

July 2003

## Court Review: Volume 40, Issue 2 - Editor's Note

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ajacourtreview>



Part of the [Jurisprudence Commons](#)

---

"Court Review: Volume 40, Issue 2 - Editor's Note" (2003). *Court Review: The Journal of the American Judges Association*. 104.  
<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ajacourtreview/104>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the American Judges Association at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Court Review: The Journal of the American Judges Association by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

# Court Review

THE JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN JUDGES ASSOCIATION  
Volume 40, Issue 2

Summer 2003

## EDITOR'S NOTE


**K**evin Burke is one of the success stories of the judiciary. A judge for almost 20 years, he retains his zeal and commitment to public service. In addition, he is the master of intelligent innovation. As chief judge of the state trial courts in Minneapolis, in recent years he has brought social scientists into the court to study things like the effects of judicial demeanor on impressions of fairness in the court; previously, he was one of the early pioneers in the drug-court movement. Burke's record of service led to his receipt of the 2003 William H. Rehnquist Award for Judicial Excellence, the highest award given to a state-court judge by the National Center for State Courts.

We begin this issue by reprinting Burke's remarks at the Rehnquist Award ceremony. Burke tries to identify the key ingredients needed to let a court fulfill its promise to the public. His list includes fairness and respect, listening and understanding, and accountability. We think you'll find his comments of interest. We invite your response, either via a letter to the editor or a responsive essay.

Researchers Robyn Robertson and Herb Simpson of the Traffic Injury Research Foundation present the findings of a survey of more than 900 judges on impediments to effective sanctioning of drunk drivers. Their article reviews both the views of judges and a summary of some of the existing research related to these problem areas. Take a look at what other judges think about the problem areas, then let us know if your experience suggests some effective solutions. Again, letters to the editor are welcome here.

We close the issue with Professor Charles Whitebread's annual survey of the past year's criminal decisions of the United States Supreme Court. Although there were no landmark decisions, it is always helpful to have a quick review of the most recent decisions.

I will close this column with a note about a coming event and special issue of *Court Review*. As part of the American Judges Association's annual conference this October in San Francisco, we will present a National Forum on Judicial Independence. This forum will be unique because it will focus on threats to judicial independence at the trial-court level. In advance of the conference, we will devote a special issue of *Court Review* to the topic. We hope you'll consider attending the conference (see the inside back cover for details) and will help us in our consideration of issues affecting judicial independence in the trial court. —SL



*Court Review*, the quarterly journal of the American Judges Association, invites the submission of unsolicited, original articles, essays, and book reviews. *Court Review* seeks to provide practical, useful information to the working judges of the United States, Canada, and Mexico. In each issue, we hope to provide information that will be of use to judges in their everyday work, whether in highlighting new procedures or methods of trial, court, or case management, providing substantive information regarding an area of law likely to be encountered by many judges, or by providing background information (such as psychology or other social science research) that can be used by judges in their work. Guidelines for the submission of manuscripts for *Court Review* are set forth on page 26 of this issue. *Court Review* reserves the right to edit, condense, or reject material submitted for publication.

*Court Review* is in full text on LEXIS and is indexed in the Current Law Index, the Legal Resource Index, and LegalTrac.

Letters to the Editor, intended for publication, are welcome. Please send such letters to *Court Review*'s editor: Judge Steve Leben, 100 North Kansas Avenue, Olathe, Kansas 66061, e-mail address: sleben@ix.netcom.com. Comments and suggestions for the publication, not intended for publication, also are welcome.

Advertising: *Court Review* accepts advertising for products and services of interest to judges. For information, contact Deloris Gager at (757) 259-1864.

Photo credit: Mary S. Watkins (maryswatkinsphoto@earthlink.net). The cover photo is of the Kearney County Courthouse. Built in 1906 in Minden, Nebraska, its 100-foot dome is a landmark visible for miles.

© 2004, American Judges Association, printed in the United States. *Court Review* is published quarterly by the American Judges Association (AJA). AJA members receive a subscription to *Court Review*. Non-member subscriptions are available for \$35 per volume (four issues per volume). Subscriptions are terminable at the end of any volume upon notice given to the publisher. Prices are subject to change without notice. Second-class postage paid at Williamsburg, Virginia, and additional mailing offices. Address all correspondence about subscriptions, undeliverable copies, and change of address to Association Services, National Center for State Courts, Williamsburg, Virginia 23185-4147. Points of view or opinions expressed in *Court Review* are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the positions of the National Center for State Courts or the American Judges Association. ISSN: 0011-0647.