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COCCIDIA (APICOMPLEXA: EIMERIIDAE) FROM THE LAGOMORPH LEPUS TOLAI IN MONGOLIA

Scott L. Gardner, Nathan A. Seggerman, Nyamsuren Batsaikhan*, Sumiya Ganzorig†, David S. Tinnin, and Donald W. Duszynski‡

ABSTRACT: In 1999, a single specimen of the Tolai hare, Lepus tolai Pallas, 1778, from the Gobi region of Mongolia was examined and had a new species of eimerian parasite in its intestinal contents. Eimeria gobiensis n. sp. is relatively large; it possesses 2 oocyst walls and a very well-developed oocyst residuum. Oocysts of the new species possess a thick wall with a double layer, a massive 3-layered micropyre, and are ellipsoidal, with average length and width of the oocyst of 38.6 × 24.2 μm, respectively. The range in measurements of these oocysts extends from 27.3 to 49.2 μm in length by 18.8 to 32.5 μm in width, with a length/width ratio = 1.6; the oocyst residuum is composed of a sub-spheroidal mass of small granules with an average size of 12.0 × 11.0 μm; sporocysts are ovoidal with an average length × width of 15.0 × 7.7 μm, respectively, and a range in length extending from 9.2 to 21.0 μm by 5.0 to 12.0 μm in width. In addition, each sporozoite has a large, medial, refractive body with an average size of 6.0 × 5.0 μm.

Materials and Methods

During a preliminary survey of mammalian parasite biodiversity from central Mongolia in the summer of 1999, approximately 40 species of mammals were collected from several different habitat types, representing 15 different collecting localities. From this work, 1 specimen of the Tolai hare Lepus tolai Pallas, 1778 (a female) was collected in the vicinity of Ulziyt Uul (see below) in the Gobi desert and examined for parasites. Tinnin et al. (2002) provided a detailed description of the habitat and a list of mammals collected from the locality. Here, we describe the coccidia recovered from this host as a presumptive new species of Eimeria.

During our work in 1999, all small mammals were caught using pitfall or Sherman live traps, while large mammals, including this hare, were shot. The results of the collection and identification of the mammals themselves have been published (Tinnin et al., 2002). Specimens were examined for parasites by the senior author in the field promptly after capture, following the methods outlined in Gardner (1996). Organs were examined for the presence of metazoan parasites; fecal pellets were removed from the lower bowel of each animal and preserved in 15 ml Wheaton Snap Cap vials (available through Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania) containing 3 ml of 10% aqueous (w/v) potassium dichromate (K$_2$Cr$_2$O$_7$) solution (Gardner, 1996). Upon return from Mongolia, samples were refrigerated at approximately 2°C until they were studied. Oocysts found in the samples showed various stages of development, but most were completely sporulated. Close observation via standard differential interference contrast light microscopy at ×40 and higher allowed us to distinguish a distinct oocyst morphotype in our samples. Oocysts were isolated and photographed as described by Duszynski and Wilber (1997). Our oocysts were measured using an integrated computerized system and photographed with a Zeiss Axioshot (Zeiss USA; http://www.zeiss.com), using Normarski-interference with a ×63 objective oil immersion lens. In accordance with the guidelines suggested by Bandoni and Duszynski (1988), we submitted photomicrographs of the new eimerian to the Harold W. Manter Laboratory of Parasitology phototype collection, University of Nebraska—Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska; skin, skull, skeleton, and tissues of the symbiotype host for the new eimerian species are preserved in the Mammal Division, Museum of Southwestern Biology, the University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico. Abbreviations follow those of Wilber et al. (1998): length (L), width (W), micropyre (M), oocyst residuum (OR), polar granule (PG), Stieda body (SB), sub-Stieda body (SSB), para-Stieda body (PSB), sporocyst residuum (SR), and refractile body (RB), but we use SZ for sporozoites. Measurements given include n = number of characters measured, followed by average length and width, standard deviation (SD), then range of length and width in parentheses. All measurements are in μm. Comparative measurements are presented in Table I.

Description

Eimeria gobiensis n. sp. (Figs. 1–10)

Diagnosis: Sporulated oocysts ellipsoidal and elongate (n = 62) 38.6 × 24.2 (SD = 3.6 × 2.2) (27.3–49.2 × 18.8–32.5) with L/W ratio of 1.6; wall (n = 62) 2.1 (SD = 0.5) (1.0–3.4) of uneven thickness composed of 2 layers: outer wall pale blue to transparent, smooth, 3–3/4 of total thickness; inner layer yellow; PG absent, but M and OR are clearly present. The oocyst wall thickens near the margin of the prominent M. Micropyre is triple layered and very thick. The OR (n = 50) is 12.1 × 10.8 (SD = 1.5 × 1.6) (10.3–18.4 × 9.0–17.3); sporocysts (n = 97) ovoid, tapered at 1 end, 15.0 × 7.7 (SD = 1.9 × 1.0) (9.2–20.9 × 4.9–11.7); SR absent; SB present at tapered end, but SSB and PSB are both absent; each SZ with 1, large posterior RB (n = 193) 6.1 × 4.8 (SD = 1.1 × 1.0) (3.9–11.0 × 2.6–8.0). Age of oocysts at time of study, calculated from time of collection to the date of isolation, was 3,343 days.

Taxonomic Summary

Symbiotype host: Lepus tolai Pallas, 1778, Museum of Southwestern Biology, Division of Mammals, No. 94357, NK 100752 (female, approximately 2 yr of age) (see Frey et al., 1992).

Type locality: Vicinity of Ulziyt Uul, Mongolia, 1,640 m altitude (44°41′09″ N, 102°05′57″ E).

Prevalence: One of 1 (100%) Lepus tolai.

Site of infection: Unknown; oocysts recovered from feces.

Material deposited: Syntypes (=phototypes, see Bandoni and Duszynski, 1988) of sporulated oocysts, HWML Coll. No. 49155.

Etymology: The name “Eimeria gobiensis” is derived from the locality in which this species’s symbiotype host was collected; “gobiensis” is indicative of the Gobi desert region of Mongolia, meaning “of the gobi.”

Remarks

Oocysts of this eimerian do not resemble those from any species previously described from leporids of Eurasia. Specifically, E. gobiensis can be distinguished from all similar species described from the Leporidae by the following: from E. hungarica Pellefrin, 1956; E. leporis Nieszulch, 1923; E. punjabensis Gill & Ray, 1960; E. robertsoni Madsen, 1938; E. rajicatus Gill & Ray, 1960; E. septentrionalis Yakimoff et al., 1936; and E. stefanski Pastuszko, 1961, in having 2 distinct oocyst wall layers. Eimeria gobiensis also has a well-developed OR, whereas E. camerina
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### Table I. Comparison of mensural and qualitative data of relevant species of *Eimeria* infecting members of the Family Leporidae.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Species name</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Oocyst size</th>
<th>Oocyst residuum</th>
<th>Oocyst wall</th>
<th>Sporocysts</th>
<th>Sporozoites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td><em>Eimeria gobiensis</em></td>
<td><em>Lepus tolai</em></td>
<td>38.6 × 24.2 µm</td>
<td>Yes, 12.1 × 10.8 µm</td>
<td>2 Layers—2.1 µm, uneven thickness; outer thin &amp; light yellow, inner thick, darker</td>
<td>15.0 × 7.7 µm, Elongate ovoid with slight tapering at one end</td>
<td>Head to tail orientation, w/ refractile body at larger end; 6.1 × 4.8 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvalho, 1943</td>
<td><em>Eimeria campaniae</em></td>
<td><em>Lepus europaeus</em></td>
<td>35–45 × 22–27 µm</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2 Layers—outer very thin &amp; brown; inner thick, colorless</td>
<td>18 × 9 µm</td>
<td>Lie head to tail, w/ globule at wider end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pellérdy, 1956</td>
<td><em>Eimeria europaea</em></td>
<td><em>L. europaeus</em></td>
<td>26–34 × 15–20 µm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2 Layers—outer pale, inner dark, both gray-yellow</td>
<td>9 × 6 µm, Possible residuum</td>
<td>Lie head to tail, w/ globule at wider end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pellérdy, 1956</td>
<td><em>Eimeria hungarica</em></td>
<td><em>L. europaeus, L. ruficaudatus</em></td>
<td>12–15 × 11–14 µm</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Single thin layer—smooth and colorless</td>
<td>“Stocky,” no residuum</td>
<td>Contain large, clear globule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nieschulz, 1923</td>
<td><em>Eimeria leporis</em></td>
<td><em>L. tolai</em></td>
<td>26–38 × 13–20 µm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Single thin layer—smooth and colorless/pale yellow</td>
<td>Elongate ovoid, residuum present</td>
<td>Lie head to tail w/ globule at wider end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gill &amp; Ray, 1960</td>
<td><em>Eimeria punjabensis</em></td>
<td><em>L. ruficaudatus</em></td>
<td>20–24 × 19–23 µm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Single layer—light yellow to salmon tinted</td>
<td>12.5 × 8.5 µm</td>
<td>Lie head to tail, w/ globule at wider end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madsen, 1938</td>
<td><em>Eimeria robertsoni</em></td>
<td><em>L. europaeus, L. ruficaudatus</em></td>
<td>34–52 × 23–33 µm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Single layer—yellowish to yellowish-brown</td>
<td>Spindle-shaped, no residuum</td>
<td>Lie head to tail, w/ globule at wider end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gill &amp; Ray, 1960</td>
<td><em>Eimeria ruficaudatus</em></td>
<td><em>L. ruficaudatus</em></td>
<td>23–35 × 12–15 µm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Single thin layer—yellowish-pink</td>
<td>Ovoid, residuum present</td>
<td>Lie head to tail, w/ globule at wider end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yakimoff et. al., 1936</td>
<td><em>Eimeria septentrionalis</em></td>
<td><em>Lepus townsendi campanius</em></td>
<td>21–32 × 17–27 µm</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Single smooth layer ~1 µm thick</td>
<td>Ovoid to sausage-shaped, 12–16 × 6–8 µm</td>
<td>Lie head to tail, w/ globule at wider end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pastuszko, 1961</td>
<td><em>Eimeria stefanski</em> emend.</td>
<td><em>L. europaeus</em></td>
<td>59–68 × 32–37 µm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Single layer—yellow to dark brown</td>
<td>15 µm Long, ovoid, no residuum</td>
<td>Lie head to tail, w/ globule at wider end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvalho, 1943</td>
<td><em>Eimeria townsendii</em></td>
<td><em>L. europaeus</em></td>
<td>37–44 × 25–31 µm</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2 Layers—smooth—inner yellow, outer dark brown</td>
<td>Spindle-shaped, 17 × 10 µm, residuum present</td>
<td>Lie head to tail, w/ globule at wider end</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Carvalho, 1943, and *E. townsendii* (Pellérdy, 1956) have none. Finally, *E. gobiensis* can be distinguished from *E. europaea* Pellérdy, 1956 based on the size of the oocyst. In *E. gobiensis*, the average oocyst size is 38.6 ± 24.2 vs. 26–34 ± 15–20, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

Species of *Eimeria* are common in lagomorphs globally; consequently, the presence of coccidia in *L. tolai* from Mongolia is not surprising. While the locality from which the parasite specimens were obtained is superficially similar in an ecological sense to regions in central North America, their inherent isolation from these populations presents new opportunities for study. Although beginning work has been conducted to document the biodiversity of mammals and their parasites in Mongolia and central Asia, the actual extent of the literature and studies available is woefully deficient compared to other areas of the world. Research institutes from Mongolia, Russia, and Germany have made progress in the latter half of the 20th century in...
documenting the mammalian fauna of Mongolia, but there are still several areas, i.e., parasitology, that are very poorly known.

Since this report is based on a very short field expedition with limited time for collecting a larger series of mammals, we expect that results from our continuing work in Mongolia will provide more data on prevalence and diversity of eimerian species in these mammals.
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