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Has public responsibilities for safe and secure movement of hazardous materials to industry and consumers by all transportation modes, including the nation's pipelines.
PHMSA

Office of Pipeline Safety
Office of Pipeline Safety

Finding the Best Research Contractors
- Merit Review Process
- Cost Share 50/50

Assuring Good Contractor Performance
- MIS
- COTRs
- FAR

Assuring High Quality Outputs
- Peer Review Process
- RITA
- R&D Forum

Applying Program Outputs
- Systematic Process Features
- MIS
- COTRs

Identifying the Right Priorities
- R&D Forum
- Blue Ribbon Panel

Systematic Evaluation Process

All Inclusive Process
Relevance - *Prospective*

Office of Pipeline Safety

The RD&T program has defined goals, priorities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Elements</th>
<th>Program Element Goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Damage Prevention</td>
<td>Reducing the number of incidents and accidents resulting from excavation damage and outside force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Pipeline Assessment &amp; Leak Detection</td>
<td>Identifying and locating critical pipeline defects using inline inspection, direct assessment and leak detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Defect Characterization and Mitigation</td>
<td>Improving the capability to characterize the severity of defects in pipeline systems and to mitigate them before they lead to incidents or accidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Improved Design, Construction, &amp; Materials</td>
<td>Improving the integrity of pipeline facilities through enhanced materials, and techniques for design and construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Systems for Pipeline Mapping and Information Management</td>
<td>Enhancing the ability to prevent and respond to incidents and accidents through management of information related to pipeline location (mapping) and threats definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Enhanced Operation Controls and Human Factors Management</td>
<td>Improving the safety of pipeline operations through enhanced controls and human factors management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Risk Management &amp; Communications</td>
<td>Reducing the probability of incidents and accidents, and mitigating the consequences of hazards to pipelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Safety Issues for Emerging Technologies</td>
<td>Identifying and assessing emerging pipeline system technologies for opportunities to enhancing their safety</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The RD&T program has defined goals, priorities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Outputs</th>
<th>Customers Reached</th>
<th>Short –Term Outcomes</th>
<th>Long –Term Impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In order to accomplish our intended results, we will conduct the following activities:</td>
<td>We expect that once completed or underway these activities will produce the following results:</td>
<td>We expect that if completed or ongoing these activities will reach or affect the following customers:</td>
<td>We expect that if completed or ongoing these activities will lead to the following outcomes in 1-5 years:</td>
<td>We expect that the outcomes will lead to the following changes or impacts in 3-10 years:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Damage Prevention</td>
<td>New methods for pipe locating, damage prevention, and corrosion protection; technology for detecting pipeline encroachment</td>
<td>Transmission &amp; distribution companies, pipe vendors, sensor companies; corrosion protection companies, pipeline safety regulators</td>
<td>Proof-of-Concept for new damage prevention and corrosion prevention technologies</td>
<td>Reduction in the number of incidents resulting from unauthorized contact with pipelines, natural forces, and corrosion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The RD&T program has identified the required resources and outputs.

- OPS Blue Ribbon Panel
- Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002 Interagency Coordination Group
- Government/Industry Pipeline R&D Forum
- OPS RD&T Program Logic Model
The RD&T program has aligned with DOT strategic goals.

- OPS RD&T Program Strategic Plan
- Draft OPS RD&T Program Performance Plan
- OPS RD&T Logic Model
- OPS categorizes awarded research for PHMSA and DOT strategic goals
The RD&T program has aligned with DOT strategic goals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOT Strategic Goal</th>
<th>Projects since 2002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>58 Projects or 96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Connectivity</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Stewardship</td>
<td>4 Projects or 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Excellence</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The RD&T program has documented the potential benefits.

- OPS RD&T Logic Model
- OPS RD&T Strategic Plan & Draft Program Performance Plan
- Interagency Five-Year R&D Program Plan For Pipeline Safety and Integrity – Submitted to Congress for 12/17/2003 and update report annually after
- Government/Industry Pipeline R&D Forum
- Annual RD&T Program Performance Report (January 2006)
- OPS RD&T Program Website
The RD&T program has a process for obtaining stakeholder input.

- OPS Blue Ribbon Panel
- Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002 Interagency Coordination Group
- Government/Industry Pipeline R&D Forum
- Pipeline Safety Advisory Committee
- Research & Innovative Technology Administration
- OPS RD&T Program Website
Relevance - *Retrospective*

**Office of Pipeline Safety**

The RD&T program has regularly scheduled independent evaluations.

- Research & Innovative Technology Administration – Annual Program Review
- OPS Blue Ribbon Panel – Periodic Review
- Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002 Interagency Coordination Group – Quarterly coordination meetings
- Pipeline Safety Advisory Committee – Annual meeting but periodic review
Relevance - *Retrospective*

Office of Pipeline Safety

The RD&T program has a process for addressing findings and recommendations.

- Feedback and Report Back
  - Blue Ribbon Panel
  - R&D Forum
  - RD&T Program Website
  - Peer Review Panel report with PHMSA rebuttal
  - Annual Performance Report (January 2006)

- Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002 Interagency Coordination Group
The RD&T program has a competitive, merit based process for awarding funds or some other clearly stated defensible method for allocating funding.

Funds Allocation Process
1. Issue Broad Agency Announcement or other research solicitation in FedBizOps
2. Use Merit Review Panel (7-10 persons from industry, state/federal gov.) to review and rank white papers and proposals
3. Submissions ranked with 7 focused review criteria
4. Merit review panel provides report of recommended proposals for award in priority ranking order
5. Requirement of 50/50 cost sharing with industry partner before award
The RD&T program has regularly scheduled independent assessments to guide future funding decisions.

- Panel Peer Review of projects – Annual Review

- OPS Blue Ribbon Panel – Periodic Review

- Government/Industry Pipeline R&D Forum – Periodic Review

- Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002 Interagency Coordination Group – Quarterly coordination meetings

- Pipeline Safety Advisory Committee – Annual meeting but periodic review

- Research & Innovative Technology Administration – Annual Program Review
The RD&T program has defined long-term outcome measures.

- OPS RD&T Logic Model
- Hierarchy of RD&T Program Measures
### OPS Mission
To ensure the safe, reliable & environmentally sound operation of the nation's pipeline transportation system.

### Research Program Goals
**Desired Impact Performance Measures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desired Impact Performance Measures</th>
<th>Number of projects contributing to objectives</th>
<th>Number of projects contributing to new or revised industry standards</th>
<th>Number of projects contributing to new or revised OPS regulations</th>
<th>Number of final reports publicly available</th>
<th>Number of conference papers presented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of projects that have demonstrated new technologies</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of projects filing for U.S. Patents</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Research Program Objectives
**Process Features**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process Features</th>
<th>Categorizing projects for mission relevance</th>
<th>Categorizing projects for mission relevance</th>
<th>Categorizing projects for mission relevance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technology transfer process</td>
<td>Consensus standard integration process</td>
<td>Peer review process for qualifying output quality</td>
<td>Peer review process for qualifying output quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer review process for qualifying output quality</td>
<td>OPS regulatory program integration process</td>
<td>Monitoring projects for contractual performance</td>
<td>Monitoring projects for contractual performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring projects for contractual performance</td>
<td>Peer review process for qualifying output quality</td>
<td>Contractual requirement for submitting conference papers</td>
<td>Contractual requirement for notifying OPS of U.S. patents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual requirement for notifying OPS of U.S. patents</td>
<td>Monitoring projects for contractual performance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fast Facts:
1. First project award on October 1, 2002
2. Total awards from four Broad Agency Announcements (BAAs): 49 projects
3. Current number of projects completed: 12 projects
4. Total funding distribution for 49 projects: $12,620,021 (OPS) $15,412,638 (Industry co-Funding)
5. OPS funded 2 R&D projects outside the four BAAs which also applied for U.S. Patents.
6. Contributing to new or revised standards or regulations is determined when research applicants submit their proposals. Each applicant must answer what their project deliverable will influence and OPS tracks this information once a project is awarded.
The RD&T program has annual targets linked to long-term goals.

The OPS RD&T Program does not have annual targets linked to long-term goals for the following reasons:

1. There are different phases of research
2. Projects have different designed outcomes
3. RD&T Program needs further guidance to refine use of case studies

Practicality and realistic data requirements was considered during the design of the RD&T Program Hierarchy of Goals
Performance - Prospective
Office of Pipeline Safety

The RD&T program has planned deliverables, schedules and estimated budgets.

Other Transaction Agreements

Main Agreement
Attachment 1 - Project Team Activities
Attachment 2 - Project Team Deliverables
Attachment 3 - Technical and Deliverable Milestone Schedule

A Management Information System (MIS) was developed and deployed to provide the necessary oversight so specific contractual milestones and accounting are systematically followed as prescribed in the award documents.
The RD&T program has annual documentation of performance.

- Annual RD&T Program Performance Report (January 2006)
- Interagency Five-Year R&D Program Plan For Pipeline Safety and Integrity – Submitted to Congress for 12/17/2003 and update report annually after
- Draft OPS RD&T Program Performance Plan – Periodic update
The RD&T program has assessments of customer satisfaction.

- RD&T Program Webpage

- Feedback and Report Back
  - Blue Ribbon Panel
  - R&D Forum
  - Peer Review Panel report with PHMSA rebuttal
  - Annual RD&T Program Performance Report (January 2006)
Describe one or two recent RD&T accomplishments that may benefit other OAs.

Digital Mapping of Buried Pipelines with a Dual Array System (DTRS56-02-T-0005)  
*Witten Technologies Inc.*

- This technology could assist any OA with interest of mapping subsurface obstructions accurately to depths of 8-10 feet.

**Right Priority**
R&D Forum identified consensus priority to develop subsurface Mapping for damage prevention of pipelines.

**Best Contractor**
The Merit Review Panel recommended Witten for award. ConEdison supported the award with cost-share.

**Good Performance**
The MIS documents Milestone submission and the COTR reinforces the contract goals.

**Marketplace**
Witten has commercialized tool and is using in NY & FL with success.

**Applying Results**
Witten demonstrated the technology, and applied for Patent after a dissemination Meeting with many end users.

**Quality Outputs**
Witten’s work was Peer Reviewed at R&D Forums and other events and will receive formal review in January 2006.
Leveraging Research Accomplishments, Ideas For Improvement And Cross-Modal Research
Office of Pipeline Safety

Where would you like input, discussion or brainstorming from the participants of the review.

Measuring Program Performance – How do you do it?

Research case studies?

How do you factor and plan for them?
How much do they cost?
Do you conduct them on all projects?
How do regulators do this?...Paperwork Reductions Act
Has your research pointed to new areas of cross-modal inquiry? If so, what are they?

**Generally No** – The OPS RD&T Program provides for desired outputs and impacts identified as consensus priorities from the pipeline safety stakeholders. Research is “Development” in type and is usually past proof of concept.

A currently funded project on Human Factors and Hydrogen Effects on Steel may provide some grounds for cross-modal inquiry.

Best estimate is that only basic research on improved materials may provide some grounds for cross-modal inquiry.
The RD&T program has defined goals, priorities.

- Primary goal is to improve hazardous materials transportation safety and security.

The RD&T program has identified the required resources and outputs.

- The FY2006 OHMS budget for research and analysis and research and development is $2.4 million.
Relevance - *Prospective*

Office Hazardous Materials Safety

The RD&T program has defined goals, priorities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Elements</th>
<th>Program Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Emergency response</td>
<td>Provide a scientific basis for and easy use (human factors) of the Emergency Response Guidebook. Development of AEGLs is associated with this program objective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Incident database design and analysis</td>
<td>Hazardous material incident reporting provides a foundation for understanding how the system is operating. Examination of trends, costs, and root-cause analysis provide a basis for regulatory changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Packaging design</td>
<td>Ensure that packaging design conforms to hazards and risks. Development of nondestructive testing techniques and failure analysis help fulfill this objective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Performance packaging testing</td>
<td>Demonstrates that performance packaging requirements are being adhered to as part of the enforcement function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Hazard identification</td>
<td>Promote understanding of transportation hazards, e.g., lithium battery (primary and secondary) testing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Risk Assessment / Risk Management</td>
<td>Identify and quantify risks inherent in hazardous materials transportation and point to ways to control and minimize these risks. Examination of procedures for selecting routes for spent nuclear fuel is an example of the latter. Risk characterization and risk communication are important aspects of this program objective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Consequence Modeling</td>
<td>Allow for better understanding of potential impact of incidents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Security</td>
<td>Assess hazardous materials transportation security vulnerabilities and measures to mitigate security risks. Develop tools for this evolving area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Office of Hazardous Materials Safety

The RD&T program has identified the required resources and outputs.

- The FY2006 OHMS budget for research and analysis and research and development is $2.4 million.
The RD&T program has aligned with DOT strategic goals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOT Strategic Goal</th>
<th>Projects in the Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Connectivity</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Stewardship</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Excellence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The RD&T program has documented the potential benefits.

- Value of projects reflected in rulemakings.

The RD&T program has a process for obtaining stakeholder input.

- Input is sought on specific projects.
- Overall program is outlined in public forums, such as the TRB hazardous materials committee.
- Looking at using the web as a portal to better describe program.
The RD&T program has regularly scheduled independent evaluations.

Not to date.

The RD&T program has a process for addressing findings and recommendations.

N/A
The RD&T program has a competitive, merit based process for awarding funds or some other clearly stated defensible method for allocating funding.

- Competitive contracting is used to the extent possible.
- Internal reviews are used to prioritize and select from competing projects for funding.
The RD&T program has regularly scheduled independent assessments to guide future funding decisions.

- Not to date.

The RD&T program has defined long-term outcome measures.

- RD&T measures are in line with basic program measure of reducing the number of serious incidents.
The RD&T program has annual targets linked to long-term goals.

- Targets relate to individual projects. RD&T long term program goals are linked to basic long term OHMS goals.

The RD&T program has planned deliverables, schedules and estimated budgets.

- Yes, as applied to projects.
The RD&T program has annual documentation of performance.

- Performance is tracked for individual projects.

The RD&T program has assessments of customer satisfaction.

- Feedback relates to individual projects.
- Informal input is obtained relative to the overall program.
Leveraging Research Accomplishments, Ideas For Improvement And Cross-Modal Research

Office of Hazardous Material Safety

Describe one or two recent RD&T accomplishments that may benefit other OAs.

**Right Priority**
- National Transportation Risk Assessment for Selected Hazardous Materials in transportation
- Risk Management Self-Evaluation Framework

**Best Contractor**
- Argonne National Laboratory
- ICF Consulting

**Good Performance**
- Peer Review
- Industry Panel

**Applying Results**
- Q/D Requirements at Ports
- Analysis required in Security Plan Development

**Quality Outputs**
- Pioneering Study
- Tool and Case Studies
Leveraging Research Accomplishments, Ideas For Improvement And Cross-Modal Research

Office of Hazardous Material Safety

Where would you like input, discussion or brainstorming from the participants of the review.

- How do we better coordinate our program with that of the modal administrations?

- Do we need a more formalized structure for program review?
Leveraging Research Accomplishments, Ideas For Improvement And Cross-Modal Research
Office of Hazardous Material Safety

Has your research pointed to new areas of cross-modal inquiry? If so, what are they?

- Cooperative Hazardous Materials Transportation Research Program
- Transition to a Hydrogen Economy
- Security
- Incident Trend Analysis and Root-Cause Analysis
- Consequence Modeling