribe, I would not have been able to pursue or complete my education beyond the Hopi mesas. Furthermore, I am greatly appreciative of the cooperation and involvement of the Hopi Cultural Preservation Office in Kykotsmovi, Arizona. The Hopi Tribe had a critical role in my research, and its guidance in this project provided meaning and depth to my work that would not have existed otherwise. I extend my sincere appreciation to Leigh J. Kuwanwisiwma, director of the Hopi Cultural Preservation Office, Stewart B. Koyiyumptewa, author and archivist for the Hopi
Tribe, Terry Morgart, Marvin Lalo, Lee Wayne Lomayestewa, and Dawa Taylor.

I am also grateful for the help and assistance of Lorene Sisquoc, curator of the Sherman Indian Museum in Riverside, California, Paul Wormser and Gwen Granados of the National Archives Pacific Branch in Laguna Niguel, California, and Karen Underhill of Northern Arizona University’s Cline Library Special Collections. The many Hopi-related documents that they provided for my research allowed the “Hopi voice” in this project to be heard to an even greater extent. Furthermore, incredible support for this manuscript came from my colleagues at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, including Robert Warrior, Antoinette Burton, Frederick E. Hoxie, LeAnne Howe, Debbie Reese, Jodi Byrd, David Anthony Clark, Robert Dale Parker, Brenda Farnell, Teresa Barnes, Augusto Espiritu, Adrian Burgos, Kenneth M. Cuno, John Randolph, David R. Roediger, Diane P. Koenker, James Brennan, James R. Barrett, Kathryn J. Oberdeck, Dana Rabin, R. Jovita Baber, Clarence Lang, Kristin Hoganson, Bruce Levine, Karen Carney, Ruth Watkins, Anna Gonzales, Durango Mendoza, John McKinn, and Wanda Pillow. In my first year at the University of Illinois, I served as a postdoctoral research associate of American Indian studies, which provided me with much needed time to work on my book. Additional gratitude is extended to Simon J. Ortiz, Rebecca (Monte) Kugel, Michelle Rahejah, Victoria Bomberry, Kristina Ackley, Gerald Vizenor, Joseph Bauerkemper, Jill Doerfler, Tol Foster, Dustin Tamahkerah, Keith Camacho, Louellyn White, John W. Troutman, Laurie Arnold, David Delgado Shorter, John Low, MariJo Moore, Jennifer Nez Denetdale, Lloyd Lee, Frances A. Washburn, Myla Vicenti Carpio, Evelina Zuni Lucero, Melissa K. Nelson, Lisa S. Pacheco, Ned Blackhawk, Alyssa Mt. Pleasant,
Shelly Lowe, Brian Klopotek, Devon A. Mihesuah, José Medina, Barbara Landis, William Medina, Jean A. Keller, Robert Oberhardt, Larry Burgess, Daniel Palm, John Hughes, Paul T. Plew, J. Gregory Behle, James D. Owen, Clyde P. Greer Jr., and John Stead. I am especially grateful for my friend and former graduate advisor, Clifford E. Trafzer, who provided me with mentoring and encouragement, and instilled in me a desire to “publish, publish, publish.”

Furthermore, I remain indebted and thankful for the support of Thomas Biolsi, David Wallace Adams, Brenda J. Child, Jacqueline Fear-Segal, Margaret D. Jacobs, Margaret Connell-Szasz, Jon Reyhner, Denis F. Viri, Bruce J. Dinges, Tom Holm, Wesley Bernardini, Justin B. Richland, and Peter M. Whiteley, whose scholarship on the Orayvi Split has great meaning for the Hopi people. Critical support and assistance came from Hopi scholars and educators Lomayumtewa C. Ishii, Angela A. Gonzales, Sheilah E. Nicholas, the late Emory Sekaquaptewa, LeRoy Shingoitewa, Noreen Sakiestewa, the late Hartman Lomawaima, Patricia Sekaquaptewa, LuAnn Leonard, Barbara Poley, Theresa Lomakema, Loris Taylor, and the late Ferrell Sekacucu. I extend further gratitude to Samuel Shingoitewa of Upper Moencopi and Bessie Humetewa of Bacavi, who so generously shared with me about their “Sherman days” before their passing.

Additional appreciation goes to Stewart Nicholas of the Hopi Tutuveni, Marsah Balenquah, Bradley Balenquah, Leslie Robledo and Eileen Randolph of Bacavi, and Nick Brokeshoulder of Hotevilla. A special thanks is extended to film director and producer Allan Holzman of 716 Productions, and Gerald Eichner. In January 2006, Holzman and Eichner asked me to join them and the Hopi Cultural Preservation Office to produce a documentary film on the Hopi boarding school experience that
I titled “Beyond the Mesas.” The film corresponds with this book. I am also appreciative for the help and support of the editorial staff at the University of Nebraska Press, especially Matthew F. Bokovoy, Elisabeth Chretien, and Kathryn L. Neubauer, and copyeditor Linda Wessels. Furthermore, as a professor of American Indian Studies and history, I am indebted to my undergraduate and graduate students whose insights and perspectives helped to deepen my understanding of the Hopi boarding school experience. Some of these students include Ashley A. Tsosie-Mahieu, Charlotte Davidson, Angelia Louise Naquayouma, Laura Guzman, Lara Mann, Jamie Singson, Judith Estrada, Jamie Ishcomer, Joe Feria-Galicia, Suzanne Reilly, Josh Rubin, Daniel Puglisi, Jon Treshansky, John Gerstner, James Carlson, Lance Sherry, Rachel Kittle, Krista DeMeuse, Anthony Garcia, Caitlin Romani, and Samantha Paige. Finally, I credit this project to my grandfather and former student of Sherman Institute, Victor Sakiestewa Sr. from Orayvi and Upper Moen- copi. Victor often encouraged his family to receive an education “beyond the mesas,” and I thank him for providing me with the reason and inspiration to write on Hopi involvement at his alma mater, “dear ole Sherman.”
Hopi oral history recalls that long ago, the Hopi people came into this “fourth way of life” from a series of three underworlds. Following a time of unhappiness and discontent, the people emerged through an opening in their sky that brought them to present-day northeastern Arizona. When they arrived in this land the people made their way to three mesas where they met Ma’saw, creator and ruler of the “fourth way of life.” Ma’saw told the people that they could live on the mesas, but they first needed to migrate to distant lands to learn ways to be useful to Hopi society. Following Ma’saw’s instructions, the men, women, and children divided themselves into clans and each migrated in one of the four cardinal directions. According to Hopi belief, the clans traveled to the Pacific Ocean, Central America, and occupied lands in present-day New Mexico and Colorado. During their migrations, the Hopi people experienced different climates and terrain and learned to survive by hunting, gathering, and planting. Life for the clans included great hardships, but they believed that their pain and suffering strengthened and preserved their culture for future generations of Hopi people.

The clans were not alone when they migrated to distant lands. Butterflies, other insects, birds, and many animals existed in the “fourth way of life.” During their migrations, Hopi clans came across bears, badgers, eagles, and even parrots, and identified
themselves with the animals they met on their journeys. In addition to seeing animals and insects, the clans encountered indigenous peoples from various cultures, which expanded their understanding of the world. These people spoke many languages, and they introduced the clans to new practices, skills, ways of thinking, and religious customs. Although the clans learned many things during their migrations, they also shared their Hopi knowledge with the people they met and welcomed the opportunity to teach others about their culture and philosophies of life. When the Hopi clans traveled back to their ancestral lands in northeastern Arizona, they brought new ideas and traditions with them to the Hopi mesas. Each clan experienced a different way of living and was required to contribute something useful to Hopi society. The Sun Forehead clan returned to the Hopi mesas as warriors and protectors, while the Katsina clan hosted the katsina dances and prayed for rain. The clans held vital roles in Hopi society and formed the foundation of Hopi identity.

But Hopi migration did not cease when the clans returned to their original lands. Many years after the clans established their roles in Hopi society, a second wave of migration developed when government officials sent Hopis to attend off-reservation Indian boarding schools in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century. Although Hopis were required to attend these schools, the movement of Hopi pupils to U.S. government institutions once again brought Hopis to new environments where they interacted with people from unique cultures, and shared their knowledge and abilities with those they encountered. Similar to the way the ancient Hopi clans migrated in the four cardinal directions, Hopi pupils attended Indian boarding schools throughout the U.S and furthered the tradition of migration among the Hopi people. Beginning in the 1890s, as more railroads were built
and used to transport Indian people to off-reservation Indian schools, government officials sent Hopis to the Carlisle Indian Industrial School in Pennsylvania, the Phoenix Indian School in Arizona, and schools in Oklahoma, Oregon, Nevada, Utah, New Mexico, and California.5

In the 1890s, the Hopi people did not consider the U.S. government’s insistence that Hopis adopt Western ways and values as a new requirement. Many years before, Europeans arrived uninvited on Hopi lands and attempted to civilize and educate the Hopis with Christianity and European ideals and practices. In 1540, Spanish explorer Don Pedro de Tovar led a small expeditionary force on Hopi lands. They were the first group of non-indigenous people the Hopi encountered. De Tovar had expected to uncover cities of gold on the Hopi mesas, but instead he found rock homes, small cornfields, and a people entirely committed to their spiritual ways and customs. By drawing on the ground with sticks and using different objects to convey their message, the Hopi people told de Tovar and his men about a nearby river that flowed through a very large canyon. Upon hearing about this majestic and grand canyon, the Spanish expedition continued their journey west in search of gold and other riches.

The early Spanish encounters paved the way for Catholic priests to conduct missionary work among the Hopi people. Between 1629 and 1680, Catholic priests forced Hopis to abolish their sacred ceremonies and punished others for not converting to Christianity. The cruel treatment Hopis received from the priests influenced the way Hopis responded to missionaries in the years to come. After the Hopis forced the priests off their lands in 1680, Catholic missionaries only occasionally intruded on the Hopi mesas to convert the people to Christianity. The Hopis practiced their religion without a major presence of Christian missionaries.
during this period, but this trend changed in the nineteenth century when Mormons arrived on Hopi land and taught the children the English alphabet and encouraged Hopi parents to send their children to Christian mission schools. Whereas Christian missionaries educated some Hopis in Christian and American ways and values, government officials drafted plans to educate Indian pupils away from their village communities.

Three years after the founding of the Carlisle Indian Industrial School, U.S. President Chester Arthur established the boundaries of the Hopi Reservation in 1882. As a result of Arthur’s executive order to form the Hopi Reservation, government officials became increasingly involved in Hopi affairs and rekindled efforts to force Hopi children to attend day schools and the Keams Canyon Boarding School. The U.S. government constructed the boarding school in 1887 on the Hopi Reservation to teach children basic grammar, arithmetic, and vocational skills and to break down Hopi culture, language, and religious practices. By requiring Hopis to speak only in English and telling the children that their Hopi ways kept them from succeeding in life, school officials attempted to assimilate Hopi people into mainstream white society. The U.S. government’s systematic approach to assimilate Hopis and weaken Hopi culture did not take place without Hopi resistance. As indigenous people, Hopi leaders, mothers, fathers, and other community members resisted with their words, prayers, thoughts, and actions. Some Hopi parents scorned white officials for their conduct, while others hid their children when Indian agents and Navajo police conducted roundups and forced the children to attend U.S. government schools.⁶

In 1902, while the situation on the Hopi Reservation intensified over the mandatory enrolment of Hopi children at U.S.
government schools, the Office of Indian Affairs opened Sherman Institute, an off-reservation Indian boarding school in Riverside, California. Schools such as Sherman Institute were created to weaken American Indian cultures and to provide Indian pupils with skills that would be useful to them in American society. In this regard, vocational education at Sherman Institute created an Indian working class that was meant to contribute to the labor needs of Indian and white communities. Founded on principles that were deeply rooted in the supposed superiority of American culture, the education at Sherman Institute aimed at transforming Indian pupils to think, behave, work, and look less like Native people, and more like white Protestant Americans. Government officials did not consult Native leaders, parents, or educators when they created academic and industrial curricula at Indian boarding schools. They instead followed presumptions that considered Indian people to be inferior to white Americans.

Indian parents certainly understood the U.S. government’s agenda in sending their children to off-reservation Indian boarding schools. However, many parents wanted their children to succeed in a world of Anglo-American people, which necessitated their exposure to a Western education. As historian Michael C. Coleman points out: “Indian parents, and occasionally grandparents or tribal leaders, often encouraged young Indians to seek a school education, in order to secure a livelihood in the modern world or to become mediators between their peoples and American society.” Indian students needed to know about Native and non-Native worlds, and some of their parents wanted them to go to school with open minds, willing to accept beneficial aspects of white society. In Hopi culture, the idea of using the best of Hopi and white culture was not a foreign concept. In the summer
of 1890, after Kikmongwi (village chief) Loololma from Orayvi and other Hopi leaders boarded a Atchison, Topeka, & Santa Fe Railroad in Winslow, Arizona, and traveled to Washington DC to speak with Commissioner of Indian Affairs Thomas J. Morgan, Loololma advocated that Hopi people needed to take advantage of the strengths of both cultures so they would learn to “survive” and excel in the world beyond the Hopi mesas. As a result of Loololma’s influence on his people, the village chief convinced some Hopi parents to send their children to the Oraibi Day School and the Keams Canyon Boarding School, but many Hopis did not support Loololma or the U.S. government’s campaign to assimilate their children.

U.S. government policies that allowed for the removal of Indian pupils to off-reservation Indian boarding schools resulted in stress, anxiety, and internal divisions among Native communities. At the Hopi village of Orayvi on Third Mesa, one of three mesas on the Hopi Reservation, government officials urged parents and leaders to send their children to U.S. government schools, but many of the people refused to comply. In the early 1900s, tensions at Orayvi intensified over the school issue, and the people began to go against government officials and each other. Two Hopi factions emerged at Orayvi, which Indian agents and Christian missionaries called “friendlies” and “hostiles” based on their relationship with the U.S. government.

Although Hopis and non-Hopis alike use these terms to describe the Hopi factions, I have chosen to refer to the two groups as Hopi resisters and Hopi accommodators. These terms give Hopi and non-Hopi people a different way of describing and interpreting this important time in Hopi history. The terms “hostiles” and “friendlies” limit Hopi agency by confining Hopi choices and actions to a positive and negative binary. But the
Hopi people did not operate within this binary. Hopi accommodators considered the benefits of certain aspects of Christianity and U.S. government–run education. While government officials considered them to be “friendly,” the accommodating Hopis strategically learned to adopt components of the so-called white man’s way to suit their agendas on the reservation. They accommodated Western values and influences into Hopi culture, and they allowed their children to receive an education at on- and off-reservation Indian boarding schools. This was not a “friendly” act, but it was an act of accommodation that Hopis learned to refine throughout Hopi history.

The resisting Hopi faction saw little benefit in allowing American ways to enter Hopi society and culture. They resisted the U.S. government, Christian missionaries, and those Hopis who chose the accommodating route. Some readers may consider the resisting group to be the more traditional of the two, but the ideas of both resisting and accommodating have always been critical aspects of Hopi history and society. In this regard, Hopis functioned according to Hopi culture when they pushed back against the U.S. government and each other, and it was equally Hopi-like for Hopi accommodators to adopt, adapt, and accept change for what they believed benefited their people.

During this time in history, similar factions arose among Native communities throughout North America. But at Orayvi, tensions surrounding the two factions reached a crisis point that resulted in drastic and immediate consequences for the Hopi people. On September 8, 1906, Kikmongwi Tawaquaptewa, leader of the accommodating faction at Orayvi, and Youkeoma, leader of the Hopi resisters, settled their dispute by staging a tug-of-war between the two opposing groups. Men from each faction gathered outside Orayvi, drew a line of cornmeal on the
ground, and attempted to pull the opposing chief to the other side of the marker. Both men agreed that whichever side lost the battle would be required to leave the village. Commonly referred to as the Orayvi Split, the Hopi division was a turning point in Hopi history and had a direct impact on Hopi children and the number of Hopi pupils who went to off-reservation Indian boarding schools.

Two months after the Orayvi Split, government officials turned on Tawaquaptewa for illegally forcing the resisters out of the village and sent the thirty-four-year-old village chief and nearly seventy Hopi pupils from Orayvi to Sherman Institute. The U.S. government required Hopi parents to sign their child’s school application, usually with an “X”, which granted permission for their child to go to school. Government officials also sent Tawaquaptewa’s wife, Nasumgoens, and infant daughter, Mina, along with Frank Seumptewa, from the village of Moencopi, and his wife, Susie, and their children, Ethel and Lilly, to Sherman Institute. Although Indian leaders such as Lakota Chiefs Spotted Tail and Red Cloud visited off-reservation Indian boarding schools in the late nineteenth century, their stay at the schools did not last longer than a few weeks. Tawaquaptewa and Seumptewa remained at Sherman Institute for nearly three years. Commissioner of Indian Affairs Francis E. Leupp sent the Hopi leaders to Sherman Institute to learn English and to appreciate the white American culture. But Tawaquaptewa arrived at Sherman Institute as a representative and leader of his people, and he continued his role as kikmongwi and elder with the Hopi pupils at the school.

From 1907 to 1909, the Sherman Bulletin, the official student-written school newspaper, recorded several occasions where Tawaquaptewa was a role model for the Hopi students at Sherman
Institute. For instance, on April 24, 1907, the Sherman Bulletin observed that Tawaquaptewa often called his “Hopi followers” together to give them “good advice.” The Hopi pupils responded by not “hanging back” but instead pushed “rapidly ahead” in their industrial and academic training. Kikmongwi Tawaquaptewa also led the Hopi pupils at Sherman Institute in song and dance. One of these events took place at the Glenwood Hotel in Riverside where Tawaquaptewa and eight Hopi boys sang two songs in Hopi about the “growing and maturing” of corn. School officials used the Hopi singings for entertainment purposes, but Tawaquaptewa and the other Hopi pupils sang their songs to reaffirm and express their identity as Hopi people, and to share their culture with non-Hopis in the greater Riverside area.

In addition to singing their Hopi songs, the pupils learned to appreciate other forms of music. At the Indian school in Riverside, teachers introduced Hopis to music by Bach, Beethoven, and Mozart. Students such as Bessie Humetewa from the Hopi village of Bacavi performed in the school’s mandolin club, while Victor Sakiestewa from Orayvi played the clarinet in the Sherman Institute band. Having been raised in a culture that valued song and dance, the Hopi pupils went to Sherman Institute with an eagerness to try different instruments and genres of music. Alongside their involvement in music, Hopi pupils used their artistic talents to advance at the school and to contribute to their school community. In May 1923, the Sherman Bulletin reported that a Riverside Press reporter noted that Hopi pupil “Homer Cooyawyama, Sherman’s talented artist, is about to enter upon a career that may make him famous.” The reporter commented that one of the “leading artists” in Laguna Beach, California, had “become interested” in Homer and wanted to
“take him to his studio” at the end of the school year. According to the reporter, Homer “painted the stage scenery” in the school’s auditorium, which “attracted considerable attention from tourists and others, many of whom” thought it showed “much talent.” Homer’s love for art originated from his community on the reservation.

For Hopi pupils such as Homer, their education did not begin at Sherman Institute or other U.S. government–run schools. Hopi education is among the oldest forms of education in the Americas, and Hopis brought their cultural knowledge with them to advance at the school. In Hopi culture, elders instructed children in song and dance and shared stories that had life lessons. Hopi uncles taught their nephews the act and purpose of hunting and planting, while Hopi mothers, grandmothers, and aunties instructed girls to be powerful women in Hopi society. At an early age, Hopi children were taught to value hard work, and to shun laziness. “Get up before the sun rises,” Hopi parents often told their children, “the sun has many things to accomplish throughout the day, and it need not waste its time and energy on getting you out of bed.” Having been instructed in Hopi education and cultural values, Hopi pupils went to Sherman Institute with an eagerness to excel and to obtain the best that the school had to offer.

Following the pattern established by the ancient Hopi clans, Hopi pupils traveled to the Indian school in Riverside and encountered a place that was deeply embedded with religious ideologies and practices. Although government officials established Sherman Institute to operate as an industrial trade school, where pupils spent half of their day in the classroom and the rest of the day in a trade shop, farm, or ranch, officials also stressed instruction that was based on Christian principles.
and encouraged Hopi pupils to participate in Christian organizations. School officials used Christianity as a tool to civilize and assimilate Hopi pupils and they wanted Hopis to take the Christian faith home with them to convert their families on the reservation. Some Hopis, such as Polingaysi Qoyawayma and Effie Sachowengsie from Orayvi, embraced Christianity at Sherman Institute and adapted their Christian faith to fit with life on the reservation. Others, such as Don Talayesva, a Hopi pupil from Orayvi who attended Sherman Institute from 1906 to 1909, conformed to Christian behavior in front of school officials, but rejected Christianity and denounced the so-called white man’s religion once they returned home. Hopi students such as Don adapted to the religious life at Sherman Institute and gave the appearance of accommodation by going along with the Christian instruction. Internally, however, many Hopis did not convert to Christianity.

Hopi students returned home from Sherman Institute in various ways. School officials usually did not allow or provide the means for students to return home during the summer or Christmas break. This meant that Hopi pupils typically did not return to the reservation until they had spent three or more years at the school. Hopi runaways, or “deserters,” as school officials called them, also played a small but important role in the Hopi school experience. Some Hopi boys, and a very few girls, ran away from Sherman Institute. Some Hopis longed to be away from the harsh discipline at the school. Samuel Shingoitewa, a Hopi pupil from the village of Moencopi who attended Sherman Institute in the 1920s, once remarked to his family that after school officials punished him for an infraction and humiliated him by taking away his “stripes” on his school uniform, he hitched a ride on a Santa Fe train and returned to the Hopi
mesas. But not every Hopi student returned home alive. For other Hopi pupils, particularly those who attended the school in the early twentieth century, illnesses such as tuberculosis, whooping cough, influenza, typhoid, and pneumonia shortened their stay at Sherman Institute. Although deceased pupils were usually buried at the school’s cemetery, some of the students returned home in a wooden coffin.

The Hopi boarding school experience at the Indian school in Riverside has various layers of meaning for Hopi people. For some Hopi students, Sherman Institute provided an escape from poverty and disease on the reservation. Other Hopis considered Sherman Institute to be a military compound where school officials told the students how to behave, talk, work, and think. Samuel Shingoitewa recalled that one of his most memorable experiences of the school was witnessing its regimented military structure upon his arrival. Students walking in formation and the constant roll calls and bugle sounds did not reflect life on the Hopi Reservation. “It was a government military school,” he remembered, and “during the week you wear GI clothes, and then Sundays we wear blue uniforms.” Although no one perspective can adequately speak on behalf of all Hopi people, the Hopi boarding school experience was neither completely positive nor entirely negative. For many Hopis, the education they received at Sherman Institute never assimilated them into white American society as fully as it did pupils from other indigenous communities. This can be attributed to several factors. Unlike some other Native nations, the Hopi people have always remained on portions of their ancestral lands. The Spaniards and the U.S. government did not succeed in forcefully removing them from their mesas, and therefore the essence of Hopi culture has survived to the present. In the early 1900s, Hopis
continued to practice their ceremonies, speak their language, and vary rarely intermarried with the white population in Arizona. Furthermore, when Hopis returned to the reservation after their stay at Sherman Institute, the pupils came home to a culture and society that remained intact. Like other Hopis who attended different off-reservation boarding schools, the Hopi pupils at Sherman Institute did not abandon their indigenous education. Instead of allowing their boarding school experience to destroy the Hopi way of life, Hopis at Sherman Institute maintained the integrity of their culture, made accommodations to succeed at school, and used the skills they learned to contribute to their village communities.

This book, which examines one tribe’s experience at an off-reservation Indian boarding school, places the history and culture of the Hopi people at the focal point of the narrative. It asks how a student’s culture and tribal history influenced their experience at an Indian school, and builds upon the contributions of other scholars to uncover the complex ways that Hopi history and culture intersected with U.S. government policies. Apart from providing the reader with a historical narrative, this book challenges the notion that a study on the Indian boarding school experience must be understood primarily through a defined framework of Indian education policies. Community-specific books begin with the history and culture of Native people and attempt to determine how students understood their unique experiences at Indian boarding schools as Zunis, Navajos, Apaches, or other Indian people. When government officials initially sent Indian students to federal off-reservation Indian boarding schools, they believed that Native cultures hindered the so-called progress of Indians and they wanted the pupils to leave their tribal identities behind on the reservation. Community-
specific studies push back against this colonial practice. They highlight Native agency and explore the many ways that Indian pupils brought their Indian identities to school and how they responded to their boarding school experience as people from indigenous communities.

Recent studies of the Indian boarding school experience have focused on Indian health, literature, sports, and the ways Indian pupils “turned the power” at schools designed to destroy their culture and identity as American Indian people. A term used by historians Clifford E. Trafzer, Jean A. Keller, and Lorene Sisquoc, “turning the power” describes the ability of Indian people to turn the boarding school experience to their advantage. This phenomenon enabled Native students to create an educational experience that benefited not only themselves, but also their communities. Students “turned the power” at Indian boarding schools in the nineteenth and twentieth century, and their achievement demonstrated the ability of Indian pupils to adapt, survive, and excel within a foreign and culturally hostile environment. At schools such as Carlisle, for example, officials did not allow students to speak their Native languages, dress according to their Native customs, or reflect any aspect of their indigenous heritage. Jacqueline Fear-Segal has demonstrated that even though Superintendent Pratt argued that Indian people had the same “intellectual capacity as whites,” he nevertheless considered Indian cultures to be a hindrance to the American ideals of “progress” and “civilization,” and he openly punished and humiliated Indian pupils who broke school policies. Consequently, many Indian pupils resisted by running away from Carlisle and other Indian schools, while others pushed back in their thoughts or prayers, or with their silence. Under these and other less traumatic conditions, Indian students turned to
the familiar and drew upon their culture to provide them with strength and confidence to face life’s challenges.

In this book I examine the ways Hopis “turned the power” at Sherman Institute, and I build upon the work of many scholars including those who have written about the mandatory enrollment of Hopi students at U.S. government schools. In August 1979, historian David Wallace Adams published one of the first academic accounts that referenced the Hopi boarding school experience, “Schooling the Hopi: Federal Indian Policy Writ Small, 1887–1917.” In this essay, Adams examines the role of federal Indian policy in the removal of Hopi students to off-reservation boarding schools. While providing information gleaned from U.S. government reports, newspaper articles, and Hopi hearings conducted by the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Adams’ inclusion of the Hopi voice came primarily from three Hopi accounts: Polingaysi Qoyawayma’s No Turning Back, Don Talayesva’s Sun Chief, and Helen Sekaquaptewa’s Me and Mine. These texts have become standard sources for researchers to use when comparing Hopis at Sherman Institute with other Indian pupils at different schools. Building on Adams’s scholarship and Margaret D. Jacobs’s article, “A Battle for the Children: American Indian Child Removal in Arizona in the Era of Assimilation,” this book extends one step further by interpreting the Hopi experience at Sherman Institute through a Hopi cultural and historical framework.

Before Hopi pupils attended Sherman Institute in 1902, they had been educated in the ways of Hopi culture. Children learned lessons from their parents and other village members. Most importantly, Hopi pupils learned to think and behave like Hopis, which strengthened their identity as they traveled from the reservation to receive an education beyond the mesas. Not
every Hopi pupil enjoyed their time at Sherman Institute, but many Hopis incorporated their culture to succeed in music, agriculture, trade, sports, and language acquisition. By learning English, Hopi pupils were empowered with an additional mode of communication and they learned to navigate in a world of Indian and non-Indian people. In this way, Hopis turned the U.S. government’s institution of assimilation into their own and used the education at Sherman Institute to contribute to their tribe and village communities. Most importantly, the Hopi pupils continued the cycle of Hopi tradition, and returned to the Hopi mesas with new responsibilities as Hopi people.