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Abstract

Increase in woody species encroachment into semi-arid grasslands and savannas has been of great concern at a global level. Temperature and precipitation are key factors determining vegetation cover; however other factors, such as fire regime and grazing may be at play in semi-arid ecosystems. Historically, in Nebraska’s semi-arid grasslands, woody species have been controlled by periodic fires. Changes in social values and land use, fire suppression, overgrazing, increased N deposition, and climate change, have attributed to the observed shift from grasslands to shrublands or woodlands. The primary objectives of this study were to determine the impacts of Ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa P. & C. Lawson) expansion on grasslands productivity and species composition, and associated soil water content in the Nebraska Sandhills. Ten long-term vegetation plots, ranging from open grassland (basal area = 0 m²ha⁻¹) to dense stands of ponderosa pine (basal area = 49.5 m²ha⁻¹), were established in Nebraska National Forest (NNF) at Halsey, NE. Measurements at each site included basal area, LAI (leaf area index), understory species composition, biomass, and monthly soil water content. With increasing basal area, and thus, tree canopy cover, declines were reported in species composition, forage production, and volumetric soil water content, and cool-season (C₃) grasses began to replace warm-season (C₄) grasses before they both declined in dense canopy cover. The consequences of this shift from grassland to woodland are likely to include significant ecological, hydrological, and economical impacts.
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**Introduction**

A major concern in many grassland ecosystems is vegetation cover change, especially the encroachment of woody plants, such as trees and shrubs (Archer 1995, Haugo and Halpern 2010). Temperature and precipitation are key factors in determining vegetation cover; however, other environmental and anthropogenic factors may be at play in determining vegetation cover and dynamics and therefore ecosystem services. Historically, woody species in semi-arid grasslands like the Nebraska Sandhills were controlled by periodic fires (Bond et al. 2005). Due to changes in social values and land use, fires have been, in large, suppressed, which facilitated the shift from grasses to shrublands or woodlands in such ecosystems. Ecosystem shifts in species composition and cover have also been attributed to over-grazing, elevated atmospheric CO$_2$ levels, atmospheric nitrogen deposition, human-enhanced dispersal, and landscape fragmentation (Scholes and Archer 1997, Briggs et al. 2007). The consequences of this shift from grasslands to woodlands are extensive and are likely to include significant ecological, biogeochemical, hydrological, and economical impacts (Hibbard et al. 2001, Huxman et al. 2005).

In the Great Plains, ecological concerns associated with shifts in vegetative land cover from native semi-arid warm-season (C$_4$) dominated grasslands to woodlands include changes in local site productivity, species richness and diversity, and, subsequently, ecosystem processes such as water availability and recharge and balances of nitrogen and carbon. Transpiration and evaporation in wooded communities have been found to be greater than in grassland communities (Bosch and Hewlett 1982, Jobbágy and Jackson 2004, Owens et al. 2006), which leads to drier soils and less groundwater recharge (Owens et al. 2006, Eggemeyer et al. 2009). Economically, land management practices may need to be reconsidered. Forage production
decreases as tree density increases, creating less pasture and feed for livestock dominated
rangeland (Knap et al. 2008).

Changes in vegetation cover are being observed in grassland ecosystems throughout the
Great Plains of North America (Van Auken 2000). Eastern redcedar (Juniperus virginiana L.)
has expanded throughout tallgrass prairie in Kansas, the Loess Hills of eastern South Dakota, and
the Nebraska Sandhills (Briggs et al. 2002, Spencer et al. 2009, Schmidt and Stubbendieck
1993). Honey mesquite (Prosopis glandulosa Torr.) has encroached upon grasslands throughout
southern Texas and northern Mexico (Archer 1989, Hibbard et al. 2001). Ponderosa pine (Pinus
ponderosa P. & C. Lawson) has advanced into tallgrass prairie of the western Great Plains of
Nebraska, Wyoming, Colorado, and New Mexico (Kaye et al. 2010, Steinauer and Bragg 1987).
Nebraska’s Sandhills, once dominated by grasses, have seen a substantial increase in the
expansion of woody species, especially in P. ponderosa (Schmidt and Stubbendieck 1993, Kaye
et al. 2010).

Ponderosa pine is a major forest type in the western U.S. (Sala et al. 2005). Historically,
ponderosa pine presence was scattered and widely dispersed in the savannas and grasslands of
the western Great Plains (Wells 1970, Steinauer and Bragg 1987); however, it has been
expanding into adjacent grasslands from historical grassland-woodland ecotones (Steinauer and
Bragg 1987, Shinneman and Baker 1997). In the past, wildfire was a dominant factor in
controlling woody species and maintaining an open landscape dominated by grasses and forbs.
With the introduction of livestock grazing in the late 1800s (Truett 2003), grass fuels and
competition for tree seedlings were reduced (Covington et al. 1997). As ponderosa pine exerts a
more dominant presence in the Plains, major shifts will continue to be observed in species
composition, forage production and quality, and the balances of water, nitrogen, and carbon (Huxman et al. 2005).

As grasslands are replaced by woody species, ecological consequences will occur. Table 1 shows a summary of the effects of woody encroachment for eastern redcedar, a species that is increasing its presence in the Sandhills. Species richness and diversity of the herbaceous understory (Moore and Deiter 1992, Lett and Knapp 2005), and forage production (Moore and Deiter 1992, Scholes and Archer 1997) were found to decrease with an increase in eastern redcedar. Tree encroachment also causes shifts in plant functional groups. The once dominant \( \text{C}_4 \) grasses begin to disappear as \( \text{C}_3 \) grasses and shrubs take their place (Briggs et al. 2002, Lett and Knapp 2003). Combined, the ecological consequences of tree encroachment can have significant impacts on ecosystem functions, viability, and, consequently, services.

Table 2. Ecological Consequences of Eastern Redcedar (\( J. \) virginiana). This summary, compiled from various studies (Knapp et al. 2008, Ormsbee et al. 1976), shows the transformation from a warm-season (\( \text{C}_4 \)) grassland to a \( \text{Juniperus} \) forest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>( \text{C}_4 ) Grassland</th>
<th>( \text{Juniperus} ) Forest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dominant Growth Form</td>
<td>Graminoid</td>
<td>Woody Tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photosynthetic Pathway</td>
<td>( \text{C}_4 )</td>
<td>( \text{C}_3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf Phenology</td>
<td>Deciduous</td>
<td>Evergreen Conifer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root</td>
<td>Fibrous, Shallow</td>
<td>Tap Root, Fibrous, Deep (up to 7m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity to Drought</td>
<td>More Sensitive</td>
<td>Drought Tolerant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass Allocation</td>
<td>More Belowground</td>
<td>More Aboveground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual AG NPP</td>
<td>356 g m(^{-2})</td>
<td>725-1044 g m(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing AG Biomass</td>
<td>850 g m(^{-2})</td>
<td>14800 g m(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response to Fire</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species Richness</td>
<td>High (21.4)</td>
<td>Low (1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The primary objectives of this study are to determine the impacts of tree expansion (*i.e.* land-cover changes) on grassland productivity and species composition, and associated soil water content in the Nebraska Sandhills. The following hypotheses will be tested in this study: as tree canopy cover increases, 1) species composition and forage production decrease, 2) cool-season (*C*$_3$) grasses replace warm-season (*C*$_4$) dominants, and 3) soil water content decreases.

Understanding the impacts of woody species encroachment is a concern, not only in the Sandhills, but also in other semi-arid grassland dominated regions around the world (Archer *et al.* 2001). Establishing the impacts is crucial in predicting and preparing for changes in the future landscape, species composition, and land-use availability of the Sandhills.

**Materials and Methods**

*Site Description*

This study was conducted at the Nebraska National Forest (NNF) Halsey, NE, U.S. (825 m altitude, 41°51’45” N and 100°22’6” W) (Fig. 1). The NNF is a 25,000 hectare (∼55,000 acre) experimental forest located in the Nebraska Sandhills. It was established in 1902, predominantly planted with *P. ponderosa* in the 1930s and 1940s. Because of the forest’s uniformity in stand age and soils, the site is a prime location to study woody expansion and thicketization with minimal variation.

The climate of the study site is semiarid continental with an average annual precipitation of 570 mm, with 75% falling during the growing season (April to September). The average annual temperature is 8.6°C. The average low temperature in January is −13°C and the average high temperature in July is 31°C. Soils are loose well-drained Valentine fine sand (Mixed, mesic Typic Ustipsamments).

Ten long-term vegetation plots were established. Plot density ranged from open grassland to dense stands of *P. ponderosa*. A fenced enclosure encompassing at least 400 m$^2$...
surrounded each plot to prevent livestock grazing. All sites had similar slope and topographical features. The selected sites represent ponderosa pine stands with basal areas ranging from 0 $m^2 ha^{-1}$ to 49.5 $m^2 ha^{-1}$. Data measured included tree cover, basal area, tree biomass, leaf area index (LAI), percent light penetration, and monthly soil moisture content (10 and 70 cm).

*Composition and Yield*

Relative understory composition was measured using the modified step-point method (Owensby 1973). In mid-June and mid-August, all standing vegetation was clipped to ground level in at least ten randomly placed 0.25 $m^2$ quadrats (31 x 80 cm) at each site. The herbage yields were then determined from the vegetation clippings. Herbage yield categorized by plant functional group (e.g., C$_3$ grasses and C$_4$ grasses) was estimated for each plot.

*Tree Canopy Measurements*

In July, hemispherical photographs were taken at the center of each clipping plot with a Nikon 995 digital camera and a Nikon fisheye lens, which was gimbal-mounted on a tripod. To exclude understory vegetation, photos were taken 60 cm above the forest floor. Gap Light Analyzer software (GLA 2.0) was used to estimate the leaf area index (LAI) integrated over the zenith angles 0-60° (LAI14) and 0-75° (LAI15) of the digital images (Frazer et al. 1999). The LAI15 algorithm used is identical to that of the LAI-2000 (LICOR, Inc. Lincoln, NE). LAI measurements were used to determine the amount of light penetrating through the canopy. These figures helped determine the impact of tree leaf density on light regime and understory plant yield.

*Soil Water Content*

A Trime TDR (Time Domain Reflectometry) soil moisture system was used to measure the soil water content. The TDR was inserted into the access tubes in the soil, which were
installed at each plot. The sensors measured volumetric soil water content at two depths, 10 cm and 70 cm.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using JMP® statistical package and Microsoft® Excel. Basal area and LAI, the main drivers of afforestation, were the independent variables used as a measure of increased tree density.

Results

Environmental Conditions

Total precipitation received in 2005 was 491.9 mm, 14% below the long-term average of 573 mm (High Plains Regional Climate Center, University of Nebraska—Lincoln) (Fig. 2A). Air temperature was consistent with the 30-year average, with a maximum reached in July (40.5°C, DOY 204) and a minimum reached in January (-17.23°C, DOY 5) (Fig. 2B). Annual evapotranspiration (ET) was 1782.2 mm, while average daily ET was 4.88 mm, with a maximum reached in July (12.62 mm, DOY 197) and a minimum reached in January (0 mm, DOY 29) (Fig. 2C).

Canopy Cover and Biomass Production

LAI showed positive correlation with basal area (Fig. 3). The plots with a basal area of 0 m²ha⁻¹ had an LAI (leaf area index, a measure of light penetration through the canopy) of *P. ponderosa* of 0; whereas the plot with a basal area of 49.5 m²ha⁻¹ had an LAI of 1.87. Forage production and biomass decreased with increasing LAI.

Functional Group Density and Species Composition

Functional group density showed variability depending upon basal area. The overall species composition, except for grasslike plants and shrubs, decreased with increasing basal area
As basal area increased, the composition of C$_3$ and C$_4$ grasses decreased, with C$_3$ grasses beginning to replace C$_4$ grasses at basal areas greater than 5 m$^2$ha$^{-1}$ (Fig. 5). The composition of C$_4$ grasses was greater than that of C$_3$ grasses when the basal area was between 0 and 5 m$^2$ha$^{-1}$. The greatest species composition of C$_4$ grasses (98 individuals 400m$^2$, or 2,450 individuals ha$^{-1}$) occurred at a basal area of 5 m$^2$ha$^{-1}$. However, when the basal area was greater than 5 m$^2$ha$^{-1}$, the composition of C$_3$ grasses was consistently greater than the C$_4$ grasses. The greatest species composition of C$_3$ grasses (91 individuals 400m$^2$, or 2,275 individuals ha$^{-1}$) occurred at a basal area of 17.34 m$^2$ha$^{-1}$. The percent cover of C$_3$ grasses was greatest (52%) at a basal area of 20.29 m$^2$ha$^{-1}$ (Fig. 5). At a basal area of 49.5 m$^2$ha$^{-1}$, the percent cover of C$_3$ and C$_4$ grasses was 7.2% and 0%, respectively. Poa pratensis, a C$_3$ grass with high forage value, had the greatest density (66 ind 400m$^2$, 1,650 individuals ha$^{-1}$) at a basal area of 17.34 m$^2$ha$^{-1}$. Grasslike plant species composition was variable in relation to increasing basal area (Fig. 6). However, there was a general upward trend, with the exception of one outlier, which had the greatest composition (127 individuals 400m$^2$, or 3,175 individuals ha$^{-1}$) at 5 m$^2$ha$^{-1}$. Both forb and shrub composition was found to be highly variable and showed little correlation to basal area (Fig. 6). Forb composition was lowest (4 individuals 400m$^2$, or 100 individuals ha$^{-1}$) at 0 m$^2$ha$^{-1}$ and highest (63 individuals 400m$^2$, 1,575 individuals ha$^{-1}$) at 17.3 m$^2$ha$^{-1}$ basal areas. Shrub composition was both highest (16 individuals 400m$^2$, 400 individuals ha$^{-1}$) and absent in plots with a basal area of 0 m$^2$ha$^{-1}$.

**Soil Water Content**

Overall, volumetric soil water content decreased with increasing basal area (Fig. 7). Soil water averaged over the two measured depths (10 and 70 cm) was greatest in May (12.33%) and lowest in August (3.72%). The average daily weather calculations in May and August were
fairly similar (Fig. 2). In May, the average maximum temperature was 20.7°C, the average minimum temperature was 6.53°C, the average daily evapotranspiration was 6.41 mm, and the total precipitation was 74.9 mm. In August, the average maximum temperature was 29.3°C, the average minimum temperature was 15.3°C, the average daily evapotranspiration was 6.77 mm, and the total precipitation was 75.2 mm. Soil water content had greater variability at 10 cm than at 70 cm because of recent rainfall events and its closer proximity to the ground surface and thus, root systems.

Discussion

The results of this study were conclusive and confirmed the expected impacts of ponderosa pine encroachment in the Nebraska Sandhills. With increases in tree canopy cover and basal area, declines were reported in species composition, forage production, and volumetric soil water content, and cool-season (C₃) grasses began to replace warm-season (C₄) grasses before they both declined in areas of high tree basal area. Similar findings have been reported in other tree-grass interaction studies (Scholes and Archer 1997). Implications of this ecosystem shift from grasslands to woodlands are diverse and involve a wide array of ecological and socio-economical disciplines. Changes are likely to occur in livestock and land management, wildlife species diversity, and hydrology of the Sandhills.

The density and richness of understory plant species, including grasses and forbs, declined as the overstory canopy cover increased. This resulted in less diversity, and thus, changes in ecosystem services. These shifts in forage productivity and understory species diversity may have significant impacts for cattle production and management, an integral part of income and livelihood in the Sandhills. Volesky et al. (2007) found that 74% of cattle diet composition consisted of cool-season species, such as needle and thread (Stipa comata),
bluegrasses (*Poa* species), and sedges (*Carex* species). Both *Poa pratensis* and *S. comata* had the greatest densities at a basal area of 17.34 m$^2$ha$^{-1}$, but greatly declined in abundance thereafter with increasing basal area. These species are economically important to livestock managers as cattle rely on them for a large part of their diet. Livestock managers will have to consider alternate grazing and land management techniques as these key forage species, and other C$_3$ and C$_4$ grass species decline. As land managers look at the effects of these changes and consider land management techniques, they will also have to consider the cost-benefit analysis of human interference on livestock production and ecological factors such as species composition and diversity.

Changes in wildlife populations, especially grassland bird species, are likely to occur as this ecosystem change develops. Because the Sandhills region is centrally located in North America, hybridization of eastern and western species is common and allows for a diverse avian community (Labedz 1998). Frost and Powell (2010) found that woody encroachment of eastern redcedars decreased species richness and diversity of bird species. Open and mixed habitats, similar to those found in the savanna ecosystem of the Sandhills, tended to be higher in species richness.

Other ecological implications of this ecosystem shift include changes in water balance and recharge. The vast water supply of the Sandhills is a defining feature and resource that allows for great diversity and abundance in plant and animal species. The highly permeable soils and subsurface deposits allow for superior infiltration and recharge to the groundwater supply (Bleed 1998). However, woody encroachment may change the dynamics of hydrology in the Sandhills. Throughout the study period, volumetric soil water content decreased, especially at a depth of 70 cm, as basal area and tree canopy cover increased. Because most grass species roots
are often shallower (Weaver 1958), they rely on water from the upper soil profile (0.05-0.5 m) (Eggemeyer et al. 2009). Trees and shrubs have more plasticity in sources of water uptake because of their deeper, more extensive root system (Schenk and Jackson 2002). Eggemeyer et al. (2009) found that *P. ponderosa* in the Sandhills acquired most of its water from the 0.05-0.9 m soil profile and was capable of acquiring water from a depth of 0.9-7 m when soil water content declined in the upper soil profile. This plasticity and ability to acquire water from great depths may help woody species such as *P. ponderosa* to survive drought occurrences and increase their distribution in the future.

**Conclusion**

The primary objectives of this study were to determine the impacts of tree expansion on grasslands productivity and species composition, and associated soil water content in the Nebraska Sandhills. The results confirmed that the consequences of woody encroachment in the Sandhills were extensive and included ecological and hydrological impacts. We found as basal area increased 1) species composition and forage production decreased, 2) cool-season (*C_3*) grasses began to replace warm-season (*C_4*) dominants, and 4) soil water content decreased.

This decline in plant species diversity and grassland productivity will likely create changes in ecosystem services and functions. The Nebraska Sandhills are home to a diverse flora and fauna; however, its changing environment will provide different habitats for species to utilize. Understanding the effects of *P. ponderosa* expansion will enable land managers and ranchers to prepare for the changing environment and thus, consider appropriate management techniques, such as prescribed burning and thinning.

Future studies should include sampling a greater number of vegetation plots to understand threshold densities of canopy cover in relation to ecosystem shifts. Other studies
should also look at best land management practices for the changing environment of the Nebraska Sandhills.
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Figure 1. Location of the Nebraska Sandhills in relation to the study site, Halsey National Forest. (http://nematode.unl.edu/halseyNEmap.htm)
Figure 2. Seasonal dynamics in a) precipitation (mm), b) temperature (°C), and c) evapotranspiration (mm).
Figure 3. Site LAI (leaf area index) as a function of basal area (m$^2$ha$^{-1}$).

Figure 4. Functional group density (# of individuals/400m$^2$) of C$_3$ grasses, C$_4$ grasses, grasslike plants, forbs, and shrubs as a function of basal area (m$^2$ha$^{-1}$).
Figure 5. Density (# of individuals/400m$^2$) and percent cover (%) of C$_3$ and C$_4$ grasses as a function of basal area (m$^2$ha$^{-1}$).
Figure 6. Density (# of individuals/400m$^2$) of grasslike plants, forbs, and shrubs as a function of basal area (m$^2$ha$^{-1}$).
Figure 7. Monthly dynamics (March 2005 to November 2005; excluding July 2005) in soil moisture (%) and corresponding basal area (m²ha⁻¹).
Table 2. Understory species composition on site in relation to basal area (m² ha⁻¹).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Basal Area (m² ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃ Grasses:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromus japonicus</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromus tectorum</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichanthelium oligosanthes</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichanthelium wilcoxianum</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elymus canadensis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hesperostipa spartea</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koeleria macrantha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poa pratensis</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poa secunda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stipa comata</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulpia octoflora</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₄ Grasses:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andropogon hallii</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouteloua gracilis</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouteloua hirsuta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calomovilfa longifolia</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eragrostis curvula</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panicum virgatum</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizachyrium scoparium</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporobolus cryptandrus</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasslike:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex inops heliophilia</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sedge</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forb:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambrosia psilostachya</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antennaria neglecta</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artemisia ludoviciana</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asclepias arenaria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chenopodium album</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conyza canadensis</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helianthus annuus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidium densiflorum</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithospermum canescens</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maianthemum racemosum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physalis heterophylla</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantago patagonica</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solidago missouriensis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taraxacum officinale</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxicodendron radicans</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tradescantia occidentalis</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tragopogon dubius</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>annual mint</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unknown forb</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrub:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amorpha canescens</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juniperus virginiana</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opuntia polyacantha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus ponderosa</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus virginiana</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa arkansana</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa woodsii</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>