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Peng Liu,¹ Xiaoliang Liu,¹,a) Lu Lyu,¹ Haipeng Xie,¹ Hong Zhang,¹ Dongmei Niu,¹ Han Huang,¹ Cheng Bi,² Zhengguo Xiao,² Jinsong Huang,² and Yongli Gao³,a)

¹Institute of Super-Microstructure and Ultrastiff Process in Advanced Materials, College of Physics and Electronics, Central South University, Changsha 410083, People’s Republic of China
²Department of Mechanical and Materials Engineering and Nebraska Center for Materials and Nanoscience, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588-0656, USA
³Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA

(Received 25 March 2015; accepted 5 May 2015; published online 15 May 2015)

Interfacial electronic properties of the CH₃NH₃PbI₃ (MAPbI₃)/MoOₓ interface are investigated using ultraviolet photoemission spectroscopy and X-ray photoemission spectroscopy. It is found that the pristine MAPbI₃ film coated onto the substrate of poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) poly(styrenesulfonate)/indium tin oxide by two-step method behaves as an n-type semiconductor, with a band gap of ~1.7 eV and a valence band edge of 1.40 eV below the Fermi energy (E_F). With the MoOₓ deposition of 64 Å upon MAPbI₃, the energy levels of MAPbI₃ shift toward higher binding energy by 0.25 eV due to electron transfer from MAPbI₃ to MoOₓ. Its conduction band edge is observed to almost pin to the E_F, indicating a significant enhancement of conductivity. Meanwhile, the energy levels of MoOₓ shift toward lower binding energy by ~0.30 eV, and an interface dipole of 2.13 eV is observed at the interface of MAPbI₃/MoOₓ. Most importantly, the chemical reaction taking place at this interface results in unfavorable interface energy level alignment for hole extraction. A potential barrier of ~1.36 eV observed for hole transport will impede the hole extraction from MAPbI₃ to MoOₓ. On the other hand, a potential barrier of ~0.14 eV for electron extraction is too small to efficiently suppress electrons extracted from MAPbI₃ to MoOₓ. Therefore, such an interface is not an ideal choice for hole extraction in organic photovoltaic devices. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4921339]

Recently, organometal halide perovskite materials such as methylammonium lead halides (CH₃NH₃PbX₃, X = I, Cl, Br) have arisen as one of the most attractive candidates for producing solar cells due to their high efficiency, cheap and abundant raw materials, and good scalability for industrial production.1–8 Kojima et al.⁹ first reported in 2009 on a 3.8% efficient solar cell with perovskite CH₃NH₃PbI₃ (MAPbI₃) and TiO₂. Grätzel et al.¹⁰ brought the efficiency to 15% by depositing PbI₂ on nanoporous TiO₂ and subsequently submerging it into a CH₃NH₃I (MAI) solution. Using vapor deposition, Snaith’s group¹¹ demonstrated that a planar heterojunction MAPbI₃ solar cell, without the mesoporous organic layer through the MoOₓ conduction band. Nakayama et al.¹² further inferred the origin of the hole injection enhancement by the MoOₓ insertion layer. This line of work has recently been summarized by Wang et al.¹³. Furthermore, MoOₓ has also been demonstrated effective as an anode in organic light emitting diodes (OLED).¹⁴–¹⁷ The high work function (WF) of MoOₓ usually leads the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) level of the contact organics bending-up toward the interface, which reduces the interface potential barrier and facilitates the hole extraction or injection at the interface. The encouraging performance enhancement has been demonstrated by many authors in small molecule OPVs. Kröger et al.¹⁸ proposed that the MoOₓ interlayer at the anode tremendously enhanced hole injection into organic layer via electron extraction from the HOMO of organic layer through the MoOₓ conduction band. Nakayama et al.¹⁹ further inferred the origin of the hole injection enhancement by the MoOₓ insertion layer. This line of work has recently been summarized by Wang et al.²⁰. Furthermore, MoOₓ may serve the same role in perovskite based solar cells.

Here, we report our effort in investigating a MAPbI₃/MoOₓ interface, and addressing its electronic structure to verify whether or not such an interface is a potential candidate to enhance efficiently hole extraction in perovskite devices as in OPVs. Ultraviolet photoemission spectroscopy (UPS) and X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) data were collected as MoOₓ was deposited layer by layer on MAPbI₃ film, and the interfacial chemistry and energy level alignment were measured to determine whether a deposited MoOₓ layer would serve as an injection/extraction layer between the anode and active layer as the case in OPVs. Our results...
indicate, however, the lack of chemical stability of the MAPbI$_3$ manifested by the strong interface reaction when MoO$_x$ is deposited onto the MAPbI$_3$, as well as the energy levels mismatch between the MoO$_x$ and the MAPbI$_3$, makes it not directly suitable for such insertion layers to be effective in MAPbI$_3$ based solar cells.

The MAPbI$_3$ film was fabricated by a two-step interdiffusion of spin-coated stacking layers of PbI$_2$ and MAI. Details about the MAPbI$_3$ film fabrication could be found elsewhere$^{28,29}$ We evaporated MoO$_x$ layers on MAPbI$_3$ gradually and the thickness of the MoO$_x$ film increased from submonolayer (0.5 Å) to several atom layers (64 Å) at a controlled rate of 0.2–0.3 Å/min were monitored by a quartz thickness monitor. At each MoO$_x$ film thickness, we measured UPS and XPS spectra to learn the energy level alignment and interface reaction. The UPS was measured with He I (21.22 eV) and XPS with standard Al K$_a$ X-ray source (1486.6 eV). A total energy resolution of 70 meV was selected for the UPS measurements as determined from the Fermi edge of Au. For XPS, the pass energy of the spectrometer with a resolution of 0.5 eV was 40 eV. The binding energies of all UPS and XPS spectra were calibrated and referenced to the Fermi level (E$_F$) of the analyzer. All measurements were taken at room temperature.

Shown in Figure 1 are the UPS spectra of evaporated MoO$_x$ films on MAPbI$_3$ substrate. Figure 1(a) displays the evolution of secondary photoemission cut-off with the gradual deposition of MoO$_x$ layers, from which we can know the WF of the sample surface. The WF was obtained from the energy difference between the secondary cut-off and the E$_F$ of the system. For as-grown MAPbI$_3$, the WF was measured to be 4.13 eV, a little larger than other groups’ reports.$^{30}$ It increased to 4.59 eV with the subsequent MoO$_x$ deposition of 0.5 Å on MAPbI$_3$. Then it increased gradually, and finally saturated at 6.65 eV with the MoO$_x$ deposition of 64 Å, resulting in a total WF increase of 2.52 eV. Interestingly, there was a sharp increase of WF between 4 Å and 12 Å, which could be possibly associated with a fully qualified MoO$_x$ molecular layer formed at a certain thickness in this range. Figure 1(b) presents the UPS data of the highest lying valence band (VB) regions, in which the VB maximum (VBM) of MAPbI$_3$ film displays $\sim$1.40 eV. A characteristic VB peak of MoO$_x$ could be distinguished beyond a MoO$_x$ coverage of 12 Å with the formation of a complete molecular layer of MoO$_x$. However, a shoulder peak was observed at $\sim$4.43 eV with the MoO$_x$ deposition of up to 1 Å, indicating a MoO$_x$ VBM of 3.09 eV. With the subsequent deposition of up to 64 Å, the VBM shifted upward (to E$_F$) and finally saturated at about 2.78 eV, which indicated a total shift upward of $\sim$0.3 eV.

To highlight the effect of the MoO$_x$ thickness on the energy level shift, the detailed evolutions of the WF and VBM are shown in Figure 1(c) as the MoO$_x$ thickness increases. It is clear that the WF and VBM change more rapidly until the MoO$_x$ deposition of 16 Å; and then the changes become gradual at the following steps; finally, with the MoO$_x$ deposition of over 32 Å, the shifts practically saturate. The shift of WF can be ascribed to the contributions of interface dipole and band-bending. The upward band-bending of the MoO$_x$ VBM may be ascribed to less oxygen deficiencies in thicker MoO$_x$ coverages than in thinner MoO$_x$ ones, leading to a change from MoO$_{x^-}$ to neutral MoO$_x$. It is consistent with our XPS data to be presented later, in which more Mo$^{6+}$ was detected in thicker MoO$_x$ coverages. Furthermore, it should be noted that at the first several steps, the ionization potential (IP) of MoO$_x$ presents an upward tendency, which is associated with the chemical reaction in the interface region, in which the I component is reduced, possibly by passing the electron to MoO$_x$ and becoming neutral. This can lead to the formation of volatile I that in turn escapes to the vacuum, resulting in the interface region no longer pure MAPbI$_3$.

In order to gain a better understanding of the energy levels evolution and the interface reaction, we used XPS to...
investigate further the chemical characteristics. Shown in Figure 2 are the XPS spectra of Pb 4f7/2, I 3d5/2, Mo 3d, and O 1s core levels in the MAPbI₃/MoOₓ interface as the MoOₓ coverage increases. All the spectra were normalized to the same height for visual clarity. The information of the elements in MAPbI₃ substrate is just presented for low over-layer of MoOₓ (up to 16 Å) due to the limit of XPS probing depth. From Figure 2(a), we can find that the Pb 4f7/2 core level comprises two components represented by two peaks which center on 138.59 eV and 136.80 eV, respectively. The bigger one (centered on 138.59 eV) can be associated to the Pb component in MAPbI₃, while the smaller one to the little metallic Pb decomposed from MAPbI₃, most likely due to the chemical reaction and sample annealing before the deposition of MoOₓ film on it. With the deposition of MoOₓ on the MAPbI₃ substrate, both of the two peaks shift toward higher binding energies during the initial several steps of MoOₓ deposition, accompanied with sharp intensity reduction. The total shift of Pb 4f7/2 is measured to be ~0.4 eV before it reaches saturation. Almost the same shift can be obtained for I 3d in Figure 2(b), which indicates a downward band bending of 0.4 eV in the energy levels of the MAPbI₃ film. However, the actual band bending should be rectified by incorporating the charging effect during the XPS measurement, which will be discussed further below. The C 1s spectrum of the pristine MAPbI₃ substrate (not showing here) mainly consists of two kinds of carbon components at 286.78 eV and 285.10 eV, respectively. The one at 286.78 eV (72%) can be attributed to the well-ordered and stoichiometric MAPbI₃, and the other (28%) from carbon impurities.

Shown in Figures 2(c) and 2(d) is the evolution of Mo 3d and O 1s XPS peaks, respectively. As a multivalence element, Mo has oxidation states 5⁺ and 6⁺ in our MoOₓ film. The evaporated MoOₓ films had been observed to be heavily n-type doped due to the oxygen deficiency as we discussed previously. The difference of stoichiometric MoO₃ versus oxygen deficient MoOₓ in devices has been reported by many authors. Our experiment here also showed that the MoOₓ films deposited on MAPbI₃ substrate in the vacuum was composed of Mo₅⁺ and Mo₆⁺. We took the thickness of the MoOₓ film to be 0.5 Å, which is consistent with the deposition rate of MoOₓ film on MAPbI₃ substrate.
MoO$_3$ films at 0.5 Å and 4 Å to reveal the evolution of oxides species. For the MoO$_3$ coverage of 0.5 Å, Mo 3d core level contains a pair of main peaks with a $\sim$3.2 eV spin-orbit splitting between them, the one centered on $\sim$235.1 eV belongs to Mo 3d$_{3/2}$, and the other one centered on $\sim$231.9 eV belongs to Mo 3d$_{5/2}$. A pair of shoulder peaks appear at about 1.3 eV higher binding energy than their main peaks, which means a single doublet could not resolve the observed XPS spectra. From a fitting analysis with a Gaussian/Lorentzian mixing function, the positions of Mo 3d$_{5/2}$ of two oxidation states of Mo, Mo$^{5+}$, and Mo$^{6+}$, are measured to be 232.94 eV (see dashed line) and 231.65 eV (see dotted line), respectively. The corresponding energy differences between the peak of Mo$^{5+}$ and the peak of Mo$^{5+}$ and the peak of O 1s are 297.89 eV and 299.18 eV, respectively. The results agree well with our previous reports, in which the energy separations between the Mo 3d$_{5/2}$ and O 1s are 297.8 eV and 299.1 eV for Mo$^{6+}$ and Mo$^{5+}$, respectively.

We focus on the peak of Mo$^{5+}$ with respect to Mo 3d$_{5/2}$ in Figure 2(c), the peak position shifts from 232.94 eV with the deposition of 0.5 Å MoO$_3$ to slightly higher binding energy at low MoO$_3$ deposition of up to 2 Å, which could be ascribed to the charging effect during the XPS measurement, then it turns to shift toward lower BE with following deposition of MoO$_3$ showing a saturation of 232.80 eV with 64 Å MoO$_3$ coverage, indicating a total shift of $\sim$0.15 eV toward the EF. As shown in Figure 2(d), the position of the O 1s peak shows an approximately same shift as the Mo$^{6+}$ peak of Mo 3d$_{5/2}$. Therefore, a total band bending-up of $\sim$0.15 eV in the MoO$_3$ coverage is achieved with the MoO$_3$ deposition of 64 Å. It is worth mentioning that this band bending-up is significantly smaller than the VBM shift upward of $\sim$0.3 eV obtained by UPS in Figure 1(b). The difference of 0.15 eV between them can be most likely ascribed to the charging effect in XPS measurement, which is consistent with the result obtained by rectifying the core levels by reference to the C 1s of amorphous carbon. Therefore, the actual band bending-up of MoO$_3$ film is $\sim$0.30 eV after eliminating the charging effect. Similarly, in the underlying MAPbI$_3$ layer, the actual band bending-down should be $\sim$0.25 eV after incorporating the charging effect into the shift of $\sim$0.4 eV toward higher band energy as shown in Figures 2(a) and 2(b).

The evolution of the ratio of Mo$^{5+}$ to Mo$^{6+}$ (Mo$^{5+}$/Mo$^{6+}$) is plotted in Figure 3(a) with increasing thickness of MoO$_3$. It is found that the fraction of Mo$^{5+}$ is much less than that of Mo$^{6+}$. At the MoO$_3$ deposition of 0.5 Å, the Mo$^{5+}$/Mo$^{6+}$ ratio is about 0.24, then the fraction of Mo$^{5+}$ begins to slightly increase accompanied with the decrease of Mo$^{6+}$ with the following MoO$_3$ deposition of up to 2 Å, leading to a slight increase of Mo$^{5+}$/Mo$^{6+}$. This may be due to electron transfer from perovskite to MoO$_3$. Such a transfer can lead I$^-$ to neutral I, which subsequently leaves the surface because of the high vapor pressure as we discussed previously. Then, with the subsequent MoO$_3$ deposition of up to 64 Å, the content of Mo$^{5+}$ continuously decreases and only Mo$^{6+}$ can be detected with the MoO$_3$ deposition of beyond 12 Å. According to the change of intensity for Pb 4f$_{7/2}$ and I 3d$_{3/2}$, we observed that I signal drops much quicker than Pb. This is an evidence to confirm that I is leaving during the MoO$_3$ deposition. Actually, as shown in Figure 3(b), the ratio of I to Pb (I/Pb) reduces from 2.15:1 of the pristine film to 0.67:1 after the deposition of 16 Å of MoO$_3$, indicating a significant deviation from the stoichiometry of MAPbI$_3$. Furthermore, the core levels of Mo 3d and O 1s shift to the opposite direction of I 3d and Pb 4f, a further evidence of perovskite decomposition in addition to the I/Pb ratio reduction. We obtained the ratios of Mo$^{5+}$/Mo$^{6+}$ and I/Pb based on the XPS data by using Gaussian/Lorentzian fitting and incorporating atomic sensitivity factors.

The energy levels alignment diagram for the MAPbI$_3$/MoO$_3$ interface is shown in Figure 3(c). The band gap of MAPbI$_3$ is taken as 1.7 eV according to our previous reports and the corresponding CBM of MAPbI$_3$ locates at $\sim$0.30 eV above the EF, which means the MAPbI$_3$ sample used here is heavily n-type material. The band gap of MoO$_3$ is set as 3.2 eV by assuming the optical gap of MoO$_3$ as the difference between its CB minimum and VBM. There is a band bending-down of 0.25 eV in the underlying MAPbI$_3$ layer, while a band bending-up of $\sim$0.64 eV for the vacuum level and a band bending-up of $\sim$0.30 eV for the VBM in the MoO$_3$ overlayer with enough thickness of the MoO$_3$ deposition. An interface dipole of $\sim$2.13 eV observed at MAPbI$_3$/MoO$_3$ interface can be attributed to the electron transfer from the lower WF material MAPbI$_3$ to the higher WF material MoO$_3$.

Given that MAPbI$_3$ is used as absorber layer to provide charge carriers in OPV devices, while MoO$_3$ is used as anode buffer layer to efficiently enhance hole transport and suppress electron transport. Therefore, it is interesting to discuss the potential barrier of the MAPbI$_3$/MoO$_3$ interface for hole and electron transfer. It is observed that there is a potential barrier of 1.36 eV for hole extraction from MAPbI$_3$ to MoO$_3$ due to the offset between their VBMs, which will impede the hole extraction rather than enhance it. On the other hand, a small potential barrier of $\sim$0.14 eV for electron extraction is achieved due to the offset between their CBMs, which is too low to suppress the electron extraction from MAPbI$_3$ to MoO$_3$. Therefore, we can conclude that such an interface does not provide a favorable energy level alignment to exclusively collect holes. Actually, the MAPbI$_3$/MoO$_3$ interface is not an ideal choice for hole extraction in OPV devices. Some special hole transfer materials should be inserted between the MAPbI$_3$ layer and the MoO$_3$ layer not only to achieve the favorable energy level alignment, but to fulfill the Ohm contact, but also to suppress the chemical reaction between them.

In conclusion, we have investigated the interfacial electronic properties of the interface of MAPbI$_3$/MoO$_3$ using UPS and XPS. The energy levels of MAPbI$_3$ shifted toward higher binding energy by 0.25 eV with the MoO$_3$ overlayer of 64 Å upon it, which can be ascribed to the electron transfer from MAPbI$_3$ to MoO$_3$. Meanwhile, the energy levels of the MoO$_3$ coverage shifted upward by 0.30 eV, and an interface dipole of $\sim$2.13 eV was observed at the MAPbI$_3$/MoO$_3$ interface. Most importantly, the chemical reaction taking place at such an interface, exemplified by the rapid reduction of iodine after MoO$_3$ deposition, results in unfavorable interfacial energy level alignment for hole extraction. The potential barrier of 1.36 eV for hole extraction was too big to efficiently transfer holes from MAPbI$_3$ to MoO$_3$, while the
potential barrier of ~0.14 eV for electron extraction was too small to efficiently suppress electrons extracted from MAPbI$_3$ to MoO$_x$. Therefore, it is concluded that the MAPbI$_3$/MoO$_x$ interface is not an ideal choice for hole extraction in OPV devices.
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