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Abstract

The objective of this paper is to investigate the performance of adhesive joints of carbon/epoxy wind turbine blade subjected to combined bending and tension loadings through finite element method. The influence of adhesive material properties and geometrical details including fillet and imperfections was examined in terms of interlaminar stresses in the adhesive layer. The variation of stress intensity with change in adhesive shear modulus has also been investigated, while contour integral method was used for evaluating the stress intensity factors (SIF) at the imperfection tip. Furthermore, the strength of the joint was assessed through the crack initiation and propagation analysis. Results suggested that either adding a fillet or considering the plasticity led to the reduced peak stresses at the edge of the adhesive layer and redistributed the load to low stress regions. Inclusion of imperfections has resulted in high stress concentrations in the adhesive layer and reduction in the strength of the joint. Compared to the filleted adhesive, the strength of the joint reduced 2.4% and 4.8% considering a flat adhesive and filleted adhesive with through-thickness imperfection, respectively. Large shear modulus of the adhesive diminishes the fracture strength with the increased SIF.
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1. Introduction

Polymer matrix composites have been extensively used in the construction of large-scale wind turbine blades due to the low weight and high stiffness requirements [1,2]. Composite blade and its supporting spars are usually manufactured in parts and then bonded together with adhesives. During a typical 20-year service life, adhesively bonded wind turbine blades are subjected to static and fatigue loads under various environmental conditions. Thus, there is a need for rigorous analysis of the stress states in adhesive joints to facilitate a better design for wind turbine blades [3–5]. Jensen et al. [6] has performed a static bending test of a 34 m composite wind turbine blade to failure under flap-wise loading conditions and simulated the whole process. Overgaard et al. [7,8] recently tested on a 25 m wind turbine blade to study the failure mechanism of the blades. The structural response to the applied bending loads and interlaminar failure were simulated to correlate with the experimental measurements. Samborsky et al. has reported the experimental results of over 250 static and fatigue tests of thick adhesive joint specimens prepared by a turbine blade manufacturer [9]. They have observed that crack initiated at the flaw areas in the adhesive, which led to unexpected structural response regarding the joint failure and its associated strength [9,10]. These existing researches focused on the global behavior of the turbine blade, such as spar deflection, blade stiffness and stress levels. Since wind turbine blades are large-scale structures, it is difficult to avoid flaws in the manufacturing process, such as air bubbles in the adhesive layers. Detailed local characterization and analysis, such as geometric imperfections and its associated stress intensity behaviors, are lacking due to the computational difficulty for accurate predictions [6,11–15].

In this work, detailed finite element model of the spar–shell assembly has been developed to investigate the performance of carbon/epoxy wind turbine blade. The influence of material and geometrical properties is examined in terms of interlaminar stresses. The variation of stress intensity with change in adhesive shear modulus has been investigated, while contour integral method is used for evaluating the stress intensity factors (SIF) K_I, K_II, and K_III at the flaw tip. Furthermore, the analysis of crack initiation and propagation behavior is also used to evaluate the strength of the adhesive joint.

2. Finite element modeling

A schematic sketch of a wind turbine blade was shown in the left panel of Figure 1. The composite shell is supported by the spars to prevent the structural buckling of the blade. The spar and aerodynamic shell are glued together [16]. A three-
dimensional model of the adhesive joint between the composite shell and load-carrying spar was then developed using commercial finite element software ABAQUS (Dassault Systems Simulia Corp., RI, USA). The dimensions of the supporting spar, adhesive layer and composite shell are as depicted in Figure 2. The supporting spar is adopted as 1.5 times thicker than the composite shell so as to increase the strength of the support. Carbon/epoxy laminates with 4 plies (orientation 0°/+45°/–45°/0°) and 6 plies (orientation 0°/+45°/90°/90°/–45°/0°) have been used for the composite shell and spar material, respectively. Structural adhesive FM73 is used as bonding material with the isotropic elastic modulus of 1.1 GPa. The material properties are summarized in Table 1.

The model is meshed with reduced 8-node hexahedral elements (C3D8R). The mesh size was chosen as 1.0 mm through a mesh convergence study. The loading condition is to simulate a full scale wind turbine blade subjected to the lifting and drag force resulted from wind-induced pressure differences [16]. The composite shell is constrained in all degrees of freedom while 100 MPa of loading along y-direction combined with a 3 mm displacement along negative x-direction, is applied on the far end of supporting spar. Perfect adhesion is assumed on the interfaces between adhesive layer with composite shell or spar. SIF at the crack tip in the adhesive layer are calculated using contour integral method [18]. Furthermore, the extended finite element method (XFEM) [19,20] coupled with cohesive traction separation law has been used to model crack initiation and propagation in the adhesive layer.

### Table 1. Material properties of carbon/epoxy composite and FM73 adhesive [17].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Carbon/epoxy</th>
<th>FM73 adhesive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal modulus $E_1$ (GPa)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse in-plane modulus $E_2$ (GPa)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse out of plane modulus $E_3$ (GPa)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-plane shear modulus $G_{12}$ (GPa)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of plane shear modulus $G_{13}$ (GPa)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of plane shear modulus $G_{23}$ (GPa)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major in-plane Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{12}$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major out of plane Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{13}$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major out of plane Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{23}$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (g/cm$^3$)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture energy (kJ/m$^2$)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results and discussions

In this work, the interlaminar stresses, including peel stress $S_{22}$ and shear stresses $S_{12}$ and $S_{23}$, in the adhesive layer are evaluated along six different paths in the higher stress region (Figure 3). The distance along y-direction from 0 to 2.5 mm represents the adhesive layer in paths 3–6. However, due to the nonlinearity in geometry (fillet in the adhesive), the adhesive thickness in path-1 extends up to 6 mm while it is 3 mm in path-2.

3.1. Effect of adhesive plasticity

Elastic material model is usually used to represent the response of the adhesive layer in the joint analysis [9]. The plasticity of the adhesive is considered here. A linear hardening
material model of the adhesive layer is adopted with yield strength of 40 MPa and hardening coefficient of 1 GPa [21]. The distributions of interlaminar stresses along paths 1–6 for elastic adhesive are depicted in Figure 4, which served as the baseline data. The relative differences of these interlaminar stresses for adhesive material with and without plasticity are shown in Figure 5. It is clear that plasticity redistributes load and relieves stress concentrations in the adhesive layer. There is 8.2% reduction in the maximum peel stress considering the plasticity of the adhesive. As path number increases, a relative shift from tension to compressive peel stresses is observed in the adhesive layer due to bending load. The shear stress S23 changes its sign in the middle layer. The path by path comparison has shown that peel stress increases beyond path-3 after considering the plasticity of the adhesive. This indicates that the alleviated stresses in the high stress region are at the expense of load redistribution. Moreover, the maximum shear stress has been reduced by 4.6% and 13.3% for S12 and S23, respectively. Regardless of plasticity of the adhesive, large shear stress at the tip of adhesive layer could cause mode-II fracture of the joint.

### 3.2. Effect of adhesive fillet

Adhesive layer plays a vital role in transmitting load from the composite shell to the supporting spar. The geometrical features such as fillet could affect the mechanical strength of the adhesive joint. Figure 6 shows the relative difference of interlaminar stresses along paths 1–6 in the adhesive with and without fillet. It is noted that all interlaminar stresses in the adhesive layer increase its magnitude except shear stress S12 decreases along path-1. The effect of fillet on the interlam-
Figure 5. Relative difference of (a) peel stress $S_{22}$, (b) shear stress $S_{12}$ and (c) shear stress $S_{23}$ along six paths for adhesive with plasticity.

Figure 6. Relative difference of (a) peel stress $S_{22}$, (b) shear stress $S_{12}$ and (c) shear stress $S_{23}$ along six paths for flat adhesive.
Inar stresses in the adhesive layer generally reduced as path number increases, i.e. away from the fillet edge. This indicates that a fillet impacts local stress concentrations. Absence of fillet leads to 3.0% increase in maximum value of peel stress in the adhesive layer while shear stresses $S_{12}$ and $S_{23}$ decrease by 6.5% and 4.6%, respectively. This is in total agreement with experimental results obtained by Adams et al. [22].

### 3.3. Effect of through-thickness imperfection

It is unavoidable that the imperfections exist in the adhesive layer because of entrapped air bubbles [23]. To investigate the effect of imperfection, a through-thickness elliptic cylinder (1 mm by 0.5 mm) positioned at 1.25 mm away from the adhesive tip (Path-1) is added to the model as shown in Figure 7. The resulting stress distribution along paths 1–6 in the adhesive joint is depicted in Figure 8. It is obvious that inclusion of imperfection has resulted in increased stress concentrations in the adhesive layer. The maximum peel stress $S_{22}$ and shear stresses $S_{12}$ and $S_{23}$ in the adhesive layer increased by 2.29, 2.22 and 2.65 times, respectively. The peak peel stress in the adhesive layer has shifted to the adhesive–shell interface instead of adhesive–spar interface in case of without imperfections. It is clear that stress states in the adhesive layer are sensitive to imperfection, which is consistent with the observations by Guo et al. [23].

Fracture is commonly initiated from region with defects. To assess the stability of the adhesive joint with elliptical void, SIF are computed at the two tips of the void, referred as tip-1 and tip-2 (Figure 7). The resultant mode-I, mode-II and mode-III SIF at both tips are plotted with respect to the shear modulus of the adhesive as shown in Figure 9. It is clear that mode-I and mode-II are the more dominant modes with mode-I leading the way. This agrees with the experimental observation by Samborsky et al. [9]. The mode-I SIF variation between the two void tips is 19.5% at the 2000 MPa shear modulus, while in mode-II SIF the difference is 71%. Our results also show that the SIF increase with the larger adhesive shear modulus causing delamination or breakage. However, lower shear modulus reduces the bonding strength.

### 3.4. Crack initiation and propagation

The crack initiation and propagation are captured using the XFEM through a built-in user subroutine UEL_XFEM. Instead of embedding a crack tip in the adhesive, the XFEM automati-
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4. Conclusions

Finite element method has been used to study the performance of the carbon/epoxy spar–shell assembly in a wind turbine blade subjected to bending. The effects of material and geometrical properties of the adhesive layer including voids are investigated. Crack initiation and propagation behavior is used to evaluate the strength of the adhesive composite joint. The conclusions are summarized as following:

- Considering the plasticity of the adhesive material, there is an 8.2% reduction in the maximum peel stress while the maximum shear stress has been reduced by 4.6% and 13.3% for interlaminar shear stresses S12 and S23, respectively.
- Both adding a fillet and considering the plasticity will reduce the peak stress concentrations at the edge of the adhesive layer and redistribute the load to low stress regions.
- Voids in the adhesive will lead to reduced joint strength with earlier crack initiation. There is more than two-fold increase in the magnitude of interlaminar stresses in the adhesive layer with one through-thickness imperfection.
- Large shear modulus of the adhesive usually improves the bonding strength, but diminishes the fracture strength with the increased SIF.
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