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Abstract

*Cicindela (Dromochorus) pruinina* (Casey) is a flightless tiger beetle that was described by Casey in 1897. Since its description, it has had a diverse nomenclatural history. It occurs from Kansas and central Missouri south through Oklahoma to south Texas. Aspects of the life history are poorly known, and the larval stages have not been described. Collections of live adults from Onaga, Kansas, were transferred to a terrarium, eggs were obtained, and larvae were reared and described. Larvae were similar to other known species of *Cicindela* L. Notes on habitat and adult behavior were also made.

The enigmatic *Cicindela pruinina* (Casey) was originally described as a full species in a separate genus, *Dromochorus* Guérin-Méneville (Casey 1897). Since that time, it has been variously listed under *Cicindela* (Boyd 1982), sometimes synonymized with *C. belfragei* Sallé (Freitag 1999), or listed as either a separate species from, or subspecies of, *C. belfragei* (Pearson et al. 2006). Pearson et al. (2006) stated that *C. pruinina* occurs “from Kansas and central Missouri south to Nueces and Webb counties in south Texas and west into the panhandle of Texas.” Also, according to Pearson et al. (2006), both “forms” (i.e., *C. belfragei* and *C. pruinina*) have been collected within a few km of each other in the Dallas area of Texas without showing evidence of intermediate forms. Although others may view *C. pruinina* as a morph or subspecies of *C. belfragei*, we have found that Johnson’s (1992) key consistently separates species of *Dromochorus*, and we recognize *C. pruinina* as a full species.

Because of these different taxonomic interpretations, it is impossible to determine the actual range of *C. pruinina* without re-examining individual specimens. *Cicindela pruinina* may be found within holdings of *C. belfragei*, or even *C. pilatei* Guérin-Méneville, in museums. Many specimens of *C. pruinina* are housed in museums with the label information “Onaga KS, 10–26 July 1907, F. F. Crevecoeur,” apparently the result of a population explosion and a fieldtrip collecting expedition (Ron Huber, pers. comm.).

Larval descriptions of North American tiger beetles are common in the literature, with 66 species described as of 1996 (Valenti 1996). Since 1996, only three additional North American tiger beetle larval descriptions are found in the literature: *Cicindela puritana* G. H. Horn (Knisley, in Leonard and Bell 1999), *C. theatina* Rotger (Pineda and Kondratieff 2002), and *C. cursitans* Le Conte (Brust et al. 2005). The life history and larval description of *C. pruinina* (and other species in the subgenus *Dromochorus*) are unknown (Valenti 1996).

The objectives of this study were to collect *C. pruinina* in the field, study its life history, and rear and describe the larval stages.
Methods

Potential sites near Onaga KS (Pottawatomie Co.) were visited on 5 July, 17 July, and 30 July 2005; 20 June, 29 June 2006. Searches were concentrated on roadside embankments consisting of native grasses rather than disturbed areas. Adult beetles were collected by hand. In addition, two pitfall traps were set at one site on 17 July and checked on 30 July 2005. Six live adults (two males, four females) were brought back to the laboratory in Lincoln on 5 July 2005 and caged in a two gallon, hexagonal terrarium. Local clay soil was used as a substrate, and soil was formed into a mound with a surrounding flat base. Soil was dampened with distilled water (ca. 4–6 oz.) when cracks developed. Adults and resulting larvae were fed on a mixed diet of mealworms, fruit flies, and other small insects at least once per week and maintained at an average room temperature of 72°F. Representative individuals of the three larval stages were “fished” out with a blade of grass or mechanically removed over the next year, boiled, and preserved in 70% EtOH.

Larvae were examined for the following characteristics, standard for Cicindela species (see Knisley and Pearson 1984): total length (TL), width of the third abdominal segment (W3), width of pronotum (PNW), length of pronotum (PNL), width of fronto-clypeal-labral area (FW), length of fronto-clypeal-labral area (FL), and PNL/PNW ratio.

Results and Discussion

Habitat and Adult Behavior. A total of 13 adult beetles were found at four sites within a 12 km radius of Onaga, all along Hwy. 16. Adults were extremely agile and fast, although flightless. Their behavior was similar to what we had observed for C. celeripes Le Conte, although C. pruinina appeared to run even faster. Adults were only seen on bare soil while scurrying from one grass clump to another. On one occasion, an adult was seen running under a rock. When the rock was lifted, the beetle had disappeared into a shallow (about 2 cm) hole, where it was dug out. Adults were active when the ambient temperature was over 38°C, and although we searched between 1600–1900 h, we did not find any beetles after 1800 h. This is in contrast to C. belfragei, which is active until dusk (ca. 2100–2150 h in late June/early July) (M. Paulsen, pers. comm.). No larval burrows were found. Pitfall traps yielded no C. pruinina. Soil at each site was silty loam or silty clay loam (USDA 1987) and strongly sloped. Grasses present included bluegrasses, Andropogon spp., blue grama, Bouteloua gracilis (H. B. K.) Griffiths, and indiangrass, Sorghastrum avenaceum (Michx.) Nash.

Larval Description. Measurements given below are the means (in mm) and range (n = 5) for each instar. Abbreviations and format follow Knisley and Pearson (1984) and Brust et al. (2005).

Third Instar
(Figs. 1, 4, 5, 6)

Description. Measurements. TL 19.6 (12–24); W3 3.2 (2.1–3.7); PNW 3.6 (3.2–3.8); PNL 2.0 (2.0–2.2); FW 1.8 (1.8–1.9); FL 2.0 (all 2.0); PNL/PNW 0.57 (0.53–0.63). Color. Head and labrum dark brown/black with green reflection; pronotum dark brown/black with purple reflection; mesonotum and metanotum light brown to dark brown. Antennae dark brown with green and purple reflection. Mandibles brown, darker distally. Maxillae light brown, darker distally. Dorsal
cephalic and pronotal setae dark brown; other body setae light brown to brown.  

**Head.** Setae numerous, ranging from long to very short. Antennal segment 1 with 9 setae, segment 2 with 8 setae, segment 3 with 3 setae, and segment 4 with 4 setae.  

**Pronotum.** 3 pairs of long setae along cephalolateral portion of disk, 3 pairs of long setae on anterior margin near midline; many (ca. 50 pair) short, marginal setae. Mesonotum with >50 pairs of setae; metanotum with >30 pairs of setae.  

**Abdomen.** Sclerotized areas light brown. Third tergites with 10–12 setae. Median hooks with 1 long and 1 short, stout setae; inner hook with 1–2 thin and 3 stout setae. Fifth caudal tergites with ca. 40 setae, of which ca. 12–14 are stout; epipleura with ca. 20 setae. Ninth eusternum with 6–7 pairs of prominent setae; pygopod with 6 pairs of stout setae.

### Second Instar  
(Fig. 2)  

**Description.** *Measurements.* TL 10.3 (0.9–12.5); W3 1.6 (1.4–1.9); PNW 2.4 (2.3–2.4); PNL 1.3 (1.3–1.4); FW 1.3 (1.2–1.4); FL 1.4 (1.3–1.4); PNL/PNW 0.57 (0.54–0.61).  

**Color.** Head and labrum dark brown with green reflection; pronotum dark brown with purple and green reflection; mesonotum and metanotum light orangish-brown to brown. Antennae dark brown with green and purple reflection. Mandibles orangish, turning dark brown distally. Maxillae yellowish orange, turning brown distally. Dorsal cephalic and pronotal setae dark brown; other body setae light brown to brown.  

**Head.** Setae numerous, ranging from long to very short. Antennal segment 1 with 4–5 setae, segment 2 with 5–6 setae, segment 3 with 3 setae, and segment 4 with 4 setae.  

**Pronotum.** 3 pairs of long setae along cephalolateral portion of disk, 3 pairs of long setae on anterior margin near midline. Many (ca. 50 pairs) short, marginal setae. Mesonotum with >50 pairs of setae; metanotum with >30 pairs of setae.  

**Abdomen.** Sclerotized areas very light brown. Third tergites with 12–14 setae. Median hooks with one long and one medium length setae; inner hooks with 2 thin and 3 stout setae. Fifth caudal tergites with 24–28 setae, of which ca. 10–11 are thick; epipleura with ca. 12–15 setae. Ninth eusternum with ca. 8 pairs of setae; pygopod with 8 pairs of stout setae.

### First Instar  
(Fig. 3)  

**Description.** *Measurements.* TL 8.3 (5.5–9.8); W3 1.1 (0.7–1.2); PNW 1.4 (all 1.4); PNL 0.9 (0.8–0.9); FW 0.8 (0.8–0.9); FL 0.8 (0.7–0.9); PNL/PNW 0.63 (0.57–0.70).  

**Color.** Head and labrum dark brown with green reflection; pronotum light brown to dark brown with green and purple reflection. Mesonotum and metanotum brown. Antennae brown. Mandibles orangish, turning dark brown distally. Maxillae yellowish-orange, turning brownish distally. Dorsal cephalic and pronotal setae light brown to dark brown; other body setae light brown to brown.  

**Head.** Ca. 12 pairs of long setae. Antennal segment 1 with 1 seta, segment 2 with 2 setae, segment 3 with 2 setae, and segment 4 with 4 setae.  

**Pronotum.** 3 pairs of long setae along cephalolateral portion of disk, 1 pair of long setae on anterior margin near midline. Ca. 12 pairs of shorter, marginal setae. Mesonotum with ca. 20 pairs of setae; metanotum with ca. 10 pairs.  

**Abdomen.** Sclerotized areas indistinct. Third tergites with 4 setae. Median hooks with one stout seta; inner hooks with 2 stout setae. Fifth caudal tergites with 3 long setae, epipleura
with 3–4 stout setae. Ninth eusternum with ca. 8 pairs of setae; pygopod with 3 pairs of stout setae and a few thin setae.

**Life History.** Captive adults were given a choice of mounded (sloped) or flat clay soil on which to oviposit in the terrarium. Larval burrows began to appear about 2–3 weeks after adults were introduced. About 75% of the burrows appeared on the sloped clay, while 25% were on the flat soil. Nearly 50 larvae were obtained from eggs laid by the captive adults.

*C. pruinina* probably has a two year life cycle. After one year, most larvae were 2nd instar, with a few 1st instars and 3rd instars. After 18 months, nearly half of
the larvae were 3rd instars. Larvae will continue to be monitored for the remainder of their life.

Observations of the larval morphology of *C. pruinina* indicate it is remarkably similar to most other species of *Cicindela*, even though adults are quite distinct morphologically. From this study alone, therefore, it is inconclusive whether *Dromochorus* is sufficiently distinct from *Cicindela* to elevate it from subgeneric to generic rank. In addition, future studies may want to focus on *C. belfragei* and compare it to *C. pruinina*. Knowledge gained from this study will allow a better understanding of the relationship between *C. pruinina* and the other *Dromochorus* species.
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