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Reflections On NADCA's Two Decades

Robert M. Timm, PROBE Editor

In preparing the insert in this 201st issue of THE PROBE, I've been thumbing through Issues #1 (September 1979) through #103 (August 1990). In doing so, I've re-visited the issues surrounding NADCA's genesis, the growing pains of its early years, and the hopes of its founding members. I've also read the frustrations of its officers that its membership never extended broadly to the rank-and-file Animal Damage Control (ADC) practitioners working for USDI's Fish & Wildlife Service in those early years. And I've once again enjoyed PROBE editor Bill Fitzwater's keen wit, his sense of purpose, his diatribes against house cats and Animal Rights activists, and hundreds of his one-liners—both borrowed and original.

In a way, our Association was born out of necessity, out of the fight for survival that came on the heels of losses in tools, agency leadership, and political support that impacted ADC through the 1970s. These changes, as compared to the heyday of the post-WWII years, hamstrung ADC's ability to solve animal damage problems in a quick, cost-effective manner. But paramount on our founders' minds was the specter that ADC, as an agency with the conservationist-oriented Fish & Wildlife Service, would continue to lose funding and political support until it withered away and disappeared. Others who worked in the academic side of ADC, whether in research, teaching, or extension, found that The Wildlife Society disdained the whole topic of animal damage, and not infrequently refused to publish papers on this topic in the Journal or the Bulletin. Thus, these wildlife biologists felt a need for representation through a professional society that valued their knowledge and expertise.

Our founders were mostly retired ADC employees, many of whom held supervisory or leadership roles in the agency during the PARC days or the former 'Wildlife Services' era, pre-EPA, pre-Endangered Species Act, pre-Animal Rights. These were highly competent field biologists, who had spent their time on traplines and in the field helping ranchers and landowners find solutions to predator, bird, and rodent damage problems. They understood from personal experience, predator-prey interactions, the behavior of coyotes, and the human impacts of economic loss. And in their early retirement years, when they saw the future of their profession threatened by political neglect and lack of public understanding, they banded together to form an Association that they hoped would be instrumental in changing this bleak vision of the future which confronted them.

Early issues of THE PROBE reflected that a year or so after NADCA's founding, its membership plateaued at fewer than 500—including quite a few retired ADC types. There was general disappointment that the working trappers, who were conducting ADC programs in both western and eastern regions, didn't join up and support what was envisioned as "their" Association—the organization that would speak on their behalf, when current ADC employees were muzzled by government policy or protocol. Yet, comments from some of these trappers reflected the reality that their salaries were minimal, and it was a hard choice to know whether to spend those dollars on annual NADCA dues or on real personal and family needs.

Nevertheless, the leaders of the Association persisted in their mission. They spoke out individually, and as a group, against ill-informed decisions of government bureaucrats, including the Secretary of the Interior, when the political winds blew in ways that banned predacides, prohibited denning, or forebade research on Compound 1080. And there is a common perception that NADCA was an influential player in the decision that finally returned ADC to the Department of Agriculture, under whose leadership the agency has survived continued attack and even won renewed support and funding in several areas. NADCA provided support to the new
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Eastern Wildlife Damage Control Conference, as well as to the continuing Great Plains and California (Vertebrate Pest) Conferences, recognizing students who made high-quality presentations of their research with awards at these meetings.

By 1990, there was a sense among NADCA’s founders that a part of their initial purpose had been served. Although the Association had not grown into a professional organization the size of The Wildlife Society, it had nevertheless been influential in ways that prevented the demise of the ADC agency. Communication among members had been well-served by Fitz’s tireless efforts in publishing The Probe, and the Association had been an effective vehicle for speaking out against ill-informed groups that saw no purpose in managing wildlife or the damage it sometimes causes. These dedicated officers and directors considered the question of whether NADCA’s usefulness had been served, and thus it was time for the Association to disband. Hearing strong voices to the contrary, they conducted a scheduled election that turned the Association over to new leaders—primarily to a new generation of wildlife damage biologists and managers who were currently employed in some aspect of this field. And so the torch was passed, but not without the Association’s continuing in recent years to benefit from the guidance and institutional knowledge of leaders such as Treasurer Wes Jones and Director-at-large Ki Faulkner.

The Probe was turned over to Bob Schmidt’s and my editorship, after much arm-twisting by Fitz and others, in late 1990. Our newsletter has continued to evolve to try to serve the needs of the Association’s membership. I should be quick to say that we could not have done this without the able editing and layout assistance of Pamela Tinnin, then a program assistant for Bob’s natural resources unit at Hopland (and now a pastor of a rural United Church of Christ congregation in Kansas).

Schmidt’s academic and personal interests in the human dimensions aspects of wildlife damage—particularly the motivations of the animal welfare and animal rights movements—led to our publication of some articles that were written by sympathizers of those organizations. This was, no doubt, an anathema to some of the older ADC members of our Association. Neverthe-

less, we were responding to other members’ desires that our newsletter represent balance and portray diverse points of view, while still factually representing our profession with integrity. The “personality” of The Probe changed, without a doubt, when we inherited it. We simply did not have the personal contacts with those field personnel in ADC that Fitz had developed over the years, and both of us came out of academic, rather than operational ADC, backgrounds.

The Probe has perhaps evolved further as our Association has shifted in its constituency. What once was “NADCA” is no longer: we are now predominantly composed of practitioners in
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the nuisance wildlife industry, although quite a diverse group. In the 1970s and 1980s, we were primarily an Association of past and present government ADC agents. In a sense, perhaps NADCA has continued to mirror the diversity of persons involved in dealing with wildlife damage problems throughout the United States. But our diversity makes it hard to speak with a single voice, or to achieve a single purpose.

Fitz, on one occasion, observed in The Probe that time and wisdom had brought the realization that it was impossible to please everyone—so he'd come to the place where he was writing and distributing the newsletter to please himself. Having now served as the sole editor of The Probe for four years, I understand Fitz's conclusion. And although I don't write a large part of the newsletter's content on a monthly basis, I do carefully choose what material it contains—based, of course, largely on the submissions that a few faithful NADCA members send along via mail, fax, and email.

Today, the Wildlife Services agency seems comfortably supported and appreciated, as well as competently managed, within USDA-APHIS. The Wildlife Society now contains a large and active Working Group focused on the topic of wildlife damage management. In fact, wildlife biologists as a profession seem to have almost accepted ADC (or “wildlife damage management,” if you want to be more politically correct) as a legitimate part of their science. This is reflected by the number of papers on the topic one can find on the program at any recent Annual Conference of TWS. I suspect this is largely due to a small group of individuals, including NADCA members who held joint membership in TWS, who have doggedly pushed for this professional recognition at every opportunity. One particularly influential person comes to mind—Jim Miller, former NADCA Vice President (East), a long-time member of TWS Council, and currently TWS President. Nationwide or regionally, we continue to see regular symposia and workshops on ADC, the most notable being the Vertebrate Pest Conference, the Eastern Wildlife Damage Management Conference, and the Great Plains WDC Workshop.

Today’s issues within wildlife damage control are things such as whether Nuisance Wildlife Operators (who represent a healthy portion of our membership) should be licensed or certified, an issue that is perhaps being dealt with best by their own new national professional trade association. And there’s the continuing loss of ADC tools and methods—most recently, a result of state initiative measures that ban traps or toxicants. With NADCA’s membership hovering between 300 and 400, our recent discussions have centered on whether we’re serving our constituency (as well as questions such as ‘who is our membership?’).

Letter to the President

Editor’s Note:
The recent death of Bill Fitzwater, former editor of The Probe, was reported in the April issue (#199). Following is a note received by NADCA President Robert Schmidt:

March 1, 1999
Dear Bob,

Know that my husband, Bill Fitzwater, would want you to know that he passed away on February 18 of pneumonia. He had a tough struggle to overcome the left-sided paralysis he suffered from the stroke he had in September 1992.

He was proud to be a part of the NADCA organization from the time it was started. He had many fond memories of his association with its fine members. He regretted not being able to attend their meetings.

With kindest regards,
Ann Fitzwater

About This Issue’s Insert

In recent issues of The Probe, we’ve been taking a look at the history of the Animal Damage Control program in the U.S. This June 1999 issue is #201—something of a milestone for our Association. And with the recent passing of our past newsletter editor, Bill Fitzwater, it seemed to be an appropriate time to take a closer look at NADCA’s own history.

The insert in this issue is a retrospective, gleaned from the 100+ issues of The Probe that ‘Fitz’ composed and published. For some of you long-time NADCA members, this will bring back memories and recollections of the issues of the 80s, as the federal ADC agency (and all ADC practitioners) struggled to survive. For you newer members, you’ll get a glimpse of the frustrations and efforts that were a part of NADCA’s creation and growth.

I began putting this insert together (in the style Fitz developed—complete with the “finger-giving cactus” logo) as a fitting memorial to his many years of dedication to our Association. But in doing so, I came to realize it can be more than just a memorial and a look into the past. By understanding the reasons for our existence as an Association, perhaps we can also gain a clearer vision for our future. And that vision can lead to action.

To all our members—thank you for your continued support. Let me hear from you... there’s always room for “Letters to the Editor.”

Bob Timm, Editor

The Editor thanks the following contributors to this issue: Guy Connolly and Stephen Vantassel. Send your contributions to The PROBE, 4070 University Road, Hopland, CA 95449.
Video Review: Stephen Vantassel, NWCO Correspondent


"Rats with antlers." That is how one person characterized the whitetail deer problem in his native state of New Jersey. With present deer populations estimated at nearly 20 million and growing, the issue of deer damage control will soon rise to prominence. In light of those demographics, The Northeast Technical Committee and Griffen Productions should be commended for producing a video that covers the issues involved in managing deer. Too often issues surrounding animal damage management get bogged down in political posturing and rancor. In my mind, the video attempts to preempt this potential rancor by setting forth the issues in a straightforward and non-emotive way.

The video opens with some spectacular video of deer running in open fields. The narrator takes us on a mind journey by describing the value and importance deer have in contemporary America. The listener is then lead to consider the downside of too many deer. Thus the narrator lays out the present problem. On the one hand, we love and value deer for their beauty and grace. But on the other hand, we don’t like the problems associated with overgrazing, car collisions, and ticks.

The viewer is taught about population dynamics in relation to deer populations. Terms such as carrying capacity, high browse lines, and evidences of populations under stress are all explained and illustrated. The film reminds the viewer of the deer’s need to be healthy in order to survive the harsh winter. The implication, of course, is that one way or another, deer populations will eventually come into line with the land’s carrying capacity.

With the population problem stated, solutions are discussed. Solutions such as introducing predators, live trapping, winter feeding programs, hunting, sharp shooting, and contraception are all briefly explained. Fences and repellents also get their due along with appropriate mentioning of their downsides. The video rightly shows the viewer the difficulty in finding a "magic bullet" solution to the present deer problem. Since each technique’s downside is also explained, the viewer is left with the impression that there are no easy answers that will please everyone.

Two sections of the video deserve special recognition. Its explanation on the facts surrounding deer/car collisions was very informative. Although it didn’t mention strategies to reduce deer collisions such as cattle crossings, etc., I appreciated the tip that deer have a tendency to follow each other so that if you see one deer cross the road, expect to see another. It appeared that the producer felt human education on the issue would be a great step forward in reducing collisions. The second section deserving praise is the explanation of deer biology and management principles. For a video that hopefully would be viewed by politicians, town officials and townspeople, the explanations are clear, brief and understandable. Technical jargon was appropriately set aside in favor of plain talk.

On a technical level the video is excellent. This is, from beginning to end, a first class production. Picture images are clear and sharp.
Video Review

voice that is distinct and pleasant to the ears. The tape comes in a professional and elegantly designed vinyl video case.

If you are looking for a video that will explain the issues surrounding deer management, this is the video for you. Its non-emotional, straightforward discussion of the facts stands as a good example of how one should discuss management issues in the public forum. I think this video will place great pressure on animal rights activists to make a case against the information provided in this tape. In other words, if you want to put animal activists on the defensive and clear the air of many of their emotive assertions, this video will do it. And better yet, the video accomplishes this without rancor, name calling, or erecting straw men. It is a video that will make you proud to be a part of fact-based animal management.

My only criticisms of the tape center on its use of the term "live trap" and the idealization of Native Americans. I have already explained in other writings why professionals should get rid of the term "live trap." Professionals use accurate and precise terms. The other problem I have with the tape is the insinuation that American Indians were somehow in harmony with their environment. I think that claim is actually a myth. It confuses the idea of harmony with the fact that the American Indians never reached sufficient population levels to have a great negative impact on the environment. In short, American Indians didn’t live in harmony with Nature because they never developed the technology to master nature.

Nevertheless, "Whitetails at the Crossroads" is an excellent tape. I haven’t given it an animal damage control grade because it doesn’t really teach animal damage control. I am pleased that my tax dollars have helped create this worthy video.

To order by check send $19.95 payable to “Cornell University” to:

Rich Gray
Cornell University
Media and Technology Services Resource Center
8 BTP
Ithaca, NY 14850
Fax (607) 255-9946
E-mail: Dist_Center@cce.Cornell.edu

Cornell also accepts Visa and MasterCard. New York residents should add appropriate sales tax.

Stephen Vantassel, NWCO Correspondent
340 Cooley St.
Springfield, MA 01128
<Admin@wildlifedamagecontrol.com>
http://www.wildlifedamagecontrol.com
©1999 Stephen Vantassel

Wildlife in the News

Coyote Subdued in Central Park, New York

Coyotes in recent years have more frequently been seen in New York City, particularly in the Bronx. But park officials and police initially were not sure whether April Fools’ Day reports of a coyote in Central Park should be taken seriously. However, investigating personnel chased and finally cornered the lone animal in the park’s Hallett Nature Sanctuary, a 4-acre preserve that is essentially closed to public access. Shot with tranquilizer darts, the male coyote was slated to be given a physical examination and then released somewhere "in the wilds" of New York state. Park officials, speculating on how the animal came to be present in the shadows of some of the city’s grandest apartment buildings, think he probably came from the Bronx, probably crossing the Henry Hudson Bridge.


Reflections on NADCA’s Two Decades

Perhaps the recent lack of major crises leads us to complacency. Or maybe it’s that the obstacles to solving wildlife conflicts are so complex today—so intertwined with our urbanizing society, its politics, and its naive attitudes about the natural world and its dynamics—that we hardly know where to aim our efforts. Maybe it’s time again for renewal, for changes in direction. As an Association, I hope that we are open to new ideas, new leadership, new approaches to being a group of professionals who represent the field of animal damage control. This fall will bring the opportunity to elect a new slate of officers who will serve NADCA during the years 2000 and 2001. If you have ideas, dreams, or visions of what our Association might become in the 21st Century, now is the time to step forward. You will be following in the footsteps of our founders… those leaders who answered a call to defend our profession and advance our common cause. And your role could be no less important than theirs.
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NATIONAL ANIMAL DAMAGE CONTROL ASSOCIATION

Mail to: Grant Huggins, Treasurer, Noble Foundation, P.O. Box 2180, Ardmore, OK 73402

Name: ____________________________________________ Phone: (____) ______ - _______ Home
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Dues: $_________ Donation: $_________ Total: $_________ Date: ____________

Membership Class: Student $10.00 Active $20.00 Sponsor $40.00 Patron $100 (Circle one)

Check or Money Order payable to NADCA

Select one type of occupation or principal interest:

[ ] Agriculture [ ] Pest Control Operator
[ ] USDA - APHIS - Wildlife Services [ ] Retired
[ ] USDA - Extension Service [ ] ADC Equipment/Supplies
[ ] Federal - not APHIS or Extension [ ] State Agency
[ ] Foreign [ ] Trapper
[ ] Nuisance Wildlife Control Operator [ ] University
[ ] Other (describe) __________________________
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GENESIS
There has long been a need for a professional society in the field of vertebrate pest management ("nuisance animals", "animal damage control", whatever). Wildlife managers and educators tend to belittle this aspect of wildlife management. It has become a "black hat" agency...

The objectives of NADCA as stated in the attached prospectus are to work for the conservation of natural resources and economic survival in the best interests of the United States. One way of obtaining these objectives is to educate public opinion on the need for vertebrate pest management in an organized, factual manner divorced from emotional prejudice. The other way is to strive for professionalism in supervisory and field personnel working in the field of animal damage control...

To a wife a retired husband is twice the husband and half the income.

HELP !!!!
This is the first issue of the NADCA Newsletter. We need a name. The Board turned down the Editor's suggestion of GOPHER CHOKER as not being professional enough. THE PROBE does relate to gopher control and does indicate our hope to probe into policies, personnel, etc., that hamper ADC operations. But we could use a better name.

The Newsletter will not appear on a regular basis, but only as often as the membership provides sufficient grist for the Editor's mill. We want to keep posted on legislation that affects vertebrate pest control and trapping as the latter remains one of the most important options in animal damage control. We would also like to have suggestions on the improvement of skills in this field; the availability of new tools, chemicals, and techniques; meetings; personnel changes, and job openings...

(Issue #1, September 1979)

The only time a politician would be silent is if he said what he thought.

THE SECRETARY'S DECISION
In a recent speech at a Predator Control Summit meeting in Texas, Secretary [of the Interior] Andrus referred to the present ADC program as a "war on wildlife." This in itself exposes the fact that Andrus has no knowledge of the animal damage control program, wildlife management, livestock management, range management, or wildlife population dynamics. Secretary Andrus also lacks concern about the livestock losses to unmanaged predators and is not aware of the real world problem...

(Issue #4, February 1980)

I've always wanted to procrastinate - but I never got around to it.

PROBING FOR THE PROBE
Writing a newsletter is like getting married to a nymphomaniac - - the first couple of months it's a lot of fun. What brought that up is this newsletter is supposed to be the outpouring of words of wisdom, helpful hints, gripes, news and gossip from you ADC types in the field, not the ramblings of the lone stranger. I do appreciate the humor and articles I've gotten from some of you, but these have been few and far between and I would like more help from the field...

(Issue #8, September 1980)

Advice is something a man gives when he's too old to set a bad example.

THE BLACK DEATH
Always knew cats were no good, and recently two human cases of plague caught from house cats have been reported. In one case the victim's cat brought home a dead chipmunk. The cat died 3 days later and was buried. The woman became ill 8 days after the cat brought the chipmunk home and died two days later. Both the cat and woman proved positive for plague. The other case was a veterinarian in Colorado who was bitten by a cat - - both recovered but both had antigens for plague.

(Issue #15, July 1981)

I usta like cliches but now I avoid them like the plague.
This publication is intended as an informal newsletter to members and supporters of NADCA. It is not an official declaration of NADCA policy or a consensus of opinion in all instances.

COMPLAINTS
...Another complaint was the unprofessional way THE PROBE treated the dear, departed Secretary Andrus and my type of "corn"... The paper is conceived, developed, and aborted in the space of a week. Thus, THE PROBE does not always represent the more staid, professional attitude of the rest of the Board, but merely the uncensored thoughts and "humor" of YE ED. I see red when the politicians and environmentalists refuse to concede ADC is essential to the health and economy of this country... The Board and I are doing what we can to help the profession of ADC in general and field personnel in particular, but we need more input and help. End of sermon.

(Issue #15, July 1981)

Does the name Pavlov ring a bell?

BATS IN THE BELFRY?
"You're lucky", says Britain's Devon Trust for Nature Conservation. Bats roosting in an attic provide a free and easy way to keep a house warm in winter. "Thick piles of bat droppings act like any other inert granular substance and have an excellent insulation effect." It appears we may have also overlooked the excess animal heat given off by copulating rats in the basement, too.

(Issue #17, November 1981)

You're never alone with schizophrenia.

ADOPT A 1080 STATION
The highly publicized "Adopt-a-Horse" program of the FRIENDS OF ANIMALS that was foisted on the Bureau of Land Management is undergoing reevaluation... BLM is rightly worried about the damage being done to the range by these animals in their native states and hopes to do something about a problem that used to be easily solved by turning them into 1080 stations.

(Issue #17, November 1981)

Who says nothing is impossible? I've been doing nothing for years.

GOOD GNUS FOR EWES
The return of 1080 is viewed by some as almost as good as the Resurrection while to others it is the opening of Pandora's box to inflict irreparable damage on all wildlife. As is usually the case, neither extreme is the true picture of what will actually happen. Any knowledgeable ADC specialist realizes 1080 is just another tool to use against a wily adversary. There is no one answer to the coyote depredation problem, but we must have options based on biological efficacy of a material or method rather than regulations based on emotional appeals from an uninformed public...

(Issue #18, January 1982)

To a bachelor a wedding ring is like a tourniquet--it stops his circulation.

OL' TIMER'S CORNER
One of the few pleasures associated with being Sec/Treas, YE ED, and general bottle washer around here is getting to hear from old friends from PARC days... There is a practical side to this as Monte Dodson recently wrote: "Bill, you better pick those old timer's brains while they are still around. Many trapping secrets have gone with others to the grave. How about a directory? I'll help pay for it."

The directory is a good idea. In the next issue I'll publish a list of names and addresses of those in NADCA.

(Issue #18, January 1982)

To err is human... to blame it on someone else is politics.

IT IS NICE TO KNOW YOUR ARE NOT ALONE!!!
At a workshop for editors of State Pest Control Association newsletters at the NPCA Convention last year, Bill Cunnea (Manager of Internal Communication, Velsicol Corp.) stated: "Newsletter editors are basically underbudgeted, understaffed, underloved (YE ED note: Even dirty old men need love-- just not as often) and overworked... one person, an editor, simply isn't enough to garner the information for the state association, the industry, or maybe the world. How information is presented, the variety of information and its pertinence to the audience are essential to getting your audience involved."

(Issue #21, May 1982)

When the meek inherit the world, who will drive the trucks?

LETTERS TO YE ED (a reply)
...After so many years of listening to Defender of Wildlife types say how bad ADC is, I get a real kick out of being "biased" the other way. Actually, I'm a conservative middle-of-the-roader, but the other side has hogged the rostrum so long I'm going to take the extreme view.

(Issue #22, June 1982)

Fun is like insurance. The older ya get the more it costs.

MEMBERSHIP
This is your organization. Us old fuddy-duddies who set up NADCA have nothing personally to gain (the smaller the membership, the fewer newsletters YE ED has to mail out). We did it because we felt a commitment for our life's work in ADC and the opportunity to get a better deal for ADC personnel and the profession without job or policy restraints. Considering the lack of support we've gotten from those who have the most to gain from a strong organization, we've been doing a fantastic job. We had impact on the 1080 hearings, anti-trap legislation in Congress and several States, and have put on a number of educational meetings. But our efforts can go just as far as your moral and financial support...

(Issue #25, September 1982)

If we're at the top of the food chain, how do you explain mosquitoes?
ANIMAL RIGHTS
Had the pleasure of meeting Jim Glass and Rick Story from the WILDLIFE LEGISLATIVE FUND OF AMERICA... last month. Jim gave a rather scary talk on the increased momentum of the AR movement. He felt what they say is so persuasive that unless you think about it, you might even send in your contribution to this benefit fund for lawyers... The lawyers taking AR cases for nothing (who ever heard of a lawyer doing something for nothing ?!) figure there will be a way to collect a fee later on. As judges are basically lawyers who mark their own examination papers, a high percentage of our legislators are lawyers, and the media gets most of its materials from the troubles lawyers start, we can't afford to pass this over as a fad. Agriculture, industry, and medicine are all in the same boat with the sportsmen and us ADC types. Thus we must combine our efforts to minimize the impact of this movement before it gets out of hand and we all become vegetarians and elect a parakeet for President.
(Issue #34, July 1983)

I broke my wing when I fell out of your bird house!
This is my lawyer.

LETTERS TO YE ED (a reply)
Remember Greg Rost's comparison of ADC types with jackrabbits that get their thrills by crossing the road in front of speeding cars at the last minute? I think there is something masochistic about us ADC types who keep trying to help the public and are constantly shot at by the lunatic fringe.
(Issue #41, March 1984)

Nostalgia ain't what it usta be.

KISSED BY A RAT
Dateline Toledo, Ohio: A pet rat named "Yentl" save her owner from a fiery death by licking the woman's face until she woke up. The owner claims the tame rat was sleeping with her, when a short circuit in an electric blanket started a fire in the mattress (as I believe the worst in any rat story, I'll bet the rat's chewing caused the short circuit).
(Issue #43, May 1984)

TO THE EDITOR
Thanks/thanx for THE PROBE. Its arrival is one of the highlights of each month. Ad multos annos! (Latin, not Spanish).
B. V. "Bunny" Fennessy

Sorry, Bunny, I was sic (with a k), as they say. It should be evident that our editorial policy is a dynamic process changing drastically by the hour. The underlying cause is a senile editor who can't remember what he said from one issue to the next but is too lazy to go back and read what he has written so he wings it.
(Issue #46, September 1984)

If ya can't say anything good about a person -- let's hear it!

A LAST PLEA FOR MEMBERS
The initial officers of NADCA were retired federal ADC specialists... We were elated to have the opportunity to continue to benefit the profession in which we had spent our entire working careers. But it took only the first year of recruiting members to realize that dreams and realities are not always the same. Our membership totaled 445 at the end of the first full year of our existence and has hovered somewhat below that figure ever since... My biggest disappointment has been in not being able to convince the 700 active USFWS ADC employees... that the aims and objectives of NADCA were designed to help them carry out their jobs in a more professional way and provide them with a communication link... The officers of NADCA have discussed the advisability of continuing the organization. We have informally agreed to disband at the end of 1985...
George S. Rost, President

It is with real sadness that I concur with George in his summation of the situation regarding the continuance of NADCA, but a quick check of last year's directory shows that of his estimated 700 active USFWS ADC employees we have only 178 signed up. The fact we have 84 retired USFWS members who have absolutely nothing to gain by supporting the objectives of NADCA is a sad commentary on the morale and dedication of the current ADC work force...
(Issue #49, January 1985)

Alimony is like keeping up payments after the car is wrecked.

EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING - Sept. 21, 1985
The Board voted unanimously to continue with NADCA as we felt we are entering a new era where we might be more effective in helping ADC in general and the USFWS program specifically... NADCA will prepare a series of position statements to assist individuals in bringing ADC's aims before legislators... We would like to have a more aggressive public action program...
(Issue #57, November 1985)

Ya never know what happiness is until you're married -- and then it's too late!
HUNTERS BEWARE

We in ADC have long been aware that while banning trapping is the first goal of the animal rightist groups, hunting and then fishing are next in line. Our fat friend of the FUND FOR ANIMALS, Cleveland Amory, confirmed this in a recent issue of AGENDA, the Animal Rights Network publication. He said, "Trapping interests have a strength all out of proportion to their real numbers. Hunters are always there in support because they figure if we get our foot in the door by banning trapping, hunting will be next, and so on. And they're right."

Never doubt your wife's judgement -- look who she married.

ON BEING MASOCHISTIC

Pushing for this transfer of ADC from Interior to Agriculture has been like threading a soggy noodle through the eye of a needle. I have been optimistically predicting certain events only to have them put on the back burner. I had the feeling I was going to be held responsible if ADC eventually fell through the cracks and nobody won... USDA officials have expressed the opinion that NADCA, despite its small size and funding, has played a major role in achieving this transfer. Your officers have worked with a single-minded intensity not matched by any other organization. Contacts and experience have helped make the most of what we had to offer...

A husband should tell his wife everything he thinks she might find out.

EPA DOUBLE TALK

After several years of turning down suggestions by ADC representatives on needed regulatory reform for use of the M-44s, EPA finally agreed to some necessary changes, but then negated the entire agreement by throwing it open to the environmentalists. With their help, EPA backed down on 5 of the proposed changes it had agreed to, watered down 4 more, than added a new limitation that hadn't been there to start with... This has been a real sell-out by an agency hampered by a staff consisting solely of political hacks, bureaucratic misfits, 'wet-behind-the-ears' lawyers, or bunny huggers. And I speak from 5 years of experience working 'for' EPA (I class myself as a 'bureaucratic misfit' having been forbidden by Ed Johnson to write any more reports contrary to their preconceived conclusions).

The difference between a taxidermist and a tax collector - the taxidermist leaves the hide.

CATS AND MORE CATS

Jerry Mix, Editor of PEST CONTROL, called me up to say the publisher had gotten a nasty letter from some Humane Society rep regarding my article on cat control... They intended to circulate it and bring down the wrath of the Animal Rightists. I hope I placated his boss with the observation that it wouldn't hurt his circulation any because that type of person wouldn't be caught subscribing to subversive magazine like PEST CONTROL, picking up a free copy in the Library. (Incidentally, cat hunting was a popular nighttime social activity of Chestnut Hill (PA) bluebloods in the thirties.)

...I guess I'll never learn, as I'm just getting over reactions from a column I recently wrote (I also edit a monthly newsletter for ol' folks at the Palo Duro Senior Citizens' Center): "A writer denying he neither idolized or anathematized cats, insisted he only wished to sweep away the superstitions about cats. 'One of these superstitions is that cats always land on their feet. Now the last thing I do before going to bed is to toss my cat down the cellar steps. I have discovered that by giving it a certain twist, I can make it land on its head every time!'"

The thing I can never understand is why it is when I'm at a party, the house cat always comes up to me to be petted?

Be thankful for bad luck -- without it you'd have to blame yourself!

IN THE CAT HOUSE - - AGAIN ?!

Dear Mr. Fitzwater:

We read your article... in PEST CONTROL. To say the least we are stunned by your suggestions about how to control cats. Your recommendations are not only promoting the suffering and death of innocent creatures but are so archaic that we pity the fact that you could be so insensitive and ignorant...

It is unfortunate that people like you only see harming and killing as solutions to a situation where a human might be inconvenienced... Many of us like to live in a world where humans and animals can coexist in peace. We hope you will think about this and attempt to develop an attitude that reflects kindness and caring for others instead of conveniently and brutally exterminating anything that gets in your way.

Sincerely, Teri Barnato, Coordinator, People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals

Dear Ms. Barnato:

I too am "stunned" to be rebuked by a representative of an organization that allegedly has connections with a covert criminal gang like the ANIMAL LIBERATION FRONT. The acts of vandalism (laboratory break-ins at a number of installations around the country...in which valuable research data was destroyed along with considerable property damage) and attempts on human lives (letter bombs and threatened random poisoning of candy bars in England) which your organization condones is blatanst interference with the freedom and safety of other persons who think differently than you do and is as reprehensible as a PLO terrorist attacks on innocent bystanders.

The fact that your organization takes credit for the violation of secular laws (such as sil-ins in fur stores, restaurants, construction sites, and NIH offices) indicates that it is only a matter of degree of your involvement in illegal activities to force people into a life of vegetarianism because you think that is the moral thing to do...
I make no apologies for my article on controlling cats. It was written for the information of people who have a problem with these animals. I certainly didn’t expect it to change your philosophy any more than your tirade has changed mine...

I am sorry you were upset by my article. It was not intended for persons like yourself, but for people who believe in human rights. I too get upset when I read the trash you “animal rightists” put out but realizing your “head is made up,” I don’t bother to educate you.

Sincerely, W. D. Fitzwater, Secretary, NADCA

Never marry for money. It’s cheaper to borrow.

ON ADC’S TRANSFER TO USDA
...I feel I’ve accomplished what I set out to do when I helped found NADCA -- create a more favorable administrative atmosphere for ADC, despite some setbacks, than existed under Interior.

A fine is a tax for doing bad. A tax is a fine for doing well.

AHH! A KINDRED SPIRIT
A Maine legislator, Paul Jacques, introduced a bill that opponents have described as “barbaric, sadistic, warped, moronic, simplistic, and frightening.” Pretty bad, huh? The bill? Oh, just one that puts cats on equal footing with dogs caught killing domestic animals-- the offending cats can be killed. He introduced the bill at the request of a couple whose prize waterfowl were attacked by a neighborhood cat. In one attack a goose lost five eggs worth $250 each. He does have some friends, but as in many cases he finds placid cat lovers become tigers when somebody suggests cats aren’t always lovable creatures.

A fine is a tax for doing bad. A tax is a fine for doing well.

If at first you don’t succeed, try, try again. Then give up. There’s no use in being a damn fool about it.

LETTERS TO YE ED
...NADCA will be worthwhile only if the members in the field make it worthwhile. I never once received support or encouragement from the field when I was Regional Director. When I met face to face with trappers/supervisors in the field, they would say they appreciated our efforts, but they never followed through with info that would give us a chance to work. All this doesn’t upset me too much as I know new ideas and new organizations really have to struggle to succeed...

C. R. “Pink” Madsen, Florence, WI

Most people who get credit for patience simply can’t get up enough nerve to start anything.

A REPLY
I got a letter last month from a member complaining about the lack of professionalism expressed in THE PROBE... here was my reply:

You are not the first nor the last to complain... I am sorry that you and they feel that way because when NADCA was organized, I visualized it as a professional society on a par with THE WILDLIFE SOCIETY. Instead it has evolved into a tirade by one tired, underpaid, and very busy old man who is an unrepentant lover of ethnic and sexist jokes, obnoxious puns, a macabre Gary Larson-type of humor, and a strong partisan belief in ADC. My main philosophy is: life is serious and boring enough and we learn better if we lighten up a bit. I am probably out of step with the majority these days as I got an admonition from the organizers of the California Vertebrate Pest Control Conference not to include my trademark of semi-naked women slides in my talk this March...

What is all comes down to is the editorial staff consists of one person who is office boy, reporter, typesetter, editor, and subscription department... To ever get above the poverty level we need more members... The best THE PROBE, under present leadership, can do is to keep its members posted on ADC-related conferences, ADC personnel changes, recent ADC publications, summaries of some ADC research, misdoings of the Animal Rightists (which I view as a bigger threat to ADC than any other movement), and ‘humorous’ ADC-happenings.

One of the hardest lessons I learned in working with the public is that you can’t please everybody no matter how hard you try. So at this stage of the game, I’m out to please myself. But I also feel I have the support of the majority of my readers for my one-liners...

When it comes to giving, some folks will stop at nothing.

MONTEREY PEST CONF.
As usual, this was an excellent conference in a lovely setting... There were papers on bromethalin and cholecaliferol and also on public attitudes toward ADC. These even touched on my favorite whipping boy- Animal Rights... The speaker, Dr. Dale Brooks [of UC Davis] had a good quote from Kurt Vonnegut - “If you think Nature is your friend, you don’t need enemies.” ...[He] did point out that you don’t get very far attacking public beliefs openly but must work towards changing individual attitudes. Some people care more for animals than humans, as they relate to their pets. To attract the press, we need one-line slogans like the bunny huggers use. To counter “Helping Hands for Animals,” I suggest we might use “Bats are beneficial creatures but I wouldn’t want my daughter to marry one.”

If at first you don’t succeed, failure may be your thing.

HUMANS GOT RIGHTS???
A representative of PEOPLE FOR THE ETHICAL TREATMENT OF ANIMALS (PETA) got the U.S. Coast Guard to take six lobsters she had “rescued” from a Washington, D.C. restaurant and turn them loose 15 miles off the coast of Maine. This was well-covered by the media, of course. (Knowing the ‘expertise’ of these people, I wonder if she realizes red lobsters don’t swim very well.)

The best remedy for gray hair is total baldness.
THAT SMARTS!
A Lena Williams states in the NY TIMES that "...most animal-rightists say goose down (for coats) is out because removing the down causes considerable pain to animals." (Smart doesn't apply to ARs. Coat makers don't pull individual feathers out of live geese. You silly goose!) Williams goes on that ARs make "...a case against wearing wool saying sheep are often nicked during shearing..." (and don't wear silk because)... "its manufacture involves killing silkworms."

Virtue is its own punishment.

ALL THE NEWS THAT'S PRINT TO FIT
I suppose you have all been made aware of Albuquerque's problem on national TV with an invasion of bears (the number is up to 19 now) into the outskirts of town (heading for the University?). This has given the media a shot in the arm and the bleeding hearts something to emote about. The first one was tranquilized at the top of a power pole and did an impressive swan dive accompanied by fireworks. The vets saved it (have you paid a vet bill recently?) and now the Humane Society is raising some $5,000 to send it and its cub to Oregon. I'm sure Oregon needs another bear family and the bears will probably die of toxic shock when they get the first whiff of a strange atmospheric substance - moisture. The Humane Society also collected some 10,000 pounds of dog food to feed the bears until Game & Fish pointed out it was illegal. A TV survey reported 67% of the residents were in favor of feeding the bears and only 33% against it. Another example of the education problem with which ADC is faced.

Of all the nasty, foul, lowlife schemes I ever heard of, I like yours the best.

MEMBERSHIP
At the end of NADCA's first full year of operation (1980), we had 445 members. Ten years later the head count is 429. Despite the good we feel we have done for ADC, we haven't been able to arouse the support we need to become more effective... Playing around with figures gleaned from the Directory we find that 54% of the membership have APHIS (or USDA-ADC) backgrounds. So this is just an attempt by a small group of animal rightists to draw attention to their extremist views...

EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING
In conjunction with the 4th Eastern Wildlife Damage Control Conference in Madison, WI, your Executive Board had its annual meeting... President ROST pointed out that NADCA had assisted in the shift from USDI to the more friendly bosom of USDA... We agreed that our main objectives... would be on education of the public, closer interaction with professional wildlifers and related organizations, and improvements of ADC professional standards. YE ED subscribes to the saying, "Don't be indispensable. If you can't be replaced, you can't be promoted," and thus has agreed to continue THE PROBE only UNTIL a dedicated masochist has been found.

LETTERS TO YE ED
You deserve the highest praise for your devoted work these 11 years in typing, editing, and mailing THE PROBE. As a part-time writer and consultant I have benefited greatly from your notices and reviews of new publications and registration changes on pesticides... You certainly have made it a labor of love and much appreciated by many NADCA members.

Dr. Harry Pratt
retired, US Publ. Health Service, Atlanta

Your impending retirement (can it really be true?) from the editorial chair moves me to write, while you are still in office, to say how much I have enjoyed THE PROBE, with its mixture of factual information, reports from members and interesting anecdotes, with the thread of your comments (sometimes critical, often witty) running through. Thank you, again.

Dr. Harry Thompson
Surrey, U.K.

The worst thing about the younger generation is that we're not in it.

HUMANS GOT RIGHTS???
The Rocky Mountain Humane Society protested the circus opening in Denver claiming the animals are "psychologically battered" during training. They also claim circus animals are "forced to perform unnatural acts" (there must be a new meaning to that word or circuses have changed since I attended them). Ringling Bros. etc. officials claim this is just an attempt by a small group of animal rightists to draw attention to their extremist views...

Mountain goats wallowing on some rare plants in the Olympic Nat'l. Park (WA) have botanists and NPS fighting the ARs. The goats were introduced in the 1920s and when the area became a NP, hunting was stopped. Now there are 1,000 goats in the Park destroying native plants, some considered rare. The NPS tried choppers and tranquillizers but the cost to capture and relocate ran to $800/animal and many died in handling. When the NPS announced it was going to shoot the goats at $40/head, the Fund for Animals objected on the grounds that the goats are rare animals.

No matter what happens, there is always somebody who thought it would.
HUMANS GOT RIGHTS???

Inhumane torture! A jailed animal rights activist, Fran Stephanie Trutt, was not permitted a conjugal visit to her cell in the state prison by her four dogs. Her lawyer is probably right in saying, "The truth of the matter is her dogs are her only friends."

(Issue #102, July 1990)

Light travels faster than sound. That's why some folks appear bright until they speak.

Sherm Blom really snowed me with all the clippings on the Nucla (CO) big prairie dog shootout. Anyway, it looks like 2,956 prairie dogs were killed; T-shirts, critter coffins, and booze were the big sale items that boosted the economy of the town; and 22 protestors made noise and threatened to get more drastic next year. One of the better "Letters to the Editor" was by a Louis Phillippe (Ft. Collins): "You've got to love the Rocky Mountain Humane Society. What entertainment they provide. Demanding National Guard babysitting while they attempt an illegal hunt sabotage is like asking for police protection for a rabid pit bull at a dog show. The 'thousands' of protestors planning to attend this rodent control contest will kill more innocent wild creatures with their cars enroute than the contestants. If any, Robin Duxbury included, are seen slapping a mosquito, they should be arrested. The RMHS does not operate animal shelters, nor does it care for unwanted pets. It exists only to protest all human contact with animals."

(Issue #103, August 1990)

For every silver lining, there's got to be a cloud.

ALL THE NEWS THAT'S PRINT TO FIT

Eagles - (NM): Golden eagles have been killing up to 250-lb calves on a Socorro Co. ranch for over five years... Losses to date have been over $12,000. Control by trapping with leghold and pen traps have been unsuccessful. The next step was using a chopper and Codanet gun... With a fixed-wing flying overhead cover, one eagle was brought down but it escaped when a corner of the net hung up on a bush. The next day two eagles were captured and shipped to Denver for pesticide research...

FLASH!!! (When I wrote the above article I had the nagging feeling that shipping those eagles to Denver for pesticide research was throwing faeces into a quickly revolving appliance for creating wind. I had THE PROBE ready for the printer when I got a message from Mike Fall with the following headline. Mike said it was on the front page of the DENVER POST right next to an article on Nobel Prize winners. As he said that's the closest ADC will ever get to a Nobel peace prize.)

Headline: "Eagles to be Poison-test Guinea pigs; Animal Rights Group Denounces Experiment in Controlling Coyotes."

(Issue #99, January/February 1990)

When you care enough to give the very best, give money.

CAT CARRIER
Now $3.99
ADJUSTS TO FIT ANY CAT

I'm next to the smartest person in the world.
Someday you must meet my wife.
LETTERS TO YE ED

...When you mentioned being office boy, reporter, etc., you overlooked pointing out that you are highly informed, experienced, always generous with your time, knowledge, and energy. I remember all those years you would go out of your way to exert extra energy and your unreserved willingness to share your knowledge in helping me and others in controlling obnoxious vertebrates.

Perhaps we should analyze the letters in the PROBE:
P - Pursuit of truthful facts.
R - Reporting of what the emotional alarmists are doing and saying
O - Observations in the fields of misinformation and misconception
B - Best and only source of information for members of ADC who do not receive all printed materials concerning unethical efforts.
E - Entertainment in a subtle form. I like those one-liners...

Now look at your signature:
F - friendly philosophy
I - indiscernible viewpoints from all sides
T - truth to counteract confusion
Z - zeal to do a great job.

Walter Weber, Lecturer/Entomologist
Indianapolis, IN

(Brief #81, March 1988)

EDITOR
ROBERT M. TIMM

Adios, Fitz.
It has been an honor to follow in your footsteps.

IN MEMORIAM

William D. Fitzwater
Founding Member, NADCA
Editor, The Probe, 1979-1990
Secretary/Treasurer, 1979-1986
Secretary, 1986-1989

Just a quick note to say thanks for your continuing efforts on behalf of ADC, for sanity, for straight thinking, and a great sense of humor. Your fans and friends outweigh your detractors by 30 to 1-- You are doing a great job and we in the ranching and farming community appreciate your good work!!!

Norman Rousselot, Rancher,
Past-President, Nat'l Woolgrowers, Sonora, TX

My dictionary says that a professional is one "having great skill or experience in a particular field of activity." You and the other leaders of NADCA certainly qualify. I appreciate your hard work on the organization and THE PROBE and all that you do to help keep us in touch with each other.

Thurman Booth
Little Rock, AR