

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

---

Agricultural Research Magazine

U.S. Department of Agriculture: Agricultural  
Research Service, Lincoln, Nebraska

---

2-2012

## Nixing Nitrate Flow from the Farm

Ann Perry

USDA-ARS, ann.perry@ars.usda.gov

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usdaagresmag>



Part of the [Agriculture Commons](#), [Animal Sciences Commons](#), [Food Science Commons](#), and the [Plant Sciences Commons](#)

---

Perry, Ann, "Nixing Nitrate Flow from the Farm" (2012). *Agricultural Research Magazine*. 127.  
<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usdaagresmag/127>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Agriculture: Agricultural Research Service, Lincoln, Nebraska at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Agricultural Research Magazine by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

# Nixing Nitrate Flow From the Farm



A farmer's subsurface drain pipe. Subsurface drainage may account for 50 percent of the stream flow in midwestern watersheds.

When early settlers arrived in the Midwest, they began constructing an underground network of tile drains to channel water away from the soggy prairies, which then became some of the most fertile crop fields in the country. But now when nitrate from soils and fertilizers leaches out of those flourishing fields, the subsoil engineering also facilitates the discharge of nitrates into nearby streams and rivers.

Because these local waterways are part of the vast Mississippi River Watershed, the nitrates are eventually transported into the Gulf of Mexico, where they can feed the development of oxygen-deficient “dead zones.” But nitrate management isn’t just an issue for the folks downstream. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has mandated that nitrate concentrations in drinking water—obtained either from

surface water or ground water—cannot exceed 10 parts per million. Minimizing nitrate loss can also help producers obtain the greatest economic returns from the application of expensive fertilizers. So everyone benefits when nitrates are stopped from contaminating local water supplies.

Agricultural Research Service soil microbiologist Tom Moorman and others at the National Laboratory for Agriculture



PEGGY GREB (D2398-1)

**Left:** Technician Kent Heikens prepares a large core sampler to take a core sample of the wood chip bioreactor beneath a soybean field for lab analysis of denitrification rates and bacterial populations. **Below:** Kent Heikens and Ben Knutson examine a core sample from the bio-reactor. The topsoil covering the wood chips is seen in the foreground.

PEGGY GREB (D2399-1)



Sample of wood chips taken from the bioreactor from beneath a soybean field.

PEGGY GREB (D2400-1)

and the Environment in Ames, Iowa, have spent the last decade studying whether underground trenches filled with wood chips could help stem this nitrate flow. Microorganisms that live in the wood use a process called “denitrification” to convert nitrates in the field leachate into nitrogen gas or nitrous oxide, which then diffuse into the atmosphere.

“Soils have some capacity to denitrify field leachate, but it generally decreases with soil depth,” Moorman says. “So we wanted to see how well wood chip ‘denitrification walls’ could protect nearby waterways from the nitrates that leach out of the soil. We also wanted to see how quickly the wood breaks down in the subsoil.”

### Digging For Answers

Moorman and his team—technician Colin Greenan, microbiologist Timothy Parkin, plant physiologist Tom Kaspar, and soil scientist Dan Jaynes—set up experimental sites in a field north of Ames. They installed perforated plastic drainage pipes 4 feet below the soil surface and then dug trenches on either side of the pipe and filled the trenches with wood chips. They buried the trenches and the pipes, and then cropped the fields with a corn-soybean rotation for the next 9 years.

The researchers also filled mesh bags with wood chips and buried the bags at depths of 2 feet and 5 feet in a nearby trench that was also filled with wood chips. The fields above this trench were also cropped with a corn-soybean rotation. Establishing this extra trench allowed them to dig up wood chips to see how fast they decomposed without removing wood from the experimental trenches.

The team found that over the 9-year study period, the wood chip “bioreactors” consistently removed nitrates from the field leachate, with removal rates remaining steady in the last 5 years. From 2001 to 2008, annual nitrate loss in plots with conventional drainage averaged 48.6 pounds per acre, but losses dropped to 21.8 pounds per acre in plots with the denitrification walls.

The data also indicated that, compared to subsoil, the average denitrification potential of wood increased from 31-fold in 2003 to 4,000-fold in 2004. These findings

supported an earlier laboratory study by Greenan that indicated denitrification by microbes is the main mechanism in wood chip bioreactors responsible for removing nitrate from leachate.

The scientists also found that the population of denitrifying microbes exceeded 454 million per pound of wood, compared to 45 million per pound of surface soil and 4.5 million per pound of subsurface soil—strong evidence that the wood chips provided a habitat that favored the denitrifying organisms.

### Long-Lasting Success

The scientists periodically checked the bagged wood samples over the 9-year study period to see how quickly the wood was decomposing. They found that 50 percent of the wood buried between 35 and 39 inches deep had decomposed 5 years after it was buried, and 75 percent of the wood buried at this depth decomposed after 9 years.

However, less than 13 percent of the wood buried between 61 inches and 70 inches deep had decomposed after 9 years. The decreased decomposition rates at greater depths was probably due to lower oxygen levels in the subsoil, which was saturated with water for longer interludes than the subsoils at shallower depths. These findings can help in the design of denitrifying wood trenches, since wood decomposition rates will be needed to calculate the functional life expectancy of a denitrification wall after it is installed.

Denitrification also results in the production of the greenhouse gas nitrous oxide, and the team was concerned that the bioreactors might increase these emissions. But they found that overall nitrous oxide emission rates did not notably change with increasing denitrification in the bioreactor. This is partly because overall soil nitrate losses were reduced, which prevented nitrates from leaching out of the ground and into nearby waterways, where discharged nitrates are converted into nitrous oxide. “Until this study, very little work had been conducted on nitrous oxide loss from these bioreactors,” Moorman says.

Subsurface drainage water from individual field plots is routed to this sump where flow is measured and samples are prepared for nitrate testing. Here, soil microbiologist Tom Moorman takes a water sample for nitrate analysis.

The results from this work were published in 2010 in a special issue of *Ecological Engineering*. In part because the benefits of using wood chip bioreactors for denitrification were so conclusive, Agriculture’s Clean Water Alliance—a group of leading farm retailers in west-central Iowa—and the Iowa Soybean Association, in partnership with Wisconsin-based Sand County Foundation, are now encouraging farmers to install the denitrification walls to help mitigate the nitrate pollution associated with regional agricultural production.

“This study helped us confirm that using wood chips to build denitrification walls will result in a significant level of denitrification in field leachate,” says Moorman. “We also understand much more about the different mechanics of denitrification itself, and now we have good numbers on how many denitrification bacteria live in wood and how long that wood can last in a trench under typical field conditions.”—By **Ann Perry, ARS**.

*This research is part of Water Availability and Watershed Management, an ARS national program (#211) described at [www.nps.ars.usda.gov](http://www.nps.ars.usda.gov).*

*To reach the scientists mentioned in this article, contact Ann Perry, USDA-ARS Information Staff, 5601 Sunnyside Ave., Beltsville, MD 20705-5129; (301) 504-1628, [ann.perry@ars.usda.gov](mailto:ann.perry@ars.usda.gov).\**



PEGGY GREB (D2402-1)