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The parasite-host-environment system is dynamic, with several points of equilibrium. This makes it difficult to trace the thresholds between benefit and damage, and therefore, the definitions of commensalism, mutualism, and symbiosis become worthless. Therefore, the same concept of parasitism may encompass commensalism, mutualism, and symbiosis. Parasitism is essential for life. Life emerged as a consequence of parasitism at the molecular level, and intracellular parasitism created evolutionary events that allowed species to diversify. An ecological and evolutionary approach to the study of parasitism is presented here. Studies of the origin and evolution of parasitism have new perspectives with the development of molecular paleoparasitology, by which ancient parasite and host genomes can be recovered from disappeared populations. Molecular paleoparasitology points to host-parasite co-evolutionary mechanisms of evolution traceable through genome retrospective studies.
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PARASITISM

A broad definition of parasitism would include all varieties of inter-specific associations in a gradient of interdependence. Therefore, associations defined as commensalism, mutualism and symbiosis are distinct features of a same phenomenon – parasitism.

The host-parasite-environment system is extremely dynamic and several balance points in this system are reached during its mutual evolution. Any attempt to define the limits of each kind of association as well as the limits between benefits and harm is worthless. Indeed, in nature these boundaries are indistinct and unsteady since they are distinct aspects of the same parasitism phenomenon.

In parasitism, in common with any other biocenosis (Rohde 1994a), an ecological niche is defined as being an n-dimensioned hypervolume that is bordered by the tolerance limits of the species (Hutchison 1980). Parasitism is an ecological phenomenon (Zelmer 1998), and as such it will be discussed here from an ecological and evolutionary point of view. Consequently, parasites (παρασίτος; para = near; sitos = food) are defined as any life form – or any organic compound capable to multiply – that find their ecological niche in another living form. In this context, an ecological niche includes all limits of environmental variations – biotic and abiotic – where one species adapts and reproduces.

Symbiosis was defined by de Bary (1879) as an assemblage of distinct organisms living together. As properly mentioned a hundred years later by Whitfield (1979), the elegant general term created by de Bary includes the vast majority of interspecific associations between organisms. It does not mention the way in which these organisms live, nor the effects, whether harmful, beneficial or any other. Whitfield (1979) called the different qualifications created to specify high or low levels of benefit or harm a semantic anarchy, thus rendering the term symbiosis almost useless.

Leuckart (1879) defined parasites as organisms that find in another organisms their habitat and nourishment. According to Brumpt (1913), parasites are all living beings, plants or animals, that during at least part of their lives depend upon another organism. Many parasites may be considered as harmless or even necessary for their hosts. On the other hand, one very important feature to be considered refers to the injuries that normally free-living organisms may cause to other living forms that they eventually use as support – phoresys (Brumpt 1936). Thomas et al. (2000) reviewed parasitized and unparasitized populations where advantages were found in the first individuals.

Parasites and symbionts are generally defined as the two extremes of an interspecific interaction in which one of the partners is termed host, and the other, according to the degree of injury or benefit, is named parasite or symbiont (Caullery 1950).

The term parasite can be used without any connotation of pathogenicity or benefit to one or both partners in the association (Baker 1994). Thus focussed, the concepts of parasitism and symbiosis may be employed in the same sense since both refer to the same ecological and evolutionary feature. Moreover the classical definitions of mutualism, commensalism and symbiosis do not establish clear cut-offs that distinguish them from parasitism.
Thus defined, parasitism comprises from molecular parasites to plants and vertebrate animals. The orchid mycorrhiza is in reality a fungus parasitised by a plant (Corsar et al. 1999), and some bird species are considered parasites because they use nests and parents of other bird species to raise their young (Smith 1979, Kruger & Davies 2002).

A foetus is a parasite to the female body. If this recent evolutionary concept is accepted (Zelmer 1998), mammal evolution, for example, is in debt to a whole history of parasitism. The unicellular or multicellular body of any species of living organism is the consequence of the evolution of a community of parasites. This occurred from the molecular level to the formation of tissues by symbiogenesis (Margullis & Chapman 1998, Inger 2000).

THE ORIGIN AND EVOLUTION OF PARASITISM

Parasitism is inherent to life. Parasites are found in every organism of all existing species on earth (Poulin & Morand 2000). Since the beginning of life parasitism was adopted by protorganisms to multiply. Actually parasitism must have occurred at an early stage of evolution (Bremermann 1983). All living organisms have a uniform biochemical composition that points to a common origin (Nasmith 1995) in a common ancestor that lived a billion years ago (Orgel 1998). Life on earth was only possible as a consequence of parasitism in what was still a molecular world. In fact, life appeared on earth around 4.4-3.8 billion years ago (Chang 1999, Nisbet & Sleep 2001) as a consequence of molecular parasitism and the present day life forms still display relics of these ancient associations in their genomes (Galtier et al. 1999, Cavalier-Smith 2001).

Later diversification of life forms and species radiation was also the consequence of these multiple associations. These ancient events represent the first steps towards a host-parasite way of life although still at the level of molecules.

It is worth mentioning that the very first life forms in the so-called “RNA World” (Maynard-Smith & Szathmáry 1993, Shapiro 1999, Poole et al. 1999) are still an issue under debate along with the other forms that appeared when life started (de Duve 1998, Shapiro 1999, 2000).

Associations recognized as a host-parasite systems existed since the very first gene associations, long before the appearance of the genetic code and gene translation (Maynard-Smith & Szathmáry 1993). Parasitism has been an important promoter of biodiversity, commencing at the molecular level, the subsequent advent of the cell, and then followed by the development of the intracellular environment as an ecological niche.

Molecular parasitism is clearly exemplified by transposable elements of the genome. Indeed, the DNA sequences called transposable elements are actually recognized as molecular parasites (Doolittle et al. 1984, Kidwell & Lisch 2001).

Transposable elements occur in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Transposition is the insertion of an identical copy of the transposable element into a new genomic site of the host. These insertions can cause deletions, inversions, and chromosome fusions that result in considerable genome plasticity, thus contributing to biodiversity. They have a common origin with viruses and retroviruses (Zaha 1996) and were identified first as ‘control elements’ by McClintock (1984).

The extra chromosomal transmissible genetic elements (viruses, plasmids and bacteriophages) are genome fragments that depend on the host cell to multiply and therefore they could not have preceded the cell itself (Lederberg 1997). These elements could have been the origin of nuclear DNA as well as other cellular organelles (Lederberg 1998). The extra chromosomal genetic elements and the transposable elements represent relics of primitive molecular parasites. They played a very important role in the evolution of life forms since their inclusion in the hosts’ genomes’ cell promoted genetic diversity. Certainly many of the alterations they induced were deleterious, but a number of them resulted in advantages for their hosts (Sverdlov 1998).

In viruses, genomic parasites can also be found and have been termed ‘satellite’ based on the satellite-like discovered by Kassinis (1962) in some cultures of tobacco necrosis virus (Mayo et al. 1999). Some are relatively benign and seem to be well adapted to the host, but others can cause deleterious effects. Mayo et al. (1999) emphasized virus relationships. They stated that the evolutionary process that led to viruses is complex and that it is impossible to define when a molecule is a ‘commensal’, when it is a ‘parasite’ when it is a ‘symbiont’, and when it becomes a part of the genome of the virus.

A failed episode of predation/parasitism resulted in the eukaryotic cell (Corsaro et al. 1999). Also, the prokaryotic cell exhibits clearly the parasitism that once was the causal effect of their origin (Lake et al. 1988). Not only the nucleous but also the whole cell is a chymera, a polyheterogenic state derived from a long history of parasite associations. The association of microorganisms that resulted in mitochondria, chloroplasts and other organelles granted a significant increase in the complexity of the living organisms that resulted in the improvement of their capacity to occupy new ecological niches (Andersson et al. 1998, Roger et al. 1998). Furthermore, the advent of the cell offered possible new niches for parasites (Thompson 1999).

Species vary in the degree to which they are subject to parasitism (John 1997). The perpetuation of life depends on the fine-tuning of the dialectic ‘conservation versus change’ (Radman et al. 1999), where the parasite-host ecological relationship plays an essential role. Divergent interests of each partner of the system result in selective pressures that may or may not result in fitness for the partners (Combes 2000). Fitness is only possible if parasitism is suitable.

VIRULENCE

At present, studies of host-parasite interactions include mainly the follow up of virulence and pathogenicity of a given infectious disease. Virulence is the ability of the parasite to multiply, and is under natural selection pressure to increase transmission success (Poulin & Combes 2000). Pathogenicity refers to aspects of the host-parasite association that results in damage to the host. Pathogenicity is a property of the host-parasite associa-
tion and not a characteristic of the parasite alone (Poulin & Combes 1999). However, some use pathogenicity in the same sense of virulence (Lenski & May 1994). Virulence may also be defined as a process where parasites are responsible to mediate morbidity and mortality in infected hosts (Levin 1996).

For the purpose presented here, virulence – the ability to multiply and transmit genes – and pathogenicity – the capacity to induce morbidity and mortality in a host population – are two different features.

It has been postulated that a high virulence and pathogenicity indicates that a given host-parasite association is a recent event. Nevertheless, an evolutionary approach to the phenomenon suggested that natural selection might favor virulence depending on the epidemiology and ecology of the parasite (Ewald 1996). Natural selection does not always favor peaceful coexistence (Cockburn 1963, Ewald 1995, Giorgio 1995, May & Novak 1995, Levin 1996). Indeed, virulence can, and sometimes is, a fitness trait of the parasite. The proliferation rate of a parasite (virulence) is accepted as one critical factor for parasite success (Frank 1996).

The most virulent parasite will probably become the predominant form in a given niche, therefore enhancing its chances of dissemination in nature. Yet on the other hand, both parasite and host will be exterminated if this increase of virulence occurs at the expense of the host before the parasite reaches transmission. In addition, the defensive control measures developed by the host to resist parasites – the immune system – should be taken into account. Parasites, for their part, develop evasive mechanisms (DosReis 2000) and the result of this competition will define the success or failure of the host-parasite subsystem.

Many variables have to be deeply studied before one can forecast the fate of a given host-parasite sub-system. Parasitic population composition (Wilson et al. 2002), the presence of other parasitic species (multiple infections) in competition, or on the contrary, in cooperation (Mouritsen 2001) are some of the factors that should be taken into account as well as the direct energetic cost to the host of mounting an immune response (Rigby et al. 2002). Qualitative and quantitative response (Gandon & Michalakis 2000), and the costs and consequences of sterilizing immunity versus tolerance of low-level infections in a host-parasite sub-system also need to be evaluated.

Studies on evolution of virulence should consider the transmission mode (vertical or horizontal) since transmission strategy is of fundamental importance (Poulin 1995, 1996). In eurixene (eclectic) parasites, if virulence and transmission are linked characters, virulence may be considered as a fitness trait even if transmission requires the killing of the infected host. Leaving the “transmission problem” aside, genes that code for virulence may be advantageous for other aspects of the parasite, not related to transmission (see Poulin & Combes 1999 who contest a gene of virulence). Some parasites enhance their virulence and pathogenicity after serial passages and others attenuate. Single infection, coinfection, and superinfection are also considered (Mosquera & Adler 1998). Parasites that alter the host behavior, making the host susceptible to predation, may eliminate and be eliminated or, on the contrary, adapt to a new host. Some alter host growth, reproduction and survival; some can induce parthenogenesis, feminization of genetic males, and cause other bizarre effects in their hosts (Agnew et al. 2000, Bandi et al. 2001). All these variables make it difficult to forecast the fate of a given host parasite sub-system and point to the need for a detailed understanding of a parasites life cycle. Evolution occurs in both the parasite population and the host’s immune system (Levin et al. 1999).

Certainly, during millions of years many host-parasite sub-systems perished but many survived and acquired advantages from the association while others are still adjusting before our eyes in a seemingly endless race. Successful host-parasites systems will always occur, and will certainly be preserved since they are the key of life. Pathological outcomes are therefore the result of many features and are always modulated by the responses of a living being confronting a non-self element (DuBois 1959).

PARASITES AND INFECTIOUS DISEASES

Parasitic infections and parasitic disease are two strikingly distinct situations originated from a single process. A parasite is a necessary but not sufficient condition to launch a parasitic disease (Ferreira 1973). Parasitism does not necessarily result in injury or benefit to the host. Parasitic disease is an eventual outcome of a given parasite in a given host from a given population in a certain environment during a particular life co-evolution period of both protagonists. In essence it is a unique result of association of parasite and host in a given environment. It matters not whether a molecular parasite or a multi-cellular parasite is involved.

A system is formed by the parasite, the host, and the environment where each one interacts and influences the other subsystem in such a way that any change in one subsystem affects the other two (Ferreira 1973). Systems may exhibit order spontaneously. For example, in the physical world oil drops are spherical and snowflakes show 6-fold symetry not because selection has made them so, but because of inherent properties of the system (Kaufman 1993). Rohde (1997) discussed the system theory applied to parasitism: a species is a cloud in phenotypic space and a species evolution is a cloud 'walk' across that multidimensional space. As the complexity of a system increases, selection is progressively less able to change its properties. Applied to parasites, “species will at first explore many alternative routes, but subsequently they may become better adapted to individual host species. They can long-jump to other species only with increasing delays and ultimate stasis. The result is that many peaks (host species or habitats on or in the host) are never reached, with many vacant niches or phenotypic space largely empty” (see Rohde 1997 for details and empirical evidence).

Submitted to natural selection and/or the inherent properties of a system, the parasite-host-environment system reacts to changes in each subsystem with different responses, according to the features of the stimulus. Such a stimulus can induce parasitic disease originated by any
component of a subsystem. Parasitic disease is not an unbalanced occurrence in the host-parasite-environment relationship, but a natural phenomenon where some event has altered a component of the system and a specific reaction of a given individual host express signals and symptoms of a modified behavior of the whole system, i.e. disease.

Considering the ecological niche as a multidimensional hypervolume determined by a number of environmental variables in which a species can exist (Hutchinson 1980), and accepting the concept of parasitism where the parasite finds in the host its ecological niche, a parasitic disease is an expected event whenever one of the variables hinders or damages the parasite or the host, or when the environment is modified. Niches are not static. Host and microhabitat specificity, for example, may be affected by the age of host and parasite, presence or absence of other competitive parasite species, and the numbers of parasite individuals present. Host specificity, one of the many niche dimensions, is often determined by ecological factors (Holmes & Bethel 1981, Rohde 1994b).

The history of evolution and biodiversity is fundamentally a history of evolution of species interactions (Thompson 1999). An isolated individual is only an abstraction and cannot be conceived out of its environment (Perestrello 1958).

Parasites promoted the major factors that have influenced the organization and evolution of life (Thomas et al. 1996). Zelmer (1998) proposed an evolutionary definition of parasitism. He used arguments of Read (1970) to discuss the failure to produce generalising concepts as a failure of the methods to approach the phenomenon of symbiosis as a special interaction between species. He concludes by placing all parasites within a shared evolutionary framework, with the host immune response as a constant and powerful selective factor. He criticizes the view of transition of phoresy or commensalism to parasitism as a definitive modification of the nature of a given symbiotic relationship (Zelmer 1998).

**PARASITE EVOLUTION AND PALEOPARASITOLOGY**

Many significant remnants in the genome of parasites and hosts are left during the co-evolution process of a host-parasite-environment system. These remnants are transmitted in the genome and can reveal important features of the whole process.

Paleoparasitology and especially molecular paleoparasitology offer new tools for the study of host-parasite-environment systems since they offer the unique possibility of working with ancient parasites themselves. Paleoparasitology may shed light upon features concerning the antiquity of a given host-parasite complex as well as their origin and evolution (Araújo & Ferreira 2000).

Parasites are believed to leave no fossils (Cameron 1952). Nevertheless parasitic remains have been found in paleontological sites, 1.5 million years old as well as in 500,000 years old preserved organic material (Ferreira et al. 1993, Jouy-Avantin et al. 1999). Parasites and their remnants can be detected in dried or mineralized coprolites, in archaeological or paleontological sites, and inside mummified corpses. In these remains, parasites may be studied in the same way as any other ancestral living form.

The introduction of molecular tools significantly opened this field of study. The adaptation of PCR to ancient DNA has already made possible the diagnosis of several infectious diseases in prehistoric populations, but the development of both new methods, and adjustments to the routinely used molecular procedures are still required to study this unique material (Araújo et al. 1998, Rollo & Marota 1999, Orlando & Hänni 2000). The absence of a complete fossil record of ancestral assemblages hampers studies of host phylogeny and ecology in determining parasite community richness (Vickerey & Poulin 1998).

Mammalian trypanosomes offer a suitable example of how molecular paleoparasitology studies may clarify epidemiological and biological features. Kinetoplastids are distinguished by a single mitochondrion near the basal body of the flagellus, with species that parasitized plants and animals, but includes free-living taxa of the family Bodonidae (Maslov et al. 2001). The evolution of parasitism in trypanosomatids involves monogenetic and digenetic cycles. Phylogenetic studies dated trypanosomatid divergences prior to the origins of both current insect vectors (30-60 million years before present for tse-tse fly), and placental mammalian hosts (< 85 million years ago) (Fernandes et al. 1993).

The trypanosomes must evade host defences that vary from arthropods or other invertebrate vectors to the bloodstream or the intracellular habitat of vertebrate hosts. The evolution of host-parasite interactions have some recent elucidative studies showing that the Salivaria group (*Trypanosoma brucei*) diverge in a mid-Cretaceous date, around 100 million years ago, when Africa was totally separated from South America and Euroamerica (Haag et al. 1998). The *T. cruzi* clade has a southern super-continent (South America-Australia-Antarctica) origin, based on the inclusion of Australian marsupial trypanosomes on the clade (Stevens et al. 1998, 2001, Stevens & Gibson 1999).

*T. brucei* co-evolved in Africa with the first hominids at 5 million years ago, but *T. cruzi* contact with human host is considered to have occurred in South America only after permanent settlements were made by previously nomadic cultures (Rothhammer et al. 1985, Coimbra Jr 1988, Stevens et al. 1998). Chagas disease is believed to have spread through the Americas, originating in the Andean countries as a consequence of the domiciliation of *Triatoma infestans*. In this context, descriptions of Chagas disease lesions in pre-Colombian mummies and positive PCR reactions for *T. cruzi* in tissues of 4,000 year old mummies, confirmed the antiquity of human infection by this parasite in the Americas (Rothhammer et al. 1985, Guhl et al. 1997, 2000, Ferreira et al. 2000).

Moreover, another hypothesis should be considered suggesting that Chagas disease is as ancient as humans in Americas. According to findings in an archeological site in Piauí, Northeast of Brazil, the very first human settlements occurred in this locality, 50,000 years ago (Guidon & Arnaud 1991, Parenti et al. 1998). Many radiocarbon dates from Northeastern Brazil, ranging from 10,000 to 20,000 years ago have been tabulated by Martin (1997). Caves and rock-shelters containing numerous 20,000-
year-old rock-paintings attest their first occupation. It is therefore tempting to suggest that the artists of those paintings, and other cave inhabitants, were the first humans exposed to triatomine bugs (*T. brasiliensis*) and consequently to Chagas disease. This problem still occurs among archeologists during field studies in this area.

This hypothesis is partially supported by the recent molecular data on the diversity and origin of *T. cruzi* that recognizes *Didelphis marsupialis* as the first mammalian host of *T. cruzi* I which is mainly associated with the enzootic transmission cycle. *T. cruzi* II is presented as having its evolutionary history related to primates and caviomorph rodents (Briones et al. 1999). In this scenario it is worth emphasizing that primates and caviomorph rodents were introduced to the Americas arriving from Africa 40-35 million years ago (Flynn & Wissar 1998). Furthermore caviomorph rodents (*Kerodon rupestris* and *Trichomyys apereoides*) and primates (*Callitrichidae* and *Cebidae*) are important inhabitants of rock shelters and caves of the above mentioned area where they probably acquired the infection from a preexisting transmission cycle.

A similar question remains unsolved in relation to *Trypanosoma evansi*, a salivarian trypanosomatid. In spite of being mechanically transmitted through tabanids, *T. evansi* belongs to the *T. brucei* clade. In the Pantanal region, Northwest of Brazil, *T. evansi* is responsible for a severe horse disease, “Mal de Cadeiras” that results in the death of several horses. It is easier to suppose a much earlier introduction of this trypanosomatid in the Americas as the first mammalian host of *T. cruzi* I which is mainly associated with the enzootic transmission cycle.

With the recognition of the importance of understanding parasite evolution in the control of modern diseases (Ewald 1996, Ewald et al. 1998), paleoparasitology has a new importance. The understanding of parasite evolution can be addressed by the combined approaches of paleoparasitology and molecular paleoparasitology. With paleoparasitology, one can document the appearance of parasites in human prehistory. With molecular paleoparasitology, one can potentially identify the change in parasite genomes that resulted from the host-parasite-environment evolutionary system.

Without a doubt, infectious diseases have change through pre-historic times to present days (Leal & Zanotto 2000). These included changes in virulence and pathogenicity that were associated with the progressive developments of civilization. The papers presented in this volume address the role of paleoparasitology in tracing the evolution of parasitism.
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