

12-3-2001

Search for New Physics Using QUAERO: A General Interface to D0 Event Data

V.M. Abazov

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

Gregory R. Snow

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, gsnow1@unl.edu

D0 Collaboration

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/physicsnow>



Part of the [Physics Commons](#)

Abazov, V. M.; Snow, Gregory R.; and Collaboration, D0, "Search for New Physics Using QUAERO: A General Interface to D0 Event Data" (2001). *Gregory Snow Publications*. 55.

<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/physicsnow/55>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Research Papers in Physics and Astronomy at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Gregory Snow Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Search for New Physics Using QUAERO: A General Interface to D0 Event Data

V. M. Abazov,²³ B. Abbott,⁵⁸ A. Abdesselam,¹¹ M. Abolins,⁵¹ V. Abramov,²⁶ B. S. Acharya,¹⁷ D. L. Adams,⁶⁰ M. Adams,³⁸ S. N. Ahmed,²¹ G. D. Alexeev,²³ G. A. Alves,² N. Amos,⁵⁰ E. W. Anderson,⁴³ Y. Arnaud,⁹ M. M. Baarmand,⁵⁵ V. V. Babintsev,²⁶ L. Babukhadia,⁵⁵ T. C. Bacon,²⁸ A. Baden,⁴⁷ B. Baldin,³⁷ P. W. Balm,²⁰ S. Banerjee,¹⁷ E. Barberis,³⁰ P. Baringer,⁴⁴ J. Barreto,² J. F. Bartlett,³⁷ U. Bassler,¹² D. Bauer,²⁸ A. Bean,⁴⁴ M. Begel,⁵⁴ A. Belyaev,³⁵ S. B. Beri,¹⁵ G. Bernardi,¹² I. Bertram,²⁷ A. Besson,⁹ R. Beuselinck,²⁸ V. A. Bezzubov,²⁶ P. C. Bhat,³⁷ V. Bhatnagar,¹¹ M. Bhattacharjee,⁵⁵ G. Blazey,³⁹ S. Blessing,³⁵ A. Boehnlein,³⁷ N. I. Bojko,²⁶ F. Borcharding,³⁷ K. Bos,²⁰ A. Brandt,⁶⁰ R. Breedon,³¹ G. Briskin,⁵⁹ R. Brock,⁵¹ G. Brooijmans,³⁷ A. Bross,³⁷ D. Buchholz,⁴⁰ M. Buehler,³⁸ V. Buescher,¹⁴ V. S. Burtovoi,²⁶ J. M. Butler,⁴⁸ F. Canelli,⁵⁴ W. Carvalho,³ D. Casey,⁵¹ Z. Casilum,⁵⁵ H. Castilla-Valdez,¹⁹ D. Chakraborty,³⁹ K. M. Chan,⁵⁴ S. V. Chekulaev,²⁶ D. K. Cho,⁵⁴ S. Choi,³⁴ S. Chopra,⁵⁶ J. H. Christenson,³⁷ M. Chung,³⁸ D. Claes,⁵² A. R. Clark,³⁰ J. Cochran,³⁴ L. Coney,⁴² B. Connolly,³⁵ W. E. Cooper,³⁷ D. Coppage,⁴⁴ S. Crépé-Renaudin,⁹ M. A. C. Cummings,³⁹ D. Cutts,⁵⁹ G. A. Davis,⁵⁴ K. Davis,²⁹ K. De,⁶⁰ S. J. de Jong,²¹ K. Del Signore,⁵⁰ M. Demarteau,³⁷ R. Demina,⁴⁵ P. Demine,⁹ D. Denisov,³⁷ S. P. Denisov,²⁶ S. Desai,⁵⁵ H. T. Diehl,³⁷ M. Diesburg,³⁷ G. Di Loreto,⁵¹ S. Doulas,⁴⁹ P. Draper,⁶⁰ Y. Ducros,¹³ L. V. Dudko,²⁵ S. Duensing,²¹ L. Dufлот,¹¹ S. R. Dugad,¹⁷ A. Duperrin,¹⁰ A. Dyshkant,³⁹ D. Edmunds,⁵¹ J. Ellison,³⁴ V. D. Elvira,³⁷ R. Engelmann,⁵⁵ S. Eno,⁴⁷ G. Eppley,⁶² P. Ermolov,²⁵ O. V. Eroshin,²⁶ J. Estrada,⁵⁴ H. Evans,⁵³ V. N. Evdokimov,²⁶ T. Fahland,³³ S. Feher,³⁷ D. Fein,²⁹ T. Ferbel,⁵⁴ F. Filthaut,²¹ H. E. Fisk,³⁷ Y. Fisyak,⁵⁶ E. Flattum,³⁷ F. Fleuret,³⁰ M. Fortner,³⁹ H. Fox,⁴⁰ K. C. Frame,⁵¹ S. Fu,⁵³ S. Fuess,³⁷ E. Gallas,³⁷ A. N. Galyaev,²⁶ M. Gao,⁵³ V. Gavrilov,²⁴ R. J. Genik II,²⁷ K. Genser,³⁷ C. E. Gerber,³⁸ Y. Gershtein,⁵⁹ R. Gilmartin,³⁵ G. Ginther,⁵⁴ B. Gómez,⁵ G. Gómez,⁴⁷ P. I. Goncharov,²⁶ J. L. González Solís,¹⁹ H. Gordon,⁵⁶ L. T. Goss,⁶¹ K. Gounder,³⁷ A. Goussiou,²⁸ N. Graf,⁵⁶ G. Graham,⁴⁷ P. D. Grannis,⁵⁵ J. A. Green,⁴³ H. Greenlee,³⁷ S. Grinstein,¹ L. Groer,⁵³ S. Grünendahl,³⁷ A. Gupta,¹⁷ S. N. Gurzhiev,²⁶ G. Gutierrez,³⁷ P. Gutierrez,⁵⁸ N. J. Hadley,⁴⁷ H. Haggerty,³⁷ S. Hagopian,³⁵ V. Hagopian,³⁵ R. E. Hall,³² P. Hanlet,⁴⁹ S. Hansen,³⁷ J. M. Hauptman,⁴³ C. Hays,⁵³ C. Hebert,⁴⁴ D. Hedin,³⁹ J. M. Heinmiller,³⁸ A. P. Heinson,³⁴ U. Heintz,⁴⁸ T. Heuring,³⁵ M. D. Hildreth,⁴² R. Hirosky,⁶³ J. D. Hobbs,⁵⁵ B. Hoeneisen,⁸ Y. Huang,⁵⁰ R. Illingworth,²⁸ A. S. Ito,³⁷ M. Jaffré,¹¹ S. Jain,¹⁷ R. Jesik,²⁸ K. Johns,²⁹ M. Johnson,³⁷ A. Jonckheere,³⁷ M. Jones,³⁶ H. Jöstlein,³⁷ A. Juste,³⁷ W. Kahl,⁴⁵ S. Kahn,⁵⁶ E. Kajfasz,¹⁰ A. M. Kalinin,²³ D. Karmanov,²⁵ D. Karmgard,⁴² Z. Ke,⁴ R. Kehoe,⁵¹ A. Khanov,⁴⁵ A. Kharchilava,⁴² S. K. Kim,¹⁸ B. Klima,³⁷ B. Knuteson,³⁰ W. Ko,³¹ J. M. Kohli,¹⁵ A. V. Kostritskiy,²⁶ J. Kotcher,⁵⁶ B. Kothari,⁵³ A. V. Kotwal,⁵³ A. V. Kozelov,²⁶ E. A. Kozlovsky,²⁶ J. Krane,⁴³ M. R. Krishnaswamy,¹⁷ P. Krivkova,⁶ S. Krzywdzinski,³⁷ M. Kubantsev,⁴⁵ S. Kuleshov,²⁴ Y. Kulik,⁵⁵ S. Kunori,⁴⁷ A. Kupco,⁷ V. E. Kuznetsov,³⁴ G. Landsberg,⁵⁹ W. M. Lee,³⁵ A. Leflat,²⁵ C. Leggett,³⁰ F. Lehner,^{37,*} J. Li,⁶⁰ Q. Z. Li,³⁷ X. Li,⁴ J. G. R. Lima,³ D. Lincoln,³⁷ S. L. Linn,³⁵ J. Linnemann,⁵¹ R. Lipton,³⁷ A. Lucotte,⁹ L. Lueking,³⁷ C. Lundstedt,⁵² C. Luo,⁴¹ A. K. A. Maciel,³⁹ R. J. Madaras,³⁰ V. L. Malyshev,²³ V. Manankov,²⁵ H. S. Mao,⁴ T. Marshall,⁴¹ M. I. Martin,³⁹ R. D. Martin,³⁸ K. M. Mauritz,⁴³ B. May,⁴⁰ A. A. Mayorov,⁴¹ R. McCarthy,⁵⁵ T. McMahon,⁵⁷ H. L. Melanson,³⁷ M. Merkin,²⁵ K. W. Merritt,³⁷ C. Miao,⁵⁹ H. Miettinen,⁶² D. Mihalcea,³⁹ C. S. Mishra,³⁷ N. Mokhov,³⁷ N. K. Mondal,¹⁷ H. E. Montgomery,³⁷ R. W. Moore,⁵¹ M. Mostafa,¹ H. da Motta,² E. Nagy,¹⁰ F. Nang,²⁹ M. Narain,⁴⁸ V. S. Narasimham,¹⁷ H. A. Neal,⁵⁰ J. P. Negret,⁵ S. Negroni,¹⁰ T. Nunnemann,³⁷ D. O'Neil,⁵¹ V. Oguri,³ B. Olivier,¹² N. Oshima,³⁷ P. Padley,⁶² L. J. Pan,⁴⁰ K. Papageorgiou,³⁸ A. Para,³⁷ N. Parashar,⁴⁹ R. Partridge,⁵⁹ N. Parua,⁵⁵ M. Paterno,⁵⁴ A. Patwa,⁵⁵ B. Pawlik,²² J. Perkins,⁶⁰ M. Peters,³⁶ O. Peters,²⁰ P. Pétroff,¹¹ R. Piegaia,¹ B. G. Pope,⁵¹ E. Popkov,⁴⁸ H. B. Prosper,³⁵ S. Protopopescu,⁵⁶ J. Qian,⁵⁰ R. Raja,³⁷ S. Rajagopalan,⁵⁶ E. Ramberg,³⁷ P. A. Rapidis,³⁷ N. W. Reay,⁴⁵ S. Reucroft,⁴⁹ M. Ridel,¹¹ M. Rijssenbeek,⁵⁵ F. Rizatdinova,⁴⁵ T. Rockwell,⁵¹ M. Roco,³⁷ P. Rubinov,³⁷ R. Ruchti,⁴² J. Rutherford,²⁹ B. M. Sabirov,²³ G. Sajot,⁹ A. Santoro,² L. Sawyer,⁴⁶ R. D. Schamberger,⁵⁵ H. Schellman,⁴⁰ A. Schwartzman,¹ N. Sen,⁶² E. Shabalina,³⁸ R. K. Shivpuri,¹⁶ D. Shpakov,⁴⁹ M. Shupe,²⁹ R. A. Sidwell,⁴⁵ V. Simak,⁷ H. Singh,³⁴ J. B. Singh,¹⁵ V. Sirotenko,³⁷ P. Slattery,⁵⁴ E. Smith,⁵⁸ R. P. Smith,³⁷ R. Snihur,⁴⁰ G. R. Snow,⁵² J. Snow,⁵⁷ S. Snyder,⁵⁶ J. Solomon,³⁸ V. Sorin,¹ M. Sosebee,⁶⁰ N. Sotnikova,²⁵ K. Soustruznik,⁶ M. Souza,² N. R. Stanton,⁴⁵ G. Steinbrück,⁵³ R. W. Stephens,⁶⁰ F. Stichelbaut,⁵⁶ D. Stoker,³³ V. Stolin,²⁴ A. Stone,⁴⁶ D. A. Stoyanova,²⁶ M. Strauss,⁵⁸ M. Strovink,³⁰ L. Stutte,³⁷ A. Sznajder,³ M. Talby,¹⁰ W. Taylor,⁵⁵ S. Tentindo-Repond,³⁵ S. M. Tripathi,³¹ T. G. Trippe,³⁰ A. S. Turcot,⁵⁶ P. M. Tuts,⁵³ P. van Gemmeren,³⁷ V. Vaniev,²⁶ R. Van Kooten,⁴¹ N. Varelas,³⁸ L. S. Vertogradov,²³ F. Villeneuve-Seguiet,¹⁰ A. A. Volkov,²⁶ A. P. Vorobiev,²⁶ H. D. Wahl,³⁵ H. Wang,⁴⁰ Z. -M. Wang,⁵⁵ J. Warchol,⁴² G. Watts,⁶⁴ M. Wayne,⁴² H. Weerts,⁵¹ A. White,⁶⁰ J. T. White,⁶¹ D. Whiteson,³⁰ J. A. Wightman,⁴³ D. A. Wijngaarden,²¹ S. Willis,³⁹ S. J. Wimpenny,³⁴ J. Womersley,³⁷ D. R. Wood,⁴⁹ R. Yamada,³⁷

P. Yamin,⁵⁶ T. Yasuda,³⁷ Y. A. Yatsunenکو,²³ K. Yip,⁵⁶ S. Youssef,³⁵ J. Yu,³⁷ Z. Yu,⁴⁰ M. Zanabria,⁵ H. Zheng,⁴²
Z. Zhou,⁴³ M. Zielinski,⁵⁴ D. Ziemska,⁴¹ A. Ziemiński,⁴¹ V. Zutshi,⁵⁶ E. G. Zverev,²⁵ and A. Zylberstein¹³

(D0 Collaboration)

- ¹Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina
²LAFEX, Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
³Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
⁴Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, People's Republic of China
⁵Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia
⁶Charles University, Center for Particle Physics, Prague, Czech Republic
⁷Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences, Center for Particle Physics, Prague, Czech Republic
⁸Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador
⁹Institut des Sciences Nucléaires, IN2P3-CNRS, Université de Grenoble I, Grenoble, France
¹⁰CPPM, IN2P3-CNRS, Université de la Méditerranée, Marseille, France
¹¹Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, IN2P3-CNRS, Orsay, France
¹²LPNHE, Universités Paris VI and VII, IN2P3-CNRS, Paris, France
¹³DAPNIA/Service de Physique des Particules, CEA, Saclay, France
¹⁴Universität Mainz, Institut für Physik, Mainz, Germany
¹⁵Panjab University, Chandigarh, India
¹⁶Delhi University, Delhi, India
¹⁷Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India
¹⁸Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea
¹⁹CINVESTAV, Mexico City, Mexico
²⁰FOM-Institute NIKHEF and University of Amsterdam/NIKHEF, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
²¹University of Nijmegen/NIKHEF, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
²²Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kraków, Poland
²³Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
²⁴Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
²⁵Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
²⁶Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia
²⁷Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom
²⁸Imperial College, London, United Kingdom
²⁹University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721
³⁰Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, California 94720
³¹University of California, Davis, California 95616
³²California State University, Fresno, California 93740
³³University of California, Irvine, California 92697
³⁴University of California, Riverside, California 92521
³⁵Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306
³⁶University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822
³⁷Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510
³⁸University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607
³⁹Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois 60115
⁴⁰Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208
⁴¹Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405
⁴²University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556
⁴³Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011
⁴⁴University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045
⁴⁵Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506
⁴⁶Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, Louisiana 71272
⁴⁷University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742
⁴⁸Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215
⁴⁹Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115
⁵⁰University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109
⁵¹Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824
⁵²University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588
⁵³Columbia University, New York, New York 10027
⁵⁴University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627
⁵⁵State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794
⁵⁶Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973
⁵⁷Langston University, Langston, Oklahoma 73050

⁵⁸University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019

⁵⁹Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912

⁶⁰University of Texas, Arlington, Texas 76019

⁶¹Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843

⁶²Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005

⁶³University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901

⁶⁴University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195

(Received 7 June 2001; published 14 November 2001)

We describe QUAERO, a method that (i) enables the automatic optimization of searches for physics beyond the standard model, and (ii) provides a mechanism for making high energy collider data generally available. We apply QUAERO to searches for standard model WW , ZZ , and $t\bar{t}$ production, to searches for these objects produced through a new heavy resonance, and to the first direct search for $W' \rightarrow WZ$. Through this interface, we make three data sets collected by the D0 experiment at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV publicly available.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.231801

PACS numbers: 13.85.Rm, 12.60.-i, 29.85.+c

It is generally recognized that the standard model, a successful description of the fundamental particles and their interactions, must be incomplete. Models that extend the standard model often predict rich phenomenology at the scale of a few hundred GeV, an energy regime accessible to the Fermilab Tevatron. In part because of the complexity of the apparatus required to test models at such large energies, experimental responses to these ideas have not kept pace. Any technique that reduces the time required to test a particular candidate theory would allow more such theories to be tested, reducing the possibility that the data contain overlooked evidence for new physics.

Once data are collected and the backgrounds have been understood, the testing of any specific model in principle follows a well-defined procedure. In practice, this process has been far from automatic. Even when the basic selection criteria and background estimates are taken from a previous analysis, the reinterpretation of the data in the context of a new model often requires a substantial length of time.

Ideally, the data should be “published” in such a way that others in the community can easily use those data to test a variety of models. The publishing of experimental distributions in journals allows this to occur at some level, but an effective publishing of a multidimensional data set has, to our knowledge, not yet been accomplished by a large particle physics experiment. The problem appears to be that such data are context specific, requiring detailed knowledge of the complexities of the apparatus. This knowledge must somehow be incorporated either into the data or into whatever tool the nonexpert would use to analyze those data.

Many data samples and backgrounds have been defined in the context of SLEUTH [1], a quasi-model-independent search strategy for new high p_T physics that has been applied to a number of exclusive final states [2,3] in the data collected by the D0 detector [4] during 1992-1996 in Run I of the Fermilab Tevatron. In this Letter, we describe a tool (QUAERO) that automatically optimizes an analysis for a particular signature, using these samples and standard model backgrounds. SLEUTH and QUAERO are com-

plementary approaches to searches for new phenomena, enabling analyses that are both general (SLEUTH) and focused (QUAERO). We demonstrate the use of QUAERO in eleven separate searches: standard model WW and ZZ production; standard model $t\bar{t}$ production with leptonic and semileptonic decays; resonant WW , ZZ , WZ , and $t\bar{t}$ production; associated Higgs boson production; and pair production of first generation scalar leptoquarks. The data described here are accessible through QUAERO on the World Wide Web [5], for general use by the particle physics community.

The signals predicted by most theories of physics beyond the standard model involve an increased number of predicted events in some region of an appropriate variable space. In this case the optimization of the analysis can be understood as the selection of the region in this variable space that minimizes $\sigma^{95\%}$, the expected 95% confidence level (C.L.) upper limit on the cross section of the signal in question, assuming the data contain no signal. The optimization algorithm consists of a few simple steps:

(i) Kernel density estimation [6] is used to estimate the probability distributions $p(\vec{x} | s)$ and $p(\vec{x} | b)$ for the signal and background samples in a low-dimensional variable space \mathcal{V} , where $\vec{x} \in \mathcal{V}$. The signal sample is contained in a Monte Carlo file provided as input to QUAERO. The background sample is constructed from all known standard model and instrumental sources.

(ii) A discriminant function $D(\vec{x})$ is defined by [7]

$$D(\vec{x}) = \frac{p(\vec{x} | s)}{p(\vec{x} | s) + p(\vec{x} | b)}. \quad (1)$$

The semi-positive-definiteness of $p(\vec{x} | s)$ and $p(\vec{x} | b)$ restricts $D(\vec{x})$ to the interval $[0, 1]$ for all \vec{x} .

(iii) The *sensitivity* S of a particular threshold D_{cut} on the discriminant function is defined as the reciprocal of $\sigma^{95\%}$. D_{cut} is chosen to maximize S .

(iv) The region of variable space having $D(\vec{x}) > D_{\text{cut}}$ is used to determine the actual 95% C.L. cross section upper limit $\sigma^{95\%}$ [8].

TABLE I. A summary of the data available within QUAERO, including the selection cuts applied and the efficiency of identification requirements. The final states are inclusive, with many events containing one or more additional jets. Reconstructed jets satisfy $p_T^j > 15$ GeV and $|\eta_{\text{det}}^j| < 2.5$, and reconstructed electrons satisfy $p_T^e > 15$ GeV and $(|\eta_{\text{det}}^e| < 1.1$ or $1.5 < |\eta_{\text{det}}^e| < 2.5)$, where η_{det} is the pseudorapidity measured from the center of the detector.

Final state	Selection criteria	ϵ_{ID}	$\int \mathcal{L} dt$
$e\mu$	$p_T^{e,\mu} > 15$ GeV $ \eta_{\text{det}}^\mu < 1.7$	0.30	108 ± 5 pb $^{-1}$
$e\cancel{E}_T 2j$	$p_T^{e,j_{1,2}} > 20$ GeV $\cancel{E}_T > 30$ GeV $p_T^{e\cancel{E}_T} > 40$ GeV	0.61	115 ± 6 pb $^{-1}$
$ee2j$	$p_T^{e_{1,2},j_{1,2}} > 20$ GeV	0.70	123 ± 7 pb $^{-1}$

When provided with a signal model and a choice of variables \mathcal{V} , QUAERO uses this algorithm and D0 Run I data to compute an upper limit on the cross section of the signal. Instructions for use are available from the QUAERO web site.

Table I shows the data available within QUAERO, and Table II summarizes the backgrounds. These data and their backgrounds are described in more detail in Ref. [3]. The final states are inclusive, with many events containing one or more additional jets. Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests have been used to demonstrate agreement between data and the expected backgrounds in many distributions. The fraction of events with true final state objects satisfying the cuts shown that satisfy these cuts after reconstruction is given as an ‘‘identification’’ efficiency (ϵ_{ID}). Because electrons are more accurately measured and more efficiently identified than muons in the D0 detector, the corresponding muon channels $\mu\cancel{E}_T 2j$ and $\mu\mu 2j$ have been excluded from these data.

To check standard model results, we remove WW and ZZ production from the background estimate and search (i) for standard model WW production in the space defined by the transverse momentum of the electron (p_T^e) and missing transverse energy (\cancel{E}_T) in the final state $e\mu\cancel{E}_T$, and (ii) for standard model ZZ production in the space defined

TABLE II. Standard model backgrounds (often produced with accompanying jets) to the final states considered. VV denotes WW , WZ , and ZZ ; ‘‘data’’ indicates backgrounds from jets misidentified as electrons estimated using data. Monte Carlo programs (ISAJET [9], PYTHIA [10], HERWIG [11], and VECBOS [12]) are used to estimate several sources of background.

Final state	Standard model backgrounds				
	multijets	W	Z	VV	$t\bar{t}$
$e\mu$	data	data	ISAJET	PYTHIA	HERWIG
$e\cancel{E}_T 2j$	data	VECBOS	...	PYTHIA	HERWIG
$ee2j$	data	...	PYTHIA	PYTHIA	...

by the invariant mass of the two electrons (m_{ee}) and two jets (m_{jj}) in the final state $ee2j$. Removing $t\bar{t}$ production from the background estimate, we search for this process (iii) in the final state $e\cancel{E}_T 4j$ using the two variables laboratory aplanarity (A) and $\sum p_T^j$, and (iv) in the final state $e\mu\cancel{E}_T 2j$, using the two variables p_T^e and $\sum p_T^j$, assuming a top quark mass of 175 GeV.

Including all standard model processes in the background estimate, we look for evidence of new heavy resonances. We search (v) for resonant WW production in the final state $e\cancel{E}_T 2j$, using the single variable m_{evjj} after constraining m_{ev} and m_{jj} to M_W , and (vi) for resonant ZZ production in the final state $ee2j$, using the variable m_{eejj} after constraining m_{jj} to M_Z . In both cases we remove events that cannot be so constrained. To obtain a specific signal prediction, we assume that the resonance behaves like a standard model Higgs boson in its couplings to the W and Z bosons. Constraining m_{ev} to M_W and m_{jj} to M_Z , we use the quality of the fit and m_{evjj} to search (vii) for a massive W' boson in the extended gauge model of Ref. [13]. Using m_{ev4j} after constraining m_{ev} to M_W , we search (viii) for a massive narrow Z' resonance with Z -like couplings decaying to $t\bar{t} \rightarrow W^+ b W^- \bar{b} \rightarrow ev4j$.

Nonresonant new phenomena are also considered. The variables m_{jj} and either m_{ev}^T or m_{ee} are used to search for a light Higgs boson produced (ix) in association with a W boson, and (x) in association with a Z boson. Finally,

TABLE III. Limits on cross section \times branching fraction for the processes discussed in the text. All final states are inclusive in the number of additional jets. The fraction of the signal sample satisfying QUAERO’s selection criteria is denoted ϵ_{sig} ; \hat{b} is the number of expected background events satisfying these criteria; and N_{data} is the number of events in the data satisfying these criteria. The subscripts on h , W' , Z' , and LQ denote assumed masses, in units of GeV.

Process	ϵ_{sig}	\hat{b}	N_{data}	$\sigma^{95\%} \times \mathcal{B}$
$WW \rightarrow e\mu\cancel{E}_T$	0.14	19.0 ± 4.0	23	1.1 pb
$ZZ \rightarrow ee2j$	0.12	19.7 ± 4.1	19	0.8 pb
$t\bar{t} \rightarrow e\cancel{E}_T 4j$	0.13	3.1 ± 0.9	8	0.8 pb
$t\bar{t} \rightarrow e\mu\cancel{E}_T 2j$	0.14	0.6 ± 0.2	2	0.4 pb
$h_{175} \rightarrow WW \rightarrow e\cancel{E}_T 2j$	0.02	29.6 ± 6.5	32	11.0 pb
$h_{200} \rightarrow WW \rightarrow e\cancel{E}_T 2j$	0.07	66.0 ± 13.8	69	4.4 pb
$h_{225} \rightarrow WW \rightarrow e\cancel{E}_T 2j$	0.06	43.1 ± 9.2	44	3.6 pb
$h_{200} \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow ee2j$	0.15	17.9 ± 3.7	15	0.6 pb
$h_{225} \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow ee2j$	0.15	18.8 ± 3.8	12	0.4 pb
$h_{250} \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow ee2j$	0.17	18.1 ± 3.7	18	0.6 pb
$W'_{200} \rightarrow WZ \rightarrow e\cancel{E}_T 2j$	0.05	27.7 ± 6.3	29	3.4 pb
$W'_{350} \rightarrow WZ \rightarrow e\cancel{E}_T 2j$	0.23	22.7 ± 5.2	27	0.7 pb
$W'_{500} \rightarrow WZ \rightarrow e\cancel{E}_T 2j$	0.26	2.1 ± 0.8	2	0.2 pb
$Z'_{350} \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow e\cancel{E}_T 4j$	0.11	18.7 ± 4.0	20	1.1 pb
$Z'_{450} \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow e\cancel{E}_T 4j$	0.14	18.7 ± 4.0	20	0.9 pb
$Z'_{550} \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow e\cancel{E}_T 4j$	0.14	3.8 ± 1.0	2	0.3 pb
$Wh_{115} \rightarrow e\cancel{E}_T 2j$	0.08	37.3 ± 8.2	32	2.0 pb
$Zh_{115} \rightarrow ee2j$	0.20	19.5 ± 4.1	25	0.8 pb
$LQ_{225} \bar{L}Q_{225} \rightarrow ee2j$	0.33	0.3 ± 0.1	0	0.07 pb




FIG. 1. The background density (a), signal density (b), and selected region (shaded) (c) determined by QUAERO for the standard model processes discussed in the text. From top to bottom the signals are $WW \rightarrow e\mu E_T 4j$, $ZZ \rightarrow ee 2j$, $t\bar{t} \rightarrow e\mu E_T 4j$, and $t\bar{t} \rightarrow e\mu E_T 2j$. The dots in the plots in the rightmost column represent events observed in the data.

we search (xi) for first generation scalar leptoquarks with mass 225 GeV in the final state $ee 2j$ using m_{ee} and S_T , the summed scalar transverse momentum of all electrons and jets in the event. The numerical results of these searches are listed in Table III. Figures 1 and 2 present plots of the signal density, background density, and selected region in the variables considered.

We note slight indications of excess in the searches for $t\bar{t} \rightarrow e\mu E_T 4j$ and $t\bar{t} \rightarrow e\mu E_T 2j$ (corresponding to cross section \times branching fractions of $\sigma \times \mathcal{B} = 0.39^{+0.21}_{-0.19}$ pb and $0.14^{+0.15}_{-0.08}$ pb) that are consistent with our measured $t\bar{t}$ production cross section of 5.5 ± 1.8 pb [14] and known W boson branching fractions. Observing no compelling excess in any of these processes, limits on $\sigma \times \mathcal{B}$ are determined at the 95% C.L. As expected, we find these data insensitive to standard model ZZ production (with predicted $\sigma \times \mathcal{B} \approx 0.05$ pb), and to associated Higgs boson production (with predicted $\sigma \times \mathcal{B} \lesssim 0.01$ pb). As a




FIG. 2. QUAERO's analysis of signatures involving undiscovered particles. From top to bottom the hypothetical signals are $h_{200} \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow ee 2j$, $Z'_{550} \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow e\mu E_T 4j$, $Wh_{115} \rightarrow e\mu E_T 2j$, and $LQ_{225} \bar{L}Q_{225} \rightarrow ee 2j$. Plots (c) of the first two rows show the discriminant D (curve), the threshold D_{cut} (horizontal line), and the data (histogram); the region with $D > D_{cut}$ is selected.

check of the method, QUAERO almost exactly duplicates a previous search for $LQ\bar{L}Q \rightarrow ee 2j$ [15].

QUAERO is a method both for automatically optimizing searches for new physics and for allowing D0 to make a subset of its data available for general use. In this Letter, we have outlined the algorithm used in QUAERO, and we have described the final states currently available for analysis using this method. QUAERO's performance on several examples, including both standard model and resonant WW , ZZ , and $t\bar{t}$ production, has been demonstrated. The limits obtained are comparable to those from previous searches at hadron colliders. The searches for $ZZ \rightarrow ee 2j$, $Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow e\mu E_T 4j$, $Wh \rightarrow e\mu E_T 2j$, and $Zh \rightarrow ee 2j$ are the first from D0, and the searches for $W' \rightarrow WZ$ and resonant WW and ZZ production are the first of their kind. This tool should increase the facility with which new models may be tested in the future.

We thank the staffs at Fermilab and collaborating institutions, and acknowledge support from the Department

of Energy and National Science Foundation (U.S.A.), Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique and CNRS/Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (France), Ministry for Science and Technology and Ministry for Atomic Energy (Russia), CAPES and CNPq (Brazil), Departments of Atomic Energy and Science and Education (India), Colciencias (Colombia), CONACyT (Mexico), Ministry of Education and KOSEF (Korea), CONICET and UBACyT (Argentina), The Foundation for Fundamental Research on Matter (The Netherlands), PPARC (United Kingdom), Ministry of Education (Czech Republic), and the A. P. Sloan Foundation.

*Visitor from University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

- [1] D0 Collaboration, B. Abbott *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 092004 (2000).
- [2] D0 Collaboration, B. Abbott *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 3712 (2001).
- [3] D0 Collaboration, B. Abbott *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 012004 (2001).
- [4] D0 Collaboration, S. Abachi *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **338**, 185 (1994).
- [5] D0 Collaboration, B. Abbott *et al.*, <http://quaero.fnal.gov/>
- [6] David Scott, *Multivariate Density Estimation* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1992).
- [7] L. Holmström, S. Sain, and H. Miettinen, Comput. Phys. Commun. **88**, 195 (1995).
- [8] $\sigma^{95\%}$ is a Bayesian limit, computed assuming a flat prior.
- [9] H. Baer *et al.*, BNL-HET-99-43; FSU-HEP-991218; UH-511-952-00; hep-ph/0001086; we used v7.22.
- [10] T. Sjöstrand *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **135**, 238 (2001).
- [11] G. Corcella *et al.*, J. High Energy Phys. **1** 10 (2001); hep-ph/0107071; we used v5.7.
- [12] F. A. Berends *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **B357**, 32 (1991); we used v3.0.
- [13] G. Altarelli, B. Mele, and M. Ruiz-Altaba, Z. Phys. C **45**, 109 (1989).
- [14] D0 Collaboration, S. Abachi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1203 (1997).
- [15] D0 Collaboration, B. Abbott *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 4321 (1997).