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Native starch is composed of granules that are organized, durable, and morphologically identifiable microscopic structures of amyllose and amylopectin molecules (Virtanen and Autio 1993; Snyder 1984). Sahai and Jackson (1994) reported that native starch granules from a single starch source were not homogeneous in character and had different functional properties. Because of their heterogeneity, it should not be expected that starch granules behave uniformly when heated in water (Sahai and Jackson 1996). The extent of heat treatment, starch hydration, and the inherent cal and chemical properties of native starch determine the and amylopectin molecules (Virtanen and Autio 1993; Snyder and morphologically identifiable microscopic structures of amylose and amylopectin molecules from disrupted granules, and gelatinization (Eliasson and Gundmusson 1996). Starch functionality in food is governed by the inherent chemical and physical properties of its structures. One of the starch forms that exists in certain food systems is annealed starch. For example, corn steeped for tortilla processing is considered to have annealed starch (Gomez et al 1992; Sahai et al 1999). Krueger et al (1987a) postulated that annealing occurs during commercial wet-milling of corn starch, sometime after steeping but before starch drying. Annealing is a physical treatment that modifies the chemical and physical properties of starch without destroying granular structure.

Stute (1992) defined annealing as “alterations within the crystallites of the granules”. The annealing process requires incubation of granular starch in excess water, for a period of time at a temperature below the gelatinization temperature (Jacobs et al 1995; Slade and Levine 1988; Stute 1992). Gough and Pybus (1971) were among the first to describe the effect of annealing on wheat starch in water at 50°C using hot-stage microscopy. Their findings of increased peak gelatinization temperature and decreased gelatinization range were confirmed later by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) experiments (Yost and Hoseney 1986; Krueger et al 1987a,b; Knutson 1990; Tester and Morrison 1990; Larson and Eliasson 1991; Lopez and Lopez 1991; Stute 1992). An increase (Krueger et al 1987a,b; Knutson 1990; Hoover and Vasanthan 1994; Jacobs et al 1995) or no change (Yost and Hoseney 1986; Larson and Eliasson 1991; Stute 1992; Jacobs et al 1995) in the gelatinization enthalpies were observed.

The degree of crystallinity in starch can be determined using X-ray diffraction techniques. Gough and Pybus (1971) observed no changes in X-ray patterns as a result of annealing. Jacobs et al (1998) also found no changes in crystal type and degree of crystallinity after one- and two-step annealing of wheat, potato, and pea starches. Annealing increases granular stability (Hoover and Vasanthan 1994), reorganizes granular structure (Krueger et al 1987a,b), and results in more organized structures with lower free energies (Blanshard 1987). Some researchers, however, have discussed annealing in terms of starch crystallite perfection and starch crystallinity (Yost and Hoseney 1986; Tester and Morrison 1990; Larson and Eliasson 1991), granule rigidity (Jacobs et al 1995), polymer chain realignment within granules and partial crystallite melting (Marchant and Blanshard 1980), binding force changes between crystallites and the amorphous matrix (Stute 1992), mobility differences in amorphous or crystalline regions (Nakakava et al 1984), and improved interactions between amylose and amylopectin (Knutson 1990).

Knutson (1990) found that a higher annealing temperature could be reached by a multistep procedure that involves first annealing at one temperature, then reannealing at a slightly higher temperature. Because the first annealing increases the onset temperature at which gelatinization starts, the sample could be held at a higher annealing temperature that is just below the new onset temperature without causing gelatinization. This process could be repeated until further annealing resulted in no increase in the onset temperature. It was also suggested that at the point where a starch reached its highest onset temperature, it presumably also had reached its highest possible degree of crystallinity; any further increase in temperature to attempt further annealing would result in gelatinization (Knutson 1990). This work was designed to determine the most suitable time and temperature conditions for the preparation of an annealed starch system. Such model systems are required to allow a more systematic study of these starch structures and their functionality. Studies of highly annealed starch granules can lead to an improved understanding of the complex physical structures of starch and the functional role of those structures in food. Information on the preparation of highly annealed starches is scarce.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Regular corn starch, obtained from Cerestar USA (Hammond, IN) was used as a raw material in the preparation of annealed starch polymer systems. Because of the industrial process used to isolate this starch, it has likely already undergone some annealing.

Experimental Design

A response surface central composite design with two numeric factors (heating rate and annealing end temperature) was used. Annealing end temperature was defined as the highest temperature that was reached during the annealing process. The process variables were varied as described in Table I. A total of 13 experiments (Table II) with five center points, one replicate of four factorial points, and one replicate of four axial points were generated using statistical software (Design Expert, v. 6.0.5, Stat-Ease, Minneapolis, MN).

Preparation of Annealed Systems Using RVA

The annealing process was performed in a Rapid Visco Analyser (RVA) (Series 4, Newport Scientific, Warrawoo, Australia) according to the Design Expert specified treatment combinations (Table II). Starch slurries were prepared by combining 3.0 g of starch and 30 mL of deionized distilled water in an aluminum cup containing a plastic paddle with a spin rate of 75 rpm. The anneal-
ing start temperature was 45°C for all samples. After treatment, samples were freeze-dried.

**Differential Scanning Calorimetry**

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) endotherms of starch samples were obtained (Pyris I, Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, CT). Indium was used to calibrate the DSC and a sealed empty pan was used as the reference pan. Freeze-dried treated starch samples (9–10 mg, db) were weighed into large aluminum pans (LVC 0319-0029, Perkin-Elmer). Distilled water was added in excess (~80%, w/v) and pans were hermetically sealed, equilibrated overnight at 20°C, and heated from 25 to 125°C at a 5°C/min heating rate. DSC parameters such as peak onset temperatures and enthalpies were calculated from the endotherms. For each treatment, DSC data was collected three times and averaged.

**X-ray Diffraction**

X-ray diffraction patterns of starch samples were obtained on an X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku Denki, Japan) with Rigaku DMAX-B software (v. 4.2.5). The relative degree of crystallinity was measured using MacDiff X-ray diffraction peak analysis software (v. 4.2.5). The relative degree of crystallinity was measured as amorphous component as specified by Roe (2000).

**Optimization**

Optimization is performed by attempting to combine various factors (levels) that simultaneously satisfy the requirements placed on each of the responses and factors. For simultaneous optimization, each response was assigned a goal. For example, the value of a variable could be maximized, minimized, have a specific numerical target, or fall within a specific numerical range. Response surface methodology (RSM) data was used to determine the optimal conditions for producing an annealed sample with high (maximized) gelatinization enthalpies and onset temperatures, but without a reduction in %crystallinity (falls within a numerical range). RSM model equations were generated, and insignificant terms were removed using backwards model reduction. Model $R^2$ and $P$ values and lack of fit (LOF) $P$ values were reported (LOF should be insignificant). A run at the calculated optimized heating rate and annealing end temperature was also performed to confirm the optimization. Desirability is an objective function that ranges from 0 outside of the limits to 1 at the goal. Numerical optimization finds a point that maximizes the desirability function. For several responses, all goals were combined into one desirability function as in Equation 1 (Myers and Montgomery 1995).

$$D = \left( \frac{d_1}{d_{1*}} \right) \left( \frac{d_2}{d_{2*}} \right) \cdots \left( \frac{d_n}{d_{n*}} \right)$$

where $D =$ geometric mean of all transformed responses and $n =$ number of responses in the measure.

**RESULTS**

RSM graphs and equations are shown in Fig. 1. The commercial corn starch had an onset temperature of 67.6°C, peak temperature of 72.2°C, enthalpy of 13.0 J/g and a 49.6% crystallinity. RSM data indicated that starch sample onset temperatures were not statistically influenced by heating rate, but highly influenced by end temperature (reduced quadratic model, $R^2 = 0.92, P < 0.05$, LOF $P = 0.62$). The %crystallinity was not statistically influenced.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I</th>
<th>Annealing Process Variables, Heating Rate (°C/hr) and Annealing End Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Factor</td>
<td>Low Level (actual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heating rate (°C/hr)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annealing end temperature (°C)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II</th>
<th>Response Surface Methodology (RSM) Treatment Combinations for Annealing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design Points</td>
<td>Heating Rate (°C/hr) x Annealing End Temp. (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center (5)</td>
<td>1.00 x 57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial (1)</td>
<td>1.50 x 65.0, 1.50 x 50.0, 0.50 x 50.0, 0.5 x 65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factorial (1)</td>
<td>1.71 x 57.5, 0.29 x 75.7, 1.00 x 46.9, 1.00 x 68.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Response surface methodology (RSM) graphs for enthalpy, onset temperature and % crystallinity. RSM equations for each graph: $\text{Enthalpy} = -113.137 + 5.117 \times \text{annealing end temp} - 0.048776 \times \text{annealing end temp}^2$; $\text{Onset temperature} = +118.603 - 2.180 \times \text{annealing end temp} + 0.023 \times \text{annealing end temp}^2$; % Crystallinity = +54.702 - 3.459 x heating rate. Additional statistical information for each model is in text.
by annealing end temperature, but influenced by heating rate within a small %crystallinity range (49.5–53.0%) (reduced linear model, \( R^2 = 0.87, P < 0.05, \text{LOF} P = 0.52 \)). Optimization was performed by maximizing enthalpy (14.2 J/g), maximizing onset temperature (69.0°C) and holding crystallinity unchanged (target) (52.4%). A desirability of 0.923, a peak temperature of 73.2°C, and an enthalpy of 14.2 J/g were calculated with an annealing end temperature of 59.3°C and heating rate of 0.67°C/hr. Similar results for enthalpy (13.7 J/g), onset temperature (68.7°C), and %crystallinity (53.6%) were obtained when a run was performed using the RSM calculated optimal annealing end temperature (59.3°C) and heating rate (0.67°C/hr).

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

Response surface statistical design can be used to optimize the preparation of annealed samples from commercial corn starch. Within the range of tested process conditions (annealing heating rate and annealing end temperature), DSC gelatinization enthalpy, and onset temperature were maximized and %crystallinity could be maintained. When mathematically determined conditions were tested, actual observed DSC gelatinization enthalpy and onset temperature, and %crystallinity were similar. This annealed starch will be used in model systems to further understand starch structures and their contribution to starch functionality and physicochemical properties. The application of continuous annealing using RVA allows for more controlled annealing conditions than multistep annealing that uses long annealing times at specific static temperatures. Using our experimental protocol, because heating rate does not impact either gelatinization enthalpy or onset temperature, and has a small impact on %crystallinity (small impact within a very narrow %crystallinity range), the use of continuous annealing instead of multistep annealing is preferable.
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