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POLITICAL PSYCHOLOGY

Ingrid J. Haas, Ph.D.
Center for Brain, Biology, and Behavior
University of Nebraska-Lincoln


Introduction

The field of political psychology explains political behavior as a function of both individual- and group-level psychological processes. While the field is interdisciplinary, political psychologists tend to work in either psychology or political science departments. Although the overall aim is often similar, researchers from each discipline approach the same questions in different ways, and interested scholars are encouraged to examine literatures from both fields. The general approach to research is to focus on individual political attitudes, emotion, beliefs, and behavior, and attempt to explain these phenomena using psychological research and theory. Historical approaches to research in this field often relied on case studies or qualitative approaches, whereas newer work has incorporated a variety of quantitative methods (surveys, experiments). Related fields of biopolitics and political neuroscience have begun to utilize physiological and neuroscientific methods to address questions of interest to political psychologists. This bibliography provides resources for general overviews of the field of political psychology, as well as relevant textbooks and academic journals. In addition, resources are provided in relation to a variety of specific research topics and areas.

General Overviews

There are a number of comprehensive overviews of the field of political psychology that focus both on the historical trajectory of the field as well as challenges unique to working in this interdisciplinary area. These are primarily geared toward graduate students and researchers. Huddy, et al. 2013 is the second edition of the most popular handbook of political psychology, which is probably the best place for interested researchers to start. Krosnick, et al. 2010 and Tetlock 2007 are chapters on political psychology from social psychology handbooks. Sears 1987 and McGuire 1993 each provide overviews of the field of political psychology, relevant areas of research, and shifts in the focus of this work over time.

Essential reference for scholars of political psychology, this handbook features chapters on a variety of topics in political psychology written by many of the most prominent scholars in the field.


This chapter in the main handbook of social psychology gives an overview and introduction to the field of political psychology.


Provides a historical overview of research in political psychology and describes how the focus of this work has shifted over time.


This review gives a historical overview of work in political psychology, focusing on areas such as personality, public opinion, and conflict.


This chapter provides an overview of political psychology, with special attention paid to challenges of work in this field.

**Textbooks**

Given that political psychology is a relatively young field, there are a limited number of textbooks available for undergraduate courses. But, the available choices provide a range of options for undergraduate coursework. Cottom, et al. 2009 and Houghton 2015 are introductory textbooks that provide a broad overview of the field. Marcus 2012 is more advanced and incorporates more discussion of biopolitics and neuroscience. Finally, the Jost and Sidanius 2004 edited volume contains a collection of journal articles suitable for more advanced undergraduate students.


Undergraduate textbook that provides a broad overview of the field of political psychology, with emphasis on both individual- and group-level psychological processes.

Undergraduate textbook that provides a broad overview of the field of political psychology, focusing on situational and individual factors and their intersection.


Collection of influential journal articles on a variety of topics in political psychology. Articles are condensed and accessible to advanced undergraduate students.


Textbook appropriate for advanced undergraduates that incorporates discussion of newer approaches to political psychology, including genetics, biopolitics, and neuroscience.

**Journals**

Given that political psychology is an interdisciplinary field, research in this area is published in a wide variety of journals. There are a few journals specific to the field of political psychology that are explicitly focused on interdisciplinary work. In addition, work in political psychology is often published in both psychology and political science journals.

**Political Psychology Journals**

The primary journal of the International Society for Political Psychology is **Political Psychology**. There are also newer journals focused specifically on political psychology, including **Advances in Political Psychology** and the **Journal of Social and Political Psychology**.

*Advances in Political Psychology*[^1]. New journal published annually by the International Society for Political Psychology that focuses on cumulative research findings and theoretical reviews.

*Journal of Social and Political Psychology*[^2]. This is a new, peer-reviewed open-access journal published by PsychOpen that focuses on work that improves our understanding of social problems and social justice.

*Political Psychology*[^3]. Main journal published by the International Society for Political Psychology. This journal publishes work focused at the intersection of psychology and politics.

[^1]: [http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/10.1111/(ISSN)1467-9221]
Psychology Journals
A number of psychology journals publish work in the area of political psychology, but the focus in the top journals is often more on advancing psychological theory than on understanding political problems per se. These include both general psychology journals such as "Psychological Science", and social psychology journals such as the "Journal of Experimental Social Psychology", "Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin", and "Social Psychological and Personality Science". There are also more applied social psychology journals that publish work on a variety of topics central to political psychology, including journals such as "Basic and Applied Social Psychology" and the "Journal of Social Issues".

*Basic and Applied Social Psychology[http://www.tandfonline.com/toc/hbas20/current]*.[class:periodical]
Published for the Society for Social Studies of Science (SSRS), this journal focuses on publishing empirical work that is both basic and applied and focuses on application of psychology to social problems.

This is the official journal of the Society for Experimental Social Psychology. It publishes theory-driven empirical work that helps to advance social psychological theory.

Published for the Society for the Psychological Study of Social Issues (SPSSI) and is focused primarily on the application of psychology to social and political problems. Each issue focuses on a specific topic.

*Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin[http://psp.sagepub.com]*.[class:periodical]
This is one of the official journals of the Society for Personality and Social Psychology (SPSP). The journal focuses on publication of original empirical work in all areas of social psychology.

*Psychological Science[http://pss.sagepub.com]*.[class:periodical]
This is the top journal in psychology, affiliated with the Association for Psychological Science (APS). They publish work in all areas of psychology that appeal to a broad audience, including some work related to politics.

*Social Psychological and Personality Science[http://spp.sagepub.com]*.[class:periodical]
This is a newer journal focused on publishing short reports of new empirical work in social psychology.

Political Science Journals
There are also a number of political science journals that occasionally publish work in the area of political psychology. This list includes top journals such as the "American Journal of Political Science" and "Journal of Politics" as well as specialty journals focused on political behavior.
and public opinion such as **Electoral Studies**, **Political Behavior**, and **Public Opinion Quarterly**. Political psychology work will likely also fit well within the aims of the new **Journal of Experimental Political Science**.

*American Journal of Political Science*[http://ajps.org]*.[class:periodical]
The journal of the Midwest Political Science Association (MPSA). It is a high-impact, general journal that publishes work in all traditional areas of political science.

*Electoral Studies*[http://www.journals.elsevier.com/electoral-studies/]*.[class:periodical]
This is a specialty journal that focuses on publishing work related to voting behavior.

*Journal of Experimental Political Science*[http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayJournal?jid=XPS]*.[class:periodical]
Focused on publication of empirical work that uses experimental methods. It is affiliated with the Experimental Research Section of the American Political Science Association (APSA).

*Journal of Politics*[http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayJournal?jid=JOP]*.[class:periodical]
Publishes work in traditional areas of research within political science. It is associated with the Southern Political Science Association.

*Political Behavior*[http://www.springer.com/social+sciences/political+science/journal/11109]*.[class:periodical]
This is a specialty journal in political sciences that publishes work related to political behavior. This journal is explicitly open to publishing work that adopts a psychological perspective toward understanding political behavior.

*Public Opinion Quarterly*[http://poq.oxfordjournals.org]*.[class:periodical]
This is an interdisciplinary journal that publishes work on public opinion and survey methodology.

**Ambivalence**
The term ambivalence has typically been used to refer to attitudes that contain both positive and negative components and has often been equated with ideas about conflict and sometimes dissonance. However, many different types of ambivalence are possible—including conflict between positive and negative evaluations, or conflict between affective or emotional responses and cognitive responses. Work in political psychology has examined the consequences of ambivalence in relation to policy attitudes, candidate evaluations, and political identification. **Alvarez and Brehm 1995** is one example of how ambivalence has been examined in relation to policy attitudes. **Lavine, et al. 1998** and **Lavine 2001** examine the impact of ambivalence on candidate evaluation and voting behavior. **McGraw, et al. 2003** contrasts ambivalence with uncertainty in the context of candidate evaluation. **Lavine, et al. 2012** examines ambivalence about partisan identification.

Examines the value conflict underlying policy attitudes about abortion, showing that value conflict is related to more ambivalent attitudes.


Using survey data, this paper examines the relative impact of affect and cognition on candidate evaluations and voting behavior, finding that affect has a larger impact than cognition when individuals are ambivalent.


This is an in-depth examination of the consequences of ambivalence toward political candidates using data from the American National Election Studies, showing that ambivalence has a large impact on voting behavior.


Provides an in-depth analysis of how partisan ambivalence, defined as the conflict between partisan identity and current evaluation of how one’s party is performing, contributes to democracy.


Examines the difference between subjective uncertainty and subjective ambivalence and relationship with online versus memory-based processing.

**Attitudes**

Attitudes research has often relied on political stimuli to examine processes involved in attitude function, as well as attitude formation, change, and persuasion. Political psychologists have been especially interested in understanding the degree to which political attitudes predict political behavior, as well as related processes of perception and judgment. Lodge, et al. 1995 examines the influence of online versus memory-based processing in candidate evaluation. Lord, et al. 1979 and Sweeney and Gruber 1984 are classic examinations of motivated reasoning processes such as biased assimilation of information and selective exposure, respectively. Taber and Lodge 2006 is a more recent investigation of motivated reasoning processes in relation to policy attitudes. Bizer and Petty 2005 examines the impact of valence framing on resistance to persuasion. Shook and Fazio 2009 examines ideological differences in attitude formation processes. Fazio and Williams 1986 investigates the link between political attitudes, perception,
and behavior. Lundberg and Payne 2014 uses implicit measurement of attitudes to examine the vote choice of undecided voters.


Empirical work demonstrating that attitudes framed in opposition to something are more resistant to persuasion than those framed in support of the same candidate or issue.


Examination of the link between attitudes, perception, and behavior during the 1984 presidential election, showing that more accessible attitudes about political candidates were more predictive of perceptions of debate performance and voting behavior.


Examines the relative impact of online versus memory-based models of candidate evaluation, showing that while recall for specific message content diminishes over time, people do incorporate that information into summary evaluations during online processing.


This is an early demonstration of some of the processes involved in motivated reasoning. Showed that people processed information about capital punishment in a biased manner, depending on their preexisting views.


Implicit attitudes about presidential candidates were measured using the Affect Misattribution Procedure (AMP). Results showed that implicit attitudes were useful in predicting the voting decisions of undecided voters, suggesting that even undecided voters have stored evaluations of the candidates that impact their behavior.

Used an attitude formation task to examine how individuals formed attitudes about novel stimuli as a function of political ideology. Political conservatives engaged in more avoidant behavior during the task, resulting in a stronger valence asymmetry in learning.


Classic paper examining the selective exposure hypothesis during the Watergate scandal. Supporters of Nixon were more likely to ignore information about Watergate than people who were undecided or supporters of McGovern and appeared to have less factual information about the events as a result.


Empirical examination of motivated reasoning processes in relation to attitudes about affirmative action and gun control. They find evidence for both a disconfirmation bias and a confirmation bias, and show that these processes are associated with attitude polarization over time.

Authoritarianism

Early work on authoritarianism was largely motivated by an interest in explaining prejudice and discriminatory behavior in the aftermath of the Second World War and other massive political conflicts. This work has shifted focus over time, from examining the psychoanalytic roots of authoritarianism, to studying the developmental trajectory, approaching it as a personality trait, and examining the underlying motivations. Most scholars have suggested that authoritarianism is more likely to coincide with right-wing ideologies, but there has been some debate about whether or not left-wing authoritarians also exist. Adorno, et al. 1950 is the classic work on this topic, and Brown 1965 provides an early overview and some critiques of the theory. Altemeyer 1981 and Altemeyer 1998 provide an updated version of the concept of right-wing authoritarianism (RWA). Doty, et al. 1991 and Feldman and Stenner 1997 examine the relatively well-established link between threat and authoritarianism. Reifen Tagar, et al. 2014 provides a more recent analysis of the link between child development and authoritarianism.


Classic work on authoritarianism that introduced the concept and measurement via the F-scale (F for fascism). Largely based in psychoanalytic theory, this study argued that authoritarianism was related to a set of traits developed as a result of childhood experience.


Provides a reformulation of authoritarianism as right-wing authoritarianism (RWA) through measurement refinement and creation of the RWA scale.

Reviews the research on authoritarianism and related constructs such as Social Dominance Orientation, and describes the newer 1997 version of the Right-Wing Authoritarianism (RWA) scale.


Provides a critical review of some of the original Adorno work on authoritarianism, examining measurement issues and considering the idea of the left-wing authoritarian.


Examination of the link between threat and authoritarianism over time using aggregate data and various social indicators of each.


Examines the link between threat and authoritarianism at the individual level, showing that threat is more likely to lead to authoritarianism for those with authoritarian predispositions.


Examines the link between parental authoritarianism and childhood behavior, finding support for the idea that authoritarian parents are more likely to produce children with similar attitudes and behavior.

Biopolitics

Biopolitics is a subfield within political science focused on explaining political behavior via biology, genetics, and evolutionary theory. There is a growing body of work suggesting that political orientations (liberal versus conservative) are heritable and related to biological function. Alford and Hibbing 2008, Funk 2013, and Sidanis and Kurzban 2013 provide overviews of this work. Hatemi and McDermott 2011 is a recent edited volume that provides more in-depth consideration of a variety of topics. Alford, et al. 2005 examines the genetic underpinnings of political orientations. Oxley, et al. 2008 examines the link between physiology and political attitudes.


Examines the link between political attitudes and genetic factors using data from twin studies, showing support for a link between genetics, political attitudes, and political ideology.

Provides an overview of the link between genetics and politics, calling for more empirical work in this area and providing suggestions for future work.


Provides an overview of the link between genetics and political attitudes, ideology, behavior, partisanship, participation, and interest in and knowledge about politics.


This edited volume (borrowing its name from a famous Aristotle quote) examines the impact of evolution and biology on politics, including chapters on topics such as evolution, genetics, hormones, and neuroscience.


Empirical paper examining the link between physiology and political attitudes. Shows that physiological responses to threat predict political policy attitudes.


Argues for the link between evolutionary biology and political behavior, examining areas of interest to political psychologists such as ethnocentrism, sex differences, social hierarchy, and intergroup conflict.

Candidate Perception

A central question in understanding elections and voting behavior has been to examine how people think about and process political candidates. With the rise of new media such as television and the Internet, it is now easier than ever to view photos and videos of political candidates, and research has increasingly looked at how people are processing information in this new environment. Other questions for research have included a focus on what traits are most important in determining candidate support, and how perceptions differ as a function of demographic features such as candidate gender and race. Krosnick and Kinder 1990 demonstrates the influence of priming on candidate evaluation. Caruso, et al. 2009 and Young, et al. 2014 examine how partisanship and attitudes influence visual representation of political candidates. Todorov, et al. 2005 and Hehman, et al. 2014 show that rapid processing of faces is related to electoral outcomes. Hehman, et al. 2011 examines the link between race, perceptions of Americanism, and perceptions of presidential performance.

Examined how political partisanship influences visual representation of biracial political candidates, showing that people tended to perceive same-party candidates as lighter skinned.


Examines the impact of gender prototypicality on electoral success for female candidates. Gender-atypical facial cues were related to decreased electoral success, especially in more politically conservative constituencies.


Empirical work examining the impact of prejudice on perceptions of President Obama's job performance, showing that prejudice predicted more negative evaluations of his performance and that this effect was mediated by how American Obama was perceived to be.


Demonstrates the importance of priming in terms of determining what will be most predictive of candidate evaluations, suggesting that news coverage can play an important role in influencing these evaluations.


Empirical work showing that judgments of competence from candidates’ faces predict election outcomes better than chance.


Empirical work showing that attitudes can influence memory and interpretation of candidates’ faces. Using reverse-correlation image classification, this work showed that people with a positive attitude toward a presidential candidate selected a version of the candidate's face that was subsequently rated as more trustworthy by independent judges.

**Cognition**

Political psychologists have become increasingly interested in examining the information processing underlying political decision making, and have drawn inspiration from the social cognition tradition in social psychology. Work in political cognition has dealt with similar issues, such as the use of heuristics, the role of automatic versus controlled processing. Over time, this has also resulted in development of new paradigms to measure information processing over time, and in more externally valid experimental contexts. Mcgraw 2000 provides an overview of how the social cognition tradition has influenced political psychology, and Taber and Young
2013 reviews the literature in this area of research in a handbook chapter. Tetlock 1984 examines the link between ideology and cognitive style. Lau and Redlawsk 2001 examines whether political sophistication influences the correct use of heuristics in political decision making. Lau and Redlawsk 2006 describes a series of experiments examining information processes in voter decision making. Mitchell 2012 uses a panel experiment to investigate information processing effects over time. Redlawsk, et al. 2010 finds evidence for an affective tipping point, when people are more likely to abandon motivated reasoning in favor of more objective information processing.

Empirical paper using dynamic process tracing methodology to examine whether or not the use of heuristics results in “correct” decisions. Shows that heuristics are more likely to result in correct decisions for political experts.

Uses experimental methodology to examine the processes involved in voter decision making. Examines the impact of both individual and situational factors that influence the adoption of various information processing strategies, and considers when these strategies are used to make “correct” voting decisions.

Provides an overview of how research in political psychology has been influenced by the social cognition tradition in social psychology and provides a research agenda for future work in this area.

Uses a “panel experiment” design to examine information processing over time, finding that new information quickly displaces information already accumulated.

Examines the boundary conditions of motivated reasoning, showing that once disconfirming information becomes overwhelming, even motivated reasoners will abandon ship and update their preexisting attitudes. It is suggested that anxiety may be the mechanism whereby the affective tipping point leads to more accurate updating.

Describes political information processing from the perspective of dual-process models, examining the role of automatic and controlled processes on political opinion formation.


Focuses on the relationship between cognitive style and ideology, examining whether there are ideological differences in rigidity and integrative complexity.

**Emotion**

Research in political psychology has increasingly tried to incorporate social psychological theory and research into models of how emotion influences political behavior. Research on emotion in politics has examined the relative impact of cognition versus emotion on political decisions and behavior, the role of positive versus negative emotions, and more recently, the impact of specific emotions (e.g., threat, anxiety, anger) on political behavior. Brader and Marcus 2013 provides a review of this area of research. Marcus, et al. 2000 provides an overview of one of the most influential theories of emotion and politics—Affective Intelligence Theory. MacKuen, et al. 2010 provides an empirical test of this theory. Brader 2006 examines the role of emotion in political advertising. Lodge and Taber 2005 argues that affect is automatically attached to political information. Huddy, et al. 2005 and Haas and Cunningham 2014 examine the role of specific emotions or types of affect. Neuman, et al. 2007 is a recent edited volume on the topic of emotion and politics.


Examines the role of emotion in political advertising, showing that emotion can have a big impact on how people respond to ads during a campaign.


Provides an overview of theoretical perspectives on emotion, the role of specific emotions, consequences for political behavior, and directions for future work.


Recent article that examines the impact of uncertainty and threat on tolerance for opposing viewpoints, showing that uncertainty can increase tolerance but is less likely to do so in threatening contexts.

Empirical paper showing that threat and anxiety have different impacts on foreign policy issues. Threat increases support for retaliation, whereas anxiety makes people more hesitant to support military action.


Empirical examination of the “hot cognition” hypothesis, or the idea that affective responses to political issues are automatic. Shows that affectively similar concepts are evaluated more quickly than affectively incongruent concepts.


Empirical paper examining the influence of anxiety and aversion on political deliberation. Aversion is more likely to lead to partisanship, whereas anxiety can promote deliberation.


Provides an overview of Affective Intelligence Theory—one perspective on the role of emotion in politics that has been influential in political science.


Edited volume that addresses the role of emotion in politics at both the micro and the macro level. Specific chapters address the impact of various emotions on politics, as well as the impact of different interdisciplinary perspectives.

Identity

Research on political identity in political psychology has been inspired by classic work on social identity from social psychology. Scholars have examined how social identity can be used to understand different types of political identification, such as partisanship, national identity, patriotism, and nationalism. Tajfel and Turner 1986 is a classic piece on social identity theory, which has been the basis for much of the later work in political psychology. Brewer 2001 discusses different types of social identity and the implications of each for political identity, and Huddy 2001 discusses some of the challenges in applying social psychological work on identity to politics. Huddy 2013 provides a recent overview of work in this area. Huddy and Khatib 2007 develops a new measure of national identity. Theiss-Morse 2009 examines the boundaries of national identity and implications for individuals not included in the group.

Provides an overview of different theoretical perspectives on social identity, discussing person-based identities, relational identities, group-based identities, and collective identities, along with the implications of each of these for political psychology.


Discusses the application of Social Identity Theory to politics, discussing some of the interdisciplinary challenges that have limited work in this area and offering suggestions for future work.


Examines the role of social identity in political behavior, including discussion of issues such as partisanship and patriotism. Focuses on development of political identity and understanding factors that strengthen these identities and increase cohesion within groups.


Describes development of a new measure of national identity based in social identity theory, and shows empirical evidence that this construct can be differentiated from other related concepts such as patriotism and nationalism.


This classic work provides an overview of social identity theory, arguing that people can think about themselves as individuals or as members of a group and that this has important implications for understanding human behavior.


Examines the concept of national identity and whether it is good or bad for democracy. While strong identity can lead Americans to help others, decisions about who counts as an American limit the degree to which everyone benefits.

**Ideology**

Over the years, scholars have debated the importance of ideology and argued about how best to define the concept. There are ongoing arguments about whether ideology is best thought of as a unidimensional concept (typically measured with a bipolar scale ranging from liberal to conservative), or a multidimensional concept (for which there have been multiple theoretical models proposed). Overall, the current zeitgeist seems to indicate increased interest in studying political ideology and increased value placed on its importance for understanding a range of social and political behavior. Feldman 2013 provides an overview of multiple perspectives on political ideology within political psychology. Converse 1964 is a classic piece that deals with the issue of ideological constraint, arguing that many people do not really have a coherent ideology.


Examines the meaning of liberal and conservative self-identifications, arguing that ideology may be a multidimensional construct and is more symbolic than sometimes assumed. Ideology can be explained through a combination of symbolic meaning variables, issue positions, and evaluations of ideological groups.


Classic piece that argues for the importance of constraint (logical, psychological, and social) in belief systems. Suggests that most people do not meet these standards and should not be considered to be ideologues.


This chapter examines the structure and antecedents of political ideology, arguing for a multidimensional structure. Focuses on values, personality, biology, and genetics as possible underpinnings of ideology and suggests ways to integrate these theoretical perspectives.


Attempts to explain many of the differences between liberals and conservatives as a function of differential sensitivity to negatively valenced information. Conservatives are thought to be more sensitive to an array of negative information than liberals.


Offers a motivational theory of ideology that illustrates links between liberals with approach motivation and conservatives with avoidance motivation. Helps to explain why liberals and conservatives may view group membership and responsibility in different ways.

Argues that ideology is an important driver of political behavior and that earlier calls to abandon the study of ideology were misguided. Discusses differences between left-right orientations, suggesting that this distinction is essential for understanding political thought.


This review of work on political ideology describes historical conceptions of ideology, unidimensional versus multidimensional conceptualizations, and the psychological and motivational origins and functions of ideology. Consequences for political evaluation and system justification are discussed.


Proposes a motivational theory of ideology linking political conservatism with a variety of psychological variables, such as increased needs for order, structure, and closure, and fear of threat and decreased openness to experience and tolerance of uncertainty.

### Intergroup Conflict

Research on intergroup conflict has often applied work from social psychology to real-world conflicts, examining important issues in the study of international relations. This work has addressed conflict and conflict resolution, the role of emotion in international relations, and addressed the issue of terrorism. There are a number of chapters in the new *Handbook of Political Psychology* that address issues in international relations from the perspective of political psychology, including Bar-Tal and Halperin 2013; Fisher, et al. 2013; and Herrmann 2013. Bar-Tal, et al. 2007 examines the role of emotion in intergroup conflict. Crenshaw 1986 and Kruglanski and Fishman 2009 examine individual- and group-level factors that contribute to terrorism.


This chapter focuses on understanding conflicts that are prolonged and difficult to resolve, examining how beliefs, attitudes, and emotions impact behavior in these situations.


Provides an overview of the role of specific emotions in conflict situations, including discussion of the impact of fear, hatred, hope, and security.

Examines the psychological factors that contribute to individual decisions to participate in terrorism and how people respond to terrorism. Argues that people drawn to terrorism may be motivated by frustrated idealism and group pressure.


This chapter deals with issues related to conflict analysis and resolution through the theoretical perspective of interactive conflict resolution, viewing conflicts as a product of identity and group processes. Also offers suggestions for practitioners working in this area.


Argues for the importance of understanding subjective perceptions in international relations from the perspective of image theory.


Examines the impact of a variety of issues on terrorism and counterterrorism, including factors like personality and motivation, social influence and indoctrination, and training and logistics. Considers the implication of these findings for the reduction of terrorism.

**Morality**

Research on morality has typically focused on moral development, thinking of morality as something that is learned and develops across the lifespan. More recent work in psychology and cognitive neuroscience has begun to focus on the idea that morality is largely driven by emotion and intuition. Much of this work has addressed the process of moral decision making, examining how moral issues are evaluated differently from non-moral issues. Greene and Haidt 2002 and Haidt 2001 argue for the role of emotion and intuition in morality. Haidt 2007 and Haidt 2012 present a theory of morality that focuses on the role of five specific moral intuitions. Helzer and Pizarro 2011 examines the link between the emotion of disgust and moral judgment. Rozin 1999 discusses the process by which issues become moralized, and Skitka 2010 examines the consequences of attitudes held with strong moral conviction (“moral mandates”). Finally, Van Bavel, et al. 2012 examines the extent to which moral evaluation is flexible.


Provides an overview of morality research from the perspective of psychology and cognitive neuroscience, arguing for the impact of affect, emotion, and intuition. Also discusses possible neural underpinnings of morality based on the cognitive neuroscience work.

Describes the social intuitionist model of morality, which proposes that morality is driven more by intuition than reason, and integrates this model with recent work from a variety of perspectives.


Discusses important principles in the study of morality, arguing that research on morality should focus on more than harm and fairness and focus on collective and religious aspects of morality as well.


Provides an overview of Moral Foundations Theory, discussing the role of intuition in moral judgment. Focuses mainly on five dimensions of morality: harm, fairness, loyalty, authority, and purity.


Empirical work demonstrating the link between disgust, moral reasoning, and political attitudes. Physical reminders of contamination increased conservatism and desire to punish individuals who violated moral principles.


Provides an overview of how social and political issues transform from preferences to moral issues, and discusses the implications of this process.


Reviews research on moral conviction, arguing that moral mandates are qualitatively distinct from other types of attitudes. Discusses the consequences of holding attitudes with strong moral conviction, arguing for both normatively desirable and undesirable outcomes.


Empirical paper examining the degree to which moral evaluation is flexible. Shows that when issues are construed as moral, evaluations are faster, more extreme, and more universal.

Neuroscience

In 2003 a special issue of the journal *Political Psychology* focused on the relationship between political science and cognitive neuroscience, arguing that there was reason to pursue work in the area of political neuroscience (or neuropolitics). Since then, the number of published papers in the field of political neuroscience has been expanding, although this area is still new and
relatively unexplored. Most work in this area has used neuroscientific methods (fMRI, EEG) to study questions of interest to political psychologists, addressing political evaluation, political cognition, emotion, race, and individual differences. Cacioppo and Visser 2003 and Lieberman, et al. 2003 provide early discussions of how political science and cognitive neuroscience might be successfully linked. Theodoridis and Nelson 2012 points out some of the difficulties in doing this type of interdisciplinary work and urge skeptics to be patient. Jost, et al. 2014 provides a recent review of work in political neuroscience. Gozzi, et al. 2010; Spezio, et al. 2008; and Tusche, et al. 2013 are good examples of empirical work in this area utilizing fMRI.


Introduction to the special issue on neuroscience and political psychology. Discusses challenges related to integrating work across levels of analysis, and some important principles for researchers to be aware of.


fMRI study showing that individuals with a strong interest in politics experience greater activation in amygdala and ventral striatum when reading statements they agreed with.


Review of research in the area of political neuroscience, focusing on racial prejudice and intergroup relations, partisan bias and motivated social cognition, left-right ideological differences, and the structure of political attitudes.


Describes how cognitive neuroscience can help inform research on political attitudes and cognition, and provides an overview of how neuroimaging methods can be used to study political cognition.


fMRI study showing that greater insula and anterior cingulate activation to disliked candidates was associated with an increased likelihood of losing elections. Argues that this activation is linked to perceptions of threat.

Discusses how political neuroscience work has been received by political psychologists and political scientists, arguing that researchers should be careful not to overstate conclusions and that observers of this work should be patient as the field is in its early stages.


fMRI study that showed neural activation to the faces of political candidates, even when those faces were unattended or irrelevant to the task. Consistent with theories that suggest political information is automatically evaluated.

**Personality**

Political psychology work on personality began with an attempt to understand the personality profiles of leaders, often through psychoanalytic or content analysis. While this perspective is still used, more recent work has focused on quantitative analysis and measurement of traits and values and examination of the links between these individual differences and various types of political behavior. *Winter* 2013 provides an overview of the first approach (psychobiography and content analysis), whereas *Caprara and Vecchione* 2013 discusses some of the newer approaches to studying personality and politics. *Caprara, et al.* 2006 is an empirical examination of the link between values, traits, and political behavior. *Mondak* 2010 and *Mondak, et al.* 2010 also focus on the link between personality traits and political behavior, focusing primarily on the Big Five personality traits. *Winter* 1987 is an example of how content analysis has been used to investigate the personality of political leaders.


This work examines the relative impact of values and personality traits on political leanings and voting behavior. Both values and traits are related to political preferences, but values seem to have a larger impact on behavior.


Examines the link between personality and political behavior, arguing that traits, values, and beliefs about the self may help explain political preferences.


Empirical examination of the link between the Big Five personality traits—openness, contentiousness, extraversion, agreeableness, neuroticism—and political behavior.

Examines the link between personality traits and political behavior, discussing links between personality and biology, as well as situational influences and person-situation interaction effects.


Used content analysis of presidential speeches to estimate the motive profiles of presidents in terms of their focus on achievement, affiliation, and power. Discusses implications for how we should understand and think about “great” presidents, and how this approach can be integrated with other attempts to understand presidential personality.


Discusses strategies for examining personality of political elites, such as psychobiography and text analysis, and examines the benefits and limitations of this type of work.

**Stereotyping, Prejudice, and Discrimination**

Political psychologists have been especially interested in the role that race plays in political behavior. Much of the focus has been on understanding how the role of race in American politics has changed over time. This has led to the development of influential theories and perspectives such as symbolic racism and Social Dominance Theory. Kinder 2013 provides an overview of work in the area of race and politics. Bobo 1988 discusses changes in racial attitudes over time. Kinder and Sears 1981 examines the relative impact of realistic threat and symbolic prejudice on political behavior. Sears 1988 provides a theoretical overview of the symbolic racism perspective. Sidanius and Pratto 2001 introduces Social Dominance Theory and Pratto, et al. 1994 discusses a related individual difference construct—social dominance orientation. Jost and Thompson 2000 reexamines social dominance orientation, providing an alternate version of the scale.


Describes changes in racial attitudes over time, arguing that evidence of a racial divide is still present in areas like residential segregation and economic inequality. Points out that while many Americans support racial equality in the abstract, they are hesitant to make the changes necessary to achieve that goal.


Argues that the Social Dominance Orientation Scale should be conceptualized as multidimensional rather than unidimensional—consisting of subfactors that represent opposition to equality and group-based dominance.


Provides an overview of the role of race and prejudice in politics, examining different types of prejudice and why some people may be more predisposed toward prejudice than others.


Examines the relative impact of racial threats (realistic group conflict theory) and abstract, moral resentment of blacks (symbolic racism) on voting decisions. Antibalck voting behavior was largely driven by symbolic racism.


Introduces the social dominance orientation (SDO) individual difference construct, and examines how SDO relates to gender, social roles, ideological beliefs, and political policies.


Provides an overview of the symbolic racism approach to understanding prejudice. While overt racism has diminished over time, Sears argues prejudice toward Blacks in America has become more symbolic and abstract but still has a pervasive impact on American political behavior.


Provides an overview of Social Dominance Theory, arguing that societies tend to be structured as group-based social hierarchies. They describe how social hierarchies are maintained and how they contribute to group conflict and oppression.

**System Justification Theory**

Beliefs about equality and a preference for the status quo are thought to be two key components of ideological belief systems. Interestingly, research has shown that members of both advantaged and disadvantaged groups appear to prefer the status quo and show preferences for inequality. *Lane* 1959 is an early examination of beliefs about equality among individuals low in socioeconomic status. *Jost and Banaji* 1994 examines the ways in which stereotypes can be used to justify the status quo. *Jost, et al.* 2004 and *Jost and Hunyady* 2005
provide overviews of system justification theory—the idea that people tend to prefer and maintain the status quo, even when it preserves inequality. Johnson and Fujita 2012 examines some of the conditions under which people are likely to pursue system change over system justification.


Examines beliefs about equality and the counterintuitive idea that people of lower socioeconomic status may not really want economic equality. Argues that people tend to rationalize their current position and are afraid of change.


Examines the limits of system justification motives, demonstrating that people are more likely to demonstrate system change motivation when the system is perceived as changeable.


Describes various functions of stereotypes, including ego justification, group justification, and system justification.


Reviews ten years of research on system justification theory, arguing that people are motivated to justify the existing social order and showing support for a number of related hypotheses.


Provides an overview of dispositional and situational antecedents of system justification. Also discusses how the consequences differ for members of advantaged versus disadvantaged groups and examines the consequences for society as a whole.