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PSI: An Attractive Alternative for the Basic Speech Communication Course

William J. Seiler

Associate Professor of Speech Communication at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln

The Personalized System of Instruction (PSI), often referred to as the Keller Plan after its founder Fred Keller, was developed to teach introductory psychology courses. Since it was first used, however, PSI has seen widespread use in many disciplines. Sherman estimates that six thousand PSI courses have been taught at all levels of education by virtually all disciplines. Boylan reports that more than thirteen hundred individuals presently use the PSI method on the university and college level; that 80.5% of the individuals surveyed represent four-year institutions, with the remainder representing two-year institutions; that 66% of the colleges and universities are public institutions; and that major users of the PSI method are in astronomy, biology, chemistry, computer science, engineering, English composition, mathematics, physics, psychology, sociology, and statistics, with less use in such areas as English literature, French, geography, home economics, music, philosophy, physical education, political science, reading, and Spanish. As can be noted, PSI has not been used in speech communication to the same extent that it has in other disciplines.

At the present, however, most educational institutions are facing declining enrollments, inflation, and “lids,” all of which are triggering lower financial support; in addition, a new type of student body that is older and more diverse is seeking an education. As a result of these developments, institutions must operate under limited budgets, which impair the educational quality or limit the appeal of learning for students; or must turn to new or innovative instructional practices and methods that utilize economical, individualized, and nontraditional approaches. PSI, it is felt, fulfills these requirements by combining the strengths of basic learning, individual instruction, and close personal relationships, all at low cost. This paper, therefore, discusses what PSI is, how it can be used in the basic speech
communication course, why it is an attractive alternative method of instruction, and what its limitations are as an alternative method of instruction.

What is PSI?

In the early 1960s, individualized instruction resurfaced at all levels of education: the Montessori preschools, founded in the early part of this century, reappeared after a long absence; Glaser’s Individually Prescribed Instruction and Flanagan’s Project Plan provided educational methods on the high school level; Postlethwaite developed the Audio-Tutorial Methods; and Bloom wrote on Mastery Learning for the college level.

To make learning more efficient and reinforcing at the higher educational level, Fred Keller and others developed PSI, the most influential of all the methods for individualized instruction. This method, first used by Keller, Bori, and Azzi and brought to the attention of American education by Keller, has since been researched extensively. PSI, according to Sherman, is usually associated with five defining characteristics: (1) mastery learning, (2) self-pacing, (3) a stress on the written word, (4) instructor assistants, and (5) the use of lectures to motivate rather than to supply essential information.

The mastery feature requires that students obtain perfection in some aspects of the instruction. Keller and his associates believe that accomplishments can be detected through performance. Thus, students in the PSI method are called upon to respond frequently and with responses that have consequence. The theoretical base of PSI suggests that if activities are to produce positive consequences for the learner, repeated testing must take place with errors resulting in a program of remediation rather than in penalties; it is important that success be rewarded. Thus, grades must reflect accomplishment, not the number of mistakes made along the way; and grading must be determined on absolute rather than on normative standards that are competitive or comparative.

The mastery requirement (whether in part or in full) leads to the second feature, self-pacing. Given that at least some aspect of the PSI method requires mastery, it must allow, nevertheless, for a go-at-your-own pace. Mastery cannot always be commanded on schedule, but because individual differences must be taken into account, some deadlines are mandatory, i.e., whatever is set as the minimum level criterion tasks must be completed within the time limits of the course—a semester, quarter, or whatever.

The last three features follow directly from the first two. Because some self-pacing is required, a lock-step approach of disseminating information is impossible. Written materials, therefore, become the major informational source; they may be supplemented by other materials such as audiovisuals, videotapes, CAI, and other innovations to aid student learning. The heavy reliance on the written word requires that the materials be written clearly with objectives specified, sequenced in small steps, and, when possible, arranged from the simple to the complex.

Because the PSI method allows students to use repetitive testing, to work at different speeds, and to involve themselves in a wide range of materials at any point, there must be a means to supplement and amplify the student/teacher contacts made by the instructor. This leads to the fourth feature—the use of instructor assistants, or as they are known in
some PSI courses, proctors or tutors. The instructor assistants (IAs) are usually undergraduate students who have previously taken the course.

The use of lectures, the fifth feature, differs from that of the traditional classroom. Lecturing, not a major teacher commitment in the PSI method, is used to supplement and to motivate. Thus, the teacher becomes a creator of classroom materials and a manager of a learning system.

PSI has many variations. Boylan found that approximately 953 of the PSI courses used mastery learning and self-pacing, that 883 stressed the written word, and that 783 used IAs. Of those responding to Boylan’s survey, only 513 used lectures for the purpose of motivating students. According to existing research, students in courses which use the PSI method meet with little or no failure as long as the courses contain the basic-learning theory and individualized instruction combined with a close personal relationship among students as well as between students and instructors. While emphasizing five basic features, the PSI method is adaptable to the needs of the users in widely differing environments.

Using PSI in the Basic Speech Communication Course

Any method of instruction assumes that the objectives of the course and of the instructor can be met. To illustrate how the PSI method might be used in the basic speech communication course, it is necessary to describe the typical basic course. Gibson, Gruner, Hanna, Smythe, and Hayes provide the following general framework for the typical course:

1. The enrollment in the basic speech course generally is growing at a faster rate than the enrollment of the institution.
2. There has been a trend toward more performance, a trend relevant only to those courses which emphasize public speaking orientation.
3. The public speaking orientation and the hybrid orientation (combination of public speaking, interpersonal, and group) are divided about equally among the basic speech course programs.
4. The majority of the instruction is done in small, intact lab environments rather than in large lectures.
5. Behavioral objectives are widely used and behavioral outcomes are measured by a large percentage of those who state their objectives in behavioral terms.
6. Approximately half of the instruction is by instructors and assistant professors. The other half is divided among graduate assistants, associate professors, and full professors.

The basic speech communication course at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln reflects the general framework found in the Gibson et al. survey. The approach is best described by what is referred to as a hybrid course, i.e., recognizing the importance of public speaking by devoting about 50% of the course to it while dividing the other 50% between interpersonal and small group communication.
The following describes how the PSI course, which covers essentially the same content as most traditional basic courses, is applied by dividing the course into eight units of instruction:

1. Nature of Human Communication
2. Public Speaking: Developing the Public Presentation
3. Public Speaking: Informative and Persuasive Presentations
4. Analyzing and Receiving Communication
5. Nature of Language and Its Social Influence
6. Nonverbal Communication
7. Relational Communication
8. Small Group Communication

Each unit is self-contained with learning objectives, reading materials, exercises, and self-testing questions so that students can determine when they are prepared to take unit quizzes. The major source of information is written materials; however, some units are supplemented with live lectures, videotaped lectures, or taped demonstrations, some of which are attended outside of class on a voluntary basis. To complete a unit of instruction, students must show mastery of the content by taking unit quizzes, which must be retaken until 100% accuracy is achieved. Students take review tests, which are not part of the mastery component, after the first three units, the second three units, and the last two units. After students have completed the third review test, they may take the final exam, which is used along with the review tests in determining the final course grade. The tests and the final exam are based on norms. The unit quizzes, review tests, and final exams are each made up of a set of randomly selected items so that no two are exactly the same, and all are computerized.

Students in the PSI course present three speeches, each of which may be given a second time. The speeches, which are evaluated using a competency-based rating instrument, help to determine a student’s final course grade. The self-pacing feature of PSI allows students to work as fast as they wish, but not as slow as they wish: they must complete the course within the fifteen-week semester. Each unit has recommended deadlines, which help to provide structure for students.

A student is required to attend classes for orientation—the first four days of the semester, when the course and its policies are explained and when students meet with their IAs; for each of the one-hour lectures which precede the three speech assignments; and for presenting his or her own speech. Students who are not presenting speeches are free to attend other speech presentations, take quizzes, work on speeches, and so forth. This system provides students with flexibility in their use of time.

Undergraduates, selected from the top students who have taken the course, are used as IAs to correct quizzes, review tests, and give final exams; to rate speeches; to tutor students; and to record grades. It is imperative that IAs be chosen on the basis of academic competency, understanding of the course procedures, and personality. The maximum ratio of students to IAs is ten to one. This ratio is based upon previous PSI course research showing
that the maximum number of students an IA can work with effectively is ten. Graduate students in the PSI method become supervisory instructors (SIs). (Supervisors can be instructors rather than GTAs.) The SIs train the IAs, observe and assist them in the classroom, assist in developing course materials and in determining or revising course policies, present workshops and lectures, and help students with learning problems.

**PSI as an Attractive Alternative for the Basic Speech Communication Course**

Heun, Heun, and Ratcliff feel that institutions are slowly realizing that instructional methods must be changed to facilitate a new and diverse clientele and to cope with the implications of financial stress.¹⁵ The increase in enrollments in the 1960s brought reduced faculty/student ratios, and because most faculty/student interactions are related to class size, retention of a conventional instructional format during a time of rising enrollments resulted in the following consequences:¹⁶

1. loss of the personal-social aspect of the education process
2. decreased speed and quantity of feedback to students
3. decreased frequency and quantity of feedback to instructors
4. increased reliance on the group lecture for presentation of critical information despite increased evidence of its ineffectiveness
5. the movement toward the relative evaluation of students, thus making learning competitive

The difficulties produced by increased enrollments can be severe, but the composition of many university student populations presents an even greater difficulty. Open admissions and liberal financial-aid policies have altered the range of differences among students’ interests, learning skills, and prior experience with content in a given discipline. The traditional method, designed to educate the masses by meeting the needs of a typical learner, is generally not well suited to heterogeneous students for the following reasons:

Material is presented in units which are too large for some students, resulting in failure, and too small for other students, resulting in boredom; students are forced to move through a course at the same time, teacher-specified pace; examinations are given for the teacher’s purposes of grading rather than for the purpose of determining the student’s progress; students are treated as collective bodies rather than as unique persons; and the locus of control, relative to the length of focus on the instructional session, resides in the teacher’s hands while the student passively sits until the lecturer is finished or else stays away entirely. Under this type of system, the student is motivated primarily by the fear of receiving a poor grade, of losing a course credit, or being forced to leave the college for academic failure.¹⁷
Decreasing enrollments during the 1970s and early 1980s might be considered by some to be the savior of education and to provide for an increase in faculty/student ratios as staff levels generally remained constant. However, not all schools have experienced enrollment decreases, e.g., the University of Nebraska–Lincoln has experienced record enrollments each of the past three years. Regardless of enrollment increases or decreases, most institutions are caught in a vicious inflationary price/wage spiral that is choking education. Thus, even if enrollments are increasing, chances are that the financial support has not been able to meet demands. Morse and Wilson report that a major Eastern university, whose enrollment is remaining constant, increased its undergraduate tuition and still had to consider dismissing twenty-one of its faculty, some of whom were tenured.18

Wiethoff indicates that the trend is “buying more while spending less in performance courses.” He further says that “the widely heralded retrenchment in higher education poses special problems for performance-oriented basic speech courses. The pressures of retrenchment, based on declining revenue from shrinking enrollments, call for related responses from educators—specifically, the accommodation of as many students as is economically desirable with as little commitment of resources as is instructionally desirable.”19

Gibson et al. surveyed 552 colleges and universities ranging in enrollment from less than one thousand to over twenty thousand and found that eighteen major problems existed for those who are responsible for the basic speech communication course:20

1. class size
2. consistency of course content across sections
3. acquiring qualified staff for the basic course
4. time to cover course objectives
5. equitable evaluation of student performance
6. negative student attitudes toward required courses
7. lack of equipment and facilities
8. determining course content and philosophy
9. developing and maintaining performance standards
10. heterogeneous grouping in regard to speech ability and background
11. training of graduate teaching assistants in instruction/evaluation procedures
12. faculty attitudes toward the course
13. monitoring graduate teaching assistants’ performances
14. administration attitudes toward the course
15. reconciling theory versus practice in the classroom
16. diversity of student interests
17. budgetary limitations
18. challenging students to achieve their highest performance levels

While no method will solve every problem, the PSI method addresses many and helps to solve some. Heun, Heun, and Ratcliff cite six specific advantages for using individualized instruction in speech communication courses:21
1. to maximize learning
2. to maximize affect
3. to develop self-directed learning
4. to utilize the strengths of the faculty
5. to adapt to varying needs
6. to provide accountable learning for less cost

The authors summarize by stating that “after using individualized instruction for the last five years, it is our experience that these advantages can be accomplished in the basic speech communication course.”

PSI is specifically attractive in regard to (1) cost, (2) students, (3) instructor assistants, and (4) department.

1. Cost Attractiveness
To illustrate why PSI is an attractive alternative, it is necessary to compare it to other methods of instruction. Brooks and Leth provide data on speech communication that compare instructional costs per student hour of credit (see Table 1).

These data show quite clearly that the most expensive instruction is the traditional method with a full professor and that the least expensive is self-instruction with a GTA. However, data from the University of Nebraska–Lincoln shows that the PSI method with a GTA is considerably less expensive than any of the methods provided in these findings; the reason is that PSI allows for larger enrollments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instructional Method</th>
<th>Number of Students</th>
<th>Student Credit Hours</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Cost per Student Credit Hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Professor (6-hour load)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>$5,580</td>
<td>$37.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assoc. Professor (6-hour load)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>$4,490</td>
<td>$29.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asst. Professor (6-hour load)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>$3,590</td>
<td>$23.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTA (6-hour load)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>$1,700</td>
<td>$11.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nontraditional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMI or CAI</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Computer: $3,000 GTA: $1,700</td>
<td>$31.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Lecture with a GTA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Asst. Prof.: $600 GTA: $1,700</td>
<td>$15.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Instruction with a GTA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Modules: None GTA: $1,133</td>
<td>$7.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*PSI with a GTA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>GTA: $425</td>
<td>$2.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data from the Department of Speech Communication at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln

When comparing the PSI method to the traditional method, the savings are dramatic (see Tables 2 and 3 for a comparison, assuming equivalent enrollments). Heun, Heun, and Ratcliff state: “It is reasonable that individualized instruction would be less expensive in
that it can accountably teach more students with the same number of teachers." The PSI method permits fewer faculty to teach more students; the financial attractiveness of using it, therefore, is clear.

### Table 2. Comparison of Traditional vs. PSI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Number of Sections</th>
<th>Number of Students per Section</th>
<th>Total Number of Students</th>
<th>Number of Instructors Per 6 Hr. Load</th>
<th>Number of Instructors Per 9 Hr. Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Financial Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Instructor Load</th>
<th>Number of Instructors</th>
<th>Salary</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>6 hr.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI</td>
<td>6 hr.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>9 hr.</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI</td>
<td>9 hr.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Student Attractiveness**

(a) **High satisfaction**

A review of PSI research by Kulik, Kulik, and Cohen shows that “students rate PSI classes as more enjoyable, more demanding, and higher in overall quality and contribution to learning than in conventional classes.” Heun, Heun, and Ratcliff add support to the argument that individualized instruction is more satisfying than traditional and classroom instruction with their statement that “interaction with an instructor is positive, for now a teacher is perceived as being primarily a facilitator, not primarily an evaluator. This leads to affect gains for both the teachers and students.” While no specific experimental research has been done in our own program, more than four hundred student evaluations of the basic speech communication course using PSI show that 80% of the students' comments suggest high satisfaction with PSI compared to other courses. While the evidence is not conclusive, it does suggest that students are generally satisfied with the PSI method.

(b) **Better achievement**

Kulik, Kulik, and Cohen report that students enrolled in PSI courses averaged about 8% higher on the same examinations when compared to students in conventional classes. Their review also shows that students in PSI classes retained up to 14% more than students in conventional classes taking the same course. Although we have no conclusive data that compares PSI to our conventional instruction, most (70%) PSI students' comments are positive and suggest that they do learn in this course, e.g., “It was a course where you had to learn,” “I feel I have learned a lot from the course and also improved in giving speeches.” Despite some negative comments, “I didn’t learn a thing; there was too much reading in
this course,” “This course didn’t help me; the traditional sections I’ve heard are much easier,” the far greater number of positive comments suggest that students enjoy and profit from the PSI course.

(c) Interaction
The PSI method provides for more opportunities for interaction and thus appears to personalize class instruction. Heun, Heun, and Ratcliff state: “Individualized instruction is, by definition and long experience of the authors, a more personalized learning system. Each learner is recognized as a unique person.” In addition to the ratio of students to IAs which allows for more personal interaction, our IAs are trained to interact with the students, to be supportive, to provide help, and to encourage their students to do well in the course.

(d) Immediate feedback
The PSI method is designed to provide students with constant and immediate feedback after each event in the course and generally within the same class period. The IAs are trained to provide their students with sufficient feedback on quizzes, review tests, the final exam, and speeches to help the students learn the material. If IAs are unable to help with a difficult learning situation or to provide the appropriate feedback, they refer students to the SI for specialized help.

(e) Clear learning tasks
Students in the PSI course receive definite instructions covering what they need to learn, where they will find the necessary information, and what they have learned once they have completed the unit.

(f) Better utilization of time
Students who learn quickly do not have to wait for slower learners. Slow learners are not intimidated by having to learn faster than they are able. However, slow learners must complete the course during the scheduled fifteen-week semester. No incompletes are given except for acceptable reasons, e.g., illness. Students can move at their own rate and use the time more efficiently by determining their own study patterns: “Because a student both understands how he or she learns best, and develops new learning skills, individualized instruction promotes independent learning.” Because PSI allows students to use their own learning styles and to learn at their own rates, it is possible to complete the course ahead of schedule; then they may devote time to other courses. Finally, because attendance is not required every day, students may pick and choose those days when they are ready to demonstrate what they have learned; a fixed schedule does not permit such flexibility.

3. Instructor Assistant Attractiveness

(a) IAs learn the course materials better
The IAs are exposed to the contents of the course a second time, and learning thereby is reinforced, especially when they explain concepts and terms to their students, grade and
evaluate students, and review study-guide materials with them; while no research evidence exists from our course to support this contention specifically, sufficient testimony from IAs suggests that they believe they learn the materials much better.

(b) IAs gain experience in working with others
The most rewarding aspect of being an IA is the experience gained from working with other students; an IA learns how it feels to be on the teaching side of the learning experience. For those students who are planning a teaching career, the experience is also attractive because it projects a sense of what teaching is like.

(c) IAs receive university credit
IAs receive three hours of credit for participating in the internship course. The credit hours are attractive because they can count toward certain requirements of the various colleges within the university; in addition, three hours can be applied to a minor or major in speech communication.

(d) IAs find the experience satisfying
The IAs' evaluations suggest that they are very satisfied with their experiences. This is evident because about 30% of the IAs request to be an IA a second time. In addition, IAs' comments about their experiences are extremely positive.

4. Department Attractiveness

(a) Low cost
The PSI method provides an education comparable to the traditional method but, as shown in Table 1, at a much lower cost per student.

(b) Flexibility of staff
Because PSI requires fewer full-time staff members to operate, as illustrated in Table 2, a department's teaching staff can teach more middle- and upper-division courses and handle larger enrollments, if necessary.

(c) More majors
Since the PSI approach was introduced in our basic course, we have seen a marked increase in the number of students who minor or major in speech communication. Before PSI was initiated, we had approximately twenty-five majors in the Arts and Science College. We now have more than 125. While all of our new majors cannot be attributed to PSI, most can. In addition, our middle- and upper-level course enrollments have shown dramatic increases. Furthermore, we have found that new courses that formerly took several years to gain enrollment now do so during their first offering.

(d) Credit hours for the department
Besides the number of new majors, the PSI method as we use it generates approximately 240 credit hours per semester through the internship course.
PSI Limitations

The philosophical differences between the PSI method and the traditional method of instruction are marked. PSI with its self-pacing feature supports the teaching function; because both time and learning cannot be constant, methods should vary to suit the individual. Traditional instruction with its lock-step approach supports the selection function with a structured time frame; because individuals differ, learning varies. According to Semb, the two philosophies are at different ends of a continuum with hundreds of compromises in between: “Most individuals who use self-paced learning systems believe that it is more important to teach than it is to select.” However, the self-pacing of PSI can be a limitation for some students, although the pacing is usually constrained by the amount of time in a quarter or semester.

A second limitation of PSI involves the planning of small group or experiential learning with groups of students. Such gatherings are difficult if not impossible to plan: any task or event which requires a number of students to participate calls for scheduling and thus places a constraint on self-pacing. Another limitation concerns the size of audiences for speech presentations. Because of the number of students in the PSI sections, the self-pace feature of the course, and the time required to assure each student an opportunity to speak, audiences must be small. Only five students are scheduled to present speeches per speech day per IA. Finally, because students deal on a direct day-to-day basis with IAs, the amount of interaction between students and a full-time faculty member is limited.

Summary

This paper explains the developmental background of PSI and its five defining features; how the Department of Speech Communication at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln utilizes the PSI approach in the basic course through eight units of instruction; how using PSI as an alternative to traditional methods affects cost, students, instructor assistants, and department; and the limitations of PSI. The attempt has been to show that for teaching the basic course PSI is an attractive method overall not only for students but also for the department and its staff.
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