

9-14-2006

Limits on anomalous trilinear gauge couplings from $WW \rightarrow e^+e^-$, $WW \rightarrow e^\pm \mu^\pm$, and $WW \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ events from $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV

V.M. Abazov

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

Kenneth A. Bloom

University of Nebraska - Lincoln, kbloom2@unl.edu

Gregory R. Snow

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, gsnow1@unl.edu

D0 Collaboration

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/physicsbloom>

 Part of the [Physics Commons](#)

Abazov, V.M.; Bloom, Kenneth A.; Snow, Gregory R.; and Collaboration, D0, "Limits on anomalous trilinear gauge couplings from $WW \rightarrow e^+e^-$, $WW \rightarrow e^\pm \mu^\pm$, and $WW \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ events from $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV" (2006). *Kenneth Bloom Publications*. 172. <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/physicsbloom/172>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Research Papers in Physics and Astronomy at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kenneth Bloom Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Limits on anomalous trilinear gauge couplings from $WW \rightarrow e^+e^-$, $WW \rightarrow e^\pm\mu^\mp$, and $WW \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ events from $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV

V. M. Abazov,³⁶ B. Abbott,⁷⁶ M. Abolins,⁶⁶ B. S. Acharya,²⁹ M. Adams,⁵² T. Adams,⁵⁰ M. Agelou,¹⁸ S. H. Ahn,³¹ M. Ahsan,⁶⁰ G. D. Alexeev,³⁶ G. Alkhazov,⁴⁰ A. Alton,⁶⁵ G. Alverson,⁶⁴ G. A. Alves,² M. Anastasoae,³⁵ T. Andeen,⁵⁴ S. Anderson,⁴⁶ B. Andrieu,¹⁷ M. S. Anzels,⁵⁴ Y. Arnaud,¹⁴ M. Arov,⁵³ A. Askew,⁵⁰ B. Åsman,⁴¹ A. C. S. Assis Jesus,³ O. Atramentov,⁵⁸ C. Autermann,²¹ C. Avila,⁸ C. Ay,²⁴ F. Badaud,¹³ A. Baden,⁶² L. Bagby,⁵³ B. Baldin,⁵¹ D. V. Bandurin,⁶⁰ P. Banerjee,²⁹ S. Banerjee,²⁹ E. Barberis,⁶⁴ P. Bargassa,⁸¹ P. Baringer,⁵⁹ C. Barnes,⁴⁴ J. Barreto,² J. F. Bartlett,⁵¹ U. Bassler,¹⁷ D. Bauer,⁴⁴ A. Bean,⁵⁹ M. Begalli,³ M. Begel,⁷² C. Belanger-Champagne,⁵ L. Bellantoni,⁵¹ A. Bellavance,⁶⁸ J. A. Benitez,⁶⁶ S. B. Beri,²⁷ G. Bernardi,¹⁷ R. Bernhard,⁴² L. Berntzon,¹⁵ I. Bertram,⁴³ M. Besançon,¹⁸ R. Beuselinck,⁴⁴ V. A. Bezzubov,³⁹ P. C. Bhat,⁵¹ V. Bhatnagar,²⁷ M. Binder,²⁵ C. Biscarat,⁴³ K. M. Black,⁶³ I. Blackler,⁴⁴ G. Blazey,⁵³ F. Blekman,⁴⁴ S. Blessing,⁵⁰ D. Bloch,¹⁹ K. Bloom,⁶⁸ U. Blumenschein,²³ A. Boehnlein,⁵¹ O. Boeriu,⁵⁶ T. A. Bolton,⁶⁰ G. Borissov,⁴³ K. Bos,³⁴ T. Bose,⁷⁸ A. Brandt,⁷⁹ R. Brock,⁶⁶ G. Brooijmans,⁷¹ A. Bross,⁵¹ D. Brown,⁷⁹ N. J. Buchanan,⁵⁰ D. Buchholz,⁵⁴ M. Buehler,⁸² V. Buescher,²³ S. Burdin,⁵¹ S. Burke,⁴⁶ T. H. Burnett,⁸³ E. Busato,¹⁷ C. P. Buszello,⁴⁴ J. M. Butler,⁶³ P. Calfayan,²⁵ S. Calvet,¹⁵ J. Cammin,⁷² S. Caron,³⁴ W. Carvalho,³ B. C. K. Casey,⁷⁸ N. M. Cason,⁵⁶ H. Castilla-Valdez,³³ D. Chakraborty,⁵³ K. M. Chan,⁷² A. Chandra,⁴⁹ F. Charles,¹⁹ E. Cheu,⁴⁶ F. Chevallier,¹⁴ D. K. Cho,⁶³ S. Choi,³² B. Choudhary,²⁸ L. Christofek,⁵⁹ D. Claes,⁶⁸ B. Clément,¹⁹ C. Clément,⁴¹ Y. Coadou,⁵ M. Cooke,⁸¹ W. E. Cooper,⁵¹ D. Coppage,⁵⁹ M. Corcoran,⁸¹ M.-C. Cousinou,¹⁵ B. Cox,⁴⁵ S. Crépe-Renaudin,¹⁴ D. Cutts,⁷⁸ M. Cwiok,³⁰ H. da Motta,² A. Das,⁶³ M. Das,⁶¹ B. Davies,⁴³ G. Davies,⁴⁴ G. A. Davis,⁵⁴ K. De,⁷⁹ P. de Jong,³⁴ S. J. de Jong,³⁵ E. De La Cruz-Burelo,⁶⁵ C. De Oliveira Martins,³ J. D. Degenhardt,⁶⁵ F. Déliot,¹⁸ M. Demarteau,⁵¹ R. Demina,⁷² P. Demine,¹⁸ D. Denisov,⁵¹ S. P. Denisov,³⁹ S. Desai,⁷³ H. T. Diehl,⁵¹ M. Diesburg,⁵¹ M. Doidge,⁴³ A. Dominguez,⁶⁸ H. Dong,⁷³ L. V. Dudko,³⁸ L. Duflot,¹⁶ S. R. Dugad,²⁹ D. Duggan,⁵⁰ A. Duperrin,¹⁵ J. Dyer,⁶⁶ A. Dyshkant,⁵³ M. Eads,⁶⁸ D. Edmunds,⁶⁶ T. Edwards,⁴⁵ J. Ellison,⁴⁹ J. Elmsheuser,²⁵ V. D. Elvira,⁵¹ S. Eno,⁶² P. Ermolov,³⁸ H. Evans,⁵⁵ A. Evdokimov,³⁷ V. N. Evdokimov,³⁹ S. N. Fatakia,⁶³ L. Feligioni,⁶³ A. V. Ferapontov,⁶⁰ T. Ferbel,⁷² F. Fiedler,²⁵ F. Filthaut,³⁵ W. Fisher,⁵¹ H. E. Fisk,⁵¹ I. Fleck,²³ M. Ford,⁴⁵ M. Fortner,⁵³ H. Fox,²³ S. Fu,⁵¹ S. Fuess,⁵¹ T. Gadfort,⁸³ C. F. Galea,³⁵ E. Gallas,⁵¹ E. Galyaev,⁵⁶ C. Garcia,⁷² A. Garcia-Bellido,⁸³ J. Gardner,⁵⁹ V. Gavrillov,³⁷ A. Gay,¹⁹ P. Gay,¹³ D. Gelé,¹⁹ R. Gelhaus,⁴⁹ C. E. Gerber,⁵² Y. Gershtein,⁵⁰ D. Gillberg,⁵ G. Ginther,⁷² N. Gollub,⁴¹ B. Gómez,⁸ A. Goussiou,⁵⁶ P. D. Grannis,⁷³ H. Greenlee,⁵¹ Z. D. Greenwood,⁶¹ E. M. Gregores,⁴ G. Grenier,²⁰ Ph. Gris,¹³ J.-F. Grivaz,¹⁶ S. Grünendahl,⁵¹ M. W. Grünewald,³⁰ F. Guo,⁷³ J. Guo,⁷³ G. Gutierrez,⁵¹ P. Gutierrez,⁷⁶ A. Haas,⁷¹ N. J. Hadley,⁶² P. Haefner,²⁵ S. Hagopian,⁵⁰ J. Haley,⁶⁹ I. Hall,⁷⁶ R. E. Hall,⁴⁸ L. Han,⁷ K. Hanagaki,⁵¹ K. Harder,⁶⁰ A. Harel,⁷² R. Harrington,⁶⁴ J. M. Hauptman,⁵⁸ R. Hauser,⁶⁶ J. Hays,⁵⁴ T. Hebbeker,²¹ D. Hedin,⁵³ J. G. Hegeman,³⁴ J. M. Heinmiller,⁵² A. P. Heinson,⁴⁹ U. Heintz,⁶³ C. Hensel,⁵⁹ K. Herner,⁷³ G. Hesketh,⁶⁴ M. D. Hildreth,⁵⁶ R. Hirosky,⁸² J. D. Hobbs,⁷³ B. Hoeneisen,¹² H. Hoeth,²⁶ M. Hohlfield,¹⁶ S. J. Hong,³¹ R. Hooper,⁷⁸ P. Houben,³⁴ Y. Hu,⁷³ Z. Hubacek,¹⁰ V. Hynek,⁹ I. Iashvili,⁷⁰ R. Illingworth,⁵¹ A. S. Ito,⁵¹ S. Jabeen,⁶³ M. Jaffré,¹⁶ S. Jain,⁷⁶ K. Jakobs,²³ C. Jarvis,⁶² A. Jenkins,⁴⁴ R. Jesik,⁴⁴ K. Johns,⁴⁶ C. Johnson,⁷¹ M. Johnson,⁵¹ A. Jonckheere,⁵¹ P. Jonsson,⁴⁴ A. Juste,⁵¹ D. Käfer,²¹ S. Kahn,⁷⁴ E. Kajfasz,¹⁵ A. M. Kalinin,³⁶ J. M. Kalk,⁶¹ J. R. Kalk,⁶⁶ S. Kappler,²¹ D. Karmanov,³⁸ J. Kasper,⁶³ P. Kasper,⁵¹ I. Katsanos,⁷¹ D. Kau,⁵⁰ R. Kaur,²⁷ R. Kehoe,⁸⁰ S. Kermiche,¹⁵ N. Khalatyan,⁶³ A. Khanov,⁷⁷ A. Kharchilava,⁷⁰ Y. M. Kharzheev,³⁶ D. Khatidze,⁷¹ H. Kim,⁷⁹ T. J. Kim,³¹ M. H. Kirby,³⁵ B. Klima,⁵¹ J. M. Kohli,²⁷ J.-P. Konrath,²³ M. Kopal,⁷⁶ V. M. Korablev,³⁹ J. Kotcher,⁷⁴ B. Kothari,⁷¹ A. Koubarovsky,³⁸ A. V. Kozelov,³⁹ J. Kozminski,⁶⁶ D. Krop,⁵⁵ A. Kryemadhi,⁸² T. Kuhl,²⁴ A. Kumar,⁷⁰ S. Kunori,⁶² A. Kupco,¹¹ T. Kurča,²⁰ J. Kvita,⁹ S. Lammers,⁷¹ G. Landsberg,⁷⁸ J. Lazoflores,⁵⁰ A.-C. Le Bihan,¹⁹ P. Lebrun,²⁰ W. M. Lee,⁵³ A. Leflat,³⁸ F. Lehner,⁴² V. Lesne,¹³ J. Leveque,⁴⁶ P. Lewis,⁴⁴ J. Li,⁷⁹ Q. Z. Li,⁵¹ J. G. R. Lima,⁵³ D. Lincoln,⁵¹ J. Linnemann,⁶⁶ V. V. Lipaev,³⁹ R. Lipton,⁵¹ Z. Liu,⁵ L. Lobo,⁴⁴ A. Lobodenko,⁴⁰ M. Lokajicek,¹¹ A. Lounis,¹⁹ P. Love,⁴³ H. J. Lubatti,⁸³ M. Lynker,⁵⁶ A. L. Lyon,⁵¹ A. K. A. Maciel,² R. J. Madaras,⁴⁷ P. Mättig,²⁶ C. Magass,²¹ A. Magerkurth,⁶⁵ A.-M. Magnan,¹⁴ N. Makovec,¹⁶ P. K. Mal,⁵⁶ H. B. Malbouisson,³ S. Malik,⁶⁸ V. L. Malyshev,³⁶ H. S. Mao,⁶ Y. Maravin,⁶⁰ M. Martens,⁵¹ R. McCarthy,⁷³ D. Meder,²⁴ A. Melnitchouk,⁶⁷ A. Mendes,¹⁵ L. Mendoza,⁸ M. Merkin,³⁸ K. W. Merritt,⁵¹ A. Meyer,²¹ J. Meyer,²² M. Michaut,¹⁸ H. Miettinen,⁸¹ T. Millet,²⁰ J. Mitrevski,⁷¹ J. Molina,³ N. K. Mondal,²⁹ J. Monk,⁴⁵ R. W. Moore,⁵ T. Moulik,⁵⁹ G. S. Muanza,¹⁶ M. Mulders,⁵¹ M. Mulhearn,⁷¹ L. Mundim,³ Y. D. Mutaf,⁷³ E. Nagy,¹⁵ M. Naimuddin,²⁸ M. Narain,⁶³ N. A. Naumann,³⁵ H. A. Neal,⁶⁵ J. P. Negret,⁸ P. Neustroev,⁴⁰ C. Noeding,²³ A. Nomerotski,⁵¹ S. F. Novaes,⁴ T. Nunnemann,²⁵ V. O'Dell,⁵¹ D. C. O'Neil,⁵ G. Obrant,⁴⁰ V. Oguri,³ N. Oliveira,³ N. Oshima,⁵¹ R. Otec,¹⁰ G. J. Otero y Garzón,⁵² M. Owen,⁴⁵ P. Padley,⁸¹ N. Parashar,⁵⁷ S.-J. Park,⁷² S. K. Park,³¹ J. Parsons,⁷¹ R. Partridge,⁷⁸

N. Parua,⁷³ A. Patwa,⁷⁴ G. Pawloski,⁸¹ P. M. Perea,⁴⁹ E. Perez,¹⁸ K. Peters,⁴⁵ P. Pétroff,¹⁶ M. Petteni,⁴⁴ R. Piegaia,¹ J. Piper,⁶⁶ M.-A. Pleier,²² P. L. M. Podesta-Lerma,³³ V. M. Podstavkov,⁵¹ Y. Pogorelov,⁵⁶ M.-E. Pol,² A. Pompoš,⁷⁶ B. G. Pope,⁶⁶ A. V. Popov,³⁹ C. Potter,⁵ W. L. Prado da Silva,³ H. B. Prosper,⁵⁰ S. Protopopescu,⁷⁴ J. Qian,⁶⁵ A. Quadt,²² B. Quinn,⁶⁷ M. S. Rangel,² K. J. Rani,²⁹ K. Ranjan,²⁸ P. N. Ratoff,⁴³ P. Renkel,⁸⁰ S. Reucroft,⁶⁴ M. Rijssenbeek,⁷³ I. Ripp-Baudot,¹⁹ F. Rizatdinova,⁷⁷ S. Robinson,⁴⁴ R. F. Rodrigues,³ C. Royon,¹⁸ P. Rubinov,⁵¹ R. Ruchti,⁵⁶ V. I. Rud,³⁸ G. Sajot,¹⁴ A. Sánchez-Hernández,³³ M. P. Sanders,⁶² A. Santoro,³ G. Savage,⁵¹ L. Sawyer,⁶¹ T. Scanlon,⁴⁴ D. Schaile,²⁵ R. D. Schamberger,⁷³ Y. Scheglov,⁴⁰ H. Schellman,⁵⁴ P. Schieferdecker,²⁵ C. Schmitt,²⁶ C. Schwanenberger,⁴⁵ A. Schwartzman,⁶⁹ R. Schwienhorst,⁶⁶ J. Sekaric,⁵⁰ S. Sengupta,⁵⁰ H. Severini,⁷⁶ E. Shabalina,⁵² M. Shamim,⁶⁰ V. Shary,¹⁸ A. A. Shchukin,³⁹ W. D. Shephard,⁵⁶ R. K. Shivpuri,²⁸ D. Shpakov,⁵¹ V. Siccaldi,¹⁹ R. A. Sidwell,⁶⁰ V. Simak,¹⁰ V. Sirotenko,⁵¹ P. Skubic,⁷⁶ P. Slattery,⁷² R. P. Smith,⁵¹ G. R. Snow,⁶⁸ J. Snow,⁷⁵ S. Snyder,⁷⁴ S. Söldner-Rembold,⁴⁵ X. Song,⁵³ L. Sonnenschein,¹⁷ A. Sopczak,⁴³ M. Sosebee,⁷⁹ K. Soustruznik,⁹ M. Souza,² B. Spurlock,⁷⁹ J. Stark,¹⁴ J. Steele,⁶¹ V. Stolin,³⁷ A. Stone,⁵² D. A. Stoyanova,³⁹ J. Strandberg,⁴¹ S. Strandberg,⁴¹ M. A. Strang,⁷⁰ M. Strauss,⁷⁶ R. Ströhmer,²⁵ D. Strom,⁵⁴ M. Strovink,⁴⁷ L. Stutte,⁵¹ S. Sumowidagdo,⁵⁰ A. Sznajder,³ M. Talby,¹⁵ P. Tamburello,⁴⁶ W. Taylor,⁵ P. Telford,⁴⁵ J. Temple,⁴⁶ B. Tiller,²⁵ M. Titov,²³ V. V. Tokmenin,³⁶ M. Tomoto,⁵¹ T. Toole,⁶² I. Torchiani,²³ S. Towers,⁴³ T. Trefzger,²⁴ S. Trincas-Duvoid,¹⁷ D. Tsybychev,⁷³ B. Tuchming,¹⁸ C. Tully,⁶⁹ A. S. Turcot,⁴⁵ P. M. Tuts,⁷¹ R. Unalan,⁶⁶ L. Uvarov,⁴⁰ S. Uvarov,⁴⁰ S. Uzunyan,⁵³ B. Vachon,⁵ P. J. van den Berg,³⁴ R. Van Kooten,⁵⁵ W. M. van Leeuwen,³⁴ N. Varelas,⁵² E. W. Varnes,⁴⁶ A. Vartapetian,⁷⁹ I. A. Vasilyev,³⁹ M. Vaupel,²⁶ P. Verdier,²⁰ L. S. Vertogradov,³⁶ M. Verzocchi,⁵¹ F. Villeneuve-Seguié,⁴⁴ P. Vint,⁴⁴ J.-R. Vlimant,¹⁷ E. Von Toerne,⁶⁰ M. Voutilainen,⁶⁸ M. Vreeswijk,³⁴ H. D. Wahl,⁵⁰ L. Wang,⁶² M. H. L. S Wang,⁵¹ J. Warchol,⁵⁶ G. Watts,⁸³ M. Wayne,⁵⁶ M. Weber,⁵¹ H. Weerts,⁶⁶ N. Wermes,²² M. Wetstein,⁶² A. White,⁷⁹ D. Wicke,²⁶ G. W. Wilson,⁵⁹ S. J. Wimpenny,⁴⁹ M. Wobisch,⁵¹ J. Womersley,⁵¹ D. R. Wood,⁶⁴ T. R. Wyatt,⁴⁵ Y. Xie,⁷⁸ N. Xuan,⁵⁶ S. Yacoob,⁵⁴ R. Yamada,⁵¹ M. Yan,⁶² T. Yasuda,⁵¹ Y. A. Yatsunenko,³⁶ K. Yip,⁷⁴ H. D. Yoo,⁷⁸ S. W. Youn,⁵⁴ C. Yu,¹⁴ J. Yu,⁷⁹ A. Yurkewicz,⁷³ A. Zatserklyaniy,⁵³ C. Zeitnitz,²⁶ D. Zhang,⁵¹ T. Zhao,⁸³ B. Zhou,⁶⁵ J. Zhu,⁷³ M. Zielinski,⁷² D. Zieminska,⁵⁵ A. Zieminski,⁵⁵ V. Zutshi,⁵³ and E. G. Zverev³⁸

(D0 Collaboration)

¹Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

²LAFEX, Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

³Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁴Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil

⁵University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, and McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

⁶Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, People's Republic of China

⁷University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, People's Republic of China

⁸Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia

⁹Center for Particle Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

¹⁰Czech Technical University, Prague, Czech Republic

¹¹Center for Particle Physics, Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic

¹²Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

¹³Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, IN2P3-CNRS, Université Blaise Pascal, Clermont-Ferrand, France

¹⁴Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, IN2P3-CNRS, Université de Grenoble I, Grenoble, France

¹⁵CPPM, IN2P3-CNRS, Université de la Méditerranée, Marseille, France

¹⁶IN2P3-CNRS, Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, Orsay, France

¹⁷LPNHE, IN2P3-CNRS, Universités Paris VI and VII, Paris, France

¹⁸DAPNIA/Service de Physique des Particules, CEA, Saclay, France

¹⁹IPHC, IN2P3-CNRS, Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, France, and Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France

²⁰Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, IN2P3-CNRS, Université Claude Bernard, Villeurbanne, France

²¹III. Physikalisches Institut A, RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany

²²Physikalisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany

²³Physikalisches Institut, Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

²⁴Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany

²⁵Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany

²⁶Fachbereich Physik, University of Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany

²⁷Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

²⁸Delhi University, Delhi, India

- ²⁹Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India
³⁰University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
³¹Korea Detector Laboratory, Korea University, Seoul, Korea
³²SungKyunKwan University, Suwon, Korea
³³CINVESTAV, Mexico City, Mexico
³⁴FOM-Institute NIKHEF and University of Amsterdam/NIKHEF, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
³⁵Radboud University Nijmegen/NIKHEF, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
³⁶Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
³⁷Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
³⁸Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
³⁹Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia
⁴⁰Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia
⁴¹Lund University, Lund, Sweden, Royal Institute of Technology and Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden, and Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
⁴²Physik Institut der Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland
⁴³Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom
⁴⁴Imperial College, London, United Kingdom
⁴⁵University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom
⁴⁶University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA
⁴⁷Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA
⁴⁸California State University, Fresno, California 93740, USA
⁴⁹University of California, Riverside, California 92521, USA
⁵⁰Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA
⁵¹Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, USA
⁵²University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607, USA
⁵³Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois 60115, USA
⁵⁴Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA
⁵⁵Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA
⁵⁶University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, USA
⁵⁷Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, Indiana 46323, USA
⁵⁸Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA
⁵⁹University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045, USA
⁶⁰Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506, USA
⁶¹Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, Louisiana 71272, USA
⁶²University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA
⁶³Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, USA
⁶⁴Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA
⁶⁵University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA
⁶⁶Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA
⁶⁷University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, USA
⁶⁸University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588, USA
⁶⁹Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA
⁷⁰State University of New York, Buffalo, New York 14260, USA
⁷¹Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA
⁷²University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA
⁷³State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794, USA
⁷⁴Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA
⁷⁵Langston University, Langston, Oklahoma 73050, USA
⁷⁶University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019, USA
⁷⁷Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078, USA
⁷⁸Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA
⁷⁹University of Texas, Arlington, Texas 76019, USA
⁸⁰Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas 75275, USA
⁸¹Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA
⁸²University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901, USA
⁸³University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

(Received 7 August 2006; published 8 September 2006; corrected 14 September 2006)

Limits are set on anomalous $WW\gamma$ and WWZ trilinear gauge couplings using $W^+W^- \rightarrow e^+\nu_e e^-\bar{\nu}_e$, $W^+W^- \rightarrow e^+\nu_e\mu^+\nu_\mu$, and $W^+W^- \rightarrow \mu^+\nu_\mu\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu$ events. The data set was collected by the Run II D0 detector at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider and corresponds to approximately 250 pb^{-1} of integrated

luminosity at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV. Under the assumption that the $WW\gamma$ couplings are equal to the WWZ couplings and using a form factor scale of $\Lambda = 2.0$ TeV, the combined 95% C.L. one-dimensional coupling limits from all three channels are $-0.32 < \Delta\kappa < 0.45$ and $-0.29 < \lambda < 0.30$.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.74.057101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.057101)

PACS numbers: 14.70.Fm, 13.85.Qk

Within the standard model (SM), interactions between the bosons of the electroweak interaction are entirely determined by the gauge symmetry. Any deviation from the SM couplings is therefore evidence of new physics.

The most general Lorentz invariant effective Lagrangian which describes the triple gauge couplings has 14 independent coupling parameters, seven for each of the $WW\gamma$ and WWZ vertices [1]. With the assumption of electromagnetic gauge invariance and C and P conservation, the number of independent couplings is reduced to five, and the Lagrangian takes this form:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{L}_{WWV}}{g_{WWV}} = & ig_1^V (W_{\mu\nu}^\dagger W^{\mu\nu} V^\nu - W_\mu^\dagger V_\nu W^{\mu\nu}) \\ & + i\kappa_V W_\mu^\dagger W_\nu V^{\mu\nu} + \frac{i\lambda_V}{M_W^2} W_{\lambda\mu}^\dagger W^\mu{}_\nu V^{\nu\lambda} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $V = \gamma$ or Z , W^μ is the W^- field, $W_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu W_\nu - \partial_\nu W_\mu$, $V_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu V_\nu - \partial_\nu V_\mu$, and $g_1^\gamma = 1$. The overall couplings are $g_{WW\gamma} = -e$ and $g_{WWZ} = -e \cot\theta_W$.

The five remaining parameters are g_1^Z , κ_Z , κ_γ , λ_Z , and λ_γ . In the SM, $g_1^Z = \kappa_Z = \kappa_\gamma = 1$ and $\lambda_Z = \lambda_\gamma = 0$. The couplings g_1^Z , κ_Z , and κ_γ are often written in terms of their deviation from the SM values as $\Delta g_1^Z = g_1^Z - 1$, and similarly for $\Delta\kappa_Z$ and $\Delta\kappa_\gamma$.

One effect of introducing anomalous coupling parameters into the SM Lagrangian is an increase of the cross section for the $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Z/\gamma \rightarrow W^+W^-$ process, particularly as parton center-of-mass energies rise to infinity. Thus, constant finite values of the anomalous couplings produce unphysically large cross sections, violating unitarity. To keep the cross section from diverging, the anomalous coupling must vanish as $s \rightarrow \infty$. This is done by introducing a dipole form factor for an arbitrary coupling α [g_1^Z , κ_V , or λ_V from Eq. (1)]:

$$\alpha(\hat{s}) = \frac{\alpha_0}{(1 + \hat{s}/\Lambda^2)^2} \quad (2)$$

where the form factor scale Λ is set by new physics. For a

TABLE I. Predicted numbers of signal and background events, with statistical error, and number of candidate events for each decay channel.

Channel	Signal	Background	Candidates
e^+e^-	3.26 ± 0.05	2.30 ± 0.21	6
$e^\pm\mu^\mp$	10.8 ± 0.1	3.81 ± 0.17	15
$\mu^+\mu^-$	2.01 ± 0.05	1.94 ± 0.41	4

given value of Λ , there is an upper limit on the size of the coupling, beyond which unitarity is exceeded.

Limits on the $WW\gamma$ and WWZ anomalous couplings are set using the data, event selection, and background calculations from the recent WW cross section analysis published by the D0 Collaboration [2]. The cross section analysis measures the $p\bar{p} \rightarrow WW$ cross section to be $13.8_{-3.8}^{+4.3}(\text{stat})_{-0.9}^{+1.2}(\text{syst}) \pm 0.9(\text{lum})$ pb, compared with a SM next-to-leading order prediction of $13.0 - 13.5$ pb [3].

The leptonic channels $WW \rightarrow \ell^+\nu\ell^-\bar{\nu}$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) were used to measure the cross section, with integrated luminosities of 252 pb^{-1} for the e^+e^- channel, 235 pb^{-1} for the $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ channel, and 224 pb^{-1} for the $\mu^+\mu^-$ channel. Table I summarizes the predicted numbers of signal and background events and the number of observed candidate events in each channel. Details of selection cuts and efficiencies can be found in Ref. [2].

Four anomalous coupling relationships are considered. In the first relationship, the $WW\gamma$ parameters are equal to the WWZ parameters: $\Delta\kappa_\gamma = \Delta\kappa_Z$ and $\lambda_\gamma = \lambda_Z$. The second relationship, the HISZ parametrization [4], imposes $SU(2) \times U(1)$ symmetry upon the coupling parameters. For the final two relationships, either the SM $WW\gamma$ or WWZ interaction is fixed, while the other parameters are allowed to vary. In all cases, parameters which are not constrained by the coupling relationships are set to their SM values.

Anomalous coupling limits must be set for a given coupling relationship and form factor scale. Setting limits on a pair of anomalous couplings simultaneously requires a grid of Monte Carlo (MC) events, generated specifically for that coupling relationship and form factor scale. The likelihood of getting the actual measured events is calculated at each of the grid points and the limits for the couplings are then extracted from a fit to the likelihood distribution across the grid.

The leading order MC generator by Hagiwara, Woodside, and Zeppenfeld (HWZ) [1] is used to generate events for a grid in $(\Delta\kappa, \lambda)$ space. The central area of each grid has a finer spacing of generated coupling parameters to ensure that the likelihood surface is well defined inside the area where limits are expected to be set.

The generated events for each grid point are passed through a parametrized simulation of the D0 detector that is tuned using Z boson events. The outputs for each grid point are the simulated p_T spectra for the two leptons in the event scaled to match the luminosity of the data. Eight p_T bins are used to calculate the likelihood at each grid point: three bins plus an overflow bin for each of the two leptons. Figure 1 shows the data for the leading lepton in the $e^\pm\mu^\mp$




FIG. 1. Leading lepton p_T distributions for data (points), SM MC (solid line), and two anomalous coupling MC scenarios (dashed lines), from the $WW \rightarrow e^\pm \mu^\mp$ channel, binned as used to calculate likelihood.

channel with MC estimations for the SM and two sample anomalous coupling grid points.

The simulated signal from the HWZ generator and the background, taken from the cross section analysis, are compared to the p_T distribution of the data by calculating a bin-by-bin likelihood. Each bin is assumed to have a Poisson distribution with a mean equal to the sum of the signal and background. The uncertainties on the signal and background distributions are accounted for by weighting with Gaussian distributions. Correlations between the signal and background uncertainties for each channel are small, so they are handled separately. The uncertainty on the luminosity is 100% correlated, and so varies the same way for all channels. The likelihood, L , is calculated as

$$L = \int \mathcal{G}_{f_l} P_{ee}(f_l) P_{e\mu}(f_l) P_{\mu\mu}(f_l) df_l \quad (3)$$

$$P_{\ell\ell'}(f_l) = \int \mathcal{G}_{f_n} \int \mathcal{G}_{f_b} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{\text{bins}}} \mathcal{P}[N_{\ell\ell'}^i; (f_l f_n n_{\ell\ell'}^i + f_l f_b b_{\ell\ell'}^i)] df_n df_b \quad (4)$$

where $\mathcal{P}(a; \alpha)$ is the Poisson probability of obtaining a events if the mean expected number is α ; $n_{\ell\ell'}^i$ and $b_{\ell\ell'}^i$ are the simulated numbers of signal and background events for the $\ell\ell'$ channel in bin i ; $N_{\ell\ell'}^i$ is the measured number of events for this channel in this bin; and f_l , f_n , and f_b are the luminosity, signal, and background weights drawn from the Gaussian distributions \mathcal{G}_{f_l} , \mathcal{G}_{f_n} , and \mathcal{G}_{f_b} respectively.

To extract the limits, a 6th order polynomial is fitted to the grid of negative log likelihood values. The one- and two-dimensional 95% C.L. limits are determined by inte-

TABLE II. One-dimensional limits at the 95% C.L. with various assumptions relating the $WW\gamma$ and WWZ couplings at various values of Λ . Parameters which are not constrained by the coupling relationships are set to their SM values.

Coupling		95% C.L. Limits	Λ (TeV)
$WW\gamma = WWZ$	λ	-0.31, 0.33	1.5
	$\Delta\kappa$	-0.36, 0.47	
$WW\gamma = WWZ$	λ	-0.29, 0.30	2.0
	$\Delta\kappa$	-0.32, 0.45	
HISZ	λ	-0.34, 0.35	1.5
	$\Delta\kappa_\gamma$	-0.57, 0.75	
SM $WW\gamma$	λ_Z	-0.39, 0.39	2.0
	$\Delta\kappa_Z$	-0.45, 0.55	
SM WWZ	λ_γ	-0.97, 1.04	1.0
	$\Delta\kappa_\gamma$	-1.05, 1.29	

grating the likelihood curve or surface, respectively. In the one-dimensional case, the 95% C.L. limits represent the pair of points of equal likelihood that bound 95% of the total integrated area between the ends of the MC grid. The two-dimensional 95% C.L. contour line is the set of points of equal likelihood that bound a region containing 95% of the total integrated volume between the MC grid boundaries.

One-dimensional 95% C.L. limits are summarized in Table II, and two-dimensional 95% C.L. contours are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Under the assumption that the




FIG. 2. One- and two-dimensional 95% C.L. limits when WWZ couplings are equal to $WW\gamma$ couplings, at $\Lambda = 2.0$ TeV. The bold curve is the unitarity limit, the inner curve is the two-dimensional 95% C.L. contour, and the ticks along the axes are the one-dimensional 95% C.L. limits.




FIG. 3. One- and two-dimensional 95% C.L. limits for (a) $WW\gamma = WWZ$ at $\Lambda = 1.5$ TeV, (b) HISZ at $\Lambda = 1.5$ TeV, (c) SM $WW\gamma$ at $\Lambda = 2.0$ TeV, and (d) SM WWZ at $\Lambda = 1.0$ TeV. The bold curve is the unitarity limit (where it fits within the plot boundaries), the inner curve is the two-dimensional 95% C.L. contour, and the ticks along the axes are the one-dimensional 95% C.L. limits.

$WW\gamma$ and WWZ couplings are equal and using a form factor scale of $\Lambda = 2.0$ TeV, the 95% C.L. limits obtained are $-0.32 < \Delta\kappa < 0.45$ and $-0.29 < \lambda < 0.30$. This significantly improves upon the previous limits from the D0 Collaboration, $-0.62 < \Delta\kappa < 0.77$ and $-0.53 < \lambda < 0.56$, set in Run I at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider for the same channels under the same assumption using an integrated luminosity of 100 pb^{-1} [5]. Although the combined anomalous coupling limits from the CERN e^+e^- Collider (LEP) collaborations are tighter [6], the hadronic collisions at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider explore a range of parton center-of-mass energies not explored at LEP.

We thank the staffs at Fermilab and collaborating institutions, and acknowledge support from the DOE and NSF (USA); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); FASI, Rosatom and RFBR (Russia); CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ, FAPESP and FUNDUNESP (Brazil); DAE and DST (India); Colciencias (Colombia); CONACyT (Mexico); KRF and KOSEF (Korea); CONICET and UBACyT (Argentina); FOM (The Netherlands); PPARC (United Kingdom); MSMT (Czech Republic); CRC Program, CFI, NSERC and WestGrid Project (Canada); BMBF and DFG (Germany); SFI (Ireland); The Swedish Research Council (Sweden); Research Corporation; Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; and the Marie Curie Program.

-
- [1] K. Hagiwara, J. Woodside, and D. Zeppenfeld, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 2113 (1990).
 [2] V.M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 151801 (2005).
 [3] J.M. Campbell and R.K. Ellis, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 113006 (1999).
 [4] K. Hagiwara, S. Ishihara, R. Szalapski, and D. Zeppenfeld, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 2182 (1993), the coupling relationships used are $\Delta\kappa_Z = \Delta\kappa_\gamma(1 - \tan^2\theta_W)$, $\Delta g_1^Z = \Delta\kappa_\gamma/(2\cos^2\theta_W)$, and $\lambda_Z = \lambda_\gamma$.
 [5] B. Abbott *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **58**, 031102 (1998).
 [6] LEP Collaborations ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, and LEP TGC Working Group, Report No. LEPEWWG/TGC/2005-01 (2005).