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Introduction
Recent studies show that adults today lack the critical problem solving skills and knowledge needed for the science, technology, mathematics, and engineering fields1. In response, educators, especially those in the life sciences, are being urged to equip students with the skills associated with the scientific inquiry process, which includes a systems thinking approach2.

The ability to comprehend simple relationships between or among the system’s components is one of eight characteristic abilities in the System Thinking Hierarchical Model (STH) Model3. Therefore, it is expected that reasoning with simple relationships is an ability that should be mastered by introductory biological science students.

Figure 1. An example of a simple relationship students should have mastered.

Cellular respiration can be considered a model topic covered in most introductory level classes. This study aims to establish the level at which University of Nebraska-Lincoln students reason with simple relationships in the context of cellular respiration at the levels of glycolysis, Krebs cycle, and electron transport chain. Students are generally taught these 3 processes in order and may have received different levels of emphasis on the processes. These processes are component processes of cellular respiration and each has multiple inputs and outputs. Cellular Respiration contributes to how all living organisms convert food sources such as glucose into usable energy and is a necessary foundation to other biological topics such as biochemistry. Therefore it is critical for students to understand how it works to truly know biology.

Research Question
How do students reason with the three main processes of cellular respiration?

Method

Conceptual Models
During their LIFE 120 laboratory sections, all students were instructed to represent their understanding of cellular respiration through the creation of a conceptual model (Fig 1). Students were given a list of 20 relevant terms. Students were permitted to add components not listed in the component bank.

Data Processing
633 student consented to this research IRB #14466). 18 student models were randomly selected and digitized using CmapTools and processed as text propositions in excel. Classroom observations were used to determine structures and relationships that were inputs and outputs to the 3 processes. An example can be seen in (Fig 2) with O2 as an output of the electron transport chain. The inputs and outputs for each process were scored with a correctness rubric.

Correctness
The correctness rubric used had a scale from 1-3. The score from the scale represented relationships that were:
1 – Incorrect
2 – Plausible but too vague
3 – Scientifically accurate

Figure 2. Example of student made conceptual model

Results

Table 1. Inputs and outputs that were included by >2 students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Outputs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glycolysis</td>
<td>ADP</td>
<td>NADH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krebs Cycle</td>
<td>Acetyl CoA</td>
<td>ATP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron Transport Chain</td>
<td>Electrons</td>
<td>FADH2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although students included only 1 input and output for each process (Fig 3), there are more that exist for each process (Table 1). From the component bank, students should have had between 3-4 inputs and outputs for each process. Still, out of the relationships students included in their conceptual models, they are fairly accurate with the ones related to the electron transport chain being more accurate than the Krebs cycle, and relationships associated with glycolysis intermediate to the other two processes.

All three main processes are essential to the understanding of cellular respiration as a whole. If students are not reasoning with all of the smaller components at a high level, then they need to develop their skills before they can consider the system holistically. A different approach that improves student’s system thinking skills should be considered. For example, computational modeling is one approach that has been recently investigated3. It can help students better understand the components, relationships, and processes of biological systems like cellular respiration.

Conclusions & Discussion

I would like to thank Steve Harris and John Osterman for allowing me to observe their classrooms. Nathan Niosco, McKenzie Kjspe, Taylor Uhlir, Alena Chistopolkalwa, Shirley Kalal for their help at lab meetings. This project was funded by a generous grant from the UCARE program at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln.

Acknowledgments

References
4. AAAS. "Vision and Change in Undergraduate Biology Education: A Call to Action." 2015.