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Extraction and Characterization of Starch from Alkaline Cooked Corn Masa

Wajira S. Ratnayake, Andrew B. Wassinger, and David S. Jackson

ABSTRACT

Starch granules undergo structural and morphological changes during food processing unit operations as they interact with other food ingredients. This study was conducted to isolate and characterize starch granules from corn masa. A proteolytic enzyme, thermolysin, was effective in separating and isolating starch granules from endosperm proteins present in masa. The efficiency of starch extraction using thermolysin was 74% (w/w), and subsequent analyses showed that the isolated granules were free of contaminants. Starch samples were characterized using light microscopy, SEM, DSC, and XRD. Starch granules isolated from masa had undergone internal structural changes and some granules (40%) lost birefringence during nixtamalization. These internal changes occurred, in most cases, without visible alterations in general granular morphology. Nixtamalized granules underwent changes mostly consistent with a "heat-moisture treatment" process.

Physiochemical properties of food starches have been studied extensively (Zobel 1984; Biladeris 1998; Jacobs and Delcour 1998; Jenkins and Donald 1998; Sahai and Jackson 1999; Liu et al 2002). Starch in foods, exposed to specific hydrothermal conditions, would not necessarily undergo the same structural and morphological changes as would isolated starch (starch relatively free of proteins, lipids, etc.) exposed to the same treatment. Starch properties and food functionality depend on the type (or source) of starch, and food composition. Food components such as protein, fatty acids, and various solutes affect the thermal behavior of starch; this has been documented by various studies conducted using predetermined mixtures of starch and other ingredients (Larsen, Lund and Lorenz 1984; Eliasson 1985; Lim et al 2000; Bogracheva et al 2002; Gonera and Cornillon 2002; Gibbon et al 2003; Tolstoguzov 2003; Zhang and Hamaker 2003; Zhang et al 2003; Lindeboom et al 2004; Mondragon et al 2004; Kar et al 2005; Rumpold and Knorr 2005; Sayer et al 2005). Because of inherent difficulties associated with starch isolation from actual processed food products, knowledge of the structural changes that occur during hydrothermal treatments and starch-food component interactions is poorly understood.

Alkaline cooking, which is referred to as nixtamalization, is an important process used in the preparation of tortillas, corn chips, taco shells, tamales, and other Mexican-style foods. During nixtamalization, corn is first cooked in the presence of lime, steeped, and washed to produce nixtamal. Nixtamal is stone-ground to form a soft, moist dough that is called masa (Gomez et al 1987; Serna-Saldivar et al 1990). Although nixtamalization is widely used in the food industry, a comprehensive, fundamental understanding of starch functionality and its thermal behavior in masa is still lacking. The starch gelatinization process during masa preparation has not been studied in detail, and only a few reports are available on the effect of masa components on starch functionality (Bryant and Hamaker 1997; Campus-Baypoli et al 1999). Starch in masa has been characterized without extracting starch in purified form (Gomez et al 1991, 1992). Although these studies are helpful in identifying changes in starch granules during masa production, the influence of the other components in masa during starch analyses cannot be completely eliminated. There are no high-intensity methods available to isolate starch from masa. Starch isolation is an important first analytical step in evaluating the structural changes starch granules undergo during nixtamalization. The objectives of this study were to isolate starch granules from freshly prepared masa and to investigate morphological and structural changes of these extracted starch granules.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A white food corn (Hybrid Zimmerman 1851W, 2000 crop year) was obtained from Wilson Genetics LLC. (Harlan, IA) [Now available from Garst Seed Company, Slater, IA]. The grain was stored at -16°C before use.

Grain Composition Analysis

Proximate composition of whole corn was analyzed using the Official Methods 920.39, 935.29, and 942.05 (AOAC International 2004).

Starch Extraction from Corn Kernels

Starch from the food corn was extracted using a laboratory wet-milling procedure: corn was steeped at 51 ± 1°C for 48 hr with 0.47% (w/v) lactric acid and 0.15% (w/v) sodium bisulfite. This procedure is more fully outlined by Wehling et al (1993). In addition, a small amount of endosperm starch (raw starch) used for selected DSC experiments was physically scraped from corn kernels that had been cracked open.

Masa Preparation

Nixtamal and masa were produced using the method developed by Yglesias et al (2005), which mimics the industrial-scale masa production process. Corn was cooked for 30 min at 90°C and steeped for 9.5 hr. Starch in masa was stabilized and preserved using a liquid nitrogen freeze-drying method previously documented to create minimal changes from the fresh state (Yglesias and Jackson 2005). Samples were stored in sealed polypropylene containers at -18°C until further analyses.

Starch Extraction from Masa

Starch from masa was extracted using two different methods. For Method 1, freeze-dried masa was ground into flour using a cyclone sample mill (model 3010-030, Udy Co., Fort Collins, CO). Masa (10 g) was dispersed in 500 mL of distilled water by stirring using a magnetic stirrer for 6 hr. The mixture was passed through a loose cotton wool plug in a funnel and then it was filtered using a 60-μm polyester mesh screen (Spectrum Laboratories, Rancho Dominguez, CA) under suction to remove coarse particles. The filtrate containing starch was collected and washed with
distilled water containing 1% (w/v) sodium hydroxide four times and then washed with distilled water several times. The recovered starch was dispersed in 500 mL of distilled water and filtered using 10-µm nylon mesh (Spectrum Laboratories) under suction to remove fine contaminants. Starch was recovered and freeze-dried (Virtis Sentry 8L freeze dryer, Forster and Wasserman (1998), with slight modifications. Dried starch (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim) in the presence of 100 mL of aqueous solution in a 125-mL screw-cap Erlenmeyer flask. The mixture was kept in a 60°C water bath for 4 hr and then washed with distilled water several times. The recovered starch was dispersed in distilled water containing 1% (w/v) sodium hydroxide four times and then washed with distilled water several times. Recovered starch was dispersed in 500 mL of distilled water and filtered using a 10-µm nylon mesh screen (Spectrum Laboratories) under suction to remove fine contaminants and freeze-dried using a freeze dryer (Virtis Sentry 8L, SP Industries, Gardiner, NY) at -50°C and 200 mTorr vacuum pressure for 36 hr. Samples were stored in sealed polypropylene containers until used.

For Method 2, dried masa was ground into flour using a cyclone sample mill (Udy model 3010-030). Starch in masa was extracted by the protease digestion method described by Mufson and Wasserman (1998), with slight modifications. Dry masa flour (5 g) was mixed with 330 units of thermolysin (from Bacillus thermoproteolyticus Rosko [EC 3.4.24.27], Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim) in the presence of 100 mL of calcium chloride in 100 mL of aqueous solution in a 125-mL screw-cap Erlenmeyer flask. The mixture was kept in a 60°C water bath for 4 hr and then washed with distilled water several times. Recovered starch was dispersed in distilled water and filtered using a 60-µm polyester mesh screen (Spectrum Laboratories) under suction to remove coarse particles. The filtrate containing starch was collected and washed with distilled water containing 1% (w/v) sodium hydroxide to terminate the reaction mixture. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was filtered through a loose cotton wool plug in a funnel to remove coarse particles and washed five times with distilled water to remove residual proteins. Starch was recovered by centrifuging the suspension at 1,300 × g for 7 min (model RC-3, Ivan Sorvall, Norwalk, CT) after each washing step. Recovered starch was re-dispersed in 500 mL of distilled water and filtered using a 60-µm polyester mesh screen (Spectrum Laboratories) under suction to remove coarse particles. The filtrate containing starch was collected and washed with distilled water containing 1% (w/v) sodium hydroxide four times and then washed with distilled water several times. Recovered starch was dispersed in 500 mL of distilled water and filtered using a 10-µm nylon mesh screen (Spectrum Laboratories) under suction to remove fine contaminants and freeze-dried using a freeze dryer (Virtis Sentry 8L, SP Industries, Gardiner, NY) at -50°C and 200 mTorr vacuum pressure for 36 hr. Samples were stored in sealed polypropylene containers until used.

Masa Protein
Total protein contents (total nitrogen × 6.25) of masa and isolated starch samples were analyzed by the Dumas combustion procedure using a nitrogen determinator (FP-528, Leco Corporation, St. Joseph, MI). The equipment was calibrated using EDTA.

Total Starch in Masa
Masa samples were dispersed by adding 10 mL of 2N sodium hydroxide to 0.5 g of masa in 70 mL of capacity test tubes and heated for 25 min at 94°C and adjusted to pH 4.5 using 2N hydrochloric acid and 10 mL of acetate buffer (9.1 g of sodium acetate [Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO] and 44.6 mL of glacial acetic acid [Fisher Scientific, Pittsburg, PA] diluted to 500 mL). Amyloglucosidase (EC 3.2.1.3) (300 units, Sigma Chemical) was added, and the slurry was incubated for 70 min at 50°C. The reaction was terminated by adding 5 mL of 25% (v/v) trichloroacetic acid (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburg, PA) and the volume was adjusted to 100 mL in a volumetric flask using phosphate buffer (40 g of anhydrous monobasic sodium phosphate, anhydrous [Fisher Scientific] and 10 g of anhydrous dibasic sodium phosphate [Sigma] diluted to 1 L). Glucose concentration was measured using a biochemistry analyzer (model 2700 Select, YSI, Yellow Springs, OH) fitted with an immobilized glucose oxidase membrane [EC 1.1.3.4] in the presence of phosphate buffer (YSI 2357 buffer concentrate kit). Percent total starch (dry basis) was calculated using this equation:

\[
\text{Total starch} (\%) = \left( \frac{[\text{Glucose in sample} (\text{g/L}) - \text{Blank A} - \text{Blank B} \times 0.9 \times 0.10 \times 100]}{\text{Sample weight (g)}} \right) \times 100
\]

The blanks A and B were used to correct the sample reading for free glucose in the sample and the amyloglucosidase reagent, respectively. Conversion factors were used to convert glucose into starch (0.9) and convert units of volume (0.10). The analyzer was calibrated using a d-glucose standard (2.50 g/L, YSI 2776 solution).

Starch Yield and Isolation Efficiency
Starch yield was calculated as: Starch yield (%) = ([Weight of isolated starch (g) × 100]/[Weight of the corn sample (g)]).

The starch isolation efficiency was calculated as: Starch isolation efficiency = ([Weight of isolated starch (g) × 100]/[Total starch in corn sample (g)]).

Light Microscopy of Masa
Masa samples (=0.10 g of sample in ≈15 mL of water) were heated to specific temperatures in a glass petri dish placed on a laboratory electric hot plate while monitoring the temperature with a noncontact infrared thermometer. The heated sample in the petri dish was promptly observed under a microscope (Provis AX70, Olympus America, Melville, NY) equipped with a 60× water immersion lens (600× magnification) and a camera (Optronics, Goleta, CA) attached to the eye piece. Digital images were captured using software (v.2.1C, MagnaFire, Optronics).

Polarized Light Microscopy of Starch
A small amount (=0.005 g) of starch was mounted on a glass slide with a few drops of water and covered with a cover slip. The mounted sample was then observed under polarized light (polarizers at 90° to light path) using a microscope (Optiphot, Nikon USA, Melville, NY) and a lens converter (model MD, Meiji Techno Co., Saitama, Japan) equipped with polarizing filters at the light source and lens piece (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). Images were captured using a Sony video imaging system (model VPC 920 adapter and PVM-1354Q monitor) and a Sony photo printer (Mavigraph UP-1200A).

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)
Masa and isolated starch samples were mounted on metal stubs and coated with gold palladium (=20 nm thickness) using a Hummer sputter-coating system (Anatech Ltd., Union City, CA). Samples were then observed using a scanning electron microscope (S-3000N, Hitachi Science Systems, Tokyo) at an acceleration potential of 15 kV. Pictures were captured using automated image capturing software (Hitachi High-Technologies, Pleasanton, CA).

Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)
Starch and masa samples (=10 mg, db) with ≈55 µL of distilled water (i.e., starch in excess water) were hermetically sealed in DSC pans (Perkin Elmer Pan Sell Kit 0319-1525/1526/1535) and kept at room temperature for 2–3 hr. Next, samples were scanned against a blank (empty pan) using a Perkin Elmer Pyris 1 differential scanning calorimeter from 25 to 90°C at a 10°C/min scanning rate. Pyris v.3.52 software (Perkin Elmer) was used to collect onset (T_on), peak (T_p), and end (T_end) temperatures, and the transition enthalpy (ΔH). Equipment was calibrated using indium as the reference material.

X-ray Diffraction (XRD)
X-ray diffraction data were obtained using a Bruker-AXS D8 Discover XRD system (Bruker AXS Microanalysis GmbH, Berlin) with a general area detector diffraction system (GADDS). The system was equipped with a copper target X-ray tube set to 40 kV and 30 mA, a Gobel mirror, a 0.5-mm pinhole collimator, and a Bruker-AXS Hi-Star area detector. The samples were mounted on an aluminum sample plate with a few drops of ethanol and compressed using a glass slide to obtain a smooth surface. The mounted samples were allowed to dry at room temperature for ≈20 min before scanning. Sample surfaces were aligned to the cen-
center of the X-ray beam using a laser/video microscope system. Data were collected in reflection mode using the scan conditions of Omega = 4 degrees, detector swing angle = 18 degrees, sample to detector distance = 9.75 cm, exposure time = 180 sec. Area detector data were processed using Bruker-AXS GADDS system software by integrating over 2θ = 7 to 35 degrees and χ = -130 to -50 degrees. The integration was conducted along the Debye rings resulting in a diffractogram of intensity versus 2θ.

Relative crystallinity was calculated according to the method outlined by Nara et al (1978) using quartz as 100% crystalline material (Eliasson et al 1987) and an 85°C treated sample of each starch as 100% amorphous material. Peak fitting software (v.6.0SR-4, Microcal Software, Northhampton, MA) was used to calculate absolute differences between XRD profiles. The percentage relative crystallinity was calculated as % Relative crystallinity = [(Σ [Ic - Ia] / Σ [Ic - Im]) × 100%] where [Ic - Ia] = absolute difference between the sample [Ic] and amorphous [Ia] intensities, and [Ic - Im] = absolute difference between the crystalline (quartz) [Ic] and amorphous [Ia] intensities.

Statistical Analyses
All results are averages of at least three independent replicates. Mean separations were performed using the Tukey-Kramer HSD test using JMP v.5.0.1.2 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
The proximate composition of the corn used in this study is given in Table I. Several previous studies have shown that the proteolytic enzyme thermolysin can be successfully used to remove proteins associated with starch granules (Belles et al 2000; Mezo-Villanueva and Serna-Saldivar 2004; Han et al 2005). Accordingly, thermolysin digestion was used to remove starch granules from protein matrices in masa. Starch isolated by Method 2 (enzyme digestion) gave starch with significantly (P < 0.05) less protein compared with the control (Method 1). The total protein contents were 4.7 and 0.74% (w/w) in starches isolated by Methods 1 and 2, respectively. Total protein in masa was 11.13% (w/w), which was slightly higher than published values of 8.4-9.5% adjusted for the 6.25 conversion factor (Bello-Perez et al 2003) and 8.8% (Yglesias et al 2005). According to these results, ≥77.7% of protein in masa can be removed by water washing (Method 1), and the rest of the starch granule bound proteins (≥35.6%) are removed by thermolysin action (Method 2). A very small amount (≤6.5%) of total protein in masa is left with purified starch granules after thermolysin treatment. In SEM images (Fig. 1), protein and endosperm remnants were clearly visible among starch granules isolated by Method 1, whereas digesting protein with thermolysin (Method 2) resulted in relatively "uncontaminated" starch granules. The starch yield of thermolysin extraction (weight of granules extracted from masa) was 60.0% (w/w, SD 2%). The starch extraction efficiency of the method was 74% (w/w, db) based on amount of starch in masa.

![Fig. 1. Scanning electron microscopic images of masa (A), starch isolated by Method 1 (B), and starch isolated by Method 2 (C). Magnification 2,500x.](image)

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Amount (% dry basis)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total starch</td>
<td>72.3 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fiber&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fat&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> By the Dumas combustion method.
<sup>b</sup> According to AOAC International (2004) approved methods.
<sup>c</sup> As received.
granules show birefringence. These results are in agreement with previously published reports that indicate many starch granules in masa retain their birefringent properties (Gomez et al. 1989; Serna-Saldivar et al. 1990).

Loss of birefringence indicates a loss of molecular order and general molecular organization within starch granules. During re-heating in excess water (Fig. 3), these processed masa starch granules behaved in a manner similar to regular corn starch granules (Ratnayake and Jackson 2006). Most granules were swollen at 55-60°C and they started to disintegrate at >65°C (Fig. 3). This suggests that once the granules are released from the physical barriers within the corn kernel during alkaline processing, their thermal stabilities were comparable to those of corn starch granules isolated by wet milling (Fig. 4). Most protein and endosperm particles remained attached to starch granules even after phase transition (Fig. 3) in masa.

The DSC results from masa, starch isolated from masa by Method 2, native white corn starch (isolated by wet milling), and raw corn starch are shown in Table II. The results indicate that both masa preparation and wet-milling processes affect starch structure. Gomez et al. (1992) indicated that starch granules undergo annealing during nixtamalization. Starch annealing involves heating starch granules with sufficient hydration below their gelatinization onset temperatures ($T_o$) to facilitate molecular mobility (Krueger et al. 1987; Tester et al. 2001; Qi et al. 2004). The annealing process is defined as incubation of starch granules in excess (>60%, w/w) or at intermediate (40-55%, w/w) water content during a certain period of time (generally >12 hr) at a temperature above the glass transition temperature but below the gelatinization temperature (Jacobs and Delcour 1998). During annealing, granule composition and morphology remain essentially unchanged but gelatinization peak temperature ($T_p$) increases significantly (Krueger et al. 1987; Tester and Debon 2000; Tester et al. 2001; Gomes et al. 2004; Qi et al. 2004; Kohyama and Sasaki 2006). The observed DSC differences among wet-milled starch, starch isolated from masa (Table II), and raw starch from corn kernels indicate that the effect of thermal events on starch granules during masa preparation is somewhat different from the classical changes, in terms of DSC parameters, expected in annealing. Previous reports have also suggested that wet-milling unit operations cause annealing in starch granules (Perez et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2006). The narrowed DSC transition temperature range and increased enthalpy between raw starch (hand-scraped endosperm) and wet-milled starch indicates that the wet-milled starch exhibits some characteristics of annealing during wet milling, but the unchanged $T_p$ indicates that the effects of wet milling on starch structure are not exactly the same as that of classic annealing. A comparison of DSC parameters of masa and raw starch (scraped endosperm) suggests an even more complex hydrothermal effect on starch structure during masa preparation. Increased $T_p$ increased transition temperature range, and unchanged enthalpy in masa, compared with raw starch, do not match the changes expected in annealing but are somewhat similar to a heat-moisture treatment. Heat-moisture treatment is characterized by processes that involve incubation of starch granules at low (<35%, w/w) moisture levels and at temperatures above the sample's glass transition temperature (Jacobs and Delcour 1998). Heat-moisture treated starch shows unchanged or widened DSC transitions ($T_c - T_o$) and decreased enthalpies ($\Delta H$) (Hoover and Vasanthan 1994; Jacobs and Delcour 1998). During cooking, whole corn kernels take a period of time to hydrate. Given that properly processed nixtamal has moisture contents of 46-51% (Sahai et al. 2001), it is reasonable to assume that the moisture content of the kernel's starchy endosperm is <35% for a considerable time period while

Fig. 2. Polarized light microscopic images of starch from white corn wet milling (A), masa (B), starch isolated from masa by Method 1 (C), and starch isolated from masa by Method 2 (D). Magnification 400x.
the kernels are being cooked (for 30 min at 90°C) and steeped for 9.5 hr while cooling from 90 to 25°C. Although the nixtamalization cooking temperature was slightly lower than typical heat-moisture treatment temperatures (≤100°C) used by many researchers (Hoover and Vasanthan 1994; Anderson et al 2002), given that polymer mobility increases at the (final) higher moisture contents of nixtamal, it is likely that the heat treatment during masa preparation (cooking and steeping) was sufficient to cause a heat-moisture treatment effect on starch granules that are not highly hydrated. Therefore, the heat effect on starch granules, while they are in a low moisture environment, might have caused the observed DSC differences that are comparable to those of heat-moisture-treated starch. DSC transition temperatures and enthalpy of masa (Table II) were different from the previously reported values of $T_0 = 53.03°C$, $T_p = 62.33°C$, $T_c = 66.4°C$, and $\Delta H = 11.1$ J/g for yellow corn masa by Yglesias and Jackson (2005). This might be due to variations that can be normally attributed to using different corn samples (Table II).

The X-ray patterns of native corn starch, masa, and starch isolated from masa are given in Fig. 5. Both masa and starch showed typical A-type XRD patterns as reported by others (Gomez et al 1991, 1992; Bello-Perez et al 2003). The relative crystallinities of masa starch (by Method 2) and corn starch (from wet milling) were 53.4% (SD 1.4%) and 64.8% (SD 10.3%), respectively. These

![Fig. 3. Light microscopic images of masa heated to specific temperatures in excess water. Magnification 600x.](image-url)
values (just like the DSC values mentioned earlier) are relatively higher than those reported for regular corn starch, which are generally ≤30% (Nara et al 1978; Cheetham and Tao 1998; Ratnayake 2006). The higher crystallinity of corn starch might be a characteristic attributed to the 1851W corn hybrid. It is likely that starch granules, when heated to high temperatures during nixtamalization, with physical protection from the surrounding endosperm protein matrix, have undergone intermolecular changes to decrease crystallinity and lose birefringence while retaining the general shape of the granule.

The relative crystallinity of masa was ≈44% (SD 4.3%) which is slightly higher than previously reported values (≈30% by Yglesias and Jackson 2005). This may be due to the differences in the source of corn (white corn hybrid Zimmerman 1851W in this study vs. yellow corn hybrid Pioneer 34K77 used previously). The XRD peaks were less intense in masa compared with those of both isolated and wet-milled starch (44, 53.4, and 64.8% relative crystallinity, respectively) (Fig. 5). It is likely that completely gelatinized or damaged granules are lost during isolation and purification, which would have contributed to the 26% loss in recovered starch from masa when granules were isolated by Method 2. Such isolation losses would contribute to an increase in crystallinity in isolated starch compared with masa because the gelatinized or damaged granules lost during isolation are less crystalline.

Fig 4. Light microscopic images of untreated starch from corn wet milling heated to specific temperatures in excess water. Magnification 600x.
**TABLE II**

Differential Scanning Calorimetric Parameters of Masa and Starch Isolated from Masa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$T_d$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_g$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_c$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_c - T_d$ (°C)</th>
<th>$\Delta H$ (J/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Masa</td>
<td>74.1a</td>
<td>80.0a</td>
<td>87.0a</td>
<td>12.9a</td>
<td>8.6c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch isolated from masa</td>
<td>74.4a</td>
<td>78.4ab</td>
<td>84.8ab</td>
<td>10.4c</td>
<td>11.5b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native white corn starch</td>
<td>72.6b</td>
<td>76.9b</td>
<td>81.8c</td>
<td>9.1d</td>
<td>15.8a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw starch from corn kernels</td>
<td>72.4b</td>
<td>77.3b</td>
<td>83.7bc</td>
<td>11.3b</td>
<td>8.7c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Values followed by the same letters in the same column are not significantly different ($P > 0.05$) by Tukey-Kramer HSD test. Ranges of standard deviations were $0.25$-$0.50$ for $T_d$, $T_g$, and $T_c$, $0.10$-$0.25$ for $T_c - T_d$, and $0.35$-$0.54$ for $\Delta H$.

b Using Method 2.

c Isolated form white corn by wet milling.

d Manually scraped from corn kernels.

In summary, our results conclude that the traditional masa preparation process does not uniformly affect all the starch granules in masa. Some granules partially or completely gelatinize during the process, while the majority ($\approx 76\%$) of them survive through the process with minimal morphological/physical damage but with varying degrees of internal structural modifications. Starch isolation using thermolysin results in relatively pure granules; this isolation process, however, causes slight structural changes.
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**CONCLUSIONS**

Nixtamalization and masa preparation change the structure of starch granules without causing viewable morphological changes of those granules (74% of the total starch) that can be easily isolated in relatively pure form using thermolysin [EC 3.4.24.27] enzyme. The hydrothermal effect on intact starch granules during these processes is similar to what are known as annealing and heat-moisture treatment, although neither exactly meets the classic definitions of these phenomena. Approximately 26% of the total masa starch was lost during the isolation process; much of this lost starch had probably been subjected to thermal degradation (gelatinization) or mechanical damage.

**Fig. 5.** Representative XRD patterns (isolated masa starch from Method 2).


