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Lure-size Restrictions in Recreational Fisheries

We conducted angling experiments to examine the potential use of lure-size restrictions to effect or reinforce length limits. We used four sizes of lures and five color patterns to assess effects of lure size and color on the number and length of largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*) captured by angling. There was a significant \( F = 12.03; \text{df} = 1, 177; P = 0.0007 \) lure-size effect on the total length (TL) of captured largemouth bass. Catch rates of fish \( \geq 305\text{-mm TL} \) ranged from 0 to 0.5 fish per hour and were unrelated to lure size. Lure color pattern had no effect on length of fish captured \( F = 1.44; \text{df} = 4, 230; P = 0.2320 \). Angling experiments and results from angling simulations suggest lure-size restrictions can be used to indirectly effect or reinforce minimum-length limits and provide managers with a means to reduce catch of nontarget-size fish.

Introduction

Length and possession (creel or bag) limits are among the most commonly used regulations for management of recreational fisheries. Although several types of length limits (e.g., minimum, maximum, protected slot, and inverse slot) are used to manage fisheries, all require that anglers release certain sizes of fish. Daily possession limits also require release of captured fish after anglers’ catches reach prescribed limits. Depending on environmental conditions such as water temperature (Muoneke 1992) and dissolved oxygen concentration (Hickman 1998), angler handling (Cooke et al. 2001), bait type (e.g., live bait versus artificial lures) (Clapp and Clark 1989; Diggles and Ernst 1997), bait orientation (Broadhurst and Hazin 2001), and hook type (Klein 1965; Matlock et al. 1993), a variable proportion of released fish does not survive capture, handling, and release. Mortality rates of released fish often are acceptable but typically are believed to be “high,” warranting management attention, when they exceed 20% (Muoneke and Childress 1994).

The success of length limits in attaining their intended purposes depends on the survival of released fish (Muoneke and Childress 1994). Although mortality of angler-caught and released fish can be very low (Dunmall et al. 2001; Diggles and Ernst 1997), it may be excessive at certain times of the year or for fish captured under specific circumstances. For example, Wilde et al. (2000) reported that mortality of striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) could exceed 30% (artificial lures) to 40% (natural baits) during summer when water temperatures exceed 25°C. Mortality of red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) increases with depth of capture, with a mortality rate of 44% observed among fish captured at 37 to 40 m (Gitschlag and Renaud 1994). Similarly, mortality of yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*) (Keniry et al. 1996) and largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*) (Feathers and Knable 1983) is related to depth of capture, and can exceed 50% among fish captured from depths greater than 15 m (yellow perch) or 27 m (largemouth bass). Anglers targeting these species may catch and release a substantial number of fish, which are subject to a high rate of catch-and-release mortality, before catching their limits of legal-length fish. Under these conditions, catch and release is inconsistent with Armstrong et al.’s (1990) concept of good fisheries management, which requires that fishing gears catch target-length fish while allowing nontarget-length individuals to escape unharmed. Further, high rates of mortality are difficult to defend in light of the ongoing debate about the ethical status of catch-and-release fishing (de Leeuw 1996; Balon 2000; Aas et al. 2002).

The size of prey that predatory fishes can capture and consume is directly related to predator length and gape (Werner 1974; Dennerline and Van Den Avyle 2000; Hartman 2000). Because most recreationally important fishes are carnivorous, these relationships between predator length and prey size suggest that, on average, the size of baits or artificial lures used by recreational anglers might be regulated to manipulate length of fish captured (Miranda and Dorr 2000). Catch rates of commercial fishing gears for undersized fish, which must be discarded and which reduce fishing efficiency for larger, more valuable individuals, can be reduced by using larger baits (Løkkeborg 1990; Løkkeborg and Bjordal 1995; Huse and Soldal 2000), hooks (Cortez-Zaragoza et al. 1989; Otway and Craig 1993), and lures (Orsi 1987; Orsi et al. 1993). Further, catch rates of larger fish may be unaffected (e.g., Willis and Millar 2001), or may even increase because of increased gear efficiency (Ralston 1990) and reduced competition between small and large fish (Løkkeborg and Bjordal 1992). In this article we provide an experimental evaluation of the potential use of lure-size restrictions to reduce the catch of undersized fish as a means for indirectly implementing, or reinforcing, a minimum-length limit. We use computer simulations to further explore the relationship between largemouth bass population size structure and lure-size effects on the length of fish caught. Because lure color may affect catch rates (Hsieh et al. 2001) we also present results from an experimental assessment of...
whether length of fish captured by angling is affected by lure color.

We chose largemouth bass as our experimental organism because it is one of the most frequently targeted recreational species in the United States (USDOI and USDOC 2002). Also, available information on prey (Durham and Bennett 1949; Lewis et al. 1961; Espinoza and Deacon 1973) and size selectivity (Lawrence 1958; Timmons and Pawaputanon 1980; Hambright 1991) of largemouth bass suggest that lure size and color are likely to affect the number and length of fish captured by angling.

Materials and Methods

To assess the effects of lure size and color on length and catch rate we conducted a series of angling experiments on 24-25 June 2002. The study site was a 4-ha, spring-fed impoundment on the Aught-Four Ranch, McClean (Gray County), Texas with a shoreline development index of 1.32, a mean depth of 1.7 m, and 73% vegetation coverage (Shavlik 2000). The lake receives little fishing pressure and few fish are harvested. The predominant prey for largemouth bass in this pond are dragonflies (Odonata) and bluegill \((Lepomis macrochirus)\). We selected this pond for our study because it has a dense population of slow growing 203- to 254-mm total length (TL) largemouth bass (75% of the population was in this size range in spring 2002 electrofishing samples), but also supports individuals up to 584-mm TL. Because of the abundance of largemouth bass less than 356-mm TL, a common length for minimum-length limits (e.g., Wilde 1997), we believed this population would present a severe challenge of our hypothesis that lure size can be manipulated to reduce catch of small, non-target fish.

To assess lure-size effects, we used four sizes—70, 89, 133, and 178 mm—of the original, silver color-pattern Rapala® floating crank bait. To assess lure-color effects we used 89-mm lures in each of four color patterns: “blue shiner,” “brown trout,” “fathead minnow,” and “firetiger.” In each experiment, our goal was to catch 50 largemouth bass with each lure size or color pattern. The two smaller lures (70 and 89 mm) each had two treble hooks, whereas the larger lures (103 and 178 mm) each had three treble hooks. Angling was conducted with lightweight rods and 3.6- to 4.5-kg test monofilament line. All lure sizes (lure-size experiment) or color patterns (lure-color experiment) were simultaneously fished for 30 min by one of four anglers. After 30 min, lures were randomly rotated among anglers according to a Latin-square design (Underwood 1997), which was cycled through
approximately every 2.5 h allowing 30 min during each cycle for switching lures and angler breaks. Once at least 50 fish were captured on a given lure size or color pattern, that lure was replaced in the rotation with the size or color of lure on which the smallest number of fish had been caught. Number of fish captured in each fishing period, length of captured fish, and lure size and color were recorded.

We did not mark or tag fish released during our experiments, thus it is possible that some fish were captured more than once. We believe that few fish were recaptured in our experiments because largemouth bass, once captured, generally are not quickly recaptured (Hackney and Linkous 1978).

Analysis of Length Frequencies

We used S-Plus version 6.0 (Insightful Corp., Seattle, Washington) software to fit a generalized linear model (McCullagh and Nelder 1989) to assess the effects of lure size (length in mm) on length of captured largemouth bass. Length frequencies of captured largemouth bass in our study were unimodal and slightly skewed to the right (Figure 1); therefore, we assumed a gamma distribution for our model error distribution and used an identity (non-transformed) link function.

We used a generalized linear model to assess the effects of lure color on length of captured largemouth bass. In addition to the four lure color-patterns used specifically to examine color effects, we also included results for the 89-mm silver-colored lure in this analysis because we did not expect any difference in size selectivity between days. We assumed a gamma distribution for model errors because length frequencies of captured fishes were unimodal and slightly skewed to the right (Figures 1 and 2). We used an identity link function in this model.

Analysis of Catch Rates

We modeled catch rate (fish per hour) as a Poisson variable and used a generalized linear model with a log link function to assess the effects of lure size on catch rate. We tested the null hypothesis that catch rates for each pair of lures were equal (two-tailed test). We assessed lure-size effects on three sizes of fish (all lengths combined, fish >254-mm TL, and fish >305-mm TL). For each size, we controlled the experiment-wide error rate with the sequential-Bonferroni adjustment (Rice 1989). For the lure color-pattern experiment, we again modeled catch rate (fish per hour) as a Poisson variable and fit generalized linear models using a log link function for each pair wise combination of lure color-patterns except for the silver color-pattern. The null hypothesis for these tests was that catch rates were equal (two-tailed test) for all color patterns. The experiment-wide error rate was controlled with the sequential-Bonferroni adjustment. We excluded the silver color-pattern from these analyses because fish were captured on a different day and,
therefore, differences in catch rates might be attributable either to temporal or color effects.

**Angling Simulations**

We used computer simulations to assess the ability of lure-size restrictions to manipulate length of largemouth bass captured from populations differing in size structure. In these simulations we first estimated the size-selectivity of the 70- and 178-mm lures by comparing lengths of fish captured with each lure with those of largemouth bass captured from the Aught-Four pond during spring 2002 electrofishing. For both lures, size-selectivities were scaled to range from 0 to 1. We simulated three largemouth bass populations that differed from each other, and that of the Aught-Four pond, in size structure. These populations were characterized by a bimodal length frequency (Wynne et al. 1993; Figure 1, data for 1988), a unimodal length frequency in which most fish were ≥325-mm TL (Wynne et al. 1993; Figure 1, data for 1992), and a unimodal length frequency in which fish were most abundant between 275- and 350-mm TL (Ebbers 1987; Figure 2, 1983 electrofishing data). We selected at random, with replacement, fish from each population. For each selected fish, a random variate was drawn from a uniform (0, 1) distribution. If the random variate was less than or equal to the lure selectivity for that size of fish, the fish was considered as “captured.” We performed simulations until 500 fish were captured from each simulated population on each lure. Angling simulations were coded in MATLAB (The MathWorks, Inc.).

**Results**

In the lure-size experiments we captured 179 largemouth bass, which ranged from 152 to 457 mm in TL (Figure 1). Mean lengths (± SE) of largemouth bass captured on the four lures were: 233 ± 3.8 mm (70-mm lure); 243 ± 4.8 mm (89-mm lure); 240 ± 4.1 mm (133-mm lure); and 267 ± 10.6 mm (178-mm lure). There was a significant relationship between length of captured largemouth bass and lure size (LureSize, mm) (TL = 218.0 + 0.23 LureSize; F = 12.03; df = 1, 177; P = 0.0007, r² = 0.07) indicating that length of captured largemouth bass increased directly with lure size. We noted a relatively high rate of foul hooking on the 133-mm lure during our angling experiments and attribute the smaller mean length of fish captured on this lure, compared to the 89-mm lure, to small fish captured in this manner.

Catch rates for all sizes of largemouth bass ranged from 3.29 (178-mm lure) to 13 fish per hour (70- and 133-mm lures) (Table 1). Mean catch rates for the 70-, 89-, and 133-mm lures were significantly greater than that for the 178-mm lure. Catch rates for fish ≥254 mm ranged from 1.43 (178-mm lure) to 4 fish per hour (70-mm lure) and differed significantly only between the 70- and 178-mm lures. Mean catch rates for fish ≥305 mm ranged from 0 (70-mm lure) to 0.5 fish per hour (133-mm lure) and differed significantly only between the 70- and 178-mm lures.

**Figure 2.** Length frequencies of largemouth bass captured on 89-mm artificial lures (crank baits) varying in color pattern. All color patterns were fished simultaneously and were rotated among anglers every 30 min. Assignment of lures to anglers followed a Latin-square design.
In the lure-color experiments we captured 183 largemouth bass, which ranged in length from 127- to 432-mm TL (Figure 2). We caught an additional 52 largemouth bass, which ranged from 152- to 457-mm TL (Figure 1), on the 89-mm silver color-pattern lure. There was no relationship between length of fish captured and lure color ($F = 1.44; df = 4, 230; P = 0.2320$). Largemouth bass catch rates were $3.88$ fish per hour with the firetiger pattern, $5.88$ per hour with the brown trout pattern, and $6.25$ per hour with the blue shiner and fatthead minnow color patterns. Catch rates for the firetiger color pattern were significantly ($P < 0.05$) lower than those for the blue shiner and fatthead minnow patterns, but there were no apparent differences in catch rates among lure color-pattern combinations.

There was a consistent difference in lengths of fish captured with the small and large lures in each of the simulated populations (Figure 3). In our simulations, mean lengths ± SE of fish captured with small lures ranged from $223 ± 2.9$ mm to $250 ± 4.1$ mm, and mean lengths of those captured with large lures ranged from $323 ± 2.7$ mm to $353 ± 3.6$ mm. The difference in mean length between fish captured on small and large lures was $100, 87$, and $111$ mm, respectively, in the three simulated populations. Based on simulated catches of 500 individuals each, we observed a $33$-mm increase in mean length of fish captured by anglers can be manipulated by regulating lure size. In our angling experiment we observed a $33$-mm increase in mean length between largemouth bass caught with the $70$- and $178$-mm lures. This difference represents $11%$ of the observed range in length of largemouth bass ($127$- to $457$-mm TL) in this population, which is dominated by individuals that are $254$- to $305$-mm TL. We believe that a greater difference in mean length among fish captured with different sizes of lure would be observed in populations with a more balanced size structure (Erzini et al. 1996, 1997). Indeed, this is supported by our angling simulations, which showed that mean lengths of fish captured on small and large lures differed by $87$ to $111$ mm depending on population size structure.

Our simulations show that catches of fish smaller than $356$-mm and $381$-mm TL can be reduced by $28%$ to $47%$ and $14%$ to $36%$, respectively, if anglers were restricted from using the $70$-mm lure and instead used the $178$-mm lure. These results are based on catches of $500$ individuals on each lure and do not consider differences among lures in catch rate. Largemouth bass catch rates on the large lure were approximately one-third those of the small lure in our angling experiments. If the number of fish captured with the large lure in our simulations is reduced by $66%$, use of the large lure alone could reduce the catch of fish smaller than $356$-mm and $381$-mm TL by $76%$ to $82%$ and $72%$ to $79%$, respectively.

Largemouth bass catch rates during our angling experiments ranged from $10$ to $13$ fish per hour on the $70$-, $89$-, and $133$-mm lures, but were only $3.29$ per hour on the $178$-mm lure. Because there was little difference among lures in catch rates of fish $> 305$-mm TL ($0$ to $0.5$ fish per hour), differences in catch rates among lures are due to their relative efficiency in capturing fish $< 305$-mm TL, which generally are protected by minimum-length limits. Notably, the smallest lure used in our experiments caught no fish larger than $279$-mm TL. This may be a result of sampling variation or competition between the abundant small fish and the less abundant, larger fish (Løkkeborg and Bjordal 1992).

We anticipated a possible lure color-pattern effect on largemouth bass catch rates based on the results of Brown (1937), Durham and Bennett (1949), and Hsieh et al. (2001), but had no preconceptions about potential effects on length of captured fish. Lure color-pattern affected catch rates, but had no effect on length of largemouth bass captured in our experiments. We conclude there is no need to consider lure color when implementing a lure-size restriction.

We believe that lure-size restrictions could be used to emulate or reinforce slot- or maximum-length limits, as well as minimum-length limits, in some species. Mouth shape and width affect prey-size selectivity (Huskey and Turingan 2001; Magnhagen and Heibo 2001) and determine which types of length limits might be effected (Cortez-Zaragoza et al. 1989). For example, as a fish grows progressively larger prey is included in the diet, but smaller prey generally is not excluded (Juanes 1994). This pattern of prey utilization should result in a logistic, or $s$-shaped, size-selectivity curve (Kenchington 1993) in fishes that have a large gape such as largemouth bass and barramundi ($Lates calcarifer$). Because only smaller

### Table 1.

Mean (+ SE) catch rates (number per hr of angling) of largemouth bass captured using four sizes of artificial lures. Catch rates are calculated separately for all fish captured, those $\geq 254$-mm TL, and those $\geq 305$-mm TL. The 70- and 89-mm lures each had two treble hooks, whereas the 133- and 178-mm lures each had three treble hooks. Means within a row followed by the same letter are not significantly different.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fish length</th>
<th>Lure size (mm)</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>89</th>
<th>133</th>
<th>178</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All fish</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.00 ± 1.27 $^y$</td>
<td>10.40 ± 1.02 $^y$</td>
<td>13.00 ± 1.28 $^y$</td>
<td>3.29 ± 0.48 $^y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq 254$ mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.25 ± 0.73 $^x$</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.53 $^x$</td>
<td>2.75 ± 0.59 $^x$</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.32 $^x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq 305$ mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00 $^z$</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.20 $^z$</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.25 $^z$</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.18 $^z$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Our results demonstrate that the number and length of fish captured by anglers can be manipulated by regulating lure size. In our angling experiment we observed a $33$-mm increase in mean length between largemouth bass caught with the $70$- and $178$-mm lures. This difference represents $11%$ of the observed range in length of largemouth bass ($152$- to $457$-mm TL) in this population, which is...
individuals are prey-size limited, a logistic selectivity curve would suggest that a minimum-length limit could be effected or reinforced with lure-size restrictions. In contrast, fishes with a small gape, such as bluegill and roach (Rutilus rutilus), would be more likely to exhibit non-monotonic size-selectivity curves that can be modeled as normal, skew-normal, and gamma distributions (Kenchington 1993). Such selectivity curves suggest, for a fish of given length, there is an optimum prey size (Werner 1974, 1979) and that progressively smaller or larger prey are increasingly less likely to be attacked. In these species, it may be possible to effect or reinforce minimum-length limits by restricting the use of small lures, maximum-length limits by restricting the use of large lures, and slot-length limits by restricting the use of smaller and larger lures. The effectiveness of these restrictions would likely be related to the width of the prey-size selectivity curve for a given species.

Numerous precedents for bait and lure restrictions exist in recreational fisheries. Anglers in some areas are required to use artificial baits (lures and flies) or flies only, with further restrictions on hook number, size, configuration (single versus treble), and presence of barbs (e.g., Seehorn 1984). These restrictions generally are used to manage specialty fisheries in relatively small, localized areas. We envision management of such fisheries as one potential use for lure-size restrictions. A second use might be on small, private impoundments where catches of undersized fish are problematic and gear restrictions can be easily implemented. Perhaps the most important, but controversial, use of lure-size restrictions would be on larger water bodies, where there is a need to reduce the catch of certain sizes of fish. On these waters, lure- (and bait-) size restrictions could be coupled with length limits to reduce catches of undersized (or nontarget-length) individuals. We anticipate some initial opposition to the use of lure-size restrictions because anglers generally indicate less support for gear and bait restrictions than for length limits (Wilde and Ditton 1991) and because catch is an important determinant of fishing satisfaction (Holland and Ditton 1992; Spencer 1993; Finn and Loomis 2001). However, it is impossible to accurately predict angler response to a lure-size restriction because anglers differ in the importance they attach to catching fish of different sizes (Hudgins 1984): some anglers prefer to catch a greater number of smaller fish, whereas others prefer to catch a smaller number of large fish (Wilde and Ditton 1994; Fisher 1997). Differences in anglers’ preferences for different sizes of

Figure 3. Length frequencies of simulated largemouth bass populations (gray histograms) and of fish captured in angling simulations using 70- (black bars) and 178-mm lures (gray bars). Size-structure information for simulated populations was obtained from Wynne et al. (1993) for panels A and B and Ebbers (1987) for panel C.
Recreational angling is highly selective and arguably has a greater effect on fish population dynamics than does any other factor in inland waters. However, in contrast to commercial fisheries (e.g., Ralston 1990; Løkkeborg and Bjordal 1992; Erzini et al. 1996), surprisingly little is known about the gear used in recreational fisheries. Such information would be useful in implementing gear, including lure-size, restrictions (Brodhurst et al. 1999). For example, there is as yet no consensus on something as basic as whether barbless hooks reduce mortality of captured and released fish (Taylor and White 1992; Schill and Scarpella 1997). Angling methods and gear used by recreational anglers are selective toward larger fishes (Gabelhouse and Willis 1986; Pierce and Cook 2000). The size of terminal gear (tackle) may affect both length and number of fish captured (Orsi 1987; Miranda and Dorr 2000; this study). In this article we suggest only one way that information on gear selectivity might be useful in fishery management, but this information also will be useful in developing and refining various models of the angling process (e.g., Raat 1985; Deriso and Parma 1987; Shimizu et al. 1996) to provide a better understanding of gear selectivity and fishery impacts of recreational angling. Because the length and species of fish available, hence vulnerable, to recreational anglers varies by season and location (Gabelhouse and Willis 1986; Pope and Willis 1996; Schultz and Schneider 1999), a few thorough studies documenting seasonality and frequency of use of various terminal gears by anglers would be valuable.

Catch-and-release mortality remains problematic in many instances. Black bass (Micropterus spp.) captured and released in fishing tournaments during the 1970s suffered a high rate of mortality, which resulted in public outcry and adoption and refinement of live-release practices by tournament sponsors and participants (Holbrook 1975; Wilde et al. 2002). Although mortality since has been reduced to about 27% (Wilde 1998), it still exceeds the 20% criterion of Muoneke and Childress (1994). Similarly, despite extensive study (e.g., Muoneke and Childress 1994; Lucy and Studholme 2002) mortality of fish captured and released by recreational anglers remains high in certain situations, such as when fishes are captured from depth (Gitschlag and Renaud 1994; Keniry et al. 1996) or during the warm season (Wilde et al. 2000). To date, high mortality of released fish in these circumstances has not been adequately addressed, although some initial attempts have been made. For example, a 356-mm minimum-length limit for walleye (Stizostedion vitreum) is in force from April through June on Lake Francis Case, South Dakota. The length limit is suspended during the rest of the year to allow retention of undersized walleye during summer when they are captured in warm water, and in winter when they are typically captured from deep water (Stone and Lott 2002). In Texas, a 254-mm minimum-length limit for crappie (Pomoxis spp.) is suspended on some reservoirs during winter, with the requirement that anglers retain all captured crappie, because of unacceptably high mortality attributed to capture from deep water. Seasonal suspension of length limits provides one means for reducing high catch-and-release mortality; however, it calls into question the ability of the regulation to effect changes in fishery characteristics such as size structure and catch rate. Modeling studies demonstrate that projected benefits of length limits are compromised by relatively modest rates of mortality of protected-length fish (Waters and Huntsman 1986). Consequently, alternative approaches to reducing mortality of released fishes should be explored. Lure- (and bait-) size restrictions,
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