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Alcohol Misuse and Co-Occurring Mental Disorders Among New Soldiers in the U.S. Army

Murray B. Stein, Laura Campbell-Sills, Joel Gelernter, Feng He, Steven G. Heeringa, Matthew K. Nock, Nancy A. Sampson, Xiaoying Sun, Sonia Jain, Ronald C. Kessler, Robert J. Ursano, On behalf of the Army STARRS Collaborators

Background: Problem drinking that predates enlistment into military service may contribute to the overall burden of alcohol misuse in the Armed Forces; however, evidence bearing on this issue is limited. This study examines prevalence and correlates of alcohol misuse among new U.S. Army soldiers.

Methods: Cross-sectional survey data were collected from soldiers reporting for basic combat training. The survey retrospectively assessed lifetime alcohol consumption and substance abuse/dependence, enabling estimation of the prevalence of lifetime binge drinking and heavy drinking in a sample of 30,583 soldiers and of probable alcohol use disorder (AUD) among 26,754 soldiers with no/minimal lifetime use of other drugs. Co-occurrence of mental disorders and other adverse outcomes with binge drinking, heavy drinking, and AUD was examined. Discrete-time survival analysis, with person-year the unit of analysis and a logistic link function, was used to estimate associations of AUD with subsequent onset of mental disorders and vice versa.

Results: Weighted prevalence of lifetime binge drinking was 27.2% (SE = 0.4) among males and 18.9% (SE = 0.7) among females; respective estimates for heavy drinking were 13.9% (SE = 0.3) and 9.4% (SE = 0.4). Among soldiers with no/minimal drug use, 9.5% (SE = 0.2) of males and 7.2% (SE = 0.5) of females had lifetime AUD. Relative to no alcohol misuse, binge drinking, heavy drinking, and AUD were associated with increased odds of all mental disorders and other adverse outcomes under consideration (adjusted odds ratios [AORs] = 1.5 to 4.6; p < 0.001). Prior mental disorders and suicidal ideation were associated with onset of AUD (AORs = 2.3 to 2.8; p < 0.001), and prior AUD was associated with onset of mental disorders and suicidal ideation (AORs = 2.0 to 3.2, p < 0.005).

Conclusions: Strong bidirectional associations between alcohol misuse and mental disorders were observed in a cohort of soldiers beginning Army service. Conjoint recognition of alcohol misuse and mental disorders upon enlistment may provide opportunities for risk mitigation early in a soldier’s career.
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Alcohol USE DISORDERS (AUDs) are prevalent and impactful in the United States and worldwide, contributing markedly to the global burden of disease (Whiteford et al., 2013). The National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions III (NESARC-III), which surveyed a nationally representative sample of noninstitutionalized civilian adults, has documented the high prevalence and morbidity associated with AUDs in the United States (Grant et al., 2015).

Alcohol misuse and AUDs are also prevalent among U.S. military personnel. One-fifth of military personnel were classified as heavy drinkers (consuming 5 or more drinks once a week or more) in a recent survey representing the total active force (Mattiko et al., 2011). Population-based health behavior surveys of active duty personnel administered by the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) revealed significant increases in binge drinking (35 to 47%) and heavy drinking (15 to 20%) from 1998 to 2008 that were highest among those with combat exposure (Bray et al., 2013). A study of mental disorders in a representative sample of 671 Ohio Army National Guard members found that AUD was the most common lifetime disorder, with a prevalence of 44% (Fink et al., 2016a). U.S. military veterans also have a high prevalence (42%) of lifetime AUD (Fuehrlein et al., 2016).

Alcohol misuse profoundly impacts servicemembers’ individual health and well-being (Waller et al., 2015). In the aforementioned survey of U.S. military personnel
representing the total active force, a dose–response relationship was observed between drinking level and serious consequences such as accidents and injuries; and occupational, relational, and legal problems related to alcohol use (Mattiko et al., 2011). Heavy drinking was associated with a particularly marked increase in these adverse consequences. Heavy drinking also has been found to be associated with increased risk for suicidal behaviors among Army soldiers (Mash et al., 2014). Similarly, AUDs were associated with increases over time in depressive and posttraumatic stress symptoms among Ohio National Guard members (Sampson et al., 2015). Beyond impacts on individual health and functioning, alcohol misuse burdens the Armed Forces as an organization, with dose–response relationships observed between level of drinking and productivity loss (Mattiko et al., 2011).

These observations underscore the importance of gaining a contemporary, population-based perspective on the extent of alcohol use problems and concomitant adverse outcomes among U.S. military personnel. Estimates derived from the overall active force are informative and actionable; however, they leave unanswered questions regarding potential contributors to the burden of alcohol misuse in the military (e.g., relative roles of selection factors versus experiences related to military service). What is also needed is information pertaining to pre-enlistment experiences and conditions that may shape the mental health needs of servicemembers as they integrate into military culture and encounter military-specific exposures such as deployment.

Available evidence suggests that mental disorders in general—and substance use problems in particular—commonly emerge prior to servicemembers’ enlistment in the Armed Forces. An analysis from the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS) found that approximately 38% of soldiers with 30-day substance use disorder (SUD; due to alcohol and/or drug misuse) reported onset prior to their enlistment in the U.S. Army (Kessler et al., 2014). More than 40% of Ohio National Guard members with lifetime AUD reported onset of the disorder prior to enlistment (Fink et al., 2016a). These studies established pre-enlistment onset via retrospective self-report. In contrast, a report from the Army STARRS New Soldier Study (NSS; also the basis for the current analysis) estimated prevalence of lifetime SUD (due to alcohol and/or drug use) among new soldiers just starting basic combat training (Rosellini et al., 2015). Lifetime prevalence of SUD in these incoming soldiers was 12.6%, which although substantially did not differ significantly from the prevalence (13.9%) observed in a demographically matched civilian sample. Another survey of U.S. Navy recruits during 2011 to 2014 found that approximately 40% of those aged 21 and older had engaged in risky drinking prior to beginning their Navy service (Derefinko et al., 2016). Rates were even higher among younger recruits (<21 years old), with more than half endorsing risky drinking and approximately 10% endorsing harmful/hazardous drinking prior to beginning their military service.

This study provides a novel perspective on the burden of alcohol misuse in the military by examining the prevalence and correlates of lifetime alcohol misuse among soldiers entering the U.S. Army. We build on prior investigations of this Army STARRS sample (Rosellini et al., 2015) by focusing specifically on problem drinking (vs. SUD) and by examining the full spectrum of alcohol misuse. We estimate the prevalence of lifetime binge drinking and heavy drinking in a representative sample of new Army soldiers, as well as lifetime and 12-month AUD in a subsample with no or minimal lifetime use of other drugs. We also extend prior research by examining prevalence of mental disorders and other adverse outcomes among subgroups of soldiers with histories of binge drinking, heavy drinking, and AUD. Finally, to improve understanding of the nature of associations between alcohol misuse and mental disorders, we utilize age-of-onset data to determine whether AUD is associated with increased risk of subsequent mental disorders, and conversely whether certain mental disorders are associated with increased risk of onset of AUD. These association analyses may elucidate other vulnerabilities and mental health needs of soldiers with pre-enlistment histories of alcohol misuse and provide information about risk factors for onset of AUD in this population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants and Procedures

Prior reports describe the design and methodology of Army STARRS (Kessler et al., 2013a; Ursano et al., 2014). The NSS component was administered at 3 basic training installations during the period of April 2011 to November 2012. Soldiers reporting for Basic Combat Training were surveyed while completing intake procedures. Representative samples of 200 to 300 soldiers per site were selected on a weekly basis to attend a 30-minute informed consent session that covered the study’s purposes, procedures, and protections against breach of confidentiality. Nearly all (99.9%) selected soldiers consented to the self-administered questionnaire (SAQ); and 93.5% of those who consented completed the full SAQ. Incomplete SAQs were mainly due to time constraints (e.g., cohorts arriving late or having to leave early). Of soldiers who completed the SAQ, 77.1% consented to linkage of responses to their Army/DoD administrative records. Recruitment, consent, and data protection procedures were approved by the Human Subjects Committees of all collaborating institutions.

As in prior NSS (Nock et al., 2015; Rosellini et al., 2015; Ursano et al., 2015), the sample was constrained to respondents whose complete SAQ data were successfully linked to their Army/DoD administrative records (n = 38,507). Analyses incorporate a combined analysis weight that adjusts for differential administrative record linkage consent among SAQ completers and includes a poststratification of these consent weights to known demographics and service traits of the population of soldiers attending Basic Combat Training during the study period. A description of NSS clustering and weighting is available in a previous report devoted to those topics (Kessler et al., 2013b).

For this investigation of alcohol misuse, additional sample restriction was necessary (Fig. 1) because the item assessing consumption of 5 or more alcoholic drinks in 1 day (i.e., alcohol binges; used to derive binge drinking and heavy drinking variables) was
Fig. 1. Prevalence of lifetime binge drinking, heavy drinking, and drug use was estimated in the sample of survey respondents who consented to linkage of their responses to their Army/Department of Defense administrative records, and who were administered a version of the survey instrument that contained the item assessing peak lifetime frequency of binge drinking (overall sample). Due to a limitation of the survey design, prevalence of probable alcohol use disorder could only be estimated in a subsample of those soldiers who endorsed no or minimal lifetime use of nonalcohol drugs (restricted sample).

Added to the NSS survey partway into data collection. Analyses are therefore based on data from participants in the third and fourth (of 4 total) NSS administrations (n = 30,583). We report prevalence of lifetime binge drinking, heavy drinking, and nonalcohol substance use among these respondents, who for the purposes of this report are referred to as the “overall sample.”

This study aimed to examine the full range of alcohol misuse including AUD; however, the NSS survey did not discriminate between SUD symptoms resulting from alcohol versus other substance use. We therefore conducted analyses of the prevalence and correlates of probable AUD in a subsample that conservatively excluded respondents who endorsed lifetime use of nonalcohol substances at a frequency of “1 to 3 times per month” or greater. The subsample of soldiers with no or minimal use of nonalcohol substances (n = 26,754) is henceforth called the “restricted sample.”

**Measures**

**Binge Drinking and Heavy Drinking.** Items assessing frequency of alcohol use were adapted from the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) (Kessler and Ustun, 2004) for use in Army STARRS. Respondents rated frequency of any alcohol use (1 or more drinks) and of alcohol binges (5 or more drinks). Respondents rated frequency of use for “the times when you used each...substance most often,” using the categories “never,” “less than once a month,” “1 to 3 days a month,” “1 to 2 days a week,” “3 to 4 days a week,” or “every or nearly every day” (coded 0 to 5 for analysis). The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) definition of binge drinking is “drinking 5 or more alcoholic drinks on the same occasion on at least 1 day in the past 30 days.” Thus, ratings ≥2 (1 to 3 days a month) for drinking 5 or more alcoholic drinks were considered positive for lifetime binge drinking. The SAMHSA definition of heavy drinking is “drinking 5 or more drinks on the same occasion on each of 5 or more days in the past 30 days.” Therefore, ratings ≥3 (1 to 2 days a week) for drinking 5 or more alcoholic drinks were considered positive for lifetime heavy drinking.

**Other (Nonalcohol) Substance Use.** Survey items also assessed peak lifetime frequency of use of marijuana/hashish; spice (e.g., K2, plant food, fake weed); any other illegal drug (e.g., cocaine, ecstasy, speed, LSD, poppers); prescription stimulants (e.g., Adderall, diet pills, amphetamines); prescription tranquilizers or muscle relaxers (e.g., Ativan, Valium) or sedatives (e.g., Ambien, Quaalude); and prescription pain relievers (e.g., codeine, Oxycodone). Items assessing the final 3 substance types specified that respondents should rate use that occurred “without a doctor’s prescription or more than prescribed or to get high, buzzed, or numbed out.” Nicotine use also was assessed but will be the focus of a separate report. Respondents indicated whether they had used each substance “never,” “less than once a month,” “1 to 3 days a month,” “1 to 2 days a week,” “3 to 4 days a week,” or “every or nearly every day” (coded 0 to 5 for analysis). Ratings ≥2 (1 to 3 days a month) were considered positive for estimating lifetime prevalence of nonalcohol substance use in the overall sample.

**Probable AUD.** Diagnosis of SUD was based on self-administered CIDI Screening Scales (CIDI-SC) (Kessler et al., 2013c). Respondents who endorsed any substance use were asked to think about the period of their lives when they used the most alcohol (for those with no lifetime use of other drugs), drugs (for those with no lifetime use of alcohol), or alcohol or drugs (for those with lifetime alcohol and other drug use). Respondents then rated frequency of problems related to substance use as “never,” “less than once a month,” “1 to 3 days a month,” “1 to 2 days a week,” “3 to 4 days a week,” or “every or nearly every day.” Problems related to substance use assessed in the NSS were as follows: interference with work, school, or home responsibilities; interpersonal problems; use in situations that could be dangerous to self or others (e.g., when driving or using a weapon); lack of control over use; problems with law enforcement; concern about being unable to use in certain situations; worrying about level of use; feeling a need to cut down or stop use; feeling guilty about use; and needing an eye-opener to relieve withdrawal symptoms. An algorithm that employed respondents’ ratings of these items was used to diagnose lifetime AUD, and the diagnosis was validated against structured clinical interviews in a clinical calibration study (Kessler et al., 2013c).

SUD data from respondents whose lifetime use of nonalcohol drugs was “never” or “less than once a month” were considered in this study. Given the absence/rarity of nonalcohol drug use in this restricted sample, we inferred that SUD items had been rated in reference to alcohol use and labeled cases of lifetime AUD as “probable lifetime AUD.” To establish past-year AUD, we used a survey item that inquired how many months out of the past 12 respondents had these types of problems related to their substance use. Responses ≥1 month were coded positive for probable past-year AUD. Age of onset was assessed with an item inquiring how old the respondent was the first time he or she had problems related to substance use as “never,” “less than once a month,” “1 to 3 days a month,” “1 to 2 days a week,” “3 to 4 days a week,” or “every or nearly every day.” Problems related to substance use as “never,” “less than once a month,” “1 to 3 days a month,” “1 to 2 days a week,” “3 to 4 days a week,” or “every or nearly every day.” Problems related to substance use assessed in the NSS were as follows: interference with work, school, or home responsibilities; interpersonal problems; use in situations that could be dangerous to self or others (e.g., when driving or using a weapon); lack of control over use; problems with law enforcement; concern about being unable to use in certain situations; worrying about level of use; feeling a need to cut down or stop use; feeling guilty about use; and needing an eye-opener to relieve withdrawal symptoms. An algorithm that employed respondents’ ratings of these items was used to diagnose lifetime AUD, and the diagnosis was validated against structured clinical interviews in a clinical calibration study (Kessler et al., 2013c).

SUD data from respondents whose lifetime use of nonalcohol drugs was “never” or “less than once a month” were considered in this study. Given the absence/rarity of nonalcohol drug use in this restricted sample, we inferred that SUD items had been rated in reference to alcohol use and labeled cases of lifetime AUD as “probable lifetime AUD.” To establish past-year AUD, we used a survey item that inquired how many months out of the past 12 respondents had these types of problems related to their substance use. Responses ≥1 month were coded positive for probable past-year AUD. Age of onset was assessed with an item inquiring how old the respondent was the first time he or she had problems related to substance use. Problems related to substance use assessed in the NSS were as follows: interference with work, school, or home responsibilities; interpersonal problems; use in situations that could be dangerous to self or others (e.g., when driving or using a weapon); lack of control over use; problems with law enforcement; concern about being unable to use in certain situations; worrying about level of use; feeling a need to cut down or stop use; feeling guilty about use; and needing an eye-opener to relieve withdrawal symptoms. An algorithm that employed respondents’ ratings of these items was used to diagnose lifetime AUD, and the diagnosis was validated against structured clinical interviews in a clinical calibration study (Kessler et al., 2013c).

**Co-Occurring Mental Disorders.** Associations of alcohol misuse with mental disorders were examined. Diagnoses were established using the CIDI-SC and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)
Checklist, and validated in a prior study (Kessler et al., 2013c). Mental disorders under consideration for this report were lifetime and past 30-day PTSD, major depressive disorder (MDD), generalized anxiety disorder (GAD), and panic disorder (PD); and lifetime mania/hypomania. Ages of onset were assessed and used to determine temporal relationships of these conditions with AUD.

**Other Co-Occurring Adverse Outcomes.** Suicidal ideation was assessed using a modified version of the Columbia Suicidal Severity Rating Scale (Posner et al., 2011). Co-occurrence of alcohol misuse with lifetime and past 30-day suicidal ideation was considered. Probable lifetime traumatic brain injury (TBI) was assessed and defined as endorsing any lifetime head, neck, or blast injury that was associated with loss or alteration of consciousness or memory lapse (Stein et al., 2015). Finally, theNSS assessed occurrence of (i) any past-year motor vehicle accidents (MVAs) while the respondent was driving and (ii) any past-year MVAs that caused injury or property damage for which the respondent was at fault; these outcomes also were examined in relation to alcohol misuse.

**Sociodemographic and Army Service Variables.** Models of associations between AUD and PTSD, MDD, GAD, PD, mania/hypomania, and suicidal ideation adjusted for time-varying person-year and education and time-invariant sex, race/ethnicity, religion at the time of the interview, marital status at the time of the interview, parental education, and nativity. All models also adjusted for Army service component (Regular Army, National Guard, or Army Reserve) and site of Basic Combat Training.

**Data Analysis**

Weighted prevalence of lifetime binge drinking, heavy drinking, and nonalcohol substance use was calculated in the overall sample (N = 30,583). Weighted prevalence of lifetime binge drinking, lifetime heavy drinking, lifetime probable AUD, and probable past-year AUD were calculated in the restricted sample of respondents with no or minimal nonalcohol drug use (n = 26,754). Missing data were rare (≤1.8%) and left missing in the analyses. Pearson's chi-square test was used to test for sex differences in the prevalence of alcohol misuse. Supplementary analyses also used Pearson's chi-square test to evaluate differences by race/ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, and Other), age group (18 to 20, 21 to 23, or 24 and older), and Army service component (Regular Army, Army National Guard, or Army Reserve).

In the restricted sample, weights-adjusted logistic regression models were fit to estimate associations of the different types of alcohol misuse (binge drinking, heavy drinking, probable lifetime AUD, and probable past-year AUD) with mental disorders and other adverse outcomes, adjusting for sociodemographic and Army service variables. Each alcohol misuse category was compared to the reference group “No Lifetime Alcohol Misuse.”

Age-of-onset data were used to create person-year data sets for discrete-time survival analysis (Singer and Willett, 2003) of the associations of (i) temporally prior AUD with subsequent onset of PTSD, MDD, GAD, PD, mania/hypomania, and suicidal ideation and (ii) temporally prior mental disorders/suicidal ideation with subsequent onset of AUD. The person-year file was limited to 12 to 33 years of age due to exceedingly low prevalence of AUD and mental disorders prior to age 12 and of enlistment after age 33. All models adjusted for sociodemographic and Army service variables and were fit using a logistic link function (Efron, 1988). Survival coefficients were exponentiated to create odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals; the interpretation of OR in the discrete-time survival models indicates the effect of a given predictor on the hazard. Because NSS data were clustered and weighted, the design-based Taylor series linearization method was used to estimate standard errors. Multivariable significance was examined using design-based Wald chi-square test tests. Two-tailed p-values < 0.05 were considered significant. All analyses were conducted using the software R Version 3.0.2 (R Core Team, 2013) with the R library survey (Lumley, 2004, 2012) to estimate the discrete-time survival models.

**RESULTS**

**Prevalence of Binge Drinking, Heavy Drinking, and Nonalcohol Substance Use**

Table 1 shows weighted lifetime prevalence of binge drinking, heavy drinking, and nonalcohol substance use in the overall sample. Binge drinking, \( \chi^2(1) = 165.96, p < 0.001 \), and heavy drinking, \( \chi^2(1) = 84.37, p < 0.001 \), were substantially more prevalent among male than female soldiers. Male soldiers also displayed higher prevalence of marijuana use, \( \chi^2(1) = 8.69, p = 0.003 \), and synthetic marijuana use, \( \chi^2(1) = 12.72, p < 0.001 \). Table S1 shows prevalence of binge drinking, heavy drinking, and nonalcohol substance use by race/ethnicity, age group, and service component. Substantive differences included higher prevalence of binge drinking and heavy drinking among soldiers aged 21 and older compared to those aged 18 to 20. Binge drinking and heavy drinking were also more prevalent among White soldiers than among members of other race/ethnicity groups, with Black soldiers displaying the lowest prevalence. Variation in the proportion of females across race/ethnicity groups may contribute to these differences; among subgroups of soldiers identifying as White, Black, Hispanic, and Other, the proportions of females were 12.1, 26.9, 17.9, and 17.3%, respectively.

**Table 1.** Weighted Prevalence of Lifetime Binge Drinking, Heavy Drinking, and Nonalcohol Substance Use in New Army Soldiers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Overall, % (N = 30,583)</th>
<th>Males, % (N = 25,736)</th>
<th>Females, % (N = 4,847)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Binge drinking</td>
<td>25.8 (0.4)</td>
<td>27.2 (0.4)</td>
<td>18.9 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy drinking</td>
<td>13.1 (0.2)</td>
<td>13.9 (0.3)</td>
<td>9.4 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana/hashish</td>
<td>8.5 (0.2)</td>
<td>8.7 (0.2)</td>
<td>7.6 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic marijuana/marijuana/spice</td>
<td>3.6 (0.1)</td>
<td>3.8 (0.2)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any other illegal drug</td>
<td>2.2 (0.1)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.1)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescription analgesics</td>
<td>3.6 (0.1)</td>
<td>3.6 (0.1)</td>
<td>3.4 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescription stimulants</td>
<td>3.0 (0.1)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.1)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescription tranquilizers</td>
<td>2.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are weighted percentage (SE). Binge drinking was defined as consuming 5 or more drinks per day “1 to 3×/mo” or more. Heavy drinking was defined as consuming 5 or more drinks per day 1 to 2×/wk or more. Nonalcohol drug use was considered present if peak lifetime frequency was “1 to 3×/mo” or more. For prescription drugs, survey items specified that respondents should only consider use that occurred without a doctor’s prescription; in excess of the amount prescribed; or to get “high, buzzed, or numbed out.”
Remaining results are based on the restricted sample of respondents with no or minimal nonalcohol drug use. Table 2 shows weighted prevalence of lifetime binge drinking, heavy drinking, and probable alcohol use disorder among new soldiers with infrequent (<Monthly)* lifetime use of other substances.

### Table 2. Weighted Prevalence of Lifetime Binge Drinking, Heavy Drinking, and Probable Alcohol Use Disorder Among New Soldiers with Infrequent (<Monthly)* Lifetime Use of Other Substances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall, % (n = 26,754)</th>
<th>Males, % (n = 22,452)</th>
<th>Females, % (n = 4,302)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Binge drinking</td>
<td>20.7 (0.3)</td>
<td>22.0 (0.4)</td>
<td>14.3 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy drinking</td>
<td>9.3 (0.2)</td>
<td>9.9 (0.2)</td>
<td>6.4 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable lifetime AUD</td>
<td>9.1 (0.2)</td>
<td>9.5 (0.2)</td>
<td>7.2 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable past-year AUD</td>
<td>5.7 (0.2)</td>
<td>5.9 (0.2)</td>
<td>4.7 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AUD, alcohol use disorder.

*A sensitivity analysis was conducted using a less stringent exclusion criterion for nonalcohol drug use. Respondents whose peak lifetime use of nonalcohol drugs was “1 to 3×/mo” were included in the sensitivity analysis sample, in addition to those whose peak frequency was “Never” and “<1×/mo” (n = 27,620). Prevalence estimates for binge drinking, heavy drinking, probable lifetime AUD, and probable past-year AUD were 21.7% (SE = 0.3%), 9.9% (SE = 0.2%), 6.3% (SE = 0.2%), respectively (i.e., within 1% of the values reported in this table).

Values are weighted percentage (SE). Binge drinking was defined as consuming 5 or more drinks per day “1 to 3×/mo” or more. Heavy drinking was defined as consuming 5 or more drinks per day “1 to 2×/wk” or more.

Relative to soldiers with no lifetime alcohol misuse, and adjusting for sociodemographic and Army service variables, the prevalence of all adverse outcomes under consideration was significantly higher among soldiers with lifetime binge drinking (adjusted odds ratios [AORs] = 1.53 for lifetime suicidal ideation to 2.08 for lifetime PD; p < 0.001), lifetime heavy drinking (AORs = 1.67 for past-year MVA while driving to 2.61 for lifetime mania/hypomania; p < 0.001), probable lifetime AUD (AORs = 1.74 for past-year MVA while driving to 3.66 for past-month suicidal ideation; p < 0.001), and probable past-year AUD (AORs = 1.99 for past-year MVA while driving to 4.55 for past-month suicidal ideation; p < 0.001). See Table S3 for full results of these association analyses.

Figure 2 displays prevalence of selected conditions (lifetime PTSD, suicidal behaviors, major depressive disorder, and panic disorder) by categories of alcohol misuse category. As the figure illustrates, prevalence of adverse outcomes generally increased in a dose-response fashion as severity of alcohol misuse increased. The complete set of comorbidity estimates is provided in Table S4.

Among soldiers with probable lifetime AUD, prevalence of co-occurring lifetime mental disorders ranged from 5.5% (SE = 0.6%) for PD to 23.2% (SE = 1.1%) for PTSD. Other highly prevalent lifetime outcomes among those with probable lifetime AUD were TBI (64.3% vs. 39.4% of those without alcohol misuse) and suicidal ideation (23.4% vs. 10.2% of those with alcohol misuse and no or minimal nonalcohol drug use).
those with no alcohol misuse). Among soldiers with probable past-year AUD, prevalence of co-occurring 30-day mental disorders ranged from 5.6% (SE = 0.7%) for PD to 20.2% (SE = 1.3%) for PTSD.

**Associations of Mental Disorders and Suicidal Ideation with Onset of Probable Alcohol Use Disorder**

The first set of discrete-time survival models estimated associations of temporally prior PTSD, MDD, GAD, PD, mania/hypomania, and suicidal ideation with subsequent onset of probable AUD. After adjusting for sociodemographic and Army service variables, each mental disorder was associated with increased odds of subsequent AUD. AORs for the predictors of interest ranged from 2.31 for PTSD to 2.85 for mania/hypomania (Table 3).

**Associations of Probable Alcohol Use Disorder with Onset of Other Mental Disorders**

The second set of discrete-time survival models estimated associations of temporally prior AUD with subsequent onset of other mental disorders/suicidal ideation. After adjusting for sociodemographic and Army service variables, probable AUD was associated with increased odds of subsequent PTSD, MDD, GAD, PD, mania/hypomania, and suicidal ideation. AORs for AUD ranged from 1.98 for the outcome of suicidal ideation to 3.15 for the outcome of PTSD (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study of new soldiers reporting on their alcohol and substance use prior to entering the military, we found that more than 1 in 4 men (27.2%) reported binge drinking and 1 in 7 reported heavy drinking (13.9%); prevalence was lower in women (18.9 and 9.4%, respectively). With the exception of lifetime marijuana use (reported by 8.7% of men and 7.6% of women), endorsement of other drug use was below 4% for both males and females.

**Table 3. Associations of Temporally Prior Mental Disorders with Subsequent Onset of Alcohol Use Disorder Among New Soldiers with Infrequent (<Monthly) Peak Lifetime Use of Other Substances (n = 26,754)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mental Disorder</th>
<th>Adjusted odds ratio</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Posttraumatic stress disorder</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>1.91 to 2.79</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major depressive disorder</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.28 to 3.21</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized anxiety disorder</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.32 to 3.21</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorder</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.27 to 3.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mania/hypomania</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.39 to 3.39</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicidal ideation</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.29 to 2.90</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are from 6 separate discrete-time survival models of onset of alcohol use disorder, with the row label indicating the predictor of interest. Odds ratios reflect adjustment for sociodemographic and Army service variables.

Prevalence and correlates of probable AUD were estimated only among soldiers with minimal lifetime use of non-alcohol drugs, a study limitation that is discussed below. Some 9.5% of male soldiers and 7.2% of female soldiers in this subgroup met criteria for probable lifetime AUD; with 5.9% of males and 4.7% of females indicating that AUD symptoms were problematic during the past year. The higher prevalence of all forms of alcohol misuse among male soldiers is consistent with evidence from epidemiological surveys indicating that AUDs, binge drinking, and heavy drinking are more common among men than women (Grant et al., 2015; Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2014).

The limited available data on alcohol use of new military recruits suggest that the prevalence of lifetime binge drinking observed in our sample of incoming Army soldiers is similar to that of Naval recruits, 26% of whom endorsed “heavy drinking”—which was closest to our definition of binge drinking—during the year prior to basic training (Ames et al., 2002). A somewhat higher prevalence was observed in a study of Air Force recruits where 49% of the 78% of recruits who endorsed any alcohol use (i.e., ~38% of the total sample) endorsed binge drinking (Taylor et al., 2007). To our knowledge, other studies of military recruits have not estimated the prevalence of AUDs. However, the prevalence of probable past-year AUD in our restricted sample (5.7%) is similar to that observed in a recent study of Canadian Regular Military Forces Personnel (4.5%) (Rusu et al., 2016).

U.S. representative data from the NESARC-III indicate that the prevalence of lifetime and 12-month AUDs among 18- to 29-year-olds is 37 and 27%, respectively (Grant et al., 2015), much higher than the respective estimates from our sample of new soldiers. This seems to indicate that the U.S. Army’s efforts to screen out individuals with AUD are, to some extent, successful. However, it should be noted that the NESARC-III comparison is not completely apt, as our estimates of AUD derive from a subsample of new soldiers with no or minimal lifetime use of other drugs. Other important differences between the 2 studies include the predominance...
of respondents from the younger end of the 18 to 29 age spectrum in our sample and differences in the setting (household vs. site of Army basic combat training) and modality (SAQ vs. face-to-face interview) of assessment.

Irrespective of the above issues, our findings suggest that 1 of 8 soldiers enter Army service with a history of heavy drinking and—even among those with minimal lifetime drug use—1 in 11 enter with probable lifetime AUD. This implies that the U.S. Army “inherits” an appreciable portion of its overall burden of alcohol misuse. However, the literature strongly suggests that more alcohol misuse emerges as soldiers progress in their careers. Data from the All-Army Study component of Army STARRS indicated that the majority of soldiers with 30-day SUD had postenlistment onset (Kessler et al., 2014). Substantially higher prevalence of lifetime AUD is observed in surveys that include more experienced military personnel and veterans. AUDs were the most prevalent lifetime disorders among Ohio National Guard members (44%) (Fink et al., 2016a) and Canadian Regular Force members (32%) (Pearson et al., 2014). A contemporary survey of U.S. military personnel revealed that 42% had lifetime AUD and 15% had past-year AUD (Fuehrlein et al., 2016). Finally, there is evidence that deployment with combat exposure is associated with increased risk of new-onset alcohol misuse and alcohol-related problems (Jacobson et al., 2008). More prospective research on alcohol misuse among servicemembers is needed, including studies evaluating the impact of deployment stressors and other factors related to military experiences. We intend to study the longitudinal course of alcohol misuse in future work with the Army STARRS cohorts.

Our psychiatric comorbidity data are consistent with other studies showing a strong association of PTSD with AUDs in U.S. (Marshall et al., 2012) and U.K. (Head et al., 2016) military samples, and reveal that this association is also observed among Army recruits. Further analysis provided evidence for a bidirectional association between PTSD and AUD. Other studies of the temporal relationship of these disorders have yielded similar findings (Nickerson et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2014), which have been interpreted as supporting both “self-medication” and “substance-induced anxiety” models of PTSD and SUD comorbidity. The particularly strong association of AUD with subsequent PTSD (AOR = 3.15) in our analysis suggests assessment of alcohol misuse may be relevant to identifying soldiers at increased risk for PTSD following traumas incurred during military service.

Psychiatric comorbidity of AUD is by no means limited to PTSD, and associations of similar magnitude were observed between AUD and other anxiety disorders, mood disorders, and suicidal ideation. These results converge with findings from the general U.S. population, where AUDs display considerable comorbidity with both PTSD and other mental disorders (Grant et al., 2015). Consistent with recent findings in a sample of Ohio National Guard personnel (Fink et al., 2016b), we found that AUDs preceded as well as followed the onset of mental disorders and suicidal ideation. Although not all investigations have found bidirectional relationships between AUDs and mental disorders (Kuo et al., 2006), overall the available evidence suggests that interventions for AUD may help reduce the burden of other mental disorders, and vice versa. For patients with dual diagnosis, consideration should be given to treating both problems simultaneously (Roberts et al., 2015). These patients may benefit from management of comorbid conditions using disorder-specific psychotherapies with or without pharmacotherapy. For example, the psychotherapy Seeking Safety combined with a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor yielded meaningful benefits for PTSD and AUD symptoms in veterans with both conditions (Hien et al., 2015). Additional research is needed to demonstrate the impact of treatments aimed not only at PTSD, but at the full range of comorbid mental disorders seen among military personnel with AUDs.

Finally, several nonpsychiatric adverse outcomes were included in the analysis to illustrate other correlates of AUD that may have implications for screening and intervention. Among new Army soldiers, all types of alcohol misuse under consideration were associated with lifetime TBI and past-year MVAs. These findings are perhaps unsurprising given the known cognitive and motor effects of alcohol intoxication and the clustering of health-risk behaviors (Meader et al., 2016). Alcohol misuse—particularly heavy drinking—has previously been linked to serious adverse consequences (an outcome that included injuries and accidents) in military personnel (Mattiko et al., 2011). These results convey the broad impact of alcohol misuse and reinforce the importance of screening, prevention, and intervention efforts.

The current results must be interpreted in light of several important limitations. Evaluation of alcohol misuse, substance use, and mental disorders relied on retrospective self-report and is subject to response and recall biases. Respondents may have underreported alcohol use and mental disorder symptoms, although this bias is typically reduced when confidential self-administration is employed as the mode of assessment (Kessler et al., 2013b). For soldiers who endorsed lifetime use of alcohol and other drugs, the survey did not establish whether reported abuse/dependence symptoms were due to alcohol use, drug use, or their combination. Thus, we were limited to examining prevalence and correlates of “probable AUD” among new soldiers with no or minimal lifetime use of other drugs. We applied a conservative exclusion criterion (resulting in exclusion of 12.5% of the overall sample) to increase confidence that cases of SUD remaining in the restricted sample were due entirely to alcohol misuse. A consequential trade-off is that individuals with poly-SUDs—and even AUD accompanied by semi-regular use of other drugs—are not represented.

In summary, lifetime alcohol misuse is fairly common among new soldiers entering the U.S. Army. Alcohol misuse ranging from lifetime binge drinking to past-year AUD is associated with an array of mental disorders and other
adverse outcomes. Analyses incorporating age of onset indicate that AUDs are associated with increased odds of onset of anxiety disorders, mood disorders, and suicidal ideation; and, conversely, that these same problems are associated with subsequent onset of AUD. The substantial associations of AUD with other mental health problems, which appear to be bidirectional in nature, must be considered in assessment and treatment planning. These associations also suggest that efforts to prevent alcohol misuse may decrease the future burden of not just AUD but other mental disorders; similarly, mental health promotion efforts may reduce adverse impacts of alcohol misuse. Conjoint recognition of problematic alcohol use and mental health problems upon a soldier’s entry into the military provides a tremendous opportunity for intervention and risk mitigation, early in a soldier’s military career.
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