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Abstract
In a previous discussion [Bernholz, C. D., & Holcombe, S. L. (2005). “The Charles J. Kappler Indian Affairs: Laws and Treaties Internet Site at the Oklahoma State University,” Library Collections, Acquisitions, and Technical Services 29 (2005), pp. 82–89], an electronic collation of documents (URL: http://0-www.digital.library.okstate.edu.library.unl.edu/kappler/) created by American Indian tribes and the federal government was presented as an effective collection development resource. That digital work provides the final text of 366 of the 375 American Indian treaties recognized by the United States Department of State. The present research note describes an electronic suite that supplies access to the texts of the remaining nine treaties, so that its use—in combination with the Oklahoma State University materials—will furnish an avenue to all of these historical instruments. Research examples, for this document set and in comparison to the Kappler collation, are provided.
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1. Introduction

The Oklahoma State University Library Electronic Publishing Center (OSU) has made available, on the World Wide Web, Charles J. Kappler’s *Indian Affairs: Laws and Treaties*. This multi-volume digital asset has been described in an earlier article in *Library Collections, Acquisitions, and Technical Services* [1]. Of particular importance in this suite is volume 2 that contains the final texts of almost every recognized American Indian treaty [2].

In total, there are 375 acknowledged Indian treaties—374 documents accepted and given individual ratified treaty numbers by the United States Department of State, plus one additional treaty that is deemed valid by the Courts. Kappler’s second volume holds only 366 of these 375 items, beginning with ratified treaty number 8 in 1778 and continuing until the final instrument fashioned in 1868 [3], but these materials do not provide their assigned ratified treaty numbers [4]. As a result of his selection process, the prior seven texts, plus two other established documents, are not part of his collation, nor of the OSU Kappler electronic ensemble.

This research note reports on the development, by the University of Nebraska-Lincoln Libraries’ Electronic Text Center, of a digital resource that furnishes these last few instruments. This document array is fully searchable, just as the OSU one is, and in combination with the items in the previous collection, it now affords World Wide Web access to all 375 federally recognized American Indian treaties. The URL for this supplement is [http://earlytreaties.unl.edu/index.html](http://earlytreaties.unl.edu/index.html).

2. The nine treaty titles

The first seven of those items that are not part of Kappler’s work were negotiated by the British between the years 1722 and 1768. These documents are recognized now by the United States as ratified treaty number 1 through 7 [4]. They are interesting demonstrations of the negotiation process employed by the British to deal with the Indian Nations, and many report the entire sequence of events that took place between these sovereigns at each of their council meetings. Fenton has noted that the format of treaty construction at that time was based upon creating a kinship relationship, and that “[k]inship terms of address permeate the treaty literature” ([5]; p. 21). This bond may be seen within the context of each of the first seven gatherings. He also stated that differences existed between a conference and a treaty, where “large conferences leading to a definite result – extending the [Covenant] Chain, fixing boundaries, land cessions, and formal alliances for peace and war – are what we know as Indian treaties” ([5]; p. 27).

Fenton also countered Wroth’s 1928 statement that early treaty documents were a specific, neglected literary type “that arose without conscious artistic design from the conflict of two distinct civilizations on the same soil” ([6]; p. 766). These materials are neglected no longer, and the newer, drama-laden perspective [7] of the interaction of these societies does not diminish the legal implications ad-
herning to these instruments. They have been living contracts: ratified treaty number 7 was referenced in an opinion of the United States Supreme Court [8], while treaty number 1, 3, and 7 were cited in state courts [9].

Two additional American treaties, created during the years 1798 and 1805, never appeared in the Statutes at Large, but were published solely in volume 1 of the American State Papers: Indian Affairs. These follow the more contract-like, less ritualized, and rather undramatic design of the other United States treaties with the tribes that appear in Kappler’s volume [10]. Neither of these two documents has been cited in an opinion at the federal [11] or at the state court level [9].

The nine items are listed and accompanied by a citation to each textual source that was digitized for this collection. The seven recognized British treaties are:

• Ratified treaty # 1: The Great Treaty of 1722 Between the Five Nations, the Mahicans, and the Colonies of New York, Virginia, and Pennsylvania [12].
• Ratified treaty # 2: Deed in Trust from Three of the Five Nations of Indians to the King, 1726 [13].
• Ratified treaty # 3: A Treaty Held at the Town of Lancaster, By the Honourable the Lieutenant Governor of the Province, and the Honourable the Commissioners for the Province of Virginia and Maryland, with the Indians of the Six Nations in June, 1744 [14].
• Ratified treaty # 4: Treaty of Logstown, 1752 [15].
• Ratified treaty # 5: The Albany Congress, and Treaty of 1754 [16].
• Ratified treaty # 6: At a Conference Held By The Honourable Brigadier General Moncton with the Western Nations of Indians, at the Camp before Pittsburgh, 12th Day of August 1760 [17].
• Ratified treaty # 7: Treaty of Fort Stanwix, or The Grant from the Six Nations to the King and Agreement of Boundary Line – Six Nations, Shawnee, Delaware, Mingoes of Ohio, 1768 [18].

The two United States treaties that were published only in the American State Papers are:

• Ratified treaty # 28: Convention Between the State of New York and the Oneida Indians, June 1, 1798 [19].
• Ratified treaty # 44: A Treaty Between the United States of America and the Sachems, chiefs, and warriors of the Wyandot, Ottawa, Chippewa, Munsee, and Delaware, Shawnee, and Pptawatamy nations, holden at fort Industry, on the Miami of the lake, on the 4th day of July, A.D. one thousand eight hundred and five [20].

3. The digitization process

All nine texts were digitally photographed or scanned and saved as 24-bit color TIFF image files. The TIFFs were reprocessed as JPEG derivatives for Web display and subsequently converted to ASCII text using optical character recogni-
tion (OCR) software. The ASCII texts were encoded in eXtensible Markup Language (XML) following Text Encoding Initiative (TEI) standards. All original spellings and abbreviations were preserved, with modern equivalents also encoded. The XML files were then placed in an XML database where the appropriate fields were indexed for keyword searching. Data are queried from the database using the XQuery standard language. Wildcard searches add flexibility and allow for easy location of words whose spelling is not standard [21].

All files were loaded into Apache Cocoon, an excellent framework for dynamically converting XML to HTML for browser display. Extensible Stylesheet Language for Transformations (XSLT) was employed for the XML to HTML conversion, including all aspects of the Web design and navigation.

4. Research applications

The fully searchable digitized text and the page images provide useful access to this important collection. The early negotiations linking the British and the tribes set the stage for later dialogues between the Indian Nations and the future United States by providing a diplomatic model or process. Treaty number 2, 3, 5, and 7 involve land cessions and/or boundary adjustments.

One important aspect of British diplomatic attempts at that time was the development and maintenance of the so-called Covenant Chain between the Iroquois Confederacy [22] and the resident government. Jennings has provided the history of such alliances, beginning in 1677, and notes that the Covenant Chain “was a multiparty alliance of two groupings of members: tribes, under the general leadership of the Iroquois, and English colonies, under the general supervi-

Figure 1. Sir William Johnson, Superintendent of Indian Affairs for the Northern Department between 1756 and 1774 (Source: Library and Archives Canada/Claus family portraits/C-083497).
sion of New York” ([23]; pp. 38–39). These coalitions evolved, especially under the guidance of the Superintendent of Indian Affairs for the Northern Department, Sir William Johnson (Figure 1). His interactions, above all with the Mohawk, solidified the British position [24] against the French and their “French Indian” allies [25].

These three reference terms may serve as searchable targets within this treaty set. The string covenant chain may be employed to recover those documents that include this phrase. This term appears in treaty number 1, 3, 5, and 7. None of the later American treaties, i.e., those collated by Kappler, contains this element. In the same way, a search for William Johnson yields results in treaty number 4, 5, and 7. Finally, the French Indian* example turns up in treaty number 1, 4, and 5 [26]. None of these three expressions is found in either of the two later United States treaties in this suite [27].

5. Conclusions

As noted in the earlier article on the OSU Kappler collection [1], these negotiations between the tribes and the federal government are an important segment of United States and of North American history. Digital accessibility to the first seven recognized treaties with the American Indians illuminates earlier British undertakings with the tribes. This is particularly relevant, since it is likely that many legal and scholarly investigations have failed to materialize because these documents have only been available to those patrons with access to a published version of O’Callaghan’s Documents Relative to the Colonial History of the State of New York, or to the necessary volumes of the Early American Indian Documents collection [28]. Large academic libraries may have these volumes, but many smaller libraries—particularly public ones—in all likelihood do not.

The availability of searchable electronic resources like OSU’s Indian Affairs: Laws and Treaties provides all libraries with a convenient and cost-effective method of collection development for such materials [29]. In one special case, the American Indian Higher Education Consortium’s Virtual Library [30] furnishes a centralized, digital repository for libraries within the tribal college system and the Indian Affairs: Laws and Treaties database is a relevant part of that collection. Other endeavors have afforded a similar expanded view of American history: Texas Tech University’s Vietnam Project [31] and the University of Houston’s Digital History Web site [32] are two such examples. These efforts capture the same enthusiasm for information, and they endow all of our patrons with useful library means. The nine American Indian treaties, reported here, were gathered together as a small step towards achieving that goal [33].
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